## Table of Contents

## V. Water Court



## VI. Office of Dispute Resolution



## VII. Financial Information



## VIII. Colorado Probation

*The availability of Probation data is delayed due to programming changes to the probation management reports. Probation data will be available in an addendum to this report.*

## IX. Glossary of Terms

Back to Table of Contents

# Table of Contents

## Colorado Probation



**Private Probation:**



**Juvenile Probation:**



**Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):**



**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):**

**Female Offender Program (FOP):**



**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):**



**Specialized Programs Summary:**



**Victim Services:**



**Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program:**



**Glossary of Terms**

Back to Table of Contents

# Foreword

The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government for the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2005 provides information about the Colorado State Courts. Profiled in this report are case load statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Court, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department. The availability of Probation data is delayed due to programming changes to the probation management reports. Probation data will be available in an addendum to this report.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2005 (July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005), unless otherwise specified. This report can also be viewed by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department website at www.courts.state.co.us. If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (303) 861-1111.

*On the Cover: FY 2004 marked a major milestone for jury reform in Colorado. It culminated nearly a decade of study and implementing improvements.*
*The jury system is an important component of the state court system. The opportunity to serve as a juror allows citizens to become better informed regarding courts and the law. About 95 percent of all jury trials in the world take place in the United States*
*In January of 1996, then Chief Justice Anthony Vollack appointed the Colorado Supreme Court Committee on the Effective and Efficient Use of Juries. The objective was to improve the jury system – largely, for citizens who serve as jurors. The sweeping reforms put in place since the report was initially released in 1997 have been significant. Some of these include:*

- *Respecting the use of the juror's time by conducting court proceedings in a timely manner and minimizing unnecessary delays;*
- *Respecting the personal privacy of jurors by limiting public access to individual juror information and sealing juror questionnaire forms;*
- *Reducing the burden of jury service by using the one-day/one-trial method of jury service;*
- *Expanding the composition of the jury pool by using additional sources of juror names;*
- *Permitting juror questions, note-taking/trial notebooks, and pre-deliberation discussions in many cases; and*
- *Communicating with the jurors in plain English.*

**Sculpture by Colorado Artist Joan C. Andrew on display in the Adams County Judicial Center.*

Back to Table of Contents

# Judicial Districts of Colorado



municipal ordinance violations.




1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 -- Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

Back to Table of Contents

# SUPREME COURT

## SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Mary J. Mullarkey: Appointed June 29, 1987, became Chief Justice: August 3, 1998

Rebecca Love Kourlis: July 17, 1995

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Alex J. Martinez: January 14, 1997

Michael L. Bender: January 2, 1997

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Back to Table of Contents

# Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable ten-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex-officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact Susan J. Festag, Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14th Ave., Denver, Colo. 80203; or call (303) 861-1111 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available at http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctindex.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 1

# Supreme Court Caseload FY 1974 to FY 2005

| Fiscal Year | Cases Pending July 1 | Cases Filed | Total Caseload | Cases Terminated(a) | Cases Pending June 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1973-74 | 427 | 611 | 1,038 | 559 | 479 |
| 1974-75 | 479 | 553 | 1,032 | 666 | 366 |
| 1975-76 | 366 | 651 | 1,017 | 674 | 343 |
| 1976-77 | 343 | 735 | 1,078 | 704 | 374 |
| 1977-78 | 374 | 854 | 1,228 | 893 | 335 |
| 1978-79 | 350 | 941 | 1,291 | 877 | 414 |
| 1979-80 | 414 | 950 | 1,364 | 893 | 471 |
| 1980-81 | 471 | 966 | 1,437 | 979 | 458 |
| 1981-82 | 458 | 1,052 | 1,510 | 1,006 | 504 |
| 1982-83 | 504 | 971 | 1,475 | 1,038 | 437 |
| 1983-84 | 437 | 1,069 | 1,506 | 950 | 556 |
| 1984-85 | 556 | 967 | 1,523 | 1,011 | 512 |
| 1985-86 | 512 | 988 | 1,500 | 973 | 527 |
| 1986-87 | 527 | 970 | 1,497 | 1,036 | 461 |
| 1987-88 | 461 | 1,022 | 1,483 | 1,001 | 482 |
| 1988-89 | 482 | 1,198 | 1,680 | 1,215 | 465 |
| 1989-90 | 465 | 1,300 | 1,765 | 1,261 | 504 |
| 1990-91 | 504 | 1,265 | 1,769 | 1,326 | 443 |
| 1991-92 | 443 | 1,313 | 1,756 | 1,286 | 470 |
| 1992-93 | 470 | 1,251 | 1,721 | 1,261 | 460 |
| 1993-94 | 460 | 1,277 | 1,737 | 1,290 | 447 |
| 1994-95 | 447 | 1,358 | 1,805 | 1,316 | 489 |
| 1995-96 | 489 | 1,401 | 1,890 | 1,368 | 522 |
| 1996-97 | 522 | 1,511 | 2,033 | 1,432 | 601 |
| 1997-98 | 601 | 1,520 | 2,121 | 1,561 | 560 |
| 1998-99 | 560 | 1,525 | 2,085 | 1,609 | 476 |
| 1999-00 | 476 | 1,617 | 2,093 | 1,563 | 530 |
| 2000-01 | 530 | 1,367 | 1,897 | 1,425 | 472 |
| 2001-02 | 472 | 1,368 | 1,840 | 1,415 | 425 |
| 2002-03 | 425 | 1,401 | 1,826 | 1,441 | 385 |
| 2003-04 | 385 | 1,317 | 1,702 | 1,319 | 383 |
| 2004-05 | 383 | 1,466 | 1,849 | 1,451 | 398 |

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 2

## Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
## FY 1986 to FY 2005

| Fiscal Year | Direct Filings | Transfers from Court of Appeals | Reopened Cases | Total Filings |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1985-86 | 954 | 33 | 1 | 988 |
| 1986-87 | 952 | 16 | 2 | 970 |
| 1987-88 | 1,006 | 14 | 2 | 1,022 |
| 1988-89 | 1,182 | 15 | 1 | 1,198 |
| 1989-90 | 1,284 | 15 | 1 | 1,300 |
| 1990-91 | 1,260 | 4 | 1 | 1,265 |
| 1991-92 | 1,292 | 21 | 0 | 1,313 |
| 1992-93 | 1,235 | 16 | 0 | 1,251 |
| 1993-94 | 1,270 | 7 | 0 | 1,277 |
| 1994-95 | 1,356 | 2 | 0 | 1,358 |
| 1995-96 | 1,389 | 11 | 1 | 1,401 |
| 1996-97 | 1,507 | 4 | 0 | 1,511 |
| 1997-98 | 1,517 | 3 | 0 | 1,520 |
| 1998-99 | 1,521 | 4 | 0 | 1,525 |
| 1999-00 | 1,613 | 4 | 0 | 1,617 |
| 2000-01 | 1,363 | 4 | 0 | 1,367 |
| 2001-02 | 1,361 | 7 | 0 | 1,368 |
| 2002-03 | 1,393 | 8 | 0 | 1,401 |
| 2003-04 | 1,310 | 7 | 0 | 1,317 |
| 2004-05 | 1,463 | 3 | 0 | 1,466 |

Table 3

## Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
## FY 2001 to FY 2005

| | 00-01 | 01-02 | 02-03 | 03-04 | 04-05 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Criminal Appeals | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Original Proceedings | 304 | 288 | 250 | 295 | 297 |
| Petitions in Certiorari | 915 | 869 | 962 | 822 | 936 |
| Civil Appeals (a) | 13 | 23 | 19 | 10 | 17 |
| Interlocutories | 15 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Statutory Reviews (b) | 5 | 26 | 18 | 29 | 3 |
| Habeas Corpus | 16 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 30 |
| Bail Reductions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Interrogatories | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Reapportionment | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reopened Cases | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rule 21.1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Judicial Discipline or Disability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Request for Stay Pending Appeal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unauthorized Practice | 9 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 14 |
| Original Proceedings | | | | | |
| In Discipline | 24 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 20 |
| Disability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Continuing Legal Education | 45 | 69 | 62 | 64 | 106 |
| Multi-District Litigation | 14 | 30 | 23 | 23 | 29 |
| ***Total*** | ***1,367*** | ***1,368*** | ***1,401*** | ***1,317*** | ***1,466*** |

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions
(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

Back to Table of Contents

Table 4

## Distribution of Case Terminations FY 1986 to FY 2005

| Fiscal Year | Disposed of by Written Opinion | Disposed of Without Opinion | Total Dispositions | Transferred to Court of Appeals | Total Terminations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1985-86 | 222 | 745 | 967 | 6 | 973 |
| 1986-87 | 238 | 793 | 1,031 | 5 | 1,036 |
| 1987-88 | 244 | 749 | 993 | 8 | 1,001 |
| 1988-89 | 226 | 976 | 1,202 | 13 | 1,215 |
| 1989-90 | 237 | 1,008 | 1,245 | 16 | 1,261 |
| 1990-91 | 227 | 1,086 | 1,313 | 13 | 1,326 |
| 1991-92 | 216 | 1,058 | 1,274 | 12 | 1,286 |
| 1992-93 | 181 | 1,059 | 1,240 | 21 | 1,261 |
| 1993-94 | 192 | 1,093 | 1,285 | 5 | 1,290 |
| 1994-95 | 233 | 1,077 | 1,310 | 6 | 1,316 |
| 1995-96 | 193 | 1,176 | 1,369 | 1 | 1,370 |
| 1996-97 | 214 | 1,212 | 1,426 | 6 | 1,432 |
| 1997-98 | 187 | 1,372 | 1,559 | 2 | 1,561 |
| 1998-99 | 196 | 1,413 | 1,609 | 6 | 1,615 |
| 1999-00 | 111 | 1,452 | 1,563 | 0 | 1,563 |
| 2000-01 | 128 | 1,291 | 1,419 | 6 | 1,425 |
| 2001-02 | 121 | 1,287 | 1,408 | 7 | 1,415 |
| 2002-03 | 85 | 1,354 | 1,439 | 2 | 1,441 |
| 2003-04 | 89 | 1,228 | 1,317 | 2 | 1,319 |
| 2004-05 | 93 | 1,357 | 1,450 | 1 | 1,451 |

Table 5

## Supreme Court Written Opinions FY 2000 to FY 2005

| | Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court | Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents | Number of Dissents | Number of Special Concurrences | Total Number of Written Opinions |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2000-01 | 120 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 148 |
| 2001-02 | 109 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 140 |
| 2002-03 | 84 | 1 | 29 | 6 | 120 |
| 2003-04 | 78 | 6 | 22 | 4 | 110 |
| 2004-05 | 89 | 5 | 34 | 6 | 134 |

*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 6

## Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue
## End of FY 2005

| | Original Proceedings | Certiorari | Appeals | Grievances | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cases at issue awaiting oral argument | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Cases orally argued awaiting opinion | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 16 |
| Cases submitted without argument | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| ***Total*** | ***3*** | ***25*** | ***12*** | ***0*** | ***40*** |

Table 7

## Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue
## End of FY 2005

| Pending Cases | Total |
|---|---|
| Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits | 31 |
| Certiorari pending | 261 |
| Appeals pending | 24 |
| Original proceedings pending | 3 |
| Interlocutory appeals pending | 1 |
| Grievance matters pending | 2 |
| Unauthorized practice pending | 9 |
| Judicial discipline pending | 0 |
| ***Total*** | ***331*** |

Back to Table of Contents

# COURT OF APPEALS

## COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15,1988;
became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Jose D.L. Marquez: July 15, 1988

Sandra I. Rothenberg: August 14, 1990

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

Arthur P. Roy: March 4, 1994

JoAnn L. Vogt: December 31, 1997

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis A. Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Marsha M. Piccone: August 29, 2003

Robert M. Russel: January 30, 2004

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004[1]

Henry E. Nieto: November 23, 1999[2]

---

[1] Replaced Hon. Robert J. Kapelke
[2] Replaced by Gilbert E. Roman, July 1, 2005

Back to Table of Contents

# Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Sixteen judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact John Doerner, Clerk of the Court at (303) 837-3785 or (800) 888-0001, ext. 785. The Court of Appeals is located in the Colorado Judicial Building at Two East 14th Ave., Denver, Colorado 80203.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at http://www.courts.state.co.us/coa/coaindex.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 8

## Caseload of Court of Appeals for FY 1972 through FY 2005

| Fiscal Year | Cases Pending July 1 | Cases Filed | Cases Terminated | Cases Pending June 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1971-72 | 376 | 426 | 447 | 355 |
| 1972-73 | 355 | 468 | 467 | 356 |
| 1973-74 | 356 | 444 | 441 | 359 |
| 1974-75 | 359 | 858 | 625 | 592 |
| 1975-76 | 592 | 915 | 833 | 674 |
| 1976-77 | 674 | 1,128 | 918 | 884 |
| 1977-78 | 884 | 1,119 | 1,000 | 1,003 |
| 1978-79 | 1,003 | 1,214 | 1,119 | 1,098 |
| 1979-80 | 1,098 | 1,207 | 1,164 | 1,141 |
| 1980-81 | 1,139 | 1,285 | 1,213 | 1,211 |
| 1981-82 | 1,211 | 1,512 | 1,320 | 1,403 |
| 1982-83 | 1,403 | 1,453 | 1,381 | 1,475 |
| 1983-84 | 1,475 | 1,580 | 1,411 | 1,644 |
| 1984-85 | 1,644 | 1,631 | 1,396 | 1,879 |
| 1985-86 | 1,879 | 1,917 | 1,630 | 2,166 |
| 1986-87 | 2,166 | 1,930 | 1,602 | 2,494 |
| 1987-88 | 2,494 | 1,946 | 2,034 | 2,406 |
| 1988-89 | 2,406 | 2,012 | 2,193 | 2,225 |
| 1989-90 | 2,225 | 2,270 | 2,144 | 2,351 |
| 1990-91 | 2,351 | 2,147 | 2,192 | 2,306 |
| 1991-92 | 2,306 | 2,201 | 2,335 | 2,172 |
| 1992-93 | 2,172 | 2,109 | 2,269 | 2,012 |
| 1993-94 | 2,012 | 2,287 | 2,192 | 2,107 |
| 1994-95 | 2,107 | 2,179 | 2,156 | 2,130 |
| 1995-96 | 2,130 | 2,289 | 2,318 | 2,101 |
| 1996-97 | 2,101 | 2,245 | 2,274 | 2,072 |
| 1997-98 | 2,072 | 2,410 | 2,231 | 2,251 |
| 1998-99 | 2,251 | 2,647 | 2,458 | 2,440 |
| 1999-00* | 2,440 | 2,502 | 2,599 | 2,343 |
| 2000-01 | 2,343 | 2,335 | 2,414 | 2,264 |
| 2001-02 | 2,264 | 2,673 | 2,463 | 2,474 |
| 2002-03* | 2,474 | 2,589 | 2,510 | 2,553 |
| 2003-04 | 2,647 | 2,558 | 2,511 | 2,694 |
| 2004-05 | 2,694 | 2,766 | 2,542 | 2,918 |

*Terminated and pending case totals for FY 2000 & 2003 have been amended to reflect a manual count of open cases.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 9

## Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for FY 2004 and FY 2005

| Case Filings | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Criminal | 1,017 | 1,192 | 17.2% |
| Civil | 1,085 | 1,058 | -2.5% |
| ICAO (1) | 308 | 325 | 5.5% |
| Other (juvenile, agency) | 148 | 191 | 29.1% |
| Total | 2,558 | 2,766 | 8.1% |

(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

Table 10

## Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2004 and FY 2005

| Case Terminations | FY 2004 | FY 2005 | % Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Published Opinions | 263 | 241 | -8.4% |
| Unpublished Opinions | 1,355 | 1,478 | 9.1% |
| Dismissed | 888 | 814 | -8.3% |
| Transferred to Supreme Court | 7 | 9 | 28.6% |
| Total | 2,513 | 2,542 | 1.2% |

Back to Table of Contents

# DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

**FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
R. Brooke Jackson, Chief Judge
Leland P. Anderson
Jack W. Berryhill
Brian Boatright
Margie L. Enquist (1)
Christopher J. Munch
Stephen M. Munsinger
Jane A. Tidball
Peter A. Weir (2)
L. Thomas Woodford
James D. Zimmerman

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
H. Jeffrey Bayless, Chief Judge
John W. Coughlin
Martin F. Egelhoff
Shelley I. Gilman
Christina M. Habas
Morris B. Hoffman
Robert S. Hyatt
Catherine A. Lemon
Lawrence A. Manzanares
Michael A. Martinez
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
John N. McMullen
Joseph E. Meyer, III
R. Michael Mullins
Larry J. Naves
J. Stephen Phillips
Sheila A. Rappaport
Gloria A. Rivera
William D. Robbins
Herbert L. Stern, III

**DENVER JUVENILE COURT**
Karen Ashby, Presiding Judge
Dana U. Wakefield
Orrelle R. Weeks

**DENVER PROBATE COURT**
C. Jean Stewart, Presiding Judge

**THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
George A. Newnam

**FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Gilbert A. Martinez, Chief Judge
Rebecca S. Bromley
Theresa M. Cisneros
Edward S. Colt
David A. Gilbert
Richard V. Hall
Thomas K. Kane
James P. Kelly
Thomas L. Kennedy
Robert L. Lowrey
G. David Miller
Steven T. Pelican
Kirk S. Samelson
Larry E. Schwartz
David L. Shakes
Timothy Simmons

**FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
W. Terry Ruckriegle, Chief Judge
Richard H. Hart
David R. Lass
Robert T. Moorhead

**SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge
David L. Dickinson
Jeffrey R. Wilson

**SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Dennis P. Friedrich
Charles R. Greenacre

**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
James H. Hiatt, Chief Judge
Jolene C. Blair
Terence Gilmore
Daniel J. Kaup
John D. Williams

**NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
T. Peter Craven, Chief Judge
James B. Boyd
Daniel B. Petre (3)

**TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
C. Dennis Maes, Chief Judge
David A. Cole
David W. Crockenberg
Scott B. Epstein
Victor I. Reyes
Rosalie Vigna

**ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Charles M. Barton , Chief Judge
Julie G. Marshall
David M. Thorson (4)

**TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
O. John Kuenhold, Chief Judge
Pattie Swift

**THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Steven E. Shinn, Chief Judge
Kevin Hoyer (5)
Michael K. Singer
Douglas R. Vannoy

**FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Paul R. McLimans

**FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
P. Douglas Tallman

**SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

**SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Harlan R. Bockman, Chief Judge
C. Scott Crabtree
Katherine R. Delgado
Thomas R. Ensor
Donald W. Marshall, Jr.
Chris Melonakis
Edward Moss (6)
C. Vincent Phelps, Jr.
John E. Popovich, Jr.

**EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
John P. Leopold, Chief Judge
Angela R. Arkin
Thomas J. Curry
Timothy L. Fasing
J. Mark Hannen
Nancy A. Hopf
Paul A. King
Marilyn Leonard
Cheryl L. Post
Gerald J. Rafferty
Juanita Rice
Robert H. Russell, II
Michael Spear
William B. Sylvester
Vincent R. White (7)

**NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Roger A. Klein, Chief Judge
Gilbert A. Gutierrez
James F. Hartmann
J. Robert Lowenbach
Daniel S. Maus

**TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge
Carol Glowinsky
Daniel C. Hale
Dolores (D.D.) Mallard
Lael E. Montgomery
Morris W. Sandstead, Jr.

**TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Nicholas R. Massaro, Jr.
Chief Judge
Amanda Bailey
David A. Bottger
Brian J. Flynn (8)

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Sharon L. Hansen, Chief Judge

(1) Replaced Hon. Ruthanne N. Polidori
(2) Replaced Hon. Frank Plaut
(3) Replaced Hon. Thomas Ossola
(4) Replaced Hon. Kenneth M. Plotz
(5) Replaced Hon. James Leh
(6) Replaced Hon. John J. Vigil
(7) Replaced Hon. James Macrum, Jr.
(8) Replaced Hon. Charles A. Buss

Back to Table of Contents

# District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district, with the exception of the Twenty-Second Judicial District (Dolores and Montezuma counties), has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 132 district judges during FY 2005. An additional six judges were allocated by the state legislature beginning July 1, 2005.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2005 there were 183,512 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 179,995 cases during that same 12-month period. During the past ten years, district court filings have increased 32.2 percent, rising from 138,782 cases to the current level of filings, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

# District Case Filings-- Proportion of Cases by Type
## Ten Year Comparison

### Chart 1: Filings, FY 1996
### Total Number of Filings: 138,782




### Chart 2: Filings, FY 2005
### Total Number of Filings: 183,512



Back to Table of Contents

Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY2004, FY2005

**Filings Compared to Previous Year**

| Case Class | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Civil | 51,846 | 55,466 | 6.98% |
| Criminal | 42,427 | 45,405 | 7.02% |
| Domestic Relations | 30,826 | 31,063 | 0.77% |
| Juvenile | 36,078 | 34,851 | -3.40% |
| Mental Health | 4,528 | 5,021 | 10.89% |
| Probate | 11,653 | 11,706 | 0.45% |
| ***Total*** | ***177,358*** | ***183,512*** | 3.47% |

**Terminations Compared to Previous Year**

| Case Class | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Civil | 50,777 | 54,912 | 8.14% |
| Criminal | 40,588 | 42,569 | 4.88% |
| Domestic Relations | 31,510 | 31,197 | -0.99% |
| Juvenile | 35,561 | 33,546 | -5.67% |
| Mental Health | 4,308 | 4,782 | 11.00% |
| Probate | 13,562 | 12,989 | -4.23% |
| ***Total*** | ***176,306*** | ***179,995*** | 2.09% |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 12: District Court Caseload FY1996 to FY2005

| Case Class | FY96 | FY97 | FY98 | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **CIVIL** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 25,244 | 33,434 | 40,389 | 38,848 | 39,161 | 37,235 | 41,349 | 43,976 | 51,846 | 55,466 |
| Cases Terminated | 27,571 | 33,825 | 43,442 | 37,969 | 38,783 | 36,817 | 41,277 | 43,000 | 50,777 | 54,912 |
| **CRIMINAL** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 30,613 | 33,867 | 38,815 | 37,538 | 35,770 | 36,860 | 39,147 | 41,257 | 42,427 | 45,405 |
| Cases Terminated | 40,187 | 41,680 | 36,455 | 38,880 | 36,037 | 35,071 | 37,621 | 39,725 | 40,588 | 42,569 |
| **DOMESTIC RELATIONS** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 31,764 | 31,819 | 32,179 | 31,885 | 32,318 | 31,068 | 32,166 | 31,771 | 30,826 | 31,063 |
| Cases Terminated | 38,292 | 39,426 | 35,030 | 38,934 | 33,146 | 31,468 | 33,719 | 32,282 | 31,510 | 31,197 |
| **JUVENILE** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 36,025 | 37,540 | 38,905 | 37,214 | 36,601 | 34,481 | 35,691 | 36,362 | 36,078 | 34,851 |
| Cases Terminated | 45,976 | 59,908 | 37,062 | 35,616 | 40,434 | 35,910 | 35,409 | 35,902 | 35,561 | 33,546 |
| **MENTAL HEALTH** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 3,873 | 3,840 | 4,139 | 4,142 | 4,141 | 4,216 | 4,229 | 4,330 | 4,528 | 5,021 |
| Cases Terminated | 3,466 | 3,803 | 3,804 | 4,149 | 4,544 | 4,290 | 4,194 | 4,405 | 4,308 | 4,782 |
| **PROBATE** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 11,263 | 11,432 | 11,412 | 11,714 | 11,605 | 11,360 | 11,655 | 11,762 | 11,653 | 11,706 |
| Cases Terminated | 12,470 | 11,768 | 9,742 | 9,888 | 18,618 | 11,577 | 13,675 | 11,946 | 13,562 | 12,989 |
| ***TOTAL*** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***New Cases Filed*** | ***138,782*** | ***151,932*** | ***165,839*** | ***161,341*** | ***159,596*** | ***155,220*** | ***164,237*** | ***169,458*** | ***177,358*** | ***183,512*** |
| ***Cases Terminated*** | ***167,962*** | ***190,410*** | ***165,535*** | ***165,436*** | ***171,562*** | ***155,133*** | ***165,895*** | ***167,260*** | ***176,306*** | ***179,995*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY2004, FY2005

| | Filings | | | Terminations | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| District | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change |
| 1 | 17,735 | 18,493 | 4.27% | 19,757 | 18,690 | -5.40% |
| 2 | 19,450 | 19,488 | 0.20% | 19,588 | 19,179 | -2.09% |
| 2nd Juvenile | 5,665 | 4,958 | -12.48% | 5,573 | 5,059 | -9.22% |
| 2nd Probate | 3,002 | 2,953 | -1.63% | 2,773 | 2,697 | -2.74% |
| 3 | 1,313 | 1,288 | -1.90% | 1,254 | 1,188 | -5.26% |
| 4 | 23,930 | 23,798 | -0.55% | 24,114 | 23,501 | -2.54% |
| 5 | 3,604 | 3,531 | -2.03% | 3,593 | 3,384 | -5.82% |
| 6 | 1,976 | 2,162 | 9.41% | 1,903 | 2,100 | 10.35% |
| 7 | 3,085 | 3,340 | 8.27% | 2,968 | 3,276 | 10.38% |
| 8 | 8,837 | 9,679 | 9.53% | 8,759 | 9,704 | 10.79% |
| 9 | 2,317 | 2,485 | 7.25% | 2,342 | 2,255 | -3.71% |
| 10 | 7,381 | 8,234 | 11.56% | 7,217 | 7,853 | 8.81% |
| 11 | 3,555 | 3,945 | 10.97% | 3,580 | 3,830 | 6.98% |
| 12 | 2,235 | 2,423 | 8.41% | 2,117 | 2,342 | 10.63% |
| 13 | 3,276 | 3,648 | 11.36% | 2,908 | 3,400 | 16.92% |
| 14 | 1,942 | 2,096 | 7.93% | 1,951 | 2,064 | 5.79% |
| 15 | 826 | 818 | -0.97% | 935 | 829 | -11.34% |
| 16 | 1,502 | 1,540 | 2.53% | 1,572 | 1,532 | -2.54% |
| 17 | 16,076 | 17,299 | 7.61% | 15,727 | 17,146 | 9.02% |
| 18 | 25,231 | 26,104 | 3.46% | 24,096 | 25,266 | 4.86% |
| 19 | 8,604 | 9,214 | 7.09% | 8,039 | 8,645 | 7.54% |
| 20 | 9,061 | 8,887 | -1.92% | 9,029 | 8,819 | -2.33% |
| 21 | 5,689 | 6,096 | 7.15% | 5,455 | 6,178 | 13.25% |
| 22 | 1,066 | 1,033 | -3.10% | 1,056 | 1,058 | 0.19% |
| ***State Total*** [(a)] | ***177,358*** | ***183,512*** | ***3.47%*** | ***176,306*** | ***179,995*** | ***2.09%*** |

[(a)] Totals do not include Water Court.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal | | Civil | | Domestic Relations | | Juvenile | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. |
| 1 | Gilpin | 103 | 110 | 110 | 86 | 25 | 21 | 24 | 18 |
| | Jefferson | 4,643 | 4,167 | 4,597 | 4,563 | 3,472 | 3,241 | 3,724 | 3,569 |
| | *Total* | *4,746* | *4,277* | *4,707* | *4,649* | *3,497* | *3,262* | *3,748* | *3,587* |
| 2 | Denver | 5,567 | 5,010 | 10,346 | 10,414 | 3,575 | 3,755 | n/a | n/a |
| | Denver Juvenile | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4,958 | 5,059 |
| | Denver Probate | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | *Total* | *5,567* | *5,010* | *10,346* | *10,414* | *3,575* | *3,755* | *4,958* | *5,059* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 157 | 151 | 162 | 126 | 77 | 59 | 98 | 83 |
| | Las Animas | 255 | 267 | 152 | 128 | 101 | 92 | 183 | 180 |
| | *Total* | *412* | *418* | *314* | *254* | *178* | *151* | *281* | *263* |
| 4 | El Paso | 6,523 | 6,373 | 5,442 | 5,376 | 4,716 | 4,788 | 4,289 | 4,166 |
| | Teller | 226 | 274 | 500 | 540 | 116 | 132 | 141 | 136 |
| | *Total* | *6,749* | *6,647* | *5,942* | *5,916* | *4,832* | *4,920* | *4,430* | *4,302* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 158 | 138 | 152 | 158 | 70 | 69 | 78 | 76 |
| | Eagle | 431 | 382 | 675 | 669 | 194 | 194 | 136 | 144 |
| | Lake | 93 | 89 | 104 | 99 | 54 | 37 | 73 | 80 |
| | Summit | 291 | 263 | 553 | 544 | 153 | 146 | 92 | 80 |
| | *Total* | *973* | *872* | *1,484* | *1,470* | *471* | *446* | *379* | *380* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 155 | 133 | 183 | 178 | 85 | 84 | 72 | 69 |
| | La Plata | 599 | 572 | 344 | 351 | 327 | 337 | 149 | 140 |
| | San Juan | 7 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *761* | *710* | *542* | *540* | *415* | *422* | *221* | *209* |
| 7 | Delta | 225 | 206 | 301 | 308 | 269 | 264 | 178 | 190 |
| | Gunnison | 164 | 174 | 147 | 140 | 90 | 88 | 74 | 67 |
| | Hinsdale | 3 | 7 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | Montrose | 327 | 289 | 245 | 265 | 339 | 357 | 288 | 240 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 20 | 20 | 74 | 80 | 21 | 13 | 9 | 7 |
| | San Miguel | 51 | 52 | 91 | 84 | 51 | 46 | 8 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *809* | *766* | *875* | *893* | *771* | *770* | *559* | *512* |
| 8 | Jackson | 18 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 2,426 | 2,415 | 2,977 | 2,776 | 1,581 | 1,597 | 1,776 | 1,796 |
| | *Total* | *2,444* | *2,432* | *2,986* | *2,784* | *1,590* | *1,599* | *1,780* | *1,796* |
| 9 | Garfield | 599 | 414 | 375 | 343 | 405 | 373 | 273 | 268 |
| | Pitkin | 90 | 77 | 232 | 253 | 84 | 90 | 25 | 30 |
| | Rio Blanco | 71 | 57 | 58 | 54 | 45 | 57 | 30 | 34 |
| | *Total* | *760* | *548* | *665* | *650* | *534* | *520* | *328* | *332* |
| 10 | Pueblo | 2,208 | 2,205 | 2,264 | 2,281 | 1,190 | 1,202 | 1,614 | 1,506 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 182 | 145 | 220 | 210 | 131 | 131 | 103 | 74 |
| | Custer | 27 | 35 | 74 | 60 | 26 | 23 | 10 | 14 |
| | Fremont | 627 | 598 | 509 | 528 | 380 | 383 | 735 | 709 |
| | Park | 152 | 129 | 287 | 312 | 70 | 75 | 92 | 86 |
| | *Total* | *988* | *907* | *1,090* | *1,110* | *607* | *612* | *940* | *883* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY2005

| District | Court Location | Mental Health | | Probate | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term |
| 1 | Gilpin | 2 | 1 | 15 | 10 | 279 | 246 |
| | Jefferson | 502 | 458 | 1,276 | 2,446 | 18,214 | 18,444 |
| | *Total* | *504* | *459* | *1,291* | *2,456* | *18,493* | *18,690* |
| 2 | Denver | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 19,488 | 19,179 |
| | Denver Juvenile | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 4,958 | 5,059 |
| | Denver Probate | 1,191 | 1,205 | 1,762 | 1,492 | 2,953 | 2,697 |
| | *Total* | *1,191* | *1,205* | *1,762* | *1,492* | *27,399* | *26,935* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 5 | 5 | 33 | 36 | 532 | 460 |
| | Las Animas | 9 | 8 | 56 | 53 | 756 | 728 |
| | *Total* | *14* | *13* | *89* | *89* | *1,288* | *1,188* |
| 4 | El Paso | 441 | 454 | 1,342 | 1,162 | 22,753 | 22,319 |
| | Teller | 12 | 13 | 50 | 87 | 1,045 | 1,182 |
| | *Total* | *453* | *467* | *1,392* | *1,249* | *23,798* | *23,501* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 3 | 3 | 29 | 28 | 490 | 472 |
| | Eagle | 8 | 7 | 104 | 103 | 1,548 | 1,499 |
| | Lake | 4 | 3 | 16 | 14 | 344 | 322 |
| | Summit | 16 | 16 | 44 | 42 | 1,149 | 1,091 |
| | *Total* | *31* | *29* | *193* | *187* | *3,531* | *3,384* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 8 | 8 | 44 | 42 | 547 | 514 |
| | La Plata | 36 | 34 | 129 | 134 | 1,584 | 1,568 |
| | San Juan | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 31 | 18 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *42* | *178* | *177* | *2,244* | *2,100* |
| 7 | Delta | 2 | 6 | 104 | 103 | 1,079 | 1,077 |
| | Gunnison | 7 | 7 | 40 | 46 | 522 | 522 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 29 | 34 |
| | Montrose | 14 | 11 | 125 | 129 | 1,338 | 1,291 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 136 | 132 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 1 | 15 | 13 | 217 | 202 |
| | *Total* | *25* | *26* | *301* | *309* | *3,340* | *3,276* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 45 | 31 |
| | Larimer | 301 | 289 | 573 | 800 | 9,634 | 9,673 |
| | *Total* | *301* | *289* | *578* | *804* | *9,679* | *9,704* |
| 9 | Garfield | 21 | 19 | 102 | 97 | 1,775 | 1,514 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 1 | 49 | 74 | 481 | 525 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 2 | 25 | 12 | 229 | 216 |
| | *Total* | *22* | *22* | *176* | *183* | *2,753* | *2,255* |
| 10 | Pueblo | 440 | 323 | 518 | 336 | *8,234* | *7,853* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 9 | 9 | 55 | 49 | 700 | 618 |
| | Custer | 1 | 1 | 16 | 15 | 154 | 148 |
| | Fremont | 24 | 23 | 161 | 166 | 2,436 | 2,407 |
| | Park | 3 | 3 | 51 | 52 | 655 | 657 |
| | *Total* | *37* | *36* | *283* | *282* | *3,945* | *3,830* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal | | Civil | | Domestic Relations | | Juvenile | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. |
| 12 | Alamosa | 380 | 371 | 138 | 141 | 156 | 165 | 280 | 265 |
| | Conejos | 70 | 69 | 65 | 71 | 54 | 48 | 58 | 52 |
| | Costilla | 66 | 58 | 49 | 42 | 13 | 13 | 30 | 35 |
| | Mineral | 10 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 178 | 199 | 109 | 109 | 102 | 104 | 157 | 131 |
| | Saguache | 33 | 43 | 79 | 51 | 46 | 57 | 65 | 51 |
| | *Total* | *737* | *747* | *454* | *429* | *377* | *390* | *590* | *534* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 104 | 100 | 105 | 103 | 47 | 43 | 55 | 45 |
| | Logan | 330 | 252 | 332 | 328 | 187 | 204 | 184 | 165 |
| | Morgan | 353 | 244 | 335 | 338 | 191 | 209 | 298 | 256 |
| | Phillips | 30 | 25 | 45 | 36 | 24 | 16 | 17 | 13 |
| | Sedgwick | 34 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| | Washington | 68 | 52 | 61 | 46 | 36 | 42 | 31 | 24 |
| | Yuma | 72 | 76 | 114 | 110 | 27 | 23 | 42 | 53 |
| | *Total* | *991* | *780* | *1,013* | *982* | *532* | *548* | *635* | *565* |
| 14 | Grand | 193 | 166 | 275 | 292 | 88 | 81 | 65 | 55 |
| | Moffat | 276 | 312 | 118 | 110 | 171 | 166 | 120 | 128 |
| | Routt | 223 | 184 | 185 | 192 | 152 | 141 | 48 | 61 |
| | *Total* | *692* | *662* | *578* | *594* | *411* | *388* | *233* | *244* |
| 15 | Baca | 23 | 20 | 36 | 45 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 23 |
| | Cheyenne | 10 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 14 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 16 |
| | Prowers | 155 | 150 | 112 | 110 | 93 | 109 | 134 | 120 |
| | *Total* | *202* | *187* | *177* | *188* | *132* | *152* | *166* | *162* |
| 16 | Bent | 72 | 62 | 81 | 83 | 39 | 49 | 56 | 55 |
| | Crowley | 27 | 40 | 115 | 115 | 26 | 29 | 31 | 22 |
| | Otero | 210 | 239 | 308 | 253 | 162 | 191 | 273 | 237 |
| | *Total* | *309* | *341* | *504* | *451* | *227* | *269* | *360* | *314* |
| 17 | Adams | 3,983 | 3,778 | 5,497 | 5,408 | 2,496 | 2,545 | 2,903 | 2,722 |
| | Broomfield | 352 | 333 | 409 | 381 | 234 | 261 | 244 | 232 |
| | *Total* | *4,335* | *4,111* | *5,906* | *5,789* | *2,730* | *2,806* | *3,147* | *2,954* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 3,661 | 3,332 | 7,122 | 7,119 | 3,276 | 3,297 | 3,503 | 3,367 |
| | Douglas | 966 | 861 | 1,963 | 1,855 | 1,265 | 1,231 | 1,246 | 1,045 |
| | Elbert | 55 | 38 | 270 | 248 | 120 | 109 | 93 | 43 |
| | Lincoln | 66 | 55 | 98 | 104 | 33 | 35 | 52 | 49 |
| | *Total* | *4,748* | *4,286* | *9,453* | *9,326* | *4,694* | *4,672* | *4,894* | *4,504* |
| 19 | Weld | *2,391* | *2,017* | *2,565* | *2,578* | *1,432* | *1,361* | *2,266* | *2,194* |
| 20 | Boulder | *2,218* | *2,130* | *2,414* | *2,410* | *1,446* | *1,470* | *1,860* | *1,887* |
| 21 | Mesa | *2,053* | *2,174* | *979* | *981* | *1,233* | *1,284* | *1,271* | *1,190* |
| 22 | Dolores | 11 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| | Montezuma | 301 | 331 | 192 | 205 | 176 | 189 | 187 | 164 |
| | *Total* | *312* | *342* | *208* | *223* | *189* | *198* | *191* | *169* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***45,405*** | ***42,569*** | ***55,466*** | ***54,912*** | ***31,063*** | ***31,197*** | ***34,851*** | ***33,546*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY2005

| District | Court Location | Mental Health | | Probate | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term |
| 12 | Alamosa | 12 | 11 | 52 | 43 | 1,018 | 996 |
| | Conejos | 2 | 2 | 33 | 37 | 282 | 279 |
| | Costilla | 4 | 4 | 78 | 72 | 240 | 224 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 35 | 31 |
| | Rio Grande | 5 | 7 | 47 | 39 | 598 | 589 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 27 | 21 | 250 | 223 |
| | *Total* | *23* | *24* | *242* | *218* | *2,445* | *2,342* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 10 | 9 | 42 | 123 | 363 | 423 |
| | Logan | 79 | 80 | 68 | 68 | 1,180 | 1,097 |
| | Morgan | 48 | 44 | 82 | 84 | 1,307 | 1,175 |
| | Phillips | 4 | 0 | 23 | 21 | 143 | 111 |
| | Sedgwick | 2 | 1 | 16 | 15 | 101 | 88 |
| | Washington | 3 | 1 | 28 | 20 | 227 | 185 |
| | Yuma | 24 | 8 | 48 | 51 | 327 | 321 |
| | *Total* | *170* | *143* | *307* | *382* | *3,648* | *3,400* |
| 14 | Grand | 3 | 3 | 49 | 49 | 673 | 646 |
| | Moffat | 6 | 5 | 64 | 66 | 755 | 787 |
| | Routt | 9 | 8 | 51 | 45 | 668 | 631 |
| | *Total* | *18* | *16* | *164* | *160* | *2,157* | *2,064* |
| 15 | Baca | 2 | 3 | 36 | 40 | 137 | 152 |
| | Cheyenne | 7 | 6 | 23 | 20 | 73 | 71 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 59 | 62 |
| | Prowers | 4 | 4 | 51 | 51 | 549 | 544 |
| | *Total* | *13* | *13* | *128* | *127* | *818* | *829* |
| 16 | Bent | 4 | 4 | 29 | 38 | 281 | 291 |
| | Crowley | 3 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 219 | 217 |
| | Otero | 13 | 14 | 74 | 90 | 1,040 | 1,024 |
| | *Total* | *20* | *18* | *120* | *139* | *1,540* | *1,532* |
| 17 | Adams | 397 | 354 | 680 | 1,040 | 15,956 | 15,847 |
| | Broomfield | 36 | 36 | 68 | 56 | 1,343 | 1,299 |
| | *Total* | *433* | *390* | *748* | *1,096* | *17,299* | *17,146* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 625 | 586 | 1,286 | 1,231 | 19,473 | 18,932 |
| | Douglas | 85 | 98 | 256 | 437 | 5,781 | 5,527 |
| | Elbert | 7 | 6 | 33 | 97 | 578 | 541 |
| | Lincoln | 7 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 272 | 266 |
| | *Total* | *724* | *698* | *1,591* | *1,780* | *26,104* | *25,266* |
| 19 | Weld | *79* | *72* | *481* | *423* | *9,214* | *8,645* |
| 20 | Boulder | *324* | *341* | *625* | *581* | *8,887* | *8,819* |
| 21 | Mesa | *137* | *138* | *423* | *411* | *6,096* | *6,178* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 56 | 53 |
| | Montezuma | 17 | 18 | 104 | 98 | 977 | 1,005 |
| | *Total* | *17* | *18* | *116* | *108* | *1,033* | *1,058* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***5,021*** | ***4,782*** | ***11,706*** | ***12,989*** | ***183,945*** | ***179,995*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal | | Civil | | Juvenile | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials |
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 84 | 47 | 22 | 22 | 5 | 69 | 111 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *88* | *47* | *23* | *22* | *5* | *69* | *116* |
| 2 | Denver | 0 | 88 | 41 | 78 | n/a | n/a | 41 | 166 |
| | Denver Juvenile | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 86 | 1 | 86 | 1 |
| | Denver Probate | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | *Total* | *0* | *88* | *41* | *78* | *86* | *1* | *127* | *167* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *5* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *2* |
| 4 | El Paso | 1 | 71 | 21 | 37 | 44 | 2 | 66 | 110 |
| | Teller | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *78* | *23* | *38* | *45* | *2* | *69* | *118* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 9 |
| | Lake | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Summit | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *20* | *15* | *6* | *3* | *0* | *19* | *26* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 14 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *11* | *3* | *3* | *4* | *1* | *8* | *15* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *15* | *5* | *3* | *0* | *18* | *12* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 15 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 35 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *15* | *8* | *19* | *3* | *1* | 11 | 35 |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 16 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *11* | *6* | *7* | *3* | *0* | 10 | 18 |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *38* | *8* | *13* | *6* | *2* | *14* | *53* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | Custer | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 14 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 19 |
| | Park | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *25* | *8* | *8* | *7* | *1* | *15* | *34* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal | | Civil | | Juvenile | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials |
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *7* | *8* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *9* | *12* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 13 |
| | Morgan | 1 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 12 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *23* | *4* | *4* | *6* | *2* | *11* | *29* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| | Routt | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *12* | *5* | *4* | *2* | *1* | *7* | *17* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *2* | *0* | *4* | *1* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *3* | *0* | *3* | *4* | *1* | *6* | *7* |
| 17 | Adams | 2 | 77 | 19 | 25 | 16 | 6 | 37 | 108 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *81* | *21* | *25* | *16* | *7* | *39* | *113* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 1 | 56 | 14 | 24 | 46 | 1 | 61 | 81 |
| | Douglas | 2 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 24 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *73* | *28* | *34* | *47* | *1* | *78* | *108* |
| 19 | Weld | 2 | 49 | 12 | 6 | 31 | 3 | 45 | 58 |
| | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Boulder | 1 | 21 | 7 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 47 |
| 21 | Mesa | 3 | 35 | 9 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 34 | 38 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *5* | *4* | *1* | *1* | *1* | *6* | *7* |
| | *State Total* | *20* | *691* | *279* | *311* | *324* | *31* | *623* | *1,033* |

Data for Table 15 in domestic relations (DR), probate (PR), and mental health (MH) cases was not included in the FY 2005 Annual Statistical Report while the Judicial Department refines data reporting in these areas. Beginning with the FY 2007 Annual Report, hearing data will be reported for DR, PR, and MH cases.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Breach of Warranty | County Court Counter Claim | Condemnation | County Court or Municipal Appeal | Breach of Contract | Determination of Interests | Declaratory Judgment | Distraint Warrant |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| | Jefferson | 5 | 0 | 5 | 55 | 519 | 2 | 47 | 362 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *0* | *8* | *57* | *531* | *3* | *48* | *380* |
| 2 | Denver | *22* | *54* | *1* | *64* | *732* | *2* | *129* | *1,189* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 50 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *2* | *16* | *0* | *1* | *81* |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 19 | 7 | 61 | 311 | 1 | 54 | 281 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 215 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *19* | *7* | *67* | *332* | *1* | *57* | *496* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 51 | 1 | 7 | 80 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Summit | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 223 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *1* | *15* | *19* | *97* | *1* | *11* | *382* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 36 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 10 | 70 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *2* | *0* | *12* | *46* | *0* | *14* | *106* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 127 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 24 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 9 | 36 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 37 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 17 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *17* | *51* | *2* | *23* | *246* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Larimer | 4 | 12 | 7 | 27 | 211 | 2 | 13 | 955 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *12* | *7* | *27* | *212* | *2* | *14* | *956* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 41 | 1 | 4 | 56 |
| | Pitkin | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 5 | 24 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *5* | *5* | *14* | *81* | *2* | *9* | *102* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *1* | *3* | *1* | *18* | *89* | *0* | *7* | *455* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 71 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 32 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 62 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 38 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *8* | *34* | *0* | *19* | *203* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Foreclosure Other Than RL 120 | Forcible Entry and Detainer | Foreign Judgment | Goods Sold and Delivered | Injunctive Relief | Lien | Landlord-Tenant | Mechanics Lien |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 89 | 71 | 40 | 62 | 31 | 3 | 8 | 10 |
| | *Total* | *90* | *71* | *40* | *62* | *31* | *3* | *8* | *11* |
| 2 | Denver | *74* | *104* | *129* | *147* | *154* | *1* | *27* | *49* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *5* | *1* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 24 | 74 | 49 | 53 | 29 | 3 | 12 | 26 |
| | Teller | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *27* | *74* | *51* | *54* | *29* | *4* | *12* | *31* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Eagle | 89 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| | Lake | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 12 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *106* | *12* | *9* | *14* | *20* | *25* | *1* | *28* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | San Juan | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *17* | *1* | *8* | *1* | *2* | *3* | *1* | *5* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Gunnison | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *0* | *11* | *0* | *15* | *8* | *1* | *2* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 36 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 12 |
| | *Total* | *36* | *13* | *20* | *27* | *32* | *10* | *4* | *12* |
| 9 | Garfield | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Pitkin | 9 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *8* | *18* | *4* | *6* | *4* | *1* | *7* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *9* | *20* | *8* | *18* | *5* | *3* | *0* | *9* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Park | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *8* | *9* | *5* | *0* | *5* | *2* | *0* | *2* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Malpractice | Money | Name Change | Negligence | Note | Other | Prohibition | Property Damage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 22 | 127 | 2 | 133 | 66 | 231 | 0 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *22* | *135* | *2* | *134* | *67* | *236* | *0* | *4* |
| 2 | Denver | *118* | *386* | *8* | *139* | *164* | *484* | *0* | *20* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *18* | *1* | *9* | *1* | *14* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 34 | 352 | 3 | 89 | 45 | 366 | 1 | 15 |
| | Teller | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *34* | *359* | *3* | *90* | *48* | *386* | *1* | *15* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 8 | 39 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 3 |
| | Lake | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 1 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *72* | *1* | *47* | *19* | *65* | *0* | *5* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 3 | 18 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *27* | *2* | *13* | *14* | *36* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 24 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *55* | *2* | *32* | *8* | *32* | *0* | *3* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 10 | 92 | 4 | 60 | 24 | 69 | 1 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *10* | *92* | *4* | *60* | *24* | *72* | *1* | *3* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 24 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 2 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 3 |
| | Rio Blanco | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *48* | *0* | *54* | *3* | *46* | *0* | *5* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *22* | *54* | *4* | *38* | *20* | *49* | *0* | *6* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 32 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 |
| | Park | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *67* | *1* | *13* | *2* | *44* | *0* | *2* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Public Nuisance | Personal Injury | Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle | Petition to Seal Criminal Record | Possession | Rule 120 Deed of Trust | Rule 105 Quiet Title | Replevin |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 33 | 63 | 257 | 251 | 1 | 1,933 | 45 | 62 |
| | *Total* | *33* | *66* | *258* | *259* | *1* | *1,974* | *47* | *62* |
| 2 | Denver | *93* | *267* | *890* | *494* | *0* | *3,567* | *67* | *128* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 7 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 10 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *5* | *12* | *6* | *0* | *91* | *17* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 72 | 91 | 597 | 293 | 2 | 2,288 | 35 | 84 |
| | Teller | 0 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 176 | 6 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *72* | *96* | *604* | *305* | *2* | *2,464* | *41* | *85* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 7 | 4 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 16 | 9 | 31 | 0 | 192 | 8 | 6 |
| | Lake | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 3 |
| | Summit | 0 | 12 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *30* | *31* | *56* | *0* | *341* | *28* | *16* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 54 | 15 | 11 |
| | San Juan | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *7* | *17* | *10* | *0* | *103* | *61* | *13* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 93 | 6 | 4 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 14 | 1 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 70 | 9 | 14 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *15* | *18* | *23* | *1* | *221* | *34* | *21* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 34 | 41 | 154 | 105 | 1 | 880 | 18 | 54 |
| | *Total* | *34* | *42* | *154* | *105* | *1* | *882* | *18* | *54* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 108 | 6 | 17 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 6 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *16* | *15* | *10* | *0* | *145* | *14* | *17* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *29* | *153* | *110* | *3* | *1,054* | *33* | *15* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 7 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 6 | 0 | 22 | 13 | 1 | 224 | 12 | 4 |
| | Park | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 170 | 8 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *6* | *36* | *23* | *2* | *448* | *31* | *8* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Restraining Order | Review - Other Local Government/ Person | Special District | Sexual Harassment | Specific Performance | Services Rendered | Worker's Compensation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *2* | *0* | *2* | *5* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver | *0* | *97* | *0* | *4* | *8* | *309* | *22* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 29 | 1 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *3* | *2* | *3* | *2* | *29* | *1* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *1* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *4* | *4* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *1* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *18* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *7* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *1* | *2* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *7* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Wrongful Death | Wages | Writ of Habeas Corpus | Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle | Rule 106 - Writs/ Contempt | Fraud | Out of State Subpoena | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| | Jefferson | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 6 | 4 | 4,597 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *6* | *3* | *1* | *27* | *6* | *4* | *4,707* |
| 2 | Denver | *37* | *29* | *96* | *13* | *2* | *6* | *19* | *10,346* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *15* | *0* | *0* | *314* |
| 4 | El Paso | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 5,442 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 500 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *7* | *8* | *2* | *5,942* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 675 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 553 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *3* | *2* | *1* | *1,484* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| | La Plata | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 344 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *542* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 301 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *4* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *0* | *874* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Larimer | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2,977 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *1* | *1* | *2* | *2* | *3* | *2,986* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 375 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 232 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *665* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *12* | *0* | *1* | *2,264* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 74 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 509 |
| | Park | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 287 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *1* | *20* | *0* | *71* | *2* | *0* | *1,090* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Breach of Warranty | County Court Counter Claim | Condemnation | County Court or Municipal Appeal | Breach of Contract | Determination of Interests | Declaratory Judgment | Distraint Warrant |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 29 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 22 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *16* | *0* | *6* | *109* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 109 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 134 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *12* | *37* | *2* | *1* | *384* |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 7 | 44 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 28 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *4* | *15* | *28* | *3* | *15* | *75* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *11* | *0* | *1* | *49* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 129 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *4* | *0* | *2* | *164* |
| 17 | Adams | 2 | 0 | 11 | 44 | 302 | 5 | 16 | 811 |
| | Broomfield | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 2 | 78 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *1* | *11* | *54* | *337* | *5* | *18* | *889* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 7 | 10 | 15 | 86 | 459 | 1 | 65 | 926 |
| | Douglas | 3 | 10 | 10 | 26 | 205 | 1 | 14 | 113 |
| | Elbert | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 54 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *20* | *27* | *115* | *683* | *2* | *81* | *1,118* |
| 19 | Weld | *3* | *9* | *2* | *29* | *167* | *1* | *17* | *416* |
| 20 | Boulder | *2* | *15* | *5* | *34* | *197* | *2* | *29* | *239* |
| 21 | Mesa | *5* | *0* | *0* | *14* | *70* | *0* | *3* | *79* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 24 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *13* | *4* | *5* | *27* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***65*** | ***144*** | ***97*** | ***595*** | ***3,784*** | ***32*** | ***510*** | ***8,145*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.12%*** | ***0.26%*** | ***0.17%*** | ***1.07%*** | ***6.82%*** | ***0.06%*** | ***0.92%*** | ***14.68%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Foreclosure Other Than RL 120 | Forcible Entry and Detainer | Foreign Judgment | Goods Sold and Delivered | Injunctive Relief | Lien | Landlord-Tenant | Mechanics Lien |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Conejos | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *15* | *4* | *5* | *2* | *4* | *0* | *1* | *1* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *3* | *6* | *5* | *13* | *0* | *3* | *1* |
| 14 | Grand | 78 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Routt | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *83* | *2* | *9* | *0* | *2* | *4* | *1* | *7* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *2* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *2* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 43 | 57 | 14 | 40 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| | Broomfield | 10 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *53* | *71* | *20* | *45* | *11* | *3* | *2* | *27* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 131 | 157 | 47 | 118 | 44 | 2 | 17 | 19 |
| | Douglas | 27 | 23 | 27 | 40 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 26 |
| | Elbert | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *165* | *181* | *75* | *158* | *60* | *8* | *21* | *48* |
| 19 | Weld | *30* | *10* | *20* | *21* | *42* | *6* | *2* | *14* |
| 20 | Boulder | *13* | *28* | *16* | *23* | *19* | *1* | *3* | *18* |
| 21 | Mesa | *1* | *7* | *8* | *5* | *8* | *1* | *0* | *1* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *0* | *5* | *0* | *8* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| | *State Total* | *755* | *622* | *471* | *589* | *471* | *87* | *88* | *273* |
| *Percent of State Total* | | *1.36%* | *1.12%* | *0.85%* | *1.06%* | *0.85%* | *0.16%* | *0.16%* | *0.49%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Malpractice | Money | Name Change | Negligence | Note | Other | Prohibition | Property Damage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *28* | *7* | *2* | *1* | *24* | *0* | *1* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 2 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *39* | *5* | *7* | *7* | *58* | *0* | *2* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 2 | 39 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *53* | *3* | *17* | *1* | *24* | *0* | *1* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *14* | *0* | *2* | *6* | *6* | *0* | *2* |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 3 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *17* | *2* | *2* | *1* | *17* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 12 | 156 | 7 | 79 | 49 | 117 | 0 | 9 |
| | Broomfield | 2 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *14* | *175* | *7* | *86* | *51* | *127* | *0* | *10* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 23 | 243 | 15 | 109 | 66 | 215 | 0 | 15 |
| | Douglas | 7 | 67 | 0 | 37 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 5 |
| | Elbert | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *31* | *337* | *15* | *148* | *86* | *303* | *0* | *20* |
| 19 | Weld | *3* | *92* | *15* | *39* | *30* | *64* | *0* | *2* |
| 20 | Boulder | *24* | *106* | *2* | *61* | *32* | *85* | *0* | *5* |
| 21 | Mesa | *7* | *76* | *6* | *31* | *6* | *59* | *0* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *10* | *0* | *1* | *3* | *14* | *0* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***314*** | ***2,260*** | ***90*** | ***1,025*** | ***594*** | ***2,245*** | ***2*** | ***106*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.57%*** | ***4.07%*** | ***0.16%*** | ***1.85%*** | ***1.07%*** | ***4.05%*** | ***0.004%*** | ***0.19%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Public Nuisance | Personal Injury | Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle | Petition to Seal Criminal Record | Possession | Rule 120 Deed of Trust | Rule 105 Quiet Title | Replevin |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 6 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
| | Mineral | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 28 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *5* | *25* | *5* | *0* | *124* | *39* | *9* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 3 |
| | Logan | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 66 | 3 | 5 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 97 | 3 | 4 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *9* | *12* | *20* | *1* | *252* | *10* | *12* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 49 | 14 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 2 |
| | Routt | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 45 | 8 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *10* | *6* | *32* | *1* | *135* | *25* | *6* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *1* | *0* | *53* | *15* | *3* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 3 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 120 | 8 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *5* | *9* | *0* | *158* | *10* | *4* |
| 17 | Adams | 16 | 51 | 351 | 96 | 0 | 2,953 | 24 | 84 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 6 | 29 | 31 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *16* | *57* | *380* | *127* | *0* | *3,080* | *24* | *89* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 11 | 95 | 316 | 293 | 2 | 3,309 | 23 | 126 |
| | Douglas | 3 | 20 | 89 | 113 | 0 | 895 | 14 | 25 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 123 | 5 | 3 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *14* | *116* | *413* | *415* | *2* | *4,345* | *45* | *154* |
| 19 | Weld | *1* | *12* | *78* | *73* | *0* | *1,266* | *13* | *41* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *75* | *355* | *379* | *0* | *554* | *19* | *24* |
| 21 | Mesa | *1* | *5* | *85* | *81* | *1* | *366* | *19* | *20* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 9 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *7* | *7* | *0* | *73* | *9* | *6* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***279*** | ***872*** | ***3,555*** | ***2,550*** | ***15*** | ***21,696*** | ***619*** | ***787*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.50%*** | ***1.57%*** | ***6.41%*** | ***4.60%*** | ***0.03%*** | ***39.12%*** | ***1.12%*** | ***1.42%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Restraining Order | Review - Other Local Government/ Person | Special District | Sexual Harassment | Specific Performance | Services Rendered | Worker's Compensation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *3* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 1 | 15 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *15* | *37* | *2* | *1* | *12* | *1* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 13 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 1 |
| | Douglas | 2 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *22* | *39* | *1* | *3* | *76* | *3* |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *8* | *19* | *0* | *2* | *5* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *2* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *3* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *1* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***38*** | ***205*** | ***112*** | ***13*** | ***31*** | ***456*** | ***30*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.07%*** | ***0.37%*** | ***0.20%*** | ***0.02%*** | ***0.06%*** | ***0.82%*** | ***0.05%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Wrongful Death | Wages | Writ of Habeas Corpus | Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle | Rule 106 - Writs/ Contempt | Fraud | Out of State Subpoena | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *454* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 332 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *21* | *1* | *77* | *1* | *0* | *1,013* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 275 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| | Routt | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 185 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *1* | *0* | *578* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *177* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 81 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 308 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *25* | *0* | *62* | *0* | *1* | *504* |
| 17 | Adams | 7 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 5,497 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 409 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *3* | *12* | *2* | *10* | *7* | *2* | *5,906* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 4 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 7,122 |
| | Douglas | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 1,963 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *13* | *24* | *2* | *30* | *8* | *6* | *9,453* |
| 19 | Weld | *2* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *3* | *2* | *1* | *2,565* |
| 20 | Boulder | *5* | *3* | *2* | *3* | *13* | *2* | *4* | *2,414* |
| 21 | Mesa | *4* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *979* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 192 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *208* |
| | *State Total* | *85* | *58* | *214* | *36* | *354* | *47* | *48* | *55,465* |
| *Percent of State Total* | | *0.15%* | *0.10%* | *0.39%* | *0.06%* | *0.64%* | *0.08%* | *0.09%* | *100.00%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Administrative Support Order | Child Custody | Dissolution of Marriage | Registration of Foreign Decree | Invalidity of Marriage | Other |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 44 | 338 | 2,607 | 28 | 41 | 134 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *338* | *2,628* | *29* | *41* | *135* |
| 2 | Denver | *5* | *357* | *2,627* | *9* | *70* | *174* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 10 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 31 | 66 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *41* | *127* | *2* | *2* | *1* |
| 4 | El Paso | 124 | 356 | 3,599 | 57 | 77 | 40 |
| | Teller | 2 | 3 | 96 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *126* | *359* | *3,695* | *58* | *77* | *43* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 3 | 10 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 16 | 166 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| | Lake | 2 | 5 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Summit | 6 | 10 | 119 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *41* | *380* | *4* | *9* | *7* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 5 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 51 | 32 | 211 | 14 | 3 | 1 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *51* | *37* | *280* | *20* | *3* | *3* |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 55 | 185 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 3 | 6 | 71 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 44 | 256 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *112* | *567* | *7* | *9* | *1* |
| 8 | Jackson | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Larimer | 2 | 204 | 1,271 | 21 | 19 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *205* | *1,277* | *21* | *19* | *8* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 18 | 317 | 3 | 8 | 24 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 5 | 73 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 5 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *32* | *417* | *4* | *12* | *25* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *277* | *843* | *2* | *12* | *2* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 17 | 101 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Custer | 2 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 1 | 63 | 290 | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| | Park | 2 | 6 | 53 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *88* | *462* | *9* | *10* | *2* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Legal Separation | Incoming Registration of Support | Outgoing Registration of UIFSA | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| | Jefferson | 130 | 150 | 0 | 3,472 |
| | *Total* | *130* | *151* | *0* | *3,497* |
| 2 | Denver | *66* | *267* | *0* | *3,575* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 2 | 1 | 2 | 77 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *1* | *2* | *178* |
| 4 | El Paso | 158 | 305 | 0 | 4,716 |
| | Teller | 1 | 10 | 0 | 116 |
| | *Total* | *159* | *315* | *0* | *4,832* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 2 | 2 | 0 | 70 |
| | Eagle | 3 | 0 | 0 | 194 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| | Summit | 4 | 8 | 0 | 153 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *10* | *0* | *471* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 6 | 0 | 85 |
| | La Plata | 5 | 10 | 0 | 327 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *16* | *0* | *415* |
| 7 | Delta | 10 | 13 | 0 | 269 |
| | Gunnison | 2 | 4 | 0 | 90 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 10 | 22 | 0 | 339 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 5 | 0 | 50 |
| | *Total* | *24* | *44* | *0* | *770* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Larimer | 46 | 11 | 0 | 1,581 |
| | *Total* | *46* | *11* | *0* | *1,590* |
| 9 | Garfield | 3 | 32 | 0 | 405 |
| | Pitkin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| | Rio Blanco | 2 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *32* | *0* | *534* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *11* | *43* | *0* | *1,190* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 4 | 6 | 0 | 131 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
| | Fremont | 3 | 14 | 0 | 380 |
| | Park | 2 | 1 | 0 | 70 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *22* | *0* | *607* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Administrative Support Order | Child Custody | Dissolution of Marriage | Registration of Foreign Decree | Invalidity of Marriage | Other |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 38 | 108 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 11 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 18 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 1 | 2 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *73* | *272* | *4* | *4* | *2* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 7 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 17 | 31 | 127 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 44 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Washington | 0 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *18* | *92* | *386* | *2* | *2* | *8* |
| 14 | Grand | 13 | 2 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 28 | 20 | 109 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| | Routt | 8 | 2 | 118 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *49* | *24* | *288* | *14* | *3* | *7* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 16 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *22* | *100* | *2* | *0* | *1* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 32 | 120 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *34* | *180* | *1* | *2* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 17 | 587 | 1,767 | 16 | 19 | 14 |
| | Broomfield | 3 | 17 | 174 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| | *Total* | *20* | *604* | *1,941* | *20* | *21* | *28* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 88 | 386 | 2,398 | 33 | 42 | 7 |
| | Douglas | 10 | 71 | 1,041 | 19 | 12 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 5 | 4 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 6 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *104* | *467* | *3,554* | *56* | *54* | *15* |
| 19 | Weld | *2* | *220* | *1,085* | *8* | *8* | *4* |
| 20 | Boulder | *53* | *148* | *1,140* | *15* | *18* | *3* |
| 21 | Mesa | *62* | *160* | *925* | *39* | *13* | *25* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 22 | 128 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *22* | *138* | *5* | *1* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***567*** | ***3,753*** | ***23,312*** | ***331*** | ***390*** | ***494*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***1.83%*** | ***12.08%*** | ***75.05%*** | ***1.07%*** | ***1.26%*** | ***1.59%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Legal Separation | Incoming Registration of Support | Outgoing Registration of UIFSA | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 2 | 6 | 0 | 156 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| | Costilla | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 2 | 4 | 102 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 2 | 1 | 46 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *10* | *6* | *377* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| | Logan | 5 | 5 | 0 | 187 |
| | Morgan | 3 | 8 | 0 | 191 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| | *Total* | *10* | *14* | *0* | *532* |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 8 | 0 | 88 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 6 | 0 | 171 |
| | Routt | 6 | 4 | 0 | 152 |
| | *Total* | *8* | *18* | *0* | *411* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 5 | 0 | 93 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *132* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 |
| | Otero | 1 | 6 | 0 | 162 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *8* | *0* | *227* |
| 17 | Adams | 53 | 23 | 0 | 2,496 |
| | Broomfield | 7 | 13 | 0 | 234 |
| | *Total* | *60* | *36* | *0* | *2,730* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 112 | 210 | 0 | 3,276 |
| | Douglas | 65 | 47 | 0 | 1,265 |
| | Elbert | 4 | 1 | 0 | 120 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 5 | 0 | 33 |
| | *Total* | *181* | *263* | *0* | *4,694* |
| 19 | Weld | *22* | *83* | *0* | *1,432* |
| 20 | Boulder | *69* | *0* | *0* | *1,446* |
| 21 | Mesa | *9* | *0* | *0* | *1,233* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 |
| | Montezuma | 2 | 18 | 0 | 176 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *21* | *0* | *189* |
| | *State Total* | *835* | *1,372* | *8* | *31,062* |
| *Percent of State Total* | | *2.69%* | *4.42%* | *0.03%* | *100.00%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Bribery-Tampering | Burglary | Bail Violation | Child Abuse |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 1 | 5 | 256 | 0 | 300 | 16 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *5* | *260* | *0* | *301* | *16* | *7* |
| 2 | Denver | *1* | *8* | *357* | *7* | *270* | *2* | *22* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| | Las Animas | 2 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *1* | *40* | *0* | *34* | *9* | *1* |
| 4 | El Paso | 2 | 6 | 312 | 25 | 483 | 18 | 9 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *7* | *324* | *25* | *493* | *18* | *11* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 35 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 3 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *91* | *8* | *34* | *20* | *4* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 1 | 38 | 9 | 47 | 40 | 1 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *52* | *9* | *64* | *50* | *1* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 1 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *55* | *0* | *58* | *32* | *2* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 2 | 3 | 89 | 0 | 166 | 75 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *3* | *89* | *0* | *166* | *75* | *7* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 2 | 40 | 1 | 32 | 3 | 4 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *2* | *47* | *1* | *48* | *6* | *4* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *10* | *221* | *1* | *236* | *0* | *6* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 |
| | Park | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *66* | *0* | *45* | *8* | *4* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal Mischief | Drugs | Escape | Fraud | Forgery | Fugitive from Justice | Gambling |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **1** | **Gilpin** | 4 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| | **Jefferson** | 111 | 1,221 | 130 | 21 | 358 | 114 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *115* | *1,243* | *130* | *26* | *366* | *118* | *3* |
| **2** | **Denver** | *124* | *2,172* | *406* | *29* | *170* | *74* | *0* |
| **3** | **Huerfano** | 5 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| | **Las Animas** | 11 | 43 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *16* | *83* | *1* | *8* | *2* | *17* | *0* |
| **4** | **El Paso** | 131 | 1,631 | 231 | 165 | 546 | 243 | 1 |
| | **Teller** | 5 | 95 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| | ***Total*** | *136* | *1,726* | *231* | *173* | *552* | *251* | *3* |
| **5** | **Clear Creek** | 2 | 43 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 0 |
| | **Eagle** | 17 | 118 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 0 |
| | **Lake** | 3 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| | **Summit** | 10 | 82 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *32* | *270* | *3* | *22* | *25* | *45* | *0* |
| **6** | **Archuleta** | 1 | 39 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 0 |
| | **La Plata** | 29 | 87 | 4 | 50 | 21 | 53 | 0 |
| | **San Juan** | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *30* | *129* | *7* | *53* | *24* | *61* | *0* |
| **7** | **Delta** | 6 | 55 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 0 |
| | **Gunnison** | 5 | 44 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| | **Hinsdale** | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Montrose-Nucla** | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Montrose** | 7 | 102 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 14 | 0 |
| | **Ouray** | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | **San Miguel** | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *22* | *227* | *3* | *36* | *17* | *32* | *0* |
| **8** | **Jackson** | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | **Larimer** | 58 | 740 | 38 | 27 | 116 | 109 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *59* | *743* | *38* | *30* | *117* | *110* | *0* |
| **9** | **Garfield** | 27 | 170 | 1 | 13 | 18 | 29 | 0 |
| | **Pitkin** | 3 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | **Rio Blanco** | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *33* | *211* | *1* | *18* | *21* | *37* | *0* |
| **10** | **Pueblo** | *94* | *475* | *74* | *19* | *61* | *43* | *0* |
| **11** | **Chaffee** | 13 | 53 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Custer** | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | **Fremont** | 15 | 236 | 4 | 40 | 17 | 25 | 0 |
| | **Park** | 2 | 49 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *33* | *343* | *6* | *48* | *23* | *29* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Habitual Criminal | Homicide | Habitual Traffic | Incest | Impersonation | Kidnapping |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 1 | 29 | 96 | 2 | 72 | 29 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *29* | *103* | *2* | *76* | *30* |
| 2 | Denver | *0* | *79* | *9* | *0* | *24* | *29* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *6* | *19* | *0* | *17* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 64 | 93 | 0 | 177 | 46 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *64* | *96* | *0* | *181* | *47* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 24 | 2 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *22* | *1* | *53* | *4* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 2 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 5 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *5* | *15* | *0* | *30* | *7* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 11 | 3 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *2* | *36* | *0* | *17* | *6* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 8 | 56 | 2 | 85 | 21 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *8* | *56* | *2* | *85* | *21* |
| 9 | Garfield | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 42 | 3 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *4* | *12* | *0* | *48* | *3* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *34* | *82* | *0* | *79* | *13* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *5* | *24* | *0* | *11* | *2* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Menacing | Obstruction of Public Justice | Other | Public Indecency | Perjury | Pornography |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 91 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *94* | *0* | *409* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver | *177* | *19* | *206* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 6 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 14 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *20* | *5* | *50* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 213 | 27 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Teller | 6 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *219* | *27* | *146* | *0* | *0* | *1* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 16 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lake | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 10 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *40* | *0* | *58* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 24 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *32* | *0* | *47* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 14 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 18 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *0* | *58* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 8 | Jackson | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 77 | 1 | 68 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *79* | *1* | *70* | *1* | *1* | *1* |
| 9 | Garfield | 32 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *37* | *0* | *30* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *104* | *2* | *114* | *0* | *1* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 26 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Park | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *0* | *97* | *0* | *2* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Abuse of Public Office | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Robbery | Sex Offenses | Theft |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 178 | 899 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *73* | *180* | *928* |
| 2 | Denver | *0* | *27* | *0* | *190* | *183* | *748* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *9* | *52* |
| 4 | El Paso | 1 | 119 | 3 | 96 | 220 | 1,244 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 16 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *119* | *3* | *96* | *228* | *1,260* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 73 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 40 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *11* | *26* | *143* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 61 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *24* | *71* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 21 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 26 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *5* | *0* | *6* | *34* | *75* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 44 | 0 | 27 | 95 | 383 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *44* | *0* | *27* | *96* | *384* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 93 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *15* | *1* | *4* | *26* | *118* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *11* | *0* | *65* | *87* | *267* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 30 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 46 | 47 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 22 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *67* | *102* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Tampering | Trespass | Vehicular Assault | Vehicular Homicide | Carrying Concealed Weapon | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
| | Jefferson | 3 | 165 | 18 | 3 | 37 | 4,643 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *166* | *18* | *3* | *38* | *4,746* |
| 2 | Denver | *1* | *161* | *7* | *7* | *58* | *5,567* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 255 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *13* | *2* | *0* | *1* | *412* |
| 4 | El Paso | 6 | 203 | 21 | 12 | 66 | 6,523 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *204* | *21* | *12* | *66* | *6,749* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 158 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 431 |
| | Lake | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| | Summit | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 291 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *35* | *9* | *3* | *3* | *973* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 155 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 599 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *27* | *9* | *1* | *2* | *761* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 225 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 327 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *22* | *4* | *0* | *8* | *809* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Larimer | 1 | 102 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 2,426 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *103* | *3* | *1* | *21* | *2,444* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 599 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| | Rio Blanco | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 71 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *24* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *760* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *77* | *9* | *1* | *16* | *2,208* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 182 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | Fremont | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 627 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 152 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *17* | *2* | *0* | *3* | *988* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Bribery-Tampering | Burglary | Bail Violation | Child Abuse |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 1 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *52* | *0* | *51* | *0* | *1* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 3 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *72* | *0* | *55* | *3* | *5* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 2 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 21 | 1 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *39* | *1* | *33* | *68* | *4* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *10* | *0* | *40* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *29* | *1* | *24* | *1* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 8 | 230 | 0 | 261 | 3 | 28 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *10* | *239* | *0* | *279* | *4* | *28* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 2 | 9 | 247 | 3 | 270 | 30 | 8 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 82 | 2 | 4 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *11* | *299* | *5* | *357* | *32* | *14* |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *3* | *130* | *0* | *182* | *7* | *5* |
| 20 | Boulder | *1* | *8* | *109* | *1* | *194* | *17* | *4* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *5* | *105* | *0* | *155* | *2* | *11* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *20* | *0* | *26* | *1* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***15*** | ***87*** | ***2,706*** | ***59*** | ***3,145*** | ***371*** | ***141*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.03%*** | ***0.19%*** | ***5.96%*** | ***0.13%*** | ***6.93%*** | ***0.82%*** | ***0.31%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Criminal Mischief | Drugs | Escape | Fraud | Forgery | Fugitive from Justice | Gambling |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **12** | **Alamosa** | 24 | 58 | 11 | 36 | 7 | 13 | 0 |
| | **Conejos** | 6 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | **Costilla** | 4 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | **Mineral** | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Rio Grande** | 2 | 35 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| | **Saguache** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *38* | *120* | *13* | *57* | *22* | *24* | *0* |
| **13** | **Kit Carson** | 2 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | **Logan** | 6 | 111 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 0 |
| | **Morgan** | 5 | 111 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 0 |
| | **Phillips** | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Sedgwick** | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | **Washington** | 1 | 19 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | **Yuma** | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *19* | *293* | *11* | *24* | *26* | *42* | *0* |
| **14** | **Grand** | 15 | 42 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| | **Moffat** | 10 | 95 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| | **Routt** | 8 | 55 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *33* | *192* | *16* | *18* | *13* | *20* | *0* |
| **15** | **Baca** | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | **Cheyenne** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | **Kiowa** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | **Prowers** | 9 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *9* | *23* | *1* | *9* | *12* | *23* | *0* |
| **16** | **Bent** | 1 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| | **Crowley** | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Otero** | 11 | 40 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *13* | *66* | *3* | *9* | *16* | *9* | *0* |
| **17** | **Adams** | 86 | 1,060 | 213 | 15 | 274 | 110 | 0 |
| | **Broomfield** | 8 | 66 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 5 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *94* | *1,126* | *213* | *18* | *298* | *115* | *0* |
| **18** | **Arapahoe** | 87 | 817 | 171 | 28 | 256 | 128 | 0 |
| | **Douglas** | 44 | 121 | 1 | 9 | 52 | 39 | 0 |
| | **Elbert** | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | **Lincoln** | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *133* | *960* | *172* | *41* | *314* | *180* | *0* |
| **19** | **Weld** | *62* | *510* | *101* | *7* | *201* | *46* | *0* |
| **20** | **Boulder** | *75* | *412* | *65* | *30* | *167* | *71* | *0* |
| **21** | **Mesa** | *64* | *518* | *53* | *26* | *106* | *67* | *0* |
| **22** | **Dolores** | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **Montezuma** | 12 | 69 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 26 | 0 |
| | ***Total*** | *13* | *75* | *2* | *10* | *7* | *26* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***1,247*** | ***11,917*** | ***1,550*** | ***711*** | ***2,560*** | ***1,440*** | ***6*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***2.75%*** | ***26.25%*** | ***3.41%*** | ***1.57%*** | ***5.64%*** | ***3.17%*** | ***0.01%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Habitual Criminal | Homicide | Habitual Traffic | Incest | Impersonation | Kidnapping |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 1 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *5* | *48* | *0* | *21* | *1* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Logan | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 4 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *4* | *17* | *1* | *35* | *8* |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *4* | *3* | *0* | *9* | *4* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *0* | *2* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *5* | *11* | *0* | *8* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 42 | 114 | 0 | 78 | 26 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *43* | *124* | *0* | *99* | *27* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 49 | 72 | 1 | 69 | 73 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 7 | 20 | 1 | 27 | 6 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *57* | *95* | *2* | *102* | *80* |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *25* | *106* | *0* | *107* | *23* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *10* | *61* | *2* | *189* | *7* |
| 21 | Mesa | *1* | *1* | *35* | *6* | *121* | *18* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *4* | *10* | *0* | *6* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***17*** | ***396*** | ***996*** | ***16*** | ***1,320*** | ***330*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.04%*** | ***0.87%*** | ***2.19%*** | ***0.04%*** | ***2.91%*** | ***0.73%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Menacing | Obstruction of Public Justice | Other | Public Indecency | Perjury | Pornography |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 19 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 11 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *48* | *1* | *86* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 11 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 13 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *35* | *0* | *124* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 14 | Grand | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 10 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 9 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *30* | *4* | *42* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *8* | *0* | *11* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 18 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *27* | *1* | *28* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 127 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 11 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *138* | *0* | *275* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 110 | 6 | 165 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 37 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *153* | *7* | *265* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 19 | Weld | *87* | *0* | *220* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *90* | *0* | *39* | *0* | *2* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *74* | *0* | *169* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 15 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *15* | *0* | *8* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***1,597*** | ***67*** | ***2,552*** | ***3*** | ***6*** | ***2*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***3.52%*** | ***0.15%*** | ***5.62%*** | ***0.01%*** | ***0.01%*** | ***0.00%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Abuse of Public Office | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Robbery | Sex Offenses | Theft |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **12** | **Alamosa** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 54 |
| | **Conejos** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| | **Costilla** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | **Mineral** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| | **Rio Grande** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| | **Saguache** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| | ***Total*** | *2* | *1* | *0* | *5* | *17* | *89* |
| **13** | **Kit Carson** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| | **Logan** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 44 |
| | **Morgan** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 34 |
| | **Phillips** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| | **Sedgwick** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | **Washington** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| | **Yuma** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *52* | *116* |
| **14** | **Grand** | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 24 |
| | **Moffat** | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 24 |
| | **Routt** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *8* | *0* | *9* | *21* | *68* |
| **15** | **Baca** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | **Cheyenne** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | **Kiowa** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | **Prowers** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *24* |
| **16** | **Bent** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 |
| | **Crowley** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | **Otero** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 16 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *19* | *20* |
| **17** | **Adams** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 116 | 702 |
| | **Broomfield** | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 99 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *1* | *0* | *73* | *133* | *801* |
| **18** | **Arapahoe** | 0 | 16 | 1 | 93 | 167 | 607 |
| | **Douglas** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 55 | 254 |
| | **Elbert** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| | **Lincoln** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 |
| | ***Total*** | *1* | *16* | *1* | *105* | *237* | *880* |
| **19** | **Weld** | *0* | *5* | *0* | *30* | *63* | *342* |
| **20** | **Boulder** | *0* | *104* | *0* | *28* | *77* | *302* |
| **21** | **Mesa** | *0* | *0* | *0* | *19* | *37* | *336* |
| **22** | **Dolores** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | **Montezuma** | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 38 |
| | ***Total*** | *0* | *20* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *40* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***5*** | ***384*** | ***5*** | ***758*** | ***1,631*** | ***7,166*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.01%*** | ***0.85%*** | ***0.01%*** | ***1.67%*** | ***3.59%*** | ***15.78%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Abandonment | Administrative Paternity Order | Administrative Support Order | Abuse or Mistreatment | Consent for Marriage | Dependency & Neglect | Expedited Placement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 105 | 338 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 323 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *106* | *339* | *0* | *0* | *284* | *324* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *0* | *491* | *1,013* | *0* | *2* | *117* | *226* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *22* | *72* | *0* | *0* | *13* | *17* |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 116 | 247 | 0 | 1 | 236 | 338 |
| | Teller | 0 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 11 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *121* | *260* | *1* | *1* | *261* | *349* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *58* | *0* | *0* | *32* | *1* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 |
| | San Jual | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *17* | *24* | *0* | *0* | *15* | *15* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 16 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 9 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 54 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *71* | *77* | *0* | *0* | *49* | *41* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 161 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 115 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *161* | *210* | *0* | *0* | *79* | *116* |
| 9 | Garfield | 2 | 12 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 11 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *13* | *49* | *0* | *1* | *34* | *11* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *72* | *155* | *0* | *0* | *128* | *232* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 61 | 144 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 22 |
| | Park | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *63* | *177* | *0* | *0* | *56* | *25* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Juvenile Expungement | Grandparent Visitation | Lack of Proper Care | Child in Need of Oversight | Child in Need of Supervision | Juvenile Other | Petition for Review of Need for Placement | Paternity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 141 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *141* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *60* | *2* | *264* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *2* | *4* |
| 4 | El Paso | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 399 |
| | Teller | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *38* | *4* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *7* | *1* | *401* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *2* | *10* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| | San Jual | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *6* | *8* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *4* | *4* | *6* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 27 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *27* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *12* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *8* | *52* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Fremont | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *13* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Support | Truancy | Adoption | Confidential Intermediary | Juvenile Relinquishment | Juvenile Delinquency Total* | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| | Jefferson | 220 | 314 | 272 | 9 | 74 | 1,646 | 3,724 |
| | *Total* | *220* | *314* | *277* | *9* | *74* | *1,650* | 3,748 |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *170* | *444* | *337* | *65* | *31* | *1,734* | 4,958 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 3 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 98 |
| | Las Animas | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 91 | 183 |
| | *Total* | *13* | *2* | *15* | *0* | *2* | *118* | 281 |
| 4 | El Paso | 309 | 237 | 434 | 10 | 42 | 1,873 | 4,289 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 141 |
| | *Total* | *309* | *238* | *450* | *10* | *42* | *1,936* | 4,430 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 26 | 19 | 78 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 82 | 136 |
| | Lake | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 73 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 61 | 92 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *2* | *36* | *0* | *30* | *199* | 379 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 72 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 66 | 149 |
| | San Jual | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *25* | *0* | *10* | *93* | 221 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 69 | 178 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 74 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 32 | 28 | 4 | 10 | 90 | 288 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *32* | *50* | *4* | *17* | *203* | 559 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 41 | 206 | 2 | 28 | 906 | 1,776 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *41* | *207* | *2* | *28* | *906* | 1,780 |
| 9 | Garfield | 12 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 124 | 273 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 30 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *4* | *33* | *0* | *2* | *151* | 328 |
| 10 | Pueblo | *24* | *262* | *106* | *4* | *9* | *550* | 1,614 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 103 |
| | Custer | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| | Fremont | 3 | 46 | 27 | 0 | 7 | 366 | 735 |
| | Park | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 92 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *48* | *36* | *0* | *7* | *492* | 940 |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Abandonment | Administrative Paternity Order | Administrative Support Order | Abuse or Mistreatment | Consent for Marriage | Dependency & Neglect | Expedited Placement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 34 | 51 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 18 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *50* | *104* | *0* | *2* | *46* | *22* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | Logan | 0 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 10 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 27 | 76 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 51 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *35* | *123* | *1* | *1* | *70* | *73* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *5* | *4* | *0* | *0* | *24* | *11* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *3* | *26* | *0* | *1* | *8* | *7* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 16 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *27* | *71* | *0* | *0* | *34* | *15* |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 139 | 509 | 0 | 3 | 163 | 336 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *147* | *549* | *0* | *3* | *177* | *340* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 1 | 377 | 718 | 0 | 3 | 196 | 135 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *383* | *786* | *0* | *3* | *233* | *162* |
| 19 | Weld | *1* | *48* | *162* | *0* | *0* | *87* | *122* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *49* | *271* | *0* | *2* | *83* | *126* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *28* | *321* | *0* | *1* | *74* | *48* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *5* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***5*** | ***1,914*** | ***4,851*** | ***2*** | ***17*** | ***1,907*** | ***2,288*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0%*** | ***5%*** | ***14%*** | ***0%*** | ***0%*** | ***5%*** | ***7%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Juvenile Expungement | Grandparent Visitation | Lack of Proper Care | Child in Need of Oversight | Child in Need of Supervision | Juvenile Other | Petition for Review of Need for Placement | Paternity |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *16* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 13 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *18* | *2* | *19* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *2* | *1* | *15* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *17* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *6* |
| 17 | Adams | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 145 |
| | Broomfield | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *22* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *32* | *0* | *153* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 49 |
| | Douglas | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 22 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *88* | *6* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *5* | *78* |
| 19 | Weld | *19* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *2* | *102* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *71* | *1* | *24* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *25* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *3* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***182*** | ***31*** | ***2*** | ***3*** | ***6*** | ***252*** | ***39*** | ***1,396*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***1%*** | ***0%*** | ***0%*** | ***0%*** | ***0%*** | ***1%*** | ***0%*** | ***4%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Support | Truancy | Adoption | Confidential Intermediary | Juvenile Relinquishment | Juvenile Delinquency Total* | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 2 | 33 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 91 | 280 |
| | Conejos | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 58 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 30 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 99 | 157 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 65 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *36* | *39* | *1* | *12* | *255* | 590 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 55 |
| | Logan | 0 | 21 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 65 | 184 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 81 | 298 |
| | Phillips | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Washington | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 31 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 42 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *26* | *51* | *5* | *2* | *202* | 635 |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 65 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 120 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 48 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *20* | *1* | *1* | *146* | 233 |
| 15 | Baca | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| | Kiowa | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| | Prowers | 25 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 134 |
| | *Total* | *31* | *2* | *9* | *2* | *1* | *55* | 166 |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 56 |
| | Crowley | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| | Otero | 8 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 273 |
| | *Total* | *18* | *24* | *13* | *0* | *1* | *151* | 360 |
| 17 | Adams | 24 | 218 | 279 | 10 | 16 | 1,013 | 2,903 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 132 | 244 |
| | *Total* | *24* | *219* | *305* | *10* | *17* | *1,145* | 3,147 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 78 | 322 | 7 | 31 | 1,557 | 3,503 |
| | Douglas | 9 | 26 | 203 | 0 | 83 | 733 | 1,246 |
| | Elbert | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 93 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 52 |
| | *Total* | *13* | *104* | *533* | *7* | *114* | *2,365* | 4,894 |
| 19 | Weld | *209* | *162* | *107* | *15* | *36* | *1,181* | 2,266 |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *78* | *177* | *8* | *57* | *913* | 1,860 |
| 21 | Mesa | *57* | *32* | *68* | *7* | *14* | *592* | 1,271 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Montezuma | 23 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 118 | 187 |
| | *Total* | *23* | *10* | *11* | *5* | *8* | *119* | 191 |
| | ***State Total*** | ***1,145*** | ***2,080*** | ***2,905*** | ***155*** | ***515*** | ***15,156*** | 34,851 |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***3%*** | ***6%*** | ***8%*** | ***0%*** | ***1%*** | ***43%*** | ***100%*** |

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Burglary | Child Abuse | Change of Venue After Adjudication | Change of Venue Converted Case |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 1 | 22 | 200 | 101 | 2 | 94 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *22* | *200* | *101* | *2* | *94* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *1* | *9* | *181* | *170* | *4* | *310* | *0* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *5* | *12* | *6* | *0* | *8* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 39 | 279 | 181 | 1 | 41 | 0 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *40* | *285* | *185* | *1* | *41* | *0* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Summit | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *32* | *19* | *0* | *3* | *1* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *11* | *15* | *0* | *4* | *1* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *37* | *12* | *0* | *10* | *0* |
| 8 | Larimer | 0 | 4 | 96 | 49 | 2 | 33 | 0 |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *4* | *96* | *49* | *2* | *33* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 1 | 10 | 19 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *14* | *22* | *0* | *7* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *1* | *5* | *100* | *44* | *3* | *40* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *76* | *19* | *1* | *21* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Change of Venue Converted Case | Criminal Mischief | Curfew Violation Under Municipal | Delinquent Case Remanded from Criminal Court | Drugs | Escape | Fraud |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 106 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 23 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *106* | *1* | *0* | *80* | *23* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *0* | *99* | *0* | *0* | *134* | *22* | *1* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *10* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 161 | 0 | 3 | 91 | 14 | 0 |
| | Teller | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *167* | *0* | *3* | *96* | *14* | *0* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *31* | *0* | *0* | *16* | *0* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *27* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *0* | *1* |
| 8 | Larimer | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 1 |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *77* | *0* | *0* | *122* | *0* | *1* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *11* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *0* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *50* | *0* | *0* | *67* | *5* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 1 |
| | Park | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *27* | *0* | *0* | *58* | *8* | *1* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Forgery | Homicide | Harassment | Incest | Impersonation | Kidnapping | Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 21 | 3 | 86 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *21* | *3* | *87* | *8* | *3* | *2* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *1* | *3* | *18* | *1* | *2* | *3* | *2* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 23 | 2 | 84 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *23* | *2* | *85* | *3* | *22* | *0* | *0* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Lake | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *12* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *4* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *11* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *0* |
| 8 | Larimer | 7 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *0* | *91* | *3* | *0* | *2* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *2* | *4* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *4* | *19* | *2* | *6* | *1* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | 2 | *0* | *18* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Menacing | Other | Possession of Alcohol by Minor | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Robbery | Runaway |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 58 | 200 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *58* | *200* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *20* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *52* | *85* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *57* | *20* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 4 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *21* | *4* | *12* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 94 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| | Teller | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *98* | *171* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *14* | *0* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lake | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *10* | *3* | *3* | *0* | *1* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *18* | *3* | *6* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 8 | Larimer | 10 | 93 | 39 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *10* | *93* | *39* | *37* | *0* | *3* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *17* | *35* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 14 | 33 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *17* | *49* | *98* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Sex Offenses | Theft | Tampering | Trespass | Vehicular Assault | Vehicular Homicide | Weapon | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Jefferson | 90 | 327 | 14 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1,646 |
| | *Total* | *90* | *328* | *14* | *152* | *0* | *0* | *33* | *1,650* |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | *80* | *290* | *0* | *95* | *0* | *0* | *92* | *1,734* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| | Las Animas | 6 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 91 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *9* | *0* | *3* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *118* |
| 4 | El Paso | 85 | 413 | 4 | 94 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 1,873 |
| | Teller | 7 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 63 |
| | *Total* | *92* | *424* | *4* | *95* | *0* | *1* | *70* | *1,936* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Eagle | 3 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 82 |
| | Lake | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| | Summit | 0 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *36* | *1* | *11* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *199* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| | La Plata | 8 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 66 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *17* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *93* |
| 7 | Delta | 3 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 4 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 90 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *8* | *24* | *0* | *14* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *203* |
| 8 | Larimer | 35 | 137 | 3 | 44 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 906 |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *35* | *137* | *3* | *44* | *0* | *2* | *16* | *906* |
| 9 | Garfield | 7 | 24 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 124 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *32* | *0* | *15* | *0* | *1* | *2* | *151* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *16* | *92* | *0* | *17* | *1* | *1* | *15* | *550* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 59 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Fremont | 10 | 34 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 366 |
| | Park | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 66 |
| | *Total* | *13* | *58* | *1* | *14* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *492* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Burglary | Child Abuse | Change of Venue After Adjudication | Change of Venue Converted Case |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *29* | *30* | *0* | *19* | *2* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 7 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *27* | *31* | *0* | *13* | *2* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Routt | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *21* | *16* | *0* | *7* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *3* | *0* | *5* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 3 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *18* | *16* | *0* | *5* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 10 | 75 | 120 | 4 | 262 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *10* | *80* | *129* | *4* | *279* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 2 | 10 | 171 | 175 | 2 | 177 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 11 | 131 | 45 | 1 | 26 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *25* | *305* | *226* | *3* | *215* | *0* |
| 19 | Weld | *1* | *11* | *156* | *112* | *1* | *79* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *6* | *110* | *81* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *3* | *95* | *48* | *1* | *26* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 3 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *24* | *5* | *0* | *5* | *0* |
| | *State Total* | *9* | *159* | *1,912* | *1,339* | *23* | *1,224* | *6* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *0.06%* | *1.05%* | *12.62%* | *8.83%* | *0.15%* | *8.08%* | *0.04%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Change of Venue Converted Case | Criminal Mischief | Curfew Violation Under Municipal | Delinquent Case Remanded from Criminal Court | Drugs | Escape | Fraud |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *20* | *0* | *0* | *19* | *0* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *25* | *0* | *0* | *9* | *1* | *1* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *19* | *1* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *10* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *32* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 1 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *51* | *0* | *0* | *47* | *3* | *1* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 32 | 7 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 2 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *144* | *0* | *2* | *158* | *33* | *9* |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *88* | *0* | *1* | *75* | *0* | *1* |
| 20 | Boulder | *0* | *76* | *0* | *0* | *94* | *1* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *59* | *0* | *0* | *35* | *6* | *1* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *24* | *0* | *0* | *9* | *0* | *0* |
| | *State Total* | *1* | *1,148* | *1* | *6* | *1,078* | *117* | *17* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *0.01%* | *7.57%* | *0.01%* | *0.04%* | *7.11%* | *0.77%* | *0.11%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Forgery | Homicide | Harassment | Incest | Impersonation | Kidnapping | Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew Violation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *0* | *12* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *9* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *0* | *7* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 7 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *8* | *0* | *34* | *1* | *5* | *0* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 15 | 3 | 45 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 10 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *26* | *3* | *110* | *2* | *9* | *4* | *0* |
| 19 | Weld | *3* | *1* | *45* | *1* | *5* | *1* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *8* | *2* | *7* | *2* | *15* | *0* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *5* | *0* | *27* | *1* | *11* | *0* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* |
| | *State Total* | *120* | *23* | *610* | *24* | *98* | *14* | *2* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *0.79%* | *0.15%* | *4.02%* | *0.16%* | *0.65%* | *0.09%* | *0.01%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Menacing | Other | Possession of Alcohol by Minor | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Robbery | Runaway |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 6 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *25* | *32* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *24* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* |
| 14 | Grand | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Routt | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *15* | *2* | *5* | *0* | *2* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *4* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 5 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *13* | *2* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 37 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 7 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *44* | *69* | *0* | *5* | *0* | *17* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 59 | 78 | 9 | 42 | 0 | 57 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 24 | 59 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *83* | *141* | *10* | *65* | *0* | *61* | *0* |
| 19 | Weld | *36* | *127* | *1* | *3* | *0* | *9* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *31* | *60* | *2* | *91* | *0* | *2* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *23* | *36* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *7* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 2 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *1* | *9* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***531*** | ***1,211*** | ***205*** | ***237*** | ***2*** | ***208*** | ***20*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***3.50%*** | ***7.99%*** | ***1.35%*** | ***1.56%*** | ***0.01%*** | ***1.37%*** | ***0.13%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Sex Offenses | Theft | Tampering | Trespass | Vehicular Assault | Vehicular Homicide | Weapon | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 91 |
| | Conejos | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 99 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *22* | *0* | *15* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *255* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | Logan | 3 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 65 |
| | Morgan | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 81 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *19* | *0* | *15* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *202* |
| 14 | Grand | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| | Moffat | 4 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 77 |
| | Routt | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *23* | *1* | *6* | *0* | *0* | *5* | *146* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *9* | *0* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *55* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Crowley | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Otero | 3 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *17* | *0* | *10* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *151* |
| 17 | Adams | 38 | 142 | 0 | 88 | 1 | 1 | 36 | 1,013 |
| | Broomfield | 9 | 28 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 132 |
| | *Total* | *47* | *170* | *0* | *99* | *1* | *1* | *40* | *1,145* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 51 | 271 | 5 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 1,557 |
| | Douglas | 41 | 118 | 6 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 733 |
| | Elbert | 1 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | *Total* | *93* | *410* | *11* | *148* | *2* | *0* | *65* | *2,365* |
| 19 | Weld | *50* | *278* | *1* | *62* | *0* | *0* | *33* | *1,181* |
| 20 | Boulder | *38* | *181* | *1* | *82* | *1* | *1* | *20* | *913* |
| 21 | Mesa | *18* | *124* | *2* | *34* | *0* | *0* | *30* | *592* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 4 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *24* | *0* | *3* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *119* |
| | *State Total* | *634* | *2,724* | *39* | *945* | *7* | *7* | *455* | *15,156* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *4.18%* | *17.97%* | *0.26%* | *6.24%* | *0.05%* | *0.05%* | *3.00%* | *100.00%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Alcohol/ Drugs | Develop-mentally Disabled | Evaluation | Long Term Certification | Long Term Change of Venue | Other | Short Term | 72 Hour Hold | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | **2** |
| | Jefferson | 12 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 464 | 0 | **502** |
| | *Total* | *12* | *6* | *18* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *466* | *0* | ***504*** |
| 2 | Denver Probate | *56* | *6* | *23* | *0* | *4* | *0* | *1,101* | *1* | ***1,191*** |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | **5** |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | **9** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *14* | *0* | ***14*** |
| 4 | El Paso | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 425 | 0 | **441** |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | **12** |
| | *Total* | *12* | *0* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *437* | *0* | ***453*** |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | **3** |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | **8** |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | **4** |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | **16** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *18* | *13* | ***31*** |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | **8** |
| | La Plata | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 27 | **36** |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | **1** |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *8* | *34* | ***45*** |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | **2** |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | **7** |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | **14** |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | **1** |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | **1** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *20* | *4* | ***25*** |
| 8 | Larimer | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 277 | **301** |
| | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | *Total* | *13* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *2* | *5* | *277* | ***301*** |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | **21** |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | **1** |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *16* | *1* | ***22*** |
| 10 | Pueblo | *21* | *12* | *4* | *21* | *1* | *106* | *275* | *0* | ***440*** |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | **9** |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **1** |
| | Fremont | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | **24** |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | **3** |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *26* | *9* | ***37*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Alcohol/ Drugs | Develop-mentally Disabled | Evaluation | Long Term Certification | Long Term Change of Venue | Other | Short Term | 72 Hour Hold | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | **12** |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | **2** |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | **4** |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | **5** |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *4* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *13* | *4* | ***23*** |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | **10** |
| | Logan | 9 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | **79** |
| | Morgan | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 28 | **48** |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | **4** |
| | Sedgwick | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | **2** |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **3** |
| | Yuma | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | **24** |
| | *Total* | *19* | *2* | *80* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *33* | *35* | ***170*** |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | **3** |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | **6** |
| | Routt | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | **9** |
| | *Total* | *3* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *6* | ***18*** |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | **2** |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | **7** |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | **4** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *4* | *7* | ***13*** |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | **4** |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | **3** |
| | Otero | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | **13** |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *13* | *5* | ***20*** |
| 17 | Adams | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 381 | 0 | **397** |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | **36** |
| | *Total* | *6* | *6* | *1* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *417* | *0* | ***433*** |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 19 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 591 | 0 | **625** |
| | Douglas | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 77 | **85** |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | **7** |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | **7** |
| | *Total* | *23* | *1* | *12* | *0* | *6* | *1* | *599* | *82* | ***724*** |
| 19 | Weld | *11* | *2* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *63* | *2* | ***79*** |
| 20 | Boulder | *1* | *3* | *0* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *305* | *10* | ***324*** |
| 21 | Mesa | *8* | *7* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *119* | *1* | ***137*** |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ***0*** |
| | Montezuma | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | **17** |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *14* | ***17*** |
| | *State Total* | ***194*** | ***47*** | ***152*** | ***27*** | ***20*** | ***116*** | ***3,960*** | ***505*** | ***5,021*** |
| | *Percent of State Total* | ***3.86%*** | ***0.94%*** | ***3.03%*** | ***0.54%*** | ***0.40%*** | ***2.31%*** | ***78.87%*** | ***10.06%*** | ***100.00%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Special Administrator Formal | Special Administrator Informal | Ancillary Proceedings | Conservatorship/ Adult | Conservator/ Guardian |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 3 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 20 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *2* | *11* | *17* | *20* |
| 2 | Denver Probate | *8* | *3* | *9* | *31* | *55* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *19* | *1* | *4* |
| 4 | El Paso | 2 | 4 | 28 | 24 | 37 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *4* | *35* | *24* | *37* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 2 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *45* | *1* | *3* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 6 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *37* | *4* | *8* |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *22* | *4* | *8* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 1 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 14 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *15* | *3* | *14* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *16* | *3* | *3* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *0* | *23* | *5* | *28* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 9 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *26* | *4* | *11* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Conservatorship/ Minor | Determination of Heirship | Estate | Guardianship/ Adult | Guardianship/ Minor | Insurance Benefit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 40 | 19 | 6 | 114 | 65 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *40* | *19* | *6* | *114* | *65* | *0* |
| 2 | Denver Probate | *18* | *21* | *6* | *94* | *105* | *0* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 9 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *12* | *0* | *5* | *4* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 36 | 14 | 7 | 89 | 154 | 0 |
| | Teller | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *38* | *14* | *8* | *91* | *161* | *0* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Summit | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *10* | *4* | *3* | *6* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 6 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *1* | *1* | *6* | *5* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 9 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *8* | *7* | *19* | *5* | *0* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 29 | 1 | 5 | 38 | 18 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *29* | *1* | *5* | *38* | *18* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *3* | *2* | *5* | *7* | *0* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *20* | *5* | *0* | *23* | *17* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 9 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *13* | *12* | *9* | *14* | *0* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Intestate Formal | Intestate Informal | Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance | Other | Public Administrator Statement | Personal Injury Settlement | Small Estates |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 5 | 156 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 33 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *164* | *16* | *8* | *7* | *33* | *3* |
| 2 | Denver Probate | *32* | *250* | *29* | *19* | *50* | *53* | *0* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *12* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 4 | El Paso | 22 | 149 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 57 | 11 |
| | Teller | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *24* | *155* | *15* | *5* | *13* | *59* | *11* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Summit | 1 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *33* | *4* | *5* | *0* | *6* | *0* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *22* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 2 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *44* | *2* | *3* | *0* | *4* | *1* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 12 | 62 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *64* | *5* | *7* | *0* | *6* | *0* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *30* | *0* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *1* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *11* | *100* | *0* | *2* | *4* | *1* | *0* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| | Park | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *49* | *0* | *6* | *0* | *9* | *4* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Single Transaction | Testate Formal | Testate Informal | Trust | Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act | Will Transfer | *Total* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 29 | 705 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 1,276 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *29* | *709* | *19* | *0* | *1* | *1,291* |
| 2 | Denver Probate | *5* | *72* | *804* | *86* | *2* | *10* | *1,762* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *22* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *89* |
| 4 | El Paso | 2 | 23 | 629 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1,342 |
| | Teller | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *24* | *649* | *21* | *0* | *0* | *1,392* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| | Lake | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Summit | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *9* | *48* | *6* | *0* | *0* | *193* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 2 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *3* | *79* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *178* |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 2 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 4 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *6* | *146* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *301* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 14 | 331 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 573 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *14* | *333* | *11* | *0* | *2* | *578* |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 58 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *84* | *10* | *0* | *0* | *176* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *2* | *20* | *248* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *518* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 5 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| | Park | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *9* | *103* | *2* | *0* | *0* | *283* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Special Administrator Formal | Special Administrator Informal | Ancillary Proceedings | Conservatorship/ Adult | Conservator/ Guardian |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *1* | *41* | *7* | *1* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *0* | *14* | *10* | *1* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| | Routt | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *0* | *23* | *1* | *3* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *25* | *7* | *1* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *6* | *3* |
| 17 | Adams | 5 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 38 |
| | Broomfield | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *3* | *5* | *18* | *40* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 3 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 41 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 14 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *4* | *15* | *42* | *55* |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *0* | *17* | *7* | *13* |
| 20 | Boulder | *5* | *0* | *13* | *10* | *22* |
| 21 | Mesa | *4* | *1* | *5* | *8* | *29* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *0* | *7* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***40*** | ***19*** | ***428*** | ***213*** | ***366*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***0.34%*** | ***0.16%*** | ***3.66%*** | ***1.82%*** | ***3.13%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Conservatorship/ Minor | Determination of Heirship | Estate | Guardianship/ Adult | Guardianship/ Minor | Insurance Benefit |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *11* | *8* | *6* | *14* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Washington | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *10* | *17* | *5* | *12* | *6* | *1* |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 |
| | Routt | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *6* | *3* | *6* | *19* | *0* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *11* | *2* | *4* | *1* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Otero | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *11* | *1* | *1* | *18* | *0* |
| 17 | Adams | 10 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 32 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *13* | *14* | *6* | *31* | *41* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 29 | 12 | 56 | 61 | 100 | 1 |
| | Douglas | 11 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *12* | *62* | *73* | *119* | *1* |
| 19 | Weld | *17* | *2* | *5* | *23* | *27* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *27* | *0* | *4* | *26* | *18* | *0* |
| 21 | Mesa | *9* | *17* | *1* | *32* | *8* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *8* | *6* | *3* | *3* | *0* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***330*** | ***216*** | ***154*** | ***624*** | ***681*** | ***2*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***2.82%*** | ***1.85%*** | ***1.32%*** | ***5.33%*** | ***5.82%*** | ***0.02%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Intestate Formal | Intestate Informal | Personal Injury Settlement - Insurance | Other | Public Administrator Statement | Personal Injury Settlement | Small Estates |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *39* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *0* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Logan | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *10* | *57* | *0* | *5* | *0* | *4* | *1* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Routt | 2 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *36* | *1* | *3* | *0* | *4* | *1* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *12* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *0* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 2 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *3* | *22* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *1* | *2* |
| 17 | Adams | 11 | 127 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 1 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *12* | *136* | *31* | *2* | *0* | *41* | *0* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 5 | 157 | 17 | 35 | 0 | 80 | 16 |
| | Douglas | 3 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *190* | *28* | *35* | *0* | *93* | *16* |
| 19 | Weld | *10* | *65* | *29* | *2* | *0* | *16* | *0* |
| 20 | Boulder | *11* | *83* | *13* | *3* | *0* | *15* | *3* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *49* | *2* | *1* | *9* | *13* | *0* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *26* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *1* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***163*** | ***1,638*** | ***187*** | ***112*** | ***84*** | ***362*** | ***44*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***1.39%*** | ***13.99%*** | ***1.60%*** | ***0.96%*** | ***0.72%*** | ***3.09%*** | ***0.38%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Single Transaction | Testate Formal | Testate Informal | Trust | Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act | Will Transfer | *Total* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *6* | *89* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *242* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | Logan | 0 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *12* | *137* | *3* | *0* | *0* | *307* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| | Routt | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *9* | *40* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *164* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *3* | *51* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *128* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| | Otero | 0 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *9* | *30* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *120* |
| 17 | Adams | 1 | 33 | 280 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 680 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 2 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *35* | *303* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *748* |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 1 | 27 | 600 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1,286 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 1 | 127 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 256 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *29* | *748* | *10* | *0* | *1* | *1,591* |
| 19 | Weld | *1* | *12* | *221* | *14* | *0* | *0* | *481* |
| 20 | Boulder | *5* | *13* | *333* | *21* | *0* | *0* | *625* |
| 21 | Mesa | *0* | *13* | *215* | *7* | *0* | *0* | *423* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *3* | *45* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *116* |
| | *State Total* | *22* | *331* | *5,437* | *236* | *2* | *15* | *11,706* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *0.19%* | *2.83%* | *46.45%* | *2.02%* | *0.02%* | *0.13%* | *100.00%* |

Back to Table of Contents

# COUNTY COURT JUDGES

## FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Gilpin | Frederic B. Rodgers |
| Jefferson | Judy L. Archuleta |
| | James C. Demlow |
| | Charles T. Hoppin |
| | Tina Olsen |
| | Roy G. Olson, Jr. |
| | Thomas E. Vance (1) |

## DENVER COUNTY COURT

| | |
|---|---|
| Denver | Raymond N. Satter, Presiding Judge |
| | Andrew S. Armatas |
| | Johnny C. Barajas |
| | Larry L. Bohning |
| | Kathleen M. Bowers |
| | James B. Breese |
| | Doris E. Burd |
| | Brian T. Campbell |
| | Mary Celeste |
| | Robert B. Crew, Jr. |
| | Herbert H. Galchinsky |
| | Alfred C. Harrell |
| | Claudia J. Jordan |
| | John M. Marcucci |
| | Melvin Okamoto |
| | Aleene Ortiz-White |
| | Robert Patterson |

## THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Huerfano | Ellen Haskins-Trujillo (2) |
| Las Animas | Bruce Billings |

## FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| El Paso | Christopher E. Acker |
| | Karla J. Hansen |
| | Barney Iuppa |
| | Sylvia A. Manzanares |
| | Larry D. Martin |
| | James S. Patterson |
| | Stephen J. Sletta |
| | Daniel S. Wilson |
| Teller | Jackson L. Peters, Jr. |

## FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Clear Creek | Russell H. Granger |
| Eagle | Frederick W. Gannett |
| Lake | Wayne Patton |
| Summit | Edward J. Casias |

## SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Archuleta | James E. Denvir |
| La Plata | Martha T. Minot |
| San Juan | Todd P. Risberg |

## SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Delta | Sandra K. Miller |
| Gunnison | Ben F. Eden |
| Hinsdale | Larry E. Vickers |
| Montrose | John J. Mitchel |
| | Bette R. Nickell |
| Ouray | David S. Westfall |
| San Miguel | Sharon E. Shuteran |

## EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Jackson | Rex A. Shaw |
| Larimer | Christine A. Carney |
| | Peter Schoon |
| | Ronald L. Schultz |
| | C. Edward Stirman |

## NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Garfield | Jason D. Jovanovich |
| | Paul Metzger |
| Pitkin | Erin Fernandez-Ely |
| Rio Blanco | Laurie Anne Noble (3) |

## TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Pueblo | Adele K. Anderson |
| | Kathleen K. Hearn |
| | Ernest J. Ruybalid |

## ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Chaffee | William P. Alderton |
| Custer | Peter F. Michaelson |
| Fremont | William Gobin Fox |
| Park | Stanley J. Mayhew |

## TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Alamosa | Martin A. Gonzales |
| Conejos | Gordon J. Bosa |
| Costilla | Kimberly Wood |
| Mineral | Frank S. Lentz (4) |
| Rio Grande | Michael H. Trujillo |
| Saguache | Amanda K. Pearson |

## THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Kit Carson | J. Curt Penny, Jr. |
| Logan | Robert B. Smith |
| Morgan | Michael J. Schingle |
| Phillips | David O. Colver |
| Sedgwick | Max E. Carlson |
| Washington | Carl S. McGuire (5) |
| Yuma | Thomas J. Callahan |

## FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Grand | Mary Hoak |
| Moffat | Mary Lynne James |
| Routt | James H. Garrecht |

## FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Baca | W. Michael Porter |
| Cheyenne | Clifford E. Mays |
| Kiowa | Gary W. Davis |
| Prowers | Larry E. Stutler |

## SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Bent | Mark A. MacDonnell |
| Crowley | Carl W. Ross |
| Otero | Douglas R. Manley |

## SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Adams | Cindy H. Bruner |
| | Michael A. Cox |
| | Robert S. Doyle |
| | Dianna Roybal |
| | Sabino E. Romano |
| | Jeffrey L. Romeo |
| Broomfield | Randall J. Davis |

## EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Arapahoe | Alex R. Bencze |
| | Christopher C. Cross |
| | Ethan D. Feldman |
| | Christine M. Chauche (6) |
| | Dana E. Murray |
| | Steven R. Ruddick |
| | Robert C. Tobias |
| Douglas | Michelle A. Marker |
| | Susanna Meissner-Cutler |
| Elbert | Kevin Sidel |
| Lincoln | Truston Lee Fisher |

## NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Weld | Marcelo Kopkow |
| | Lynn Karowsky |
| | Charles S. Unfug |

## TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Boulder | David A. Archuleta |
| | Noel E. Blum |
| | Carolyn Hoye Enichen |
| | Thomas J. B. Reed |
| | John F. Stavely |

## TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Mesa | Thomas M. Diester |
| | Arthur R. Smith |

## TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

| | |
|---|---|
| Dolores | Ernest Dale Boyd (7) |
| Montezuma | Todd J. Plewe |

(1) Replaced Hon. Kim H. Goldberger
(2) Replaced Hon. Robert E. Haeger
(3) Replaced Hon. Gerald C. Viscardi
(4) Replaced Hon. Robert M. Wardell
(5) Replaced Hon. Kevin Hoyer
(6) Replaced Hon. Richard M. Jauch
(7) Replaced Hon. Susan Whicher

Back to Table of Contents

# County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than $15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than $7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2005, Colorado county courts had 555,447 cases filed and were able to terminate 525,575 cases during that same period. During the past ten years, county court filings have increased 21.3 percent, rising from 457,754 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

Specific information regarding each of the county courts is available at http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type
Ten Year Comparison

**Chart 3: Filings, FY 1996**
**Total Number of Filings: 457,754**




**Chart 4: Filings, FY 2005**
**Total Number of Filings: 555,447**



Back to Table of Contents

*Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2004, FY 2005*
*(Does not include Denver County Court)*

**Filings Compared to Previous Year**

| Case Class | FY 04 | FY 05 | Percent Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Civil | 165,324 | 175,847 | 6.37% |
| Infractions | 82,732 | 107,780 | 30.28% |
| Misdemeanors | 74,779 | 72,607 | -2.90% |
| Small Claims | 14,292 | 13,588 | -4.93% |
| Traffic | 159,413 | 167,488 | 5.07% |
| Felony Complaints | 17,554 | 18,137 | 3.32% |
| ***Total*** | ***514,094*** | ***555,447*** | ***8.04%*** |

**Terminations Compared to Previous Year**

| Case Class | FY 04 | FY 05 | Percent Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| Civil | 165,761 | 174,773 | 5.44% |
| Infractions | 82,382 | 103,978 | 26.21% |
| Misdemeanors | 74,168 | 71,386 | -3.75% |
| Small Claims | 15,113 | 14,005 | -7.33% |
| Traffic | 156,139 | 161,433 | 3.39% |
| Felony Complaints | 17,206 | 18,126 | 5.35% |
| ***Total with Felony Complaints*** | ***510,769*** | ***543,701*** | ***6.45%*** |
| ***Total without Felony Complaints*** | ***493,563*** | ***525,575*** | ***6.49%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

## *Table 24: County Court Caseload FY1996 to FY2005*

*(Does not include Denver County Court)*

| | FY96 | FY97 | FY98 | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **CIVIL** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 116,127 | 119,076 | 120,846 | 121,897 | 127,017 | 139,919 | 151,905 | 165,210 | 165,324 | 175,847 |
| Cases Terminated | 119,060 | 116,697 | 118,561 | 124,746 | 137,436 | 138,581 | 151,773 | 162,492 | 165,761 | 174,773 |
| **INFRACTIONS** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 74,687 | 82,963 | 68,184 | 64,018 | 70,094 | 70,090 | 69,800 | 74,947 | 82,732 | 107,780 |
| Cases Terminated | 70,481 | 85,288 | 71,789 | 66,127 | 70,776 | 73,560 | 72,824 | 73,597 | 82,382 | 103,978 |
| **MISDEMEANORS** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 67,376 | 69,125 | 70,271 | 69,932 | 73,853 | 72,354 | 72,973 | 74,367 | 74,779 | 72,607 |
| Cases Terminated | 71,779 | 75,431 | 70,347 | 73,182 | 76,011 | 71,727 | 75,212 | 72,932 | 74,168 | 71,386 |
| **SMALL CLAIMS** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 16,843 | 17,349 | 16,650 | 15,888 | 15,568 | 14,961 | 15,591 | 15,438 | 14,292 | 13,588 |
| Cases Terminated | 16,614 | 16,907 | 16,646 | 16,747 | 17,174 | 14,587 | 15,624 | 15,036 | 15,113 | 14,005 |
| **TRAFFIC** | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 164,217 | 169,593 | 170,614 | 159,861 | 140,183 | 133,860 | 138,439 | 149,720 | 159,413 | 167,488 |
| Cases Terminated | 175,920 | 180,755 | 171,321 | 170,316 | 168,898 | 139,866 | 139,995 | 144,555 | 156,139 | 161,433 |
| **FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)** | 18,504 | 14,345 | 21,097 | 20,301 | 20,010 | 13,445 | 21,285 | 18,833 | 17,554 | 18,137 |
| ***TOTAL*** | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***New Cases Filed*** | ***457,754*** | ***472,451*** | ***467,662*** | ***451,897*** | ***446,725*** | ***444,629*** | ***469,993*** | ***498,515*** | ***514,094*** | ***555,447*** |
| ***Cases Terminated (b)*** | ***453,854*** | ***475,078*** | ***448,664*** | ***451,118*** | ***470,295*** | ***438,321*** | ***455,428*** | ***468,612*** | ***493,563*** | ***525,575*** |

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Back to Table of Contents

*Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2004, FY 2005*

| | Filings | | | Terminations[b] | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| District | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change |
| 1 | 58,334 | 62,719 | 7.52% | 53,960 | 56,722 | 5.12% |
| 2[a] | 168,684 | 166,970 | -1.02% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 3 | 5,476 | 5,801 | 5.93% | 5,272 | 5,538 | 5.05% |
| 4 | 72,774 | 76,916 | 5.69% | 71,000 | 76,864 | 8.26% |
| 5 | 15,708 | 16,063 | 2.26% | 14,458 | 14,918 | 3.18% |
| 6 | 6,822 | 7,373 | 8.08% | 6,981 | 7,072 | 1.30% |
| 7 | 10,620 | 11,146 | 4.95% | 9,966 | 10,188 | 2.23% |
| 8 | 29,853 | 32,139 | 7.66% | 30,038 | 30,351 | 1.04% |
| 9 | 9,140 | 9,828 | 7.53% | 9,158 | 9,571 | 4.51% |
| 10 | 20,677 | 20,562 | -0.56% | 20,855 | 20,524 | -1.59% |
| 11 | 11,740 | 12,296 | 4.74% | 11,639 | 11,258 | -3.27% |
| 12 | 8,173 | 9,520 | 16.48% | 7,079 | 8,521 | 20.37% |
| 13 | 13,896 | 13,110 | -5.66% | 13,523 | 13,158 | -2.70% |
| 14 | 6,773 | 7,417 | 9.51% | 6,291 | 6,459 | 2.67% |
| 15 | 4,780 | 4,890 | 2.30% | 4,849 | 4,721 | -2.64% |
| 16 | 4,916 | 5,073 | 3.19% | 4,773 | 5,188 | 8.69% |
| 17 | 60,627 | 72,248 | 19.17% | 57,822 | 66,250 | 14.58% |
| 18 | 99,089 | 113,304 | 14.35% | 95,558 | 107,088 | 12.07% |
| 19 | 24,120 | 25,647 | 6.33% | 23,430 | 24,877 | 6.18% |
| 20 | 28,620 | 28,365 | -0.89% | 27,066 | 26,366 | -2.59% |
| 21 | 17,779 | 17,161 | -3.48% | 16,334 | 16,585 | 1.54% |
| 22 | 4,177 | 3,869 | -7.37% | 3,511 | 3,356 | -4.41% |
| *State Total Without Denver County Court* | ***514,094*** | ***555,447*** | ***8.04%*** | ***493,563*** | ***525,575*** | ***6.49%*** |
| ***State Total*** | ***682,778*** | ***722,417*** | ***5.81%*** | ***n/a*** | ***n/a*** | ***n/a*** |

[a] Denver County Court statistics are based on calendar year 2004

[b] Does not include felony complaints.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Civil | | Felony | | Misdemeanor | | Infractions | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. |
| 1 | Gilpin | 194 | 196 | 109 | 109 | 617 | 528 | 147 | 134 |
| | Jefferson | 23,189 | 22,907 | 4,152 | 4,152 | 7,122 | 6,831 | 6,461 | 6,345 |
| | *Total* | *23,383* | *23,103* | *4,261* | *4,261* | *7,739* | *7,359* | *6,608* | *6,479* |
| 2 | Denver[a] | *34,332* | *n/a* [b] | *5,127* | *n/a* [b] | *9,402* | *n/a* [b] | *n/a* [c] | *n/a* [b] |
| 3 | Huerfano | 240 | 210 | 3 | 3 | 285 | 322 | 740 | 722 |
| | Las Animas | 616 | 594 | 16 | 16 | 647 | 600 | 933 | 864 |
| | *Total* | *856* | *804* | *19* | *19* | *932* | *922* | *1,673* | *1,586* |
| 4 | El Paso | 28,440 | 28,103 | 1 | 1 | 10,128 | 10,358 | 11,498 | 11,225 |
| | Teller | 625 | 621 | 3 | 3 | 597 | 658 | 491 | 455 |
| | *Total* | *29,065* | *28,724* | *4* | *4* | *10,725* | *11,016* | *11,989* | *11,680* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 239 | 250 | 128 | 128 | 353 | 320 | 731 | 706 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 60 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 102 | 122 | 135 |
| | Eagle | 716 | 656 | 390 | 390 | 862 | 930 | 1,761 | 1,695 |
| | Lake | 217 | 197 | 86 | 86 | 265 | 253 | 229 | 226 |
| | Summit | 396 | 358 | 236 | 236 | 1,016 | 928 | 1,696 | 1,570 |
| | *Total* | *1,628* | *1,533* | *840* | *840* | *2,594* | *2,533* | *4,539* | *4,332* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 238 | 230 | 131 | 131 | 242 | 243 | 344 | 338 |
| | La Plata | 1,500 | 1,461 | 354 | 354 | 808 | 849 | 888 | 923 |
| | San Juan | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 33 | 43 | 38 |
| | *Total* | *1,747* | *1,697* | *485* | *485* | *1,091* | *1,125* | *1,275* | *1,299* |
| 7 | Delta | 868 | 904 | 236 | 236 | 475 | 471 | 395 | 384 |
| | Gunnison | 257 | 249 | 163 | 163 | 437 | 456 | 541 | 500 |
| | Hinsdale | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 42 | 29 | 19 | 18 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 114 | 108 | 19 | 19 | 64 | 56 | 49 | 49 |
| | Montrose | 1,264 | 1,264 | 292 | 292 | 723 | 698 | 521 | 531 |
| | Ouray | 77 | 75 | 19 | 19 | 94 | 93 | 409 | 419 |
| | San Miguel | 150 | 148 | 61 | 61 | 188 | 164 | 148 | 143 |
| | *Total* | *2,736* | *2,756* | *796* | *796* | *2,023* | *1,967* | *2,082* | *2,044* |
| 8 | Jackson | 24 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 68 | 70 | 44 | 42 |
| | Larimer | 9,483 | 9,434 | 69 | 69 | 6,073 | 5,759 | 5,002 | 4,847 |
| | *Total* | *9,507* | *9,452* | *74* | *74* | *6,141* | *5,829* | *5,046* | *4,889* |
| 9 | Garfield | 1,190 | 1,200 | 43 | 43 | 552 | 532 | 1,018 | 1,001 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 190 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 838 | 589 | 568 |
| | Pitkin | 283 | 281 | 9 | 9 | 288 | 272 | 169 | 181 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 136 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 109 | 183 | 175 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 90 | 117 | 135 |
| | *Total* | *1,819* | *1,799* | *52* | *52* | *1,868* | *1,841* | *2,076* | *2,060* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *8,629* | *8,436* | *228* | *228* | *3,469* | *3,478* | *2,559* | *2,563* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 335 | 286 | 85 | 85 | 433 | 412 | 2,186 | 2,172 |
| | Custer | 54 | 55 | 2 | 2 | 50 | 43 | 217 | 224 |
| | Fremont | 1,253 | 1,222 | 18 | 18 | 1,197 | 1,117 | 1,219 | 1,142 |
| | Park | 306 | 273 | 9 | 9 | 495 | 478 | 720 | 721 |
| | *Total* | *1,948* | *1,836* | *114* | *114* | *2,175* | *2,050* | *4,342* | *4,259* |

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2004.
(b) Termination numbers are not available.
(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction filings are combined.
(d) Total State termination figure does not include Felony Complaint.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Small Claims Filings | Small Claims Term. | Traffic Filings | Traffic Term. | Total Filings | Total Term.[d] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 25 | 19 | 663 | 593 | 1,755 | 1,579 |
| | Jefferson | 1,422 | 1,393 | 18,618 | 17,776 | 60,964 | 59,404 |
| | *Total* | *1,447* | *1,412* | *19,281* | *18,369* | ***62,719*** | ***60,983*** |
| 2 | Denver[a] | *2,189* | *n/a[b]* | *115,920 [c]* | *n/a[b]* | ***166,970*** | *n/a[b]* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 44 | 42 | 908 | 875 | 2,220 | 2,174 |
| | Las Animas | 105 | 98 | 1,264 | 1,211 | 3,581 | 3,383 |
| | *Total* | *149* | *140* | *2,172* | *2,086* | ***5,801*** | ***5,557*** |
| 4 | El Paso | 1,817 | 2,027 | 22,253 | 22,317 | 74,137 | 74,031 |
| | Teller | 92 | 87 | 971 | 1,013 | 2,779 | 2,837 |
| | *Total* | *1,909* | *2,114* | *23,224* | *23,330* | ***76,916*** | ***76,868*** |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 40 | 45 | 1,452 | 1,393 | 2,943 | 2,842 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 31 | 31 | 177 | 200 | 488 | 540 |
| | Eagle | 240 | 270 | 1,771 | 2,014 | 5,740 | 5,955 |
| | Lake | 36 | 34 | 405 | 401 | 1,238 | 1,197 |
| | Summit | 160 | 171 | 2,150 | 1,961 | 5,654 | 5,224 |
| | *Total* | *507* | *551* | *5,955* | *5,969* | ***16,063*** | ***15,758*** |
| 6 | Archuleta | 77 | 78 | 433 | 430 | 1,465 | 1,450 |
| | La Plata | 193 | 191 | 2,008 | 2,208 | 5,751 | 5,986 |
| | San Juan | 9 | 7 | 55 | 37 | 157 | 121 |
| | *Total* | *279* | *276* | *2,496* | *2,675* | ***7,373*** | ***7,557*** |
| 7 | Delta | 164 | 174 | 826 | 809 | 2,964 | 2,978 |
| | Gunnison | 55 | 55 | 535 | 540 | 1,988 | 1,963 |
| | Hinsdale | 5 | 4 | 27 | 19 | 105 | 84 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 6 | 6 | 75 | 101 | 327 | 339 |
| | Montrose | 172 | 169 | 1,108 | 1,052 | 4,080 | 4,006 |
| | Ouray | 27 | 21 | 129 | 122 | 755 | 749 |
| | San Miguel | 52 | 46 | 328 | 303 | 927 | 865 |
| | *Total* | *481* | *475* | *3,028* | *2,946* | ***11,146*** | ***10,984*** |
| 8 | Jackson | 14 | 9 | 79 | 73 | 234 | 217 |
| | Larimer | 799 | 793 | 10,479 | 9,306 | 31,905 | 30,208 |
| | *Total* | *813* | *802* | *10,558* | *9,379* | ***32,139*** | ***30,425*** |
| 9 | Garfield | 185 | 186 | 1,558 | 1,489 | 4,546 | 4,451 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 73 | 72 | 1,117 | 1,094 | 2,769 | 2,749 |
| | Pitkin | 90 | 94 | 477 | 438 | 1,316 | 1,275 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 39 | 35 | 280 | 260 | 762 | 700 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 27 | 29 | 167 | 174 | 435 | 448 |
| | *Total* | *414* | *416* | *3,599* | *3,455* | ***9,828*** | ***9,623*** |
| 10 | Pueblo | *634* | *707* | *5,043* | *5,340* | ***20,562*** | ***20,752*** |
| 11 | Chaffee | 75 | 77 | 706 | 531 | 3,820 | 3,563 |
| | Custer | 17 | 17 | 89 | 85 | 429 | 426 |
| | Fremont | 177 | 168 | 1,580 | 1,372 | 5,444 | 5,039 |
| | Park | 46 | 55 | 1,027 | 808 | 2,603 | 2,344 |
| | *Total* | *315* | *317* | *3,402* | *2,796* | ***12,296*** | ***11,372*** |

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2004.
(b) Termination numbers are not available.
(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction filings are combined.
(d) Total State termination figure does not include Felony Complaint.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Civil | | Felony | | Misdemeanor | | Infractions | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. | Filings | Term. |
| 12 | Alamosa | 503 | 537 | 377 | 377 | 591 | 607 | 550 | 516 |
| | Conejos | 169 | 155 | 77 | 77 | 256 | 261 | 332 | 288 |
| | Costilla | 74 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 153 | 112 | 486 | 449 |
| | Mineral | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 32 | 33 | 95 | 100 |
| | Rio Grande | 327 | 318 | 194 | 194 | 402 | 387 | 573 | 605 |
| | Saguache | 128 | 119 | 48 | 48 | 189 | 175 | 344 | 336 |
| | *Total* | *1,212* | *1,206* | *769* | *769* | *1,623* | *1,575* | *2,380* | *2,294* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 268 | 292 | 37 | 37 | 140 | 131 | 647 | 625 |
| | Logan | 983 | 1,072 | 94 | 94 | 462 | 441 | 1,395 | 1,297 |
| | Morgan | 1,467 | 1,780 | 11 | 11 | 743 | 772 | 1,108 | 1,109 |
| | Phillips | 154 | 149 | 2 | 2 | 43 | 39 | 35 | 42 |
| | Sedgwick | 89 | 111 | 8 | 8 | 25 | 23 | 214 | 210 |
| | Washington | 148 | 149 | 25 | 25 | 61 | 69 | 222 | 210 |
| | Yuma | 410 | 420 | 10 | 10 | 84 | 85 | 133 | 111 |
| | *Total* | *3,519* | *3,973* | *187* | *187* | *1,558* | *1,560* | *3,754* | *3,604* |
| 14 | Grand | 645 | 558 | 200 | 200 | 516 | 501 | 233 | 211 |
| | Moffat | 382 | 379 | 297 | 297 | 327 | 308 | 209 | 195 |
| | Routt | 463 | 463 | 198 | 198 | 786 | 751 | 737 | 714 |
| | *Total* | *1,490* | *1,400* | *695* | *695* | *1,629* | *1,560* | *1,179* | *1,120* |
| 15 | Baca | 101 | 97 | 3 | 3 | 69 | 72 | 335 | 345 |
| | Cheyenne | 55 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 43 | 158 | 143 |
| | Kiowa | 26 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 20 | 359 | 372 |
| | Prowers | 480 | 447 | 7 | 7 | 386 | 383 | 919 | 936 |
| | *Total* | *662* | *609* | *10* | *10* | *531* | *518* | *1,771* | *1,796* |
| 16 | Bent | 181 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 131 | 355 | 334 |
| | Crowley | 129 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 77 | 373 | 386 |
| | Otero | 848 | 841 | 2 | 2 | 555 | 712 | 857 | 829 |
| | *Total* | *1,158* | *1,106* | *2* | *2* | *799* | *920* | *1,585* | *1,549* |
| 17 | Adams | 25,258 | 24,868 | 3,161 | 3,161 | 4,610 | 4,648 | 14,171 | 13,097 |
| | Broomfield | 1,705 | 1,724 | 329 | 329 | 633 | 623 | 437 | 424 |
| | *Total* | *26,963* | *26,592* | *3,490* | *3,490* | *5,243* | *5,271* | *14,608* | *13,521* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 10,656 | 10,610 | 2,854 | 2,854 | 2,543 | 2,460 | 11,967 | 11,527 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 21,269 | 21,299 | 0 | 0 | 2,896 | 2,792 | 1,254 | 1,218 |
| | Douglas | 6,029 | 5,920 | 759 | 759 | 3,213 | 3,171 | 15,789 | 15,129 |
| | Elbert | 383 | 278 | 38 | 38 | 217 | 211 | 423 | 369 |
| | Lincoln | 161 | 167 | 50 | 50 | 189 | 200 | 406 | 385 |
| | *Total* | *38,498* | *38,274* | *3,701* | *3,701* | *9,058* | *8,834* | *29,839* | *28,628* |
| 19 | Weld | *8,619* | *9,029* | *99* | *99* | *3,605* | *3,341* | *3,401* | *3,330* |
| 20 | Boulder | 5,405 | 5,357 | 1,893 | 1,893 | 3,923 | 3,934 | 2,718 | 2,672 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 1,725 | 1,764 | 0 | 0 | 1,079 | 1,060 | 813 | 838 |
| | *Total* | *7,130* | *7,121* | *1,893* | *1,893* | *5,002* | *4,994* | *3,531* | *3,510* |
| 21 | Mesa | *4,652* | *4,748* | *119* | *119* | *4,149* | *4,131* | *2,867* | *2,747* |
| 22 | Dolores | 27 | 24 | 13 | 13 | 28 | 33 | 88 | 86 |
| | Montezuma | 599 | 551 | 286 | 286 | 625 | 529 | 588 | 602 |
| | *Total* | *626* | *575* | *299* | *299* | *653* | *562* | *676* | *688* |
| ***State Total Without Denver County Court*** | | ***175,847*** | ***174,773*** | ***18,137*** | ***18,137*** | ***72,607*** | ***71,386*** | ***107,780*** | ***103,978*** |
| ***State Total With Denver County Court*** | | ***210,179*** | ***n/a*** | ***23,264*** | *n/a* [b] | ***82,009*** | *n/a* [b] | *n/a* [c] | *n/a* [b] |

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2004.
(b) Termination numbers are not available.
(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction filings are combined.
(d) Total State termination figure does not include Felony Complaint.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Small Claims Filings | Small Claims Term. | Traffic Filings | Traffic Term. | Total Filings | Total Term.[a] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 79 | 94 | 883 | 858 | 2,983 | 2,989 |
| | Conejos | 25 | 23 | 481 | 433 | 1,340 | 1,237 |
| | Costilla | 19 | 20 | 326 | 307 | 1,124 | 1,022 |
| | Mineral | 13 | 13 | 78 | 83 | 236 | 245 |
| | Rio Grande | 40 | 39 | 1,067 | 1,097 | 2,603 | 2,640 |
| | Saguache | 16 | 13 | 509 | 466 | 1,234 | 1,157 |
| | *Total* | *192* | *202* | *3,344* | *3,244* | ***9,520*** | ***9,290*** |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 35 | 41 | 522 | 507 | 1,649 | 1,633 |
| | Logan | 78 | 82 | 864 | 829 | 3,876 | 3,815 |
| | Morgan | 95 | 98 | 1,634 | 1,702 | 5,058 | 5,461 |
| | Phillips | 31 | 22 | 102 | 84 | 367 | 338 |
| | Sedgwick | 8 | 8 | 105 | 85 | 449 | 445 |
| | Washington | 19 | 18 | 261 | 247 | 736 | 718 |
| | Yuma | 52 | 59 | 286 | 239 | 975 | 924 |
| | *Total* | *318* | *328* | *3,774* | *3,693* | ***13,110*** | ***13,334*** |
| 14 | Grand | 53 | 56 | 635 | 574 | 2,282 | 2,100 |
| | Moffat | 71 | 71 | 435 | 448 | 1,721 | 1,698 |
| | Routt | 78 | 87 | 1,152 | 1,143 | 3,414 | 3,356 |
| | *Total* | *202* | *214* | *2,222* | *2,165* | ***7,417*** | ***7,154*** |
| 15 | Baca | 22 | 20 | 256 | 247 | 786 | 784 |
| | Cheyenne | 4 | 3 | 160 | 103 | 430 | 336 |
| | Kiowa | 9 | 8 | 259 | 202 | 676 | 623 |
| | Prowers | 78 | 74 | 1,128 | 1,141 | 2,998 | 2,988 |
| | *Total* | *113* | *105* | *1,803* | *1,693* | ***4,890*** | ***4,731*** |
| 16 | Bent | 26 | 28 | 232 | 200 | 962 | 863 |
| | Crowley | 28 | 18 | 132 | 150 | 738 | 726 |
| | Otero | 109 | 113 | 1,002 | 1,104 | 3,373 | 3,601 |
| | *Total* | *163* | *159* | *1,366* | *1,454* | ***5,073*** | ***5,190*** |
| 17 | Adams | 1,108 | 1,104 | 18,798 | 17,937 | 67,106 | 64,815 |
| | Broomfield | 128 | 122 | 1,910 | 1,703 | 5,142 | 4,925 |
| | *Total* | *1,236* | *1,226* | *20,708* | *19,640* | ***72,248*** | ***69,740*** |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 967 | 1,053 | 13,319 | 12,585 | 42,306 | 41,089 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 712 | 760 | 7,065 | 6,901 | 33,196 | 32,970 |
| | Douglas | 509 | 462 | 8,335 | 8,494 | 34,634 | 33,935 |
| | Elbert | 69 | 71 | 647 | 552 | 1,777 | 1,519 |
| | Lincoln | 31 | 32 | 554 | 442 | 1,391 | 1,276 |
| | *Total* | *2,288* | *2,378* | *29,920* | *28,974* | ***113,304*** | ***110,789*** |
| 19 | Weld | *765* | *788* | *9,158* | *8,389* | ***25,647*** | ***24,976*** |
| 20 | Boulder | 511 | 536 | 7,777 | 7,639 | 22,227 | 22,031 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 212 | 206 | 2,309 | 2,360 | 6,138 | 6,228 |
| | *Total* | *723* | *742* | *10,086* | *9,999* | ***28,365*** | ***28,259*** |
| 21 | Mesa | *555* | *567* | *4,819* | *4,392* | ***17,161*** | ***16,704*** |
| 22 | Dolores | 4 | 5 | 72 | 50 | 232 | 211 |
| | Montezuma | 81 | 81 | 1,458 | 1,395 | 3,637 | 3,444 |
| | *Total* | *85* | *86* | *1,530* | *1,445* | ***3,869*** | ***3,655*** |
| ***State Total Without Denver County Court*** | | ***13,588*** | ***14,005*** | ***167,488*** | ***161,433*** | ***555,447*** | ***543,701*** |
| ***State Total With Denver County Court*** | | ***15,777*** | *n/a*[b] | ***283,408*** | *n/a*[b] | ***722,417*** | *n/a*[b] |

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2004.
(b) Termination numbers are not available.
(c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction filings are combined.
(d) Total State termination figure does not include Felony Complaint.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Civil | | Misdemeanor | | Small Claims | Traffic | | Infractions | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Court Trials | Court Trials | Jury Trials | Final Hearings | Court Trials | Jury Trials |
| 1 | Gilpin | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 6 | **12** | **5** |
| | Jefferson | 164 | 4 | 40 | 47 | 342 | 29 | 27 | 326 | **575** | **78** |
| | *Total* | *166* | *4* | *44* | *50* | *348* | *29* | *29* | *332* | ***587*** | ***83*** |
| 3 | Huerfano | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 43 | **32** | **0** |
| | Las Animas | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 21 | **42** | **1** |
| | *Total* | *17* | *0* | *8* | *1* | *44* | *5* | *0* | *64* | ***74*** | ***1*** |
| 4 | El Paso | 189 | 2 | 43 | 104 | 320 | 17 | 28 | 3,288 | **569** | **134** |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 53 | **2** | **14** |
| | *Total* | *189* | *2* | *44* | *116* | *321* | *17* | *30* | *3,341* | ***571*** | ***148*** |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 46 | **10** | **6** |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | **11** | **0** |
| | Eagle | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 89 | 1 | 5 | 65 | **110** | **9** |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | **0** | **4** |
| | Summit | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 34 | 6 | 6 | 77 | **44** | **10** |
| | *Total* | *21* | *1* | *12* | *11* | *134* | *8* | *16* | *192* | ***175*** | ***29*** |
| 6 | Archuleta | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 31 | **31** | **4** |
| | La Plata | 18 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 57 | 0 | 6 | 115 | **77** | **12** |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | **0** | **1** |
| | *Total* | *23* | *0* | *5* | *10* | *78* | *2* | *7* | *150* | ***108*** | ***17*** |
| 7 | Delta | 6 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 95 | 3 | 4 | 20 | **108** | **9** |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 36 | **8** | **12** |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** | **1** |
| | Montrose | 5 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 54 | 11 | 5 | 36 | **83** | **8** |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | **5** | **2** |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 18 | **13** | **2** |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 18 | **6** | **2** |
| | *Total* | *14* | *0* | *20* | *21* | *173* | *16* | *15* | *129* | ***223*** | ***36*** |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** | **0** |
| | Larimer | 57 | 1 | 21 | 16 | 170 | 6 | 16 | 347 | **254** | **33** |
| | *Total* | *57* | *1* | *21* | *16* | *170* | *6* | *16* | *347* | ***254*** | ***33*** |
| 9 | Garfield | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 47 | 0 | 3 | 83 | **60** | **7** |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 4 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 53 | **51** | **10** |
| | Pitkin | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 51 | **41** | **1** |
| | Rio Blanco | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 10 | **12** | **0** |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | **0** | **1** |
| | *Total* | *22* | *0* | *14* | *12* | *118* | *10* | *7* | *214* | ***164*** | ***19*** |
| 10 | Pueblo | *37* | *1* | *1* | *18* | *26* | *2* | *16* | *143* | ***66*** | ***35*** |
| 11 | Chaffee | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 24 | **34** | **2** |
| | Custer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 32 | **9** | **3** |
| | Fremont | 38 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 29 | 2 | 1 | 74 | **75** | **5** |
| | Park | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 37 | **21** | **6** |
| | *Total* | *49* | *0* | *11* | *13* | *71* | *8* | *3* | *167* | ***139*** | ***16*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Civil Court Trials | Civil Jury Trials | Misdemeanor Court Trials | Misdemeanor Jury Trials | Small Claims Court Trials | Traffic Court Trials | Traffic Jury Trials | Infractions Final Hearings | Total Court Trials | Total Jury Trials |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 111 | **21** | **5** |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 81 | **15** | **2** |
| | Costilla | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 24 | **16** | **0** |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | **1** | **0** |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 39 | **10** | **0** |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | **0** | **0** |
| | *Total* | *6* | *0* | *7* | *4* | *38* | *12* | *3* | *289* | ***63*** | ***7*** |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | **4** | **0** |
| | Logan | 8 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 44 | **40** | **9** |
| | Morgan | 9 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 36 | **39** | **14** |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | **0** | **0** |
| | Sedgwick | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | **2** | **0** |
| | Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | **9** | **2** |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | **5** | **0** |
| | *Total* | *21* | *1* | *8* | *13* | *67* | *3* | *11* | *105* | ***99*** | ***25*** |
| 14 | Grand | 4 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 23 | 4 | 9 | 26 | **37** | **16** |
| | Moffat | 10 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 7 | **41** | **11** |
| | Routt | 4 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 49 | 9 | 3 | 27 | **77** | **7** |
| | *Total* | *18* | *0* | *26* | *19* | *95* | *16* | *15* | *60* | ***155*** | ***34*** |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | **1** | **1** |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | **0** | **0** |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | **0** | **0** |
| | Prowers | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 13 | **22** | **1** |
| | *Total* | *8* | *0* | *2* | *2* | *12* | *1* | *0* | *59* | ***23*** | ***2*** |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 11 | **10** | **1** |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | **2** | **1** |
| | Otero | 7 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 26 | **50** | **14** |
| | *Total* | *8* | *0* | *3* | *13* | *51* | *0* | *3* | *77* | ***62*** | ***16*** |
| 17 | Adams | 113 | 0 | 8 | 56 | 254 | 9 | 27 | 494 | **384** | **83** |
| | Broomfield | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | **41** | **10** |
| | *Total* | *121* | *1* | *10* | *60* | *284* | *10* | *32* | *495* | ***425*** | ***93*** |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 57 | 1 | 5 | 12 | 286 | 3 | 17 | 1,434 | **351** | **30** |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 112 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 207 | 2 | 11 | 1,254 | **326** | **41** |
| | Douglas | 42 | 3 | 31 | 45 | 68 | 11 | 22 | 1,256 | **152** | **70** |
| | Elbert | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 43 | 2 | 1 | 11 | **54** | **2** |
| | Lincoln | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 17 | **27** | **1** |
| | *Total* | *219* | *5* | *48* | *88* | *623* | *20* | *51* | *3,972* | ***910*** | ***144*** |
| 19 | Weld | *406* | *1* | *5* | *38* | *391* | *6* | *25* | *176* | ***808*** | ***64*** |
| 20 | Boulder | 37 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 235 | 11 | 22 | 2,718 | **290** | **46** |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 8 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 85 | 1 | 9 | 814 | **95** | **19** |
| | *Total* | *45* | *0* | *8* | *34* | *320* | *12* | *31* | *3,532* | ***385*** | ***65*** |
| 21 | Mesa | *60* | *1* | *24* | *20* | *80* | *8* | *14* | *2,867* | ***172*** | ***35*** |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 87 | **1** | **0** |
| | Montezuma | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 587 | **36** | **4** |
| | *Total* | *6* | *0* | *0* | *2* | *26* | *5* | *2* | *674* | ***37*** | ***4*** |
| | *State Total* | ***1,513*** | ***18*** | ***321*** | ***561*** | ***3,470*** | ***196*** | ***326*** | ***17,385*** | ***5,500*** | ***906*** |

Back to Table of Contents

*Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings by District*
*FY 1996 to FY 2005*

| District | FY96 | FY97 | FY98 | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 | FY03 | FY04 | FY05 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3,251 | 3,080 | 2,850 | 2,665 | 2,967 | 2,845 | 3,124 | 3,023 | 3,109 | 3,012 |
| Denver County[a] | 4,497 | 4,412 | 3,792 | 4,419 | 4,428 | 3,523 | 2,822 | 3,050 | 2,424 | 2,209 |
| 3 | 346 | 381 | 374 | 418 | 441 | 348 | 316 | 287 | 255 | 240 |
| 4 | 4,234 | 4,200 | 4,383 | 4,069 | 3,393 | 3,532 | 3,420 | 3,480 | 3,548 | 3,552 |
| 5 | 1,068 | 1,080 | 1,475 | 1,727 | 1,846 | 1,434 | 1,504 | 1,400 | 1,394 | 1,269 |
| 6 | 677 | 779 | 640 | 848 | 870 | 803 | 737 | 815 | 660 | 799 |
| 7 | 831 | 834 | 804 | 912 | 734 | 768 | 759 | 658 | 659 | 673 |
| 8 | 2,537 | 2,601 | 2,397 | 2,501 | 2,358 | 2,123 | 2,391 | 2,574 | 2,390 | 2,398 |
| 9 | 1,038 | 915 | 969 | 979 | 1,078 | 1,044 | 930 | 875 | 798 | 726 |
| 10 | 1,394 | 1,756 | 2,048 | 2,062 | 1,704 | 1,420 | 1,249 | 1,374 | 1,316 | 1,079 |
| 11 | 834 | 841 | 714 | 608 | 461 | 558 | 530 | 530 | 538 | 565 |
| 12 | 720 | 658 | 873 | 935 | 1,015 | 837 | 712 | 507 | 560 | 729 |
| 13 | 957 | 927 | 1,013 | 896 | 850 | 745 | 732 | 773 | 575 | 526 |
| 14 | 542 | 544 | 515 | 603 | 554 | 552 | 582 | 527 | 444 | 434 |
| 15 | 232 | 373 | 346 | 381 | 318 | 281 | 306 | 292 | 201 | 160 |
| 16 | 660 | 577 | 568 | 446 | 330 | 309 | 301 | 251 | 234 | 214 |
| 17 | 3,628 | 3,723 | 3,584 | 3,893 | 3,734 | 3,513 | 3,496 | 3,717 | 3,920 | 3,670 |
| 18 | 4,981 | 4,462 | 4,110 | 4,671 | 4,396 | 4,133 | 3,912 | 4,053 | 3,848 | 3,916 |
| 19 | 1,824 | 1,861 | 2,315 | 2,465 | 1,890 | 1,895 | 1,668 | 1,665 | 1,471 | 1,624 |
| 20 | 2,092 | 2,355 | 2,741 | 2,961 | 2,987 | 3,353 | 3,291 | 2,777 | 2,304 | 2,396 |
| 21 | 731 | 853 | 878 | 999 | 987 | 902 | 1,093 | 902 | 977 | 1,065 |
| 22 | 358 | 250 | 284 | 376 | 329 | 317 | 229 | 178 | 227 | 186 |
| *State Totals Without Denver County Court* | ***32,935*** | ***33,050*** | ***33,881*** | ***35,415*** | ***33,242*** | ***31,712*** | ***31,282*** | ***30,658*** | ***29,428*** | ***29,233*** |
| *State Totals*[b] | ***37,432*** | ***37,462*** | ***37,673*** | ***39,834*** | ***37,670*** | ***35,235*** | ***34,104*** | ***33,708*** | ***31,852*** | ***31,442*** |

(a) Denver County Court figures based on a calendar year, 2004.

(b) State Totals do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal case classes.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Change of Venue* | Restraining Order Domestic Abuse | Forcible Entry and Detainer | Foreign Judgment | Money | Name Change | Other | Replevin | Restraining Order | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 153 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 194 |
| | Jefferson | 38 | 726 | 6,741 | 3 | 14,636 | 242 | 210 | 34 | 559 | 23,189 |
| | *Total* | *39* | *727* | *6,769* | *3* | *14,789* | *244* | *210* | *34* | *568* | *23,383* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 179 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 240 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 23 | 31 | 0 | 473 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 76 | 616 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *25* | *52* | *0* | *652* | *11* | *7* | *1* | *107* | *856* |
| 4 | El Paso | 14 | 2,252 | 7,929 | 3 | 17,187 | 245 | 77 | 70 | 663 | 28,440 |
| | Teller | 0 | 66 | 78 | 0 | 423 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 46 | 625 |
| | *Total* | *14* | *2,318* | *8,007* | *3* | *17,610* | *250* | *84* | *70* | *709* | *29,065* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 140 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 37 | 239 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 60 |
| | Eagle | 3 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 444 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 92 | 716 |
| | Lake | 1 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 217 |
| | Summit | 2 | 5 | 63 | 1 | 275 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 34 | 396 |
| | *Total* | *7* | *14* | *343* | *1* | *1,013* | *55* | *7* | *3* | *185* | *1,628* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 13 | 45 | 0 | 139 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 238 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 103 | 131 | 0 | 1,063 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 1,500 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *116* | *178* | *0* | *1,206* | *41* | *9* | *4* | *192* | *1,747* |
| 7 | Delta | 3 | 64 | 64 | 1 | 578 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 138 | 868 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 177 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 257 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 114 |
| | Montrose | 5 | 144 | 163 | 0 | 842 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 84 | 1,264 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 77 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 88 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 150 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *255* | *295* | *1* | *1,822* | *50* | *13* | *14* | *277* | *2,736* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 |
| | Larimer | 17 | 145 | 1,514 | 6 | 7,295 | 138 | 12 | 16 | 340 | 9,483 |
| | *Total* | *17* | *145* | *1,515* | *6* | *7,311* | *138* | *12* | *16* | *347* | *9,507* |
| 9 | Garfield | 6 | 33 | 128 | 0 | 948 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 48 | 1,190 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 0 | 25 | 66 | 0 | 64 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 190 |
| | Pitkin | 3 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 187 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 41 | 283 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 136 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| | *Total* | *9* | *65* | *238* | *0* | *1,317* | *46* | *16* | *3* | *125* | *1,819* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *6* | *569* | *1,023* | *1* | *6,612* | *75* | *8* | *9* | *326* | *8,629* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 8 | 28 | 0 | 267 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 16 | 335 |
| | Custer | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 54 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 1 | 151 | 0 | 839 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 1,253 |
| | Park | 3 | 12 | 45 | 0 | 211 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 306 |
| | *Total* | *6* | *22* | *228* | *0* | *1,349* | *36* | *11* | *1* | *295* | *1,948* |

*Cases opened in another county -- "Change of Venue" is not a cause of action.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Change of Venue* | Restraining Order Domestic Abuse | Forcible Entry and Detainer | Foreign Judgment | Money | Name Change | Other | Replevin | Restraining Order | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 2 | 19 | 27 | 0 | 307 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 130 | 503 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 105 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 169 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 74 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| | Rio Grande | 1 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 252 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 327 |
| | Saguache | 1 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 65 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 128 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *64* | *59* | *1* | *766* | *38* | *13* | *3* | *263* | *1,212* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 222 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 268 |
| | Logan | 3 | 23 | 82 | 1 | 845 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 983 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 47 | 108 | 0 | 1,245 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 52 | 1,467 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 154 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 89 |
| | Washington | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 131 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 148 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 377 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 410 |
| | *Total* | *5* | *87* | *205* | *3* | *3,049* | *25* | *20* | *4* | *121* | *3,519* |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 15 | 66 | 0 | 528 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 645 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 7 | 35 | 0 | 318 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 382 |
| | Routt | 1 | 9 | 38 | 0 | 336 | 12 | 16 | 3 | 48 | 463 |
| | *Total* | *2* | *31* | *139* | *0* | *1,182* | *26* | *22* | *3* | *85* | *1,490* |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 101 |
| | Cheyenne | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 55 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 418 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 480 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *39* | *11* | *0* | *557* | *4* | *3* | *3* | *44* | *662* |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 111 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 181 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 92 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 129 |
| | Otero | 0 | 52 | 46 | 0 | 660 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 71 | 848 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *67* | *58* | *0* | *863* | *17* | *5* | *2* | *145* | *1,158* |
| 17 | Adams | 55 | 638 | 9,599 | 7 | 14,241 | 168 | 82 | 32 | 436 | 25,258 |
| | Broomfield | 9 | 61 | 603 | 1 | 954 | 24 | 8 | 3 | 42 | 1,705 |
| | *Total* | *64* | *699* | *10,202* | *8* | *15,195* | *192* | *90* | *35* | *478* | *26,963* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 23 | 986 | 4,169 | 3 | 4,773 | 155 | 50 | 19 | 478 | 10,656 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 35 | 191 | 6,875 | 10 | 13,655 | 110 | 9 | 54 | 330 | 21,269 |
| | Douglas | 13 | 214 | 1,670 | 1 | 3,780 | 122 | 39 | 4 | 186 | 6,029 |
| | Elbert | 1 | 28 | 53 | 1 | 250 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 41 | 383 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 120 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 161 |
| | *Total* | *72* | *1,436* | *12,770* | *16* | *22,578* | *397* | *103* | *77* | *1,049* | *38,498* |
| 19 | Weld | *16* | *413* | *1,506* | *5* | *6,281* | *65* | *32* | *13* | *288* | *8,619* |
| 20 | Boulder | 11 | 150 | 1,164 | 0 | 3,739 | 132 | 32 | 3 | 174 | 5,405 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 0 | 112 | 263 | 1 | 1,209 | 48 | 13 | 13 | 66 | 1,725 |
| | *Total* | *11* | *262* | *1,427* | *1* | *4,948* | *180* | *45* | *16* | *240* | *7,130* |
| 21 | Mesa | *7* | *72* | *1,041* | *1* | *3,257* | *84* | *6* | *2* | *182* | *4,652* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 27 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 52 | 55 | 0 | 403 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 72 | 599 |
| | *Total* | *1* | *52* | *60* | *0* | *419* | *12* | *3* | *3* | *76* | *626* |
| | *State Total* | *294* | *7,478* | *46,126* | *50* | *112,776* | *1,986* | *719* | *316* | *6,102* | *175,847* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *0.17%* | *4.25%* | *26.23%* | *0.03%* | *64.13%* | *1.13%* | *0.41%* | *0.18%* | *3.47%* | *100.00%* |

*Cases opened in another county -- "Change of Venue" is not a cause of action.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Alcohol | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Animal Violation | Violation Bail Conditions | Child Abuse | Criminal Mischief | Drugs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 21 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 37 |
| | Jefferson | 126 | 10 | 1 | 199 | 338 | 0 | 408 | 83 | 951 |
| | *Total* | *147* | *10* | *1* | *209* | *374* | *0* | *409* | *90* | *988* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 17 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 76 |
| | Las Animas | 37 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 45 |
| | *Total* | *54* | *3* | *0* | *32* | *10* | *6* | *11* | *15* | *121* |
| 4 | El Paso | 626 | 69 | 3 | 433 | 287 | 0 | 249 | 241 | 1,508 |
| | Teller | 15 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 112 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 93 |
| | *Total* | *641* | *72* | *3* | *441* | *399* | *2* | *274* | *245* | *1,601* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 26 | 1 | 1 | 24 | 50 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 49 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| | Eagle | 76 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 25 | 87 |
| | Lake | 4 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 30 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 35 |
| | Summit | 81 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 53 | 27 | 12 | 18 | 166 |
| | *Total* | *190* | *1* | *1* | *140* | *159* | *51* | *46* | *55* | *344* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 17 | 21 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 17 |
| | La Plata | 19 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 191 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 86 |
| | San Juan | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | *Total* | *45* | *22* | *1* | *44* | *209* | *14* | *16* | *9* | *110* |
| 7 | Delta | 16 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 28 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 75 |
| | Gunnison | 25 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 32 | 10 | 7 | 13 | 76 |
| | Hinsdale | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Montrose | 3 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 3 | 21 | 12 | 79 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 23 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 33 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | *Total* | *54* | *3* | *1* | *95* | *96* | *14* | *49* | *46* | *292* |
| 8 | Jackson | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| | Larimer | 941 | 1 | 1 | 238 | 133 | 5 | 123 | 103 | 938 |
| | *Total* | *958* | *1* | *1* | *240* | *138* | *6* | *123* | *105* | *942* |
| 9 | Garfield | 75 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 28 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 75 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 47 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 123 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 73 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 25 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 32 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 22 |
| | *Total* | *159* | *5* | *1* | *103* | *154* | *13* | *29* | *30* | *212* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *130* | *11* | *1* | *171* | *385* | *0* | *57* | *45* | *121* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 11 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 23 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 63 |
| | Custer | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| | Fremont | 6 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 21 | 1 | 25 | 28 | 130 |
| | Park | 78 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 70 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 122 |
| | *Total* | *97* | *0* | *2* | *88* | *116* | *45* | *42* | *38* | *324* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Domestic Violence | Escape | Fraud | Forgery | Gambling | Harassment | Habitual Traffic | Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record) | Menacing |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 35 | 0 | 26 | 155 | 140 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 1,292 | 58 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 147 | 499 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *1,327* | *58* | *45* | *164* | *140* | *167* | *505* | *0* | *6* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 29 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 75 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 23 | 0 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *104* | *1* | *23* | *1* | *0* | *40* | *34* | *0* | *8* |
| 4 | El Paso | 3,041 | 92 | 141 | 5 | 22 | 391 | 346 | 0 | 48 |
| | Teller | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *3,144* | *92* | *141* | *5* | *49* | *421* | *346* | *0* | *50* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Eagle | 170 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 15 | 0 | 2 |
| | Lake | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Summit | 133 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *437* | *0* | *10* | *4* | *0* | *93* | *16* | *0* | *9* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 44 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | La Plata | 247 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| | San Juan | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *295* | *1* | *8* | *1* | *0* | *27* | *6* | *0* | *6* |
| 7 | Delta | 114 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gunnison | 55 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Hinsdale | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 149 | 3 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 28 | 3 | 1 |
| | Ouray | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 25 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *379* | *6* | *71* | *3* | *0* | *69* | *49* | *3* | *7* |
| 8 | Jackson | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 1,050 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 211 | 149 | 0 | 19 |
| | *Total* | *1,057* | *8* | *11* | *5* | *0* | *215* | *149* | *0* | *19* |
| 9 | Garfield | 140 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 222 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 26 | 0 | 6 |
| | Pitkin | 57 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *430* | *1* | *14* | *1* | *0* | *99* | *27* | *0* | *10* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *810* | *5* | *4* | *3* | *0* | *32* | *90* | *4* | *26* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 51 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 2 |
| | Custer | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Fremont | 156 | 1 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Park | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *247* | *3* | *138* | *0* | *0* | *123* | *16* | *0* | *15* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Other | Public Indecency | Perjury | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Sex Offenses |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 49 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| | Jefferson | 1,105 | 19 | 0 | 182 | 7 | 65 |
| | *Total* | *1,154* | *20* | *0* | *189* | *7* | *70* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 91 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *138* | *0* | *0* | *74* | *0* | *2* |
| 4 | El Paso | 643 | 29 | 1 | 295 | 15 | 93 |
| | Teller | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *701* | *29* | *1* | *295* | *15* | *94* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Eagle | 28 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 2 |
| | Lake | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 117 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *214* | *0* | *0* | *146* | *0* | *7* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 54 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *101* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *0* | *2* |
| 7 | Delta | 46 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gunnison | 17 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 3 |
| | Hinsdale | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 109 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3 |
| | Ouray | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 16 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *213* | *7* | *1* | *74* | *0* | *11* |
| 8 | Jackson | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 713 | 19 | 0 | 177 | 2 | 31 |
| | *Total* | *721* | *19* | *0* | *178* | *2* | *31* |
| 9 | Garfield | 63 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 54 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 9 |
| | Pitkin | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *188* | *3* | *2* | *19* | *0* | *16* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *138* | *1* | *0* | *579* | *6* | *19* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 24 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| | Custer | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 201 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Park | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *282* | *4* | *0* | *6* | *0* | *5* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Theft | Trespass | Under Age Alcohol Offense | Wildlife | Weapon | Criminal Attempt | Curfew Violation | Protection Order Violation | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 29 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 617 |
| | Jefferson | 426 | 60 | 975 | 22 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 7,122 |
| | *Total* | *455* | *65* | *982* | *30* | *86* | *0* | *0* | *41* | *7,739* |
| 3 | Huerfano | 8 | 3 | 28 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 |
| | Las Animas | 53 | 1 | 107 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 647 |
| | *Total* | *61* | *4* | *135* | *49* | *5* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *932* |
| 4 | El Paso | 484 | 93 | 729 | 14 | 148 | 0 | 8 | 74 | 10,128 |
| | Teller | 37 | 5 | 48 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 597 |
| | *Total* | *521* | *98* | *777* | *24* | *160* | *0* | *8* | *76* | *10,725* |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 6 | 1 | 54 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 353 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 2 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| | Eagle | 27 | 11 | 132 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 862 |
| | Lake | 3 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 265 |
| | Summit | 65 | 47 | 153 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,016 |
| | *Total* | *103* | *71* | *366* | *98* | *27* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *2,594* |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 4 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 242 |
| | La Plata | 37 | 6 | 39 | 18 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 808 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| | *Total* | *38* | *14* | *57* | *34* | *19* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *1,091* |
| 7 | Delta | 12 | 6 | 28 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 475 |
| | Gunnison | 10 | 5 | 66 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 437 |
| | Hinsdale | 4 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| | Montrose | 15 | 12 | 116 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 723 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 94 |
| | San Miguel | 8 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 188 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| | *Total* | *51* | *40* | *227* | *87* | *31* | *0* | *0* | *44* | *2,023* |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 68 |
| | Larimer | 352 | 98 | 552 | 116 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 6,073 |
| | *Total* | *352* | *101* | *552* | *124* | *62* | *1* | *0* | *20* | *6,141* |
| 9 | Garfield | 11 | 3 | 43 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 552 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 11 | 10 | 50 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 800 |
| | Pitkin | 11 | 6 | 71 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 4 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 2 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 104 |
| | *Total* | *39* | *24* | *190* | *71* | *22* | *0* | *0* | *6* | *1,868* |
| 10 | Pueblo | *142* | *33* | *420* | *202* | *20* | *0* | *3* | *11* | *3,469* |
| 11 | Chaffee | 19 | 6 | 61 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 433 |
| | Custer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | Fremont | 51 | 21 | 223 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1,197 |
| | Park | 3 | 3 | 2 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 495 |
| | *Total* | *74* | *31* | *286* | *169* | *16* | *0* | *0* | *8* | *2,175* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Alcohol | Cruelty to Animals | Arson | Assault | Animal Violation | Violation Bail Conditions | Child Abuse | Criminal Mischief | Drugs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 114 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 35 |
| | Conejos | 46 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 27 |
| | Costilla | 16 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| | Rio Grande | 4 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 46 |
| | Saguache | 15 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| | *Total* | *195* | *1* | *1* | *85* | *16* | *1* | *27* | *34* | *147* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 15 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| | Logan | 74 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 45 |
| | Morgan | 54 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 129 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| | Sedgwick | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| | Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| | Yuma | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| | *Total* | *149* | *14* | *1* | *73* | *30* | *1* | *42* | *24* | *203* |
| 14 | Grand | 58 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 46 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 64 |
| | Moffat | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 42 |
| | Routt | 54 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 19 | 16 | 9 | 15 | 179 |
| | *Total* | *117* | *5* | *0* | *69* | *90* | *27* | *25* | *32* | *285* |
| 15 | Baca | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Prowers | 28 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 29 |
| | *Total* | *31* | *3* | *0* | *23* | *11* | *0* | *3* | *13* | *47* |
| 16 | Bent | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | Otero | 10 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 33 |
| | *Total* | *21* | *2* | *0* | *48* | *26* | *0* | *9* | *17* | *48* |
| 17 | Adams | 391 | 2 | 0 | 125 | 175 | 0 | 268 | 54 | 455 |
| | Broomfield | 4 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 22 | 42 |
| | *Total* | *395* | *2* | *1* | *164* | *176* | *1* | *297* | *76* | *497* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 4 | 4 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 327 | 14 | 313 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 31 | 2 | 1 | 73 | 117 | 0 | 92 | 11 | 240 |
| | Douglas | 114 | 7 | 2 | 94 | 196 | 2 | 100 | 25 | 251 |
| | Elbert | 11 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 42 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 22 |
| | Lincoln | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 43 |
| | *Total* | *162* | *15* | *3* | *270* | *357* | *4* | *532* | *54* | *870* |
| 19 | Weld | *155* | *6* | *1* | *163* | *115* | *1* | *112* | *50* | *288* |
| 20 | Boulder | 130 | 1 | 5 | 118 | 45 | 12 | 74 | 65 | 519 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 3 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 14 | 7 | 66 | 30 | 126 |
| | *Total* | *133* | *1* | *5* | *161* | *59* | *19* | *140* | *95* | *645* |
| 21 | Mesa | *459* | *0* | *3* | *166* | *401* | *0* | *84* | *66* | *833* |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 35 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 13 | 62 |
| | *Total* | *35* | *7* | *0* | *33* | *5* | *0* | *23* | *13* | *63* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***4,327*** | ***184*** | ***27*** | ***2,818*** | ***3,326*** | ***205*** | ***2,350*** | ***1,152*** | ***8,981*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***5.96%*** | ***0.25%*** | ***0.04%*** | ***3.88%*** | ***4.58%*** | ***0.28%*** | ***3.24%*** | ***1.59%*** | ***12.37%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Domestic Violence | Escape | Fraud | Forgery | Gambling | Harassment | Habitual Traffic | Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record) | Menacing |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 89 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 16 | 0 | 6 |
| | Conejos | 22 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 0 | 1 |
| | Mineral | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 51 | 1 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 35 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *214* | *1* | *184* | *1* | *0* | *99* | *49* | *4* | *8* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 23 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| | Logan | 76 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 153 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 2 | 3 |
| | Phillips | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Washington | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *271* | *2* | *8* | *2* | *0* | *55* | *53* | *3* | *9* |
| 14 | Grand | 102 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Moffat | 70 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Routt | 54 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *226* | *2* | *17* | *0* | *0* | *35* | *3* | *0* | *5* |
| 15 | Baca | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 57 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *83* | *0* | *105* | *0* | *0* | *9* | *28* | *1* | *1* |
| 16 | Bent | 34 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Crowley | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 136 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | *Total* | *180* | *1* | *9* | *0* | *0* | *57* | *1* | *0* | *7* |
| 17 | Adams | 1,125 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 376 | 0 | 7 |
| | Broomfield | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
| | *Total* | *1,267* | *2* | *1* | *4* | *0* | *47* | *388* | *0* | *11* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 194 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 225 | 0 | 4 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 766 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 146 | 0 | 4 |
| | Douglas | 520 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 92 | 44 | 0 | 6 |
| | Elbert | 28 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *1,523* | *7* | *10* | *6* | *0* | *260* | *417* | *0* | *15* |
| 19 | Weld | *1,159* | *59* | *92* | *4* | *0* | *73* | *236* | *0* | *13* |
| 20 | Boulder | 455 | 64 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 119 | 59 | 0 | 15 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 328 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 61 | 0 | 5 |
| | *Total* | *783* | *70* | *13* | *5* | *0* | *142* | *120* | *0* | *20* |
| 21 | Mesa | *682* | *4* | *9* | *1* | *0* | *137* | *0* | *1* | *6* |
| 22 | Dolores | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 105 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *108* | *0* | *28* | *1* | *0* | *26* | *17* | *0* | *1* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***14,726*** | ***323*** | ***941*** | ***211*** | ***189*** | ***2,226*** | ***2,550*** | ***16*** | ***252*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***20.28%*** | ***0.44%*** | ***1.30%*** | ***0.29%*** | ***0.26%*** | ***3.07%*** | ***3.51%*** | ***0.02%*** | ***0.35%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Other | Public Indecency | Perjury | Public Peace and Order | Prostitution | Sex Offenses |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 98 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| | Conejos | 33 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Saguache | 39 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *245* | *1* | *0* | *11* | *0* | *11* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 42 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 2 |
| | Morgan | 164 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| | Phillips | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *260* | *3* | *0* | *63* | *0* | *6* |
| 14 | Grand | 23 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 3 |
| | Moffat | 36 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Routt | 68 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *127* | *7* | *0* | *16* | *0* | *5* |
| 15 | Baca | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| | Kiowa | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 16 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *28* | *0* | *0* | *44* | *0* | *2* |
| 16 | Bent | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 14 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 92 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| | *Total* | *119* | *0* | *0* | *18* | *0* | *1* |
| 17 | Adams | 1,104 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 35 |
| | Broomfield | 92 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *1,196* | *16* | *0* | *26* | *2* | *37* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 448 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 241 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 20 |
| | Douglas | 629 | 8 | 0 | 77 | 2 | 12 |
| | Elbert | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | *Total* | *1,393* | *22* | *0* | *114* | *5* | *49* |
| 19 | Weld | *351* | *4* | *0* | *50* | *1* | *21* |
| 20 | Boulder | 18 | 22 | 0 | 316 | 2 | 17 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 79 | 7 | 0 | 101 | 1 | 8 |
| | *Total* | *97* | *29* | *0* | *417* | *3* | *25* |
| 21 | Mesa | *496* | *2* | *0* | *5* | *1* | *8* |
| 22 | Dolores | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 59 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 2 |
| | *Total* | *61* | *0* | *0* | *54* | *0* | *3* |
| | ***State Total*** | ***8,223*** | ***167*** | ***4*** | ***2,386*** | ***42*** | ***425*** |
| | ***Percent of State Total*** | ***11.32%*** | ***0.23%*** | ***0.01%*** | ***3.29%*** | ***0.06%*** | ***0.59%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Theft | Trespass | Under Age Alcohol Offense | Wildlife | Weapon | Criminal Attempt | Curfew Violation | Protection Order Violation | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 17 | 3 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 591 |
| | Conejos | 7 | 2 | 34 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 |
| | Costilla | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 153 |
| | Mineral | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
| | Rio Grande | 11 | 5 | 84 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| | Saguache | 2 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 189 |
| | *Total* | *39* | *19* | *177* | *36* | *7* | *1* | *0* | *9* | *1,623* |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 10 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 140 |
| | Logan | 8 | 3 | 46 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 462 |
| | Morgan | 8 | 9 | 74 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 743 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 |
| | Sedgwick | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| | Yuma | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 84 |
| | *Total* | *32* | *20* | *140* | *49* | *16* | *0* | *0* | *29* | *1,558* |
| 14 | Grand | 6 | 5 | 75 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 516 |
| | Moffat | 20 | 16 | 17 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 327 |
| | Routt | 51 | 16 | 202 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 786 |
| | *Total* | *77* | *37* | *294* | *100* | *13* | *0* | *0* | *15* | *1,629* |
| 15 | Baca | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| | Cheyenne | 1 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | Kiowa | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Prowers | 20 | 3 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 386 |
| | *Total* | *24* | *12* | *48* | *11* | *1* | *0* | *0* | *3* | *531* |
| 16 | Bent | 2 | 2 | 26 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 168 |
| | Crowley | 2 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 76 |
| | Otero | 31 | 5 | 114 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 555 |
| | *Total* | *35* | *10* | *150* | *22* | *6* | *0* | *0* | *12* | *799* |
| 17 | Adams | 117 | 70 | 176 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 4,610 |
| | Broomfield | 179 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 633 |
| | *Total* | *296* | *82* | *186* | *5* | *38* | *1* | *0* | *29* | *5,243* |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 72 | 23 | 657 | 11 | 40 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2,543 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 519 | 18 | 457 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 2,896 |
| | Douglas | 208 | 35 | 602 | 131 | 28 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 3,213 |
| | Elbert | 7 | 8 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 217 |
| | Lincoln | 13 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| | *Total* | *819* | *89* | *1,764* | *153* | *93* | *0* | *18* | *35* | *9,058* |
| 19 | Weld | *207* | *62* | *287* | *43* | *36* | *4* | *0* | *12* | *3,605* |
| 20 | Boulder | 405 | 57 | 1,354 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,923 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 71 | 23 | 34 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,079 |
| | *Total* | *476* | *80* | *1,388* | *40* | *36* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *5,002* |
| 21 | Mesa | *241* | *80* | *353* | *47* | *59* | *1* | *0* | *4* | *4,149* |
| 22 | Dolores | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| | Montezuma | 21 | 8 | 93 | 23 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 625 |
| | *Total* | *22* | *12* | *95* | *29* | *17* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *653* |
| | *State Total* | *4,104* | *984* | *8,874* | *1,423* | *770* | *8* | *29* | *365* | *72,608* |
| | *Percent of State Total* | *5.65%* | *1.35%* | *12.22%* | *1.96%* | *1.06%* | *0.01%* | *0.04%* | *0.50%* | *100.00%* |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Covenant | Change of Venue | Landlord Tenant | Money | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | **25** |
| | Jefferson | 4 | 0 | 237 | 1,181 | 0 | **1,422** |
| | *Total* | *4* | *0* | *237* | *1,206* | *0* | ***1,447*** |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | **44** |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 1 | 103 | 1 | **105** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *1* | *147* | *1* | ***149*** |
| 4 | El Paso | 4 | 0 | 212 | 1,601 | 0 | **1,817** |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | **92** |
| | *Total* | *4* | *0* | *212* | *1,693* | *0* | ***1,909*** |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | **40** |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | **31** |
| | Eagle | 0 | 1 | 2 | 237 | 0 | **240** |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | **36** |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 1 | 159 | 0 | **160** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *3* | *503* | *0* | ***507*** |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | **77** |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 4 | 188 | 1 | **193** |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | **9** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *4* | *274* | *1* | ***279*** |
| 7 | Delta | 2 | 0 | 1 | 160 | 1 | **164** |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | **55** |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | **5** |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | **6** |
| | Montrose | 1 | 0 | 23 | 147 | 1 | **172** |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | **27** |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 | 0 | **52** |
| | *Total* | *3* | *0* | *36* | *440* | *2* | ***481*** |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | **14** |
| | Larimer | 0 | 2 | 1 | 794 | 2 | **799** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *1* | *807* | *3* | ***813*** |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 16 | 168 | 1 | **185** |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | **73** |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 1 | **90** |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | **39** |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | **27** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *18* | *394* | *2* | ***414*** |
| 10 | Pueblo | *0* | *0* | *32* | *600* | *2* | ***634*** |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | **75** |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | **17** |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | **177** |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | **46** |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *0* | *315* | *0* | ***315*** |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type, FY 2005

| District | Court Location | Covenant | Change of Venue | Landlord Tenant | Money | Other | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 79 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 25 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 40 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *1* | *189* | *1* | ***192*** |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 8 | 70 | 0 | 78 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 95 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *2* | *9* | *307* | *0* | ***318*** |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 7 | 46 | 0 | 53 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 4 | 67 | 0 | 71 |
| | Routt | 0 | 1 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 78 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *12* | *189* | *0* | ***202*** |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 1 | 9 | 68 | 0 | 78 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *9* | *103* | *0* | ***113*** |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 26 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 9 | 99 | 1 | 109 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *11* | *151* | *1* | ***163*** |
| 17 | Adams | 4 | 27 | 75 | 1,002 | 0 | 1,108 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 3 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 128 |
| | *Total* | *4* | *30* | *75* | *1,127* | *0* | ***1,236*** |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 0 | 8 | 2 | 954 | 3 | 967 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 0 | 3 | 0 | 709 | 0 | 712 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 5 | 0 | 504 | 0 | 509 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 69 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *17* | *3* | *2,265* | *3* | ***2,288*** |
| 19 | Weld | *0* | *10* | *126* | *622* | *7* | ***765*** |
| 20 | Boulder | 0 | 1 | 0 | 510 | 0 | 511 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *1* | *0* | *722* | *0* | ***723*** |
| 21 | Mesa | *3* | *0* | *48* | *504* | *0* | ***555*** |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 10 | 71 | 0 | 81 |
| | *Total* | *0* | *0* | *10* | *75* | *0* | ***85*** |
| | *State Total* | ***18*** | ***66*** | ***848*** | ***12,633*** | ***23*** | ***13,588*** |
| | *Percent of State Total* | ***0.13%*** | ***0.49%*** | ***6.24%*** | ***92.97%*** | ***0.17%*** | ***100.00%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

# WATER COURT JUDGES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Division One | Greeley | Roger A. Klein |
| Division Two | Pueblo | C. Dennis Maes |
| Division Three | Alamosa | O. John Kuenhold |
| Division Four | Montrose | J. Steven Patrick |
| Division Five | Glenwood Springs | T. Peter Craven |
| Division Six | Steamboat Springs | Michael A. O'Hara, III |
| Division Seven | Durango | Gregory G. Lyman |

Back to Table of Contents

# Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan Rivers. Each water division is staffed by a division engineer, appointed by the state engineer; a water judge, appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee, appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk, assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

Table 32: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change: FY 2004, FY 2005

| Division | FY04 | FY05 | Percent Change |
|---|---|---|---|
| **One - Weld County, Greeley** | | | |
| Filings | 362 | 359 | -0.83% |
| Claims | 3,926 | 1,916 | -51.20% |
| **Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo** | | | |
| Filings | 112 | 130 | 16.07% |
| Claims | 830 | 610 | -26.51% |
| **Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa** | | | |
| Filings | 52 | 22 | -57.69% |
| Claims | 170 | 71 | -58.24% |
| **Four - Montrose County, Montrose** | | | |
| Filings | 257 | 187 | -27.24% |
| Claims | 598 | 335 | -43.98% |
| **Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs** | | | |
| Filings | 299 | 268 | -10.37% |
| Claims | 2,235 | 1,143 | -48.86% |
| **Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs** | | | |
| Filings | 98 | 61 | -37.76% |
| Claims | 245 | 101 | -58.78% |
| **Seven - La Plata County, Durango** | | | |
| Filings | 105 | 82 | -21.90% |
| Claims | 195 | 226 | 15.90% |
| ***State Total*** | | | |
| ***Filings*** | ***1,285*** | ***1,109*** | ***-13.70%*** |
| ***Claims*** | ***8,199*** | ***4,402*** | ***-46.31%*** |

Back to Table of Contents

# The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the courts in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs, including multi-door courthouse programs which provide case screening to assist in matching cases to an appropriate dispute resolution process. ODR also provides dispute resolution services, including mediation and other services; provides information to the public regarding dispute resolution and Colorado's dispute resolution programs; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including governmental units, such as bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR first began mediating cases in FY 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases. In FY 2005, an estimated 4,301 domestic relations, civil, juvenile, dependency and neglect, truancy, county court, and probate cases were filed with ODR from 19 of the state's 22 judicial districts. Of these, 3,371 were mediated or provided other ADR services, such as parenting coordination or arbitration. The remaining cases were withdrawn by the parties for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to mediation.

From the early 1990's through FY05, ODR was cash funded by the fees paid for ADR services. Beginning in FY06, ODR will instead receive a general fund appropriation for program/administrative costs, and the parties will pay the mediators directly for their services. ODR will continue to provide free mediation services for indigent parties and subsidized mediation services for low-income parties in cases involving parenting issues, and will expand its free ADR services for other indigent parties and for dependency and neglect cases, based on its general fund appropriation and its continuing receipt of the federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. Beginning in FY06, ODR will also begin offering mini-grants for community conflict resolution and restorative justice services. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at http://www.courts.state.co.us/chs/court/mediation/odrindex.htm.

Back to Table of Contents

## Table 33 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage FY 2005

| Case Type | Cases partially or completely resolved | Cases not resolved [1] | Cases served left w/ proposal[2] | Cases inappropriate for ADR | Total # of cases ADR provided | ADR not provided[3] | Total cases filed with ODR [4] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Domestic** | 1651 | 521 | 236 | 51 | 2459 | 734 | 3193 |
| **%** | 67% | 21% | 10% | 2% | | | |
| **District Civil** | 175 | 126 | 54 | 11 | 366 | 85 | 451 |
| **%** | 48% | 34% | 15% | 3% | | | |
| **Juvenile** | 80 | 27 | 13 | 5 | 125 | 34 | 159 |
| **%** | 64% | 22% | 10% | 4% | | | |
| **Criminal** | 62 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 21 | 91 |
| **%** | 89% | 11% | | | | | |
| **County Civil** | 56 | 33 | 16 | 2 | 107 | 2 | 109 |
| **%** | 52% | 31% | 15% | 2% | | | |
| **D&N** | 154 | 9 | 38 | 1 | 202 | 18 | 220 |
| **%** | 76% | 4% | 19% | 1% | | | |
| **Probate** | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 17 |
| **%** | 70% | 15% | 15% | | | | |
| **Small Claim** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 28 |
| **%** | 100% | | | | | | |

[1] No agreement was reached at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service. This information is not available.
[2] A proposed agreement was reached at time of service, but not signed. Parties left with proposal. Information is not available as to whether the agreement was finalized.
[3] ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to mediation, refusal by one party, decision to use another provider, and other.
[4] Total number of cases filed indicates the number of party contacts to initiate ADR services (mediation, arbitration, parenting coordination, etc.)

Back to Table of Contents

# Table 34 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type FY2005

| Judicial District | Domestic | District Civil | Juvenile | Criminal | County Civil | D &N | Probate | Small Claim | Other | Truancy | Total Cases Served |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 94 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| 2 | 197 | 81 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 371 |
| 3 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 4 | 852 | 39 | 1 | 70 | 82 | 196 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,249 |
| 5 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | 258 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 |
| 9 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 10 | 312 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| 11 | 119 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 126 |

Back to Table of Contents

# Table 34 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type FY2005

| Judicial District | Domestic | District Civil | Juvenile | Criminal | County Civil | D &N | Probate | Small Claim | Other | Truancy | Total Cases Served |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 56 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 14 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 18 | 307 | 163 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 485 |
| 19 | 57 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 20 | 28 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 21 | 98 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State Total | 2,460 | 366 | 125 | 70 | 107 | 202 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 3,371 |

Back to Table of Contents

Table 35

# Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type FY2005

| Judicial District | Domestic | District Civil | Juvenile | Criminal | County Civil | D & N | Probate | Small Claim | Other | Truancy | Total Cases Mediated |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 92 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 2 | 119 | 81 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 282 |
| 3 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 4 | 848 | 37 | 1 | 67 | 82 | 196 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,239 |
| 5 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8 | 258 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 |
| 9 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 10 | 312 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| 11 | 119 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 126 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Back to Table of Contents

# FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2005, the Judicial Branch had a budget of $230 million ($165 million in general funds and $65.5 million in cash and federal funds) and 2,929.1 full-time equivalent staff. This reflects a net increase of $6 million over the original FY 2004 appropriation. This increase was primarily due to a 2% salary increase and partial restoration of the 14% staff cut in FY2004.

In FY2005, personnel costs of $143.1 million comprised the largest portion of the $230.5 million budget. Benefits accounted for $28.3 million, operating costs $9.3 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs $20 million dollars and $12.6 million was appropriated to mandated costs for court appointed counsel, jury and court costs. The remaining $31.6 million was appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, the collections and alternate dispute resolution programs, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

| | **FY 2005 Total Funds** (millions) |
|---|---|
| Personal Services (non Judge) | $114.8 |
| Personal Services (Judge) | $28.3 |
| Benefits | $13.6 |
| Operating | $9.3 |
| Mandated Costs | $12.6 |
| Victims Comp/Assistance | $20.3 |
| Other | $31.6 |
| **Total** | **$230.5** |

The Judicial Branch's FY 2005 appropriation represented 1.6% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget.

Back to Table of Contents

COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH

# COLLECTIONS

FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

| CATEGORY | | AMOUNT |
|---|---|---|
| **GENERAL FUND** | | |
| 1% Asset Forfeiture | $ | 6,707 |
| Copy Work, Certifications, etc. | $ | 838,663 |
| Court Registry Interest, NSF Fees, and Other Miscellaneous Fees | $ | 100,603 |
| Miscellaneous Civil/Probate Docket Fees and Related | $ | 15,528,674 |
| Miscellaneous Criminal Docket Fees and Related | $ | 7,580,016 |
| Public Defender Fees | $ | 49,002 |
| Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings) | $ | 358 |
| Unclaimed Funds | $ | 166,999 |
| Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion) | $ | 1,809,882 |
| Water Case Filing & Mailing Fees | $ | 196,260 |
| **Subtotal** | **$** | **26,277,164** |
| **Percentage of Total** | | **19.7%** |
| **HIGHWAY USER'S TRUST FUND** | | |
| D.U.I. Fines | $ | 1,319,205 |
| Traffic Fines & Forfeits | $ | 8,540,338 |
| **Subtotal** | **$** | **9,859,543** |
| **Percentage of Total** | | **7.4%** |
| **VICTIM FUNDS** | | |
| Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred) | $ | 22,534,365 |
| Victims Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs) | $ | 11,816,418 |
| Victims Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs) | $ | 8,494,136 |
| **Subtotal** | **$** | **42,844,919** |
| **Percentage of Total** | | **32.1%** |
| **OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS** | | |
| Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees | $ | 4,558,878 |
| Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Division of Criminal Justice) | $ | 1,555 |
| Attorney Fee Reimbursements | $ | 160,001 |
| Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment) | $ | 311,839 |
| Continuing Legal Education Fund | $ | 326,277 |
| Data Access Fees | $ | 1,747,962 |
| Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment) | $ | 98,517 |
| Drug Offender Surcharge Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies) | $ | 3,578,212 |
| Drug Offender Surcharge Cost Recov. Portion | $ | 196,865 |
| Family Friendly Courts Surcharge | $ | 306,951 |
| Family Stabilization Fees (for Dept. of Human Services) | $ | 2,405,197 |
| Felony, Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund) | $ | 1,510,743 |
| Judicial Performance Fund | $ | 476,534 |
| Judicial Stabilization Fund | $ | 10,570,424 |
| Law Enforcement Assistant Fees (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services) | $ | 2,078,535 |
| Law Examiner Board Fund | $ | 872,327 |
| Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected | $ | 6,065,164 |
| Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.) | $ | 49,925 |
| Office of Dispute Resolution Fund | $ | 936,829 |
| Outstanding Judgment & Warrant Fees (Judicial Dept. portion) | $ | 1,248,088 |
| Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services) | $ | 759,877 |
| Probation Supervision Fees (for Judicial Offender Services Fund) | $ | 7,162,590 |
| Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies) | $ | 358,720 |
| Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation) | $ | 4,910,807 |
| Supreme Court Law Library Fund | $ | 356,967 |
| Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment) | $ | 77,106 |
| Time Payment/Late Fees (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund) | $ | 2,451,862 |
| Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services) | $ | 550,752 |
| Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs) | $ | 359,610 |
| Wildlife Fund (for Dept. of Natural Resources) | $ | 110,142 |
| Youthful Offender Surcharge | $ | 29 |
| **Subtotal** | **$** | **54,599,285** |
| **Percentage of Total** | | **40.9%** |
| **TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES** | **$** | **133,580,911** |

Back to Table of Contents

Chart 5

# JUDICIAL BRANCH
# COLLECTIONS

In Fiscal Year 2005, the state courts throughout Colorado collected $22.5 million in restitution for victims of crime along with $111 million in other statutory fines, fees, and costs. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures to maximize the collection of restitution, fines and fees. Examples include: investigating offender assets and earnings; issuing wage attachments; locating offenders who are trying to avoid their financial obligations to the court; intercepting state income tax refunds and lottery winnings; bank account garnishments; suspensions of drivers licenses; and referrals of accounts to private collection agencies. Besides Collections Investigators, other personnel also play key roles in collections including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys and other criminal justice agencies. The chart below shows the restitution recoveries over the last ten years.



Back to Table of Contents

# FY 2005 Final Appropriations

**Chart 6: FY2005 Statewide Total Appropriations**




**Chart 7: FY 2005 Judicial Appropriation By Agency**




**Chart 8: FY2005 Judicial Appropriation by Major Progam**




**Chart 9: FY 2005 Judicial Appropriation By Major Cost Category**



# CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Mike Riede

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT ADULT**
Bob Mowatt
Fran Jamison, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT JUVENILE**
Shawn Cohn

**THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Bernie Fay

**FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Jack Ruszczyk

**FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Steven W. Brittain

**SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Carrol Warner

**EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Paul Cooper

**NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Shawnee Barnes

**TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
David Simental

**ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Lisa Lane

**TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Richard Pacheco

**THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Amy Hobbs

**SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Mike Garcia

**EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Robin Leaf
Margaret Milner, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

**NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Kevin Nelan
Mary Nagler, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

**TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**
Susan Gilbert

**TWENTY-SECOND JUCIDIAL DISTRICT**
Steven W. Brittain

Updated 7/06

# COLORADO PROBATION

*Colorado Probation is committed to public safety, victim and community reparation through offender accountability, skill and competency development and services to the communities of Colorado.*

The Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision approaches, victim involvement and community development. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado.

This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

## *Probation Programs*

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments with 53 separate probation offices throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all specialized probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to probation in FY 2005 was 28,047 and on June 30, 2005 there were 39,880 adult offenders on supervision. In FY 2005, fifty-five percent of all adults completed regular probation successfully.

In Fiscal Year 2005, 5,983 juvenile offenders were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2005 there were 7,224 juvenile offenders on supervision. In FY 2005 sixty-eight percent of all juveniles completed regular probation successfully.

## *High Risk Offender Programs*

Specialized programs provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. Three main goals drive specialized probation programs. They are to assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in a specialized probation program. These programs offer specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and literacy and employment programs.

## *Adult Intensive Supervision Probation*

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk offenders. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's capacity from 750 to 1,500 offenders annually. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management that includes daily contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. There are 59.75 FTE AISP officers and the number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY 2005 the program successfully diverted 668 offenders from the Department of Corrections.

## *Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation*

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. There are 27.25 FTE JISP officers and the number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY 2005 the program successfully diverted 227 juveniles, who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

## *Female Offender Program*

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding. The number of offenders assigned to a FOP officer is capped at 30. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of required budget reductions. There was a 0% recidivism rate, for two years following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005. There are 6.0 FTE FOP officers and the number of women assigned a FOP officer is capped at 30. The program successfully diverted 22 women from the Department of Corrections in FY 2005. The number of successful terminations is artificially low due to the need to re-populate the program and the length of time necessary for successful termination.

## *Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation*

The sex offender intensive supervision program (SOISP) is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in FY 1998 primarily for lifetime supervision cases, based on the risk

posed by those offenders, the legislature made a significant change to the statute in FY 2001. All felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. Prior to the creation of the SOISP program the average length of probation supervision for a sex offender was 5 years. The initial staffing appropriated (46 FTE) in FY 1999 and FY 2000 was judged to be sufficient to meet the supervision requirements for the period necessary to achieve full program implementation. The program design includes a capped caseload of 25 offenders per SOISP officer.

Sex offending behavior is a life-long problem in which the goal is not "curing" the offender, but rather management or control of the assaultive behavior. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with an offender, curfew checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. In FY 2005 the program successfully terminated 58 offenders from the SOISP program.

## *Other Probation Programs*

### *Private Probation*

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk probationers. Colorado Probation has utilized private probation contract services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by Standard. On June 30, 2005 there were 8,907 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate its resources on the higher risk offenders.

### *Drug Courts*

Drug Courts are a collaborative effort involving the court, probation, district attorney, defense council, and treatment agencies to respond to non-violent serious drug abusing offenders. These offenders are assessed to determine the severity of their substance abuse, matched to the appropriate level of treatment, tested frequently for abstinence, monitored closely by a case manager and have frequent reviews in front of a Judge or Magistrate where sanctions or incentives can be immediately delivered. This approach to offenders with serious substance abuse problems has been shown in national studies to be highly effective. In Colorado, there are adult drug courts in the 2$^{nd}$, 4$^{th}$, 6$^{th}$, 7$^{th}$, 8$^{th}$, 11$^{th}$ and 22nd Judicial Districts and juvenile drug courts in the 2$^{nd}$, 6$^{th}$, 7$^{th}$, 8$^{th}$ and 11$^{th}$ Judicial Districts. These cases are not uniquely identifiable in the Judicial Department's information system and there is no data

related to drug courts available in this annual statistical report. Work is underway to allow for the collection of data related to these cases.

## *DUI Offenders*

The Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence with drugs or alcohol. In FY 2005 the program completed nearly 29,000 evaluations and monitored compliance with treatment and other court orders for Colorado's county courts.

## *Victim Services*

In FY 1993 Colorado's General Assembly enacted victim rights legislation for victims of personal crime. In 1996, the Victim Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Since FY 1999, each of the state's 23 probation departments has provided services to victims whose offender is placed on probation. In FY 2005, Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 12,077 letters to victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification, and of these victims twenty percent (2,363) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There were a total of 14,307 critical notification events in which victims were notified.

***FY 2005 REPORT CHANGES***

*Due to policy, business practice and programming changes in Probation's management information system, some of the tables in this addendum to the FY 2005 annual report have been modified from previous years. All of the same data is available but may be different in its presentation.*

*Modificaitons have been made to the following tables:*

*Table 2: Investigations; Table 5: New Cases by Supervision Type; Table 10: All Probation Supervision Closure Types; Table 14: Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads; Table 17: Private Probation New Cases, Table 18: Juvenile Investigations,*

*The following tables have been eliminated:*

*FY 04 Table 46: Last Risk Classification at Termination, Table 48-56: Direct Sentence to Private Probation New Cases- by Offense Type, by Court of Origin, by Supervison Type, by Gender, by Age, byEthnic Group, Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations, Type of Revocation and Length of Stay and Table 67: Last Risk Classification at Termination*

*Additional private probation reporting will be included in the FY 2006 Annual Report*

TABLE 1
(FY 04 TABLE 33)

***Summary of Supervision and Investigation Caseload Totals***
***FY 2001 to FY 2005***

| | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 | FY2005 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **ADULT** | | | | | |
| [1]**On Supervision July 1** | 36,635 | 37,259 | 39,751 | 39,673 | 39,207 |
| [2]**New State Clients** | 19,859 | 22,665 | 23,468 | 22,972 | 20,043 |
| **New Private Probation Clients** | | | | | 8,004 |
| **Closures** | 18,092 | 18,506 | 20,747 | 20,912 | 18,306 |
| [3]**On Supervision June 30** | 37,259 | 39,751 | 39,673 | 39,207 | 39,880 |
| **Total Investigations** | 14,318 | 15,257 | 15,725 | 15,294 | 15,572 |
| **JUVENILE** | | | | | |
| **On Supervision July 1** | 9,041 | 8,524 | 8,558 | 8,339 | 7,869 |
| **New Clients** | 7,164 | 7,600 | 7,764 | 6,823 | 5,983 |
| **Closures** | 6,856 | 6,321 | 6,897 | 6,310 | 6,255 |
| **On Supervision June 30** | 8,524 | 8,558 | 8,339 | 7,869 | 7,224 |
| **Total Investigations** | 4,887 | 4,917 | 4,385 | 3,453 | 3,104 |
| **ADULT & JUVENILE COMBINED** | | | | | |
| **On Supervision July 1** | 45,676 | 45,783 | 48,309 | 48,012 | 47,076 |
| **New Clients** | 27,023 | 30,265 | 31,232 | 29,795 | 34,030 |
| **Closures** | 24,948 | 24,827 | 27,644 | 27,222 | 24,561 |
| **On Supervision June 30** | 45,783 | 48,309 | 48,012 | 47,076 | 47,104 |
| **Total Investigations** | 19,205 | 20,174 | 20,110 | 18,747 | 18,676 |

[1]On Supervision July 1: This total reflects the number of State supervised clients and the number of transfers to private probation for supervision.

[2]New State Clients: In FY 2001-2004 new private probation were included in this number. In FY 2005 the private probation clients were taken out of this number and are reflected in the "New Private Probation Clients" row.

[3]On Supervision June 30: In FY 2001-2004 this number represented all state probationers and only those clients who were transferred from state probation to private. In FY 2005 this number represents all state probationers, clients transfered from state probation to private and direct sentences to private excluding DUI cases.

TABLE 2
(FY 04 TABLE 34 35)

# *FY 2005*
# *Colorado Adult Probation*
# *Investigations*

| TYPE: | Sex Offender | | Pre- Sentence Investigations | | Updated Pre-Sentence Investigation | | Intake Evaluation | | Interstate Investigations to Colorado | | Administrative | | TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS | | No Show Reports* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 32 | 2% | 1,647 | 89% | 13 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 147 | 8% | 1,841 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 6 | 0% | 1,981 | 93% | 15 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 0% | 117 | 5% | 2,128 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 1 | 1% | 79 | 84% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 13% | 94 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 19 | 1% | 1,575 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 131 | 7% | 209 | 11% | 1,934 | 100% | 3 | 2% |
| 5 | 2 | 1% | 199 | 78% | 11 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 4% | 32 | 13% | 255 | 100% | 4 | 3% |
| 6 | 3 | 1% | 284 | 78% | 11 | 3% | 20 | 6% | 23 | 6% | 21 | 6% | 362 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 169 | 50% | 15 | 4% | 104 | 31% | 23 | 7% | 26 | 8% | 337 | 100% | 10 | 8% |
| 8 | 12 | 1% | 802 | 66% | 86 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 4% | 268 | 22% | 1,219 | 100% | 13 | 10% |
| 9 | 1 | 0% | 274 | 70% | 65 | 17% | 10 | 3% | 19 | 5% | 21 | 5% | 390 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 3 | 0% | 670 | 78% | 45 | 5% | 8 | 1% | 13 | 2% | 119 | 14% | 858 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 202 | 78% | 7 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 5% | 37 | 14% | 260 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 1 | 0% | 306 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 53 | 13% | 13 | 3% | 23 | 6% | 396 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 1 | 0% | 307 | 76% | 32 | 8% | 1 | 0% | 11 | 3% | 53 | 13% | 405 | 100% | 8 | 6% |
| 14 | 2 | 1% | 229 | 66% | 14 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 99 | 28% | 349 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 24 | 92% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 4% | 26 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 1 | 4% | 19 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 4% | 4 | 16% | 25 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 20 | 1% | 1,303 | 92% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 93 | 7% | 1,423 | 100% | 54 | 42% |
| 18 | 19 | 3% | 572 | 79% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 1% | 65 | 9% | 60 | 8% | 720 | 100% | 2 | 2% |
| 19 | 5 | 0% | 708 | 64% | 126 | 11% | 24 | 2% | 21 | 2% | 220 | 20% | 1,104 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 6 | 2% | 300 | 83% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 15% | 363 | 100% | 1 | 1% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 497 | 56% | 6 | 1% | 209 | 24% | 17 | 2% | 154 | 17% | 883 | 100% | 33 | 26% |
| 22 | 3 | 2% | 169 | 85% | 6 | 3% | 2 | 1% | 12 | 6% | 8 | 4% | 200 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 137 | 1% | 12,316 | 79% | 456 | 3% | 436 | 3% | 447 | 3% | 1,780 | 11% | 15,572 | 100% | 128 | 100% |

***No Show Reports:** This short report is submitted to the court without a full investigation due to the unavailablity of the offender and are not included in the TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 3
(FY04 TABLE 36)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *New Clients by Offense Type*

| TYPE: | Felony | | Misdemeanor | | Petty Offense | | Traffic | | Other (offense coded without a law class) | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,031 | 63% | 557 | 34% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 43 | 3% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 2,219 | 76% | 600 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 93 | 3% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 74 | 45% | 83 | 51% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 2% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,255 | 66% | 529 | 28% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 111 | 6% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 217 | 46% | 228 | 49% | 9 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 14 | 3% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 219 | 56% | 161 | 41% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 2% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 225 | 70% | 72 | 23% | 3 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 19 | 6% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 659 | 62% | 356 | 34% | 11 | 1% | 3 | 0% | 27 | 3% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 228 | 68% | 85 | 25% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 6% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 427 | 49% | 438 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 14 | 2% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 294 | 52% | 254 | 45% | 5 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 15 | 3% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 93 | 27% | 231 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 15 | 4% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 261 | 78% | 59 | 18% | 2 | 1% | 3 | 1% | 8 | 2% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 155 | 50% | 152 | 49% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 2% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 66 | 56% | 51 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 114 | 67% | 55 | 32% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,027 | 51% | 880 | 44% | 38 | 2% | 3 | 0% | 54 | 3% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 1,448 | 44% | 1,745 | 53% | 14 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 80 | 2% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 552 | 55% | 422 | 42% | 3 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 23 | 2% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 398 | 46% | 414 | 48% | 15 | 2% | 7 | 1% | 31 | 4% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 575 | 72% | 197 | 25% | 3 | 0% | 4 | 1% | 20 | 3% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 94 | 53% | 72 | 41% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 5% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 11,631 | 58% | 7,641 | 38% | 116 | 1% | 39 | 0% | 616 | 3% | 20,043 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 4
(FY04 TABLE 37)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *New Clients by Court of Origin*

| TYPE: | District Court | | County Court | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | P | % |
| 1 | 1,274 | 78% | 321 | 20% | 41 | 3% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 2,816 | 97% | 5 | 0% | 92 | 3% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 102 | 63% | 58 | 36% | 3 | 2% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,339 | 71% | 448 | 24% | 110 | 6% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 283 | 60% | 172 | 37% | 14 | 3% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 257 | 66% | 125 | 32% | 9 | 2% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 250 | 78% | 52 | 16% | 18 | 6% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 729 | 69% | 302 | 29% | 25 | 2% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 251 | 75% | 65 | 19% | 19 | 6% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 643 | 73% | 223 | 25% | 14 | 2% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 371 | 65% | 184 | 32% | 14 | 2% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 182 | 53% | 147 | 43% | 15 | 4% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 294 | 88% | 31 | 9% | 8 | 2% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 205 | 66% | 102 | 33% | 5 | 2% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 92 | 78% | 26 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 121 | 71% | 48 | 28% | 2 | 1% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,718 | 86% | 236 | 12% | 48 | 2% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 1,705 | 52% | 1,520 | 46% | 68 | 2% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 626 | 63% | 352 | 35% | 23 | 2% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 622 | 72% | 217 | 25% | 26 | 3% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 652 | 82% | 128 | 16% | 19 | 2% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 111 | 63% | 56 | 32% | 9 | 5% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 14,643 | 73% | 4,818 | 24% | 582 | 3% | 20,043 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 5
(FY04 TABLE 38)

# *FY 2005*
# *Colorado Adult Probation*
# *New Clients by Supervision Type*

| TYPE: | Probation | | Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts | | Direct Sentence Community Corrections | | Deferred Judgment and Sentence | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,251 | 76% | 58 | 4% | 41 | 3% | 110 | 7% | 168 | 10% | 8 | 0% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,818 | 62% | 89 | 3% | 87 | 3% | 131 | 4% | 231 | 8% | 557 | 19% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 91 | 56% | 3 | 2% | 3 | 2% | 21 | 13% | 4 | 2% | 41 | 25% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,400 | 74% | 58 | 3% | 110 | 6% | 173 | 9% | 29 | 2% | 127 | 7% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 281 | 60% | 5 | 1% | 14 | 3% | 57 | 12% | 16 | 3% | 96 | 20% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 302 | 77% | 4 | 1% | 9 | 2% | 18 | 5% | 20 | 5% | 38 | 10% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 157 | 49% | 3 | 1% | 18 | 6% | 47 | 15% | 31 | 10% | 64 | 20% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 727 | 69% | 15 | 1% | 25 | 2% | 100 | 9% | 77 | 7% | 112 | 11% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 193 | 58% | 1 | 0% | 20 | 6% | 59 | 18% | 16 | 5% | 46 | 14% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 590 | 67% | 18 | 2% | 14 | 2% | 111 | 13% | 21 | 2% | 126 | 14% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 336 | 59% | 13 | 2% | 14 | 2% | 57 | 10% | 6 | 1% | 143 | 25% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 190 | 55% | 1 | 0% | 15 | 4% | 31 | 9% | 11 | 3% | 96 | 28% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 182 | 55% | 6 | 2% | 8 | 2% | 44 | 13% | 10 | 3% | 83 | 25% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 188 | 60% | 6 | 2% | 5 | 2% | 27 | 9% | 18 | 6% | 68 | 22% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 68 | 58% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 13% | 4 | 3% | 30 | 25% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 120 | 70% | 2 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 24 | 14% | 12 | 7% | 11 | 6% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,386 | 69% | 74 | 4% | 49 | 2% | 242 | 12% | 233 | 12% | 18 | 1% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,368 | 72% | 60 | 2% | 68 | 2% | 132 | 4% | 235 | 7% | 430 | 13% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 629 | 63% | 12 | 1% | 23 | 2% | 128 | 13% | 66 | 7% | 143 | 14% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 516 | 60% | 30 | 3% | 26 | 3% | 122 | 14% | 37 | 4% | 134 | 15% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 585 | 73% | 13 | 2% | 19 | 2% | 74 | 9% | 51 | 6% | 57 | 7% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 115 | 65% | 5 | 3% | 9 | 5% | 11 | 6% | 7 | 4% | 29 | 16% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 13,493 | 67% | 477 | 2% | 579 | 3% | 1,734 | 9% | 1,303 | 7% | 2,457 | 12% | 20,043 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 6
(FY04 TABLE 39)

***FY 2005***
***Colorado Adult Probation***
***New Clients by Gender***

| TYPE: | Female | | Male | | Unknown | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 366 | 22% | 1,268 | 78% | 2 | 0% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 667 | 23% | 2,246 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 34 | 21% | 129 | 79% | 0 | 0% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 435 | 23% | 1,462 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 87 | 19% | 379 | 81% | 3 | 1% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 94 | 24% | 296 | 76% | 1 | 0% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 70 | 22% | 250 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 246 | 23% | 810 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 65 | 19% | 270 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 208 | 24% | 669 | 76% | 3 | 0% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 167 | 29% | 402 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 81 | 24% | 263 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 92 | 28% | 241 | 72% | 0 | 0% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 83 | 27% | 229 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 32 | 27% | 86 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 50 | 29% | 121 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 504 | 25% | 1,498 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 811 | 25% | 2,482 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 253 | 25% | 748 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 175 | 20% | 689 | 80% | 1 | 0% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 243 | 30% | 556 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 38 | 22% | 138 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 4,801 | 24% | 15,232 | 76% | 10 | 0% | 20,043 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 7
(FY04 TABLE 40)

# *FY 2005*
# *Colorado Adult Probation*
# *New Clients by Age*

| TYPE: | *12-17 Years | | 18-20 Years | | 21-24 Years | | 25-32 Years | | 33-39 Years | | 40 and above | | Unknown | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 2 | 0% | 188 | 11% | 339 | 21% | 419 | 26% | 316 | 19% | 371 | 23% | 1 | 0% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 4 | 0% | 373 | 13% | 579 | 20% | 764 | 26% | 485 | 17% | 708 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 1% | 29 | 18% | 34 | 21% | 34 | 21% | 20 | 12% | 45 | 28% | 0 | 0% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 3 | 0% | 214 | 11% | 373 | 20% | 509 | 27% | 309 | 16% | 489 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 3 | 1% | 34 | 7% | 93 | 20% | 140 | 30% | 89 | 19% | 110 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 59 | 15% | 80 | 20% | 94 | 24% | 67 | 17% | 91 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 2 | 1% | 51 | 16% | 64 | 20% | 76 | 24% | 42 | 13% | 85 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 3 | 0% | 152 | 14% | 221 | 21% | 282 | 27% | 173 | 16% | 225 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 1 | 0% | 41 | 12% | 64 | 19% | 96 | 29% | 52 | 16% | 81 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 1 | 0% | 120 | 14% | 177 | 20% | 247 | 28% | 149 | 17% | 186 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 1 | 0% | 83 | 15% | 103 | 18% | 127 | 22% | 99 | 17% | 156 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 4 | 1% | 61 | 18% | 72 | 21% | 80 | 23% | 49 | 14% | 78 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 60 | 18% | 72 | 22% | 74 | 22% | 47 | 14% | 80 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 33 | 11% | 44 | 14% | 84 | 27% | 57 | 18% | 94 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 24 | 20% | 24 | 20% | 23 | 19% | 19 | 16% | 28 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 28 | 16% | 25 | 15% | 45 | 26% | 33 | 19% | 40 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 1 | 0% | 284 | 14% | 411 | 21% | 525 | 26% | 370 | 18% | 411 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 16 | 0% | 393 | 12% | 624 | 19% | 846 | 26% | 590 | 18% | 824 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 3 | 0% | 133 | 13% | 206 | 21% | 282 | 28% | 194 | 19% | 183 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 9 | 1% | 122 | 14% | 183 | 21% | 223 | 26% | 125 | 14% | 202 | 23% | 1 | 0% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 6 | 1% | 117 | 15% | 155 | 19% | 204 | 26% | 135 | 17% | 182 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 1% | 17 | 10% | 36 | 20% | 46 | 26% | 32 | 18% | 44 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 61 | 0% | 2,616 | 13% | 3,979 | 20% | 5,220 | 26% | 3,452 | 17% | 4,713 | 24% | 2 | 0% | 20,043 | 100% |

***12-17 Years: These young offenders were processed as adults either due to a transfer hearing or direct file.**

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 8
(FY04 TABLE 41)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *New Clients by Ethnic Group*

| TYPE: | Anglo | | African American | | Asian | | Hispanic | | Native American | | Other | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,375 | 84% | 74 | 5% | 16 | 1% | 157 | 10% | 8 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,233 | 42% | 716 | 25% | 29 | 1% | 902 | 31% | 21 | 1% | 12 | 0% | 2,913 | 100% |
| 3 | 82 | 50% | 6 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 73 | 45% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 163 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,259 | 66% | 275 | 14% | 29 | 2% | 293 | 15% | 23 | 1% | 18 | 1% | 1,897 | 100% |
| 5 | 393 | 84% | 7 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 67 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 469 | 100% |
| 6 | 311 | 80% | 6 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 35 | 9% | 35 | 9% | 3 | 1% | 391 | 100% |
| 7 | 275 | 86% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 43 | 13% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 320 | 100% |
| 8 | 950 | 90% | 33 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 65 | 6% | 4 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 1,056 | 100% |
| 9 | 286 | 85% | 4 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 39 | 12% | 2 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 335 | 100% |
| 10 | 505 | 57% | 35 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 323 | 37% | 5 | 1% | 12 | 1% | 880 | 100% |
| 11 | 523 | 92% | 4 | 1% | 2 | 0% | 26 | 5% | 2 | 0% | 12 | 2% | 569 | 100% |
| 12 | 202 | 59% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 39% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 344 | 100% |
| 13 | 279 | 84% | 3 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 47 | 14% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 333 | 100% |
| 14 | 287 | 92% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 5% | 2 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 312 | 100% |
| 15 | 94 | 80% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 118 | 100% |
| 16 | 116 | 68% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 30% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 171 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,564 | 78% | 185 | 9% | 21 | 1% | 224 | 11% | 5 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 2,002 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,473 | 75% | 501 | 15% | 52 | 2% | 243 | 7% | 15 | 0% | 9 | 0% | 3,293 | 100% |
| 19 | 785 | 78% | 11 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 198 | 20% | 5 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 1,001 | 100% |
| 20 | 709 | 82% | 36 | 4% | 12 | 1% | 101 | 12% | 4 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 865 | 100% |
| 21 | 663 | 83% | 25 | 3% | 2 | 0% | 101 | 13% | 6 | 1% | 2 | 0% | 799 | 100% |
| 22 | 110 | 63% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 10% | 47 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 176 | 100% |
| STATE | 14,474 | 72% | 1,935 | 10% | 168 | 1% | 3,178 | 16% | 192 | 1% | 96 | 0% | 20,043 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 9
(FY04 TABLE 42)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations*

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | The termination rates in FY 05 do not compare directly to the FY04 termination data. Private probation terminations were included in FY04 but not in FY05. They will be included in future years. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 651 | 53% | 276 | 22% | 301 | 25% | 1,228 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,147 | 51% | 285 | 13% | 799 | 36% | 2,231 | 100% |
| 3 | 131 | 73% | 34 | 19% | 15 | 8% | 180 | 100% |
| 4 | 646 | 49% | 311 | 24% | 354 | 27% | 1,311 | 100% |
| 5 | 234 | 67% | 34 | 10% | 79 | 23% | 347 | 100% |
| 6 | 193 | 66% | 56 | 19% | 42 | 14% | 291 | 100% |
| 7 | 149 | 74% | 26 | 13% | 27 | 13% | 202 | 100% |
| 8 | 435 | 57% | 146 | 19% | 182 | 24% | 763 | 100% |
| 9 | 132 | 73% | 17 | 9% | 33 | 18% | 182 | 100% |
| 10 | 253 | 48% | 130 | 25% | 146 | 28% | 529 | 100% |
| 11 | 238 | 63% | 94 | 25% | 45 | 12% | 377 | 100% |
| 12 | 118 | 70% | 32 | 19% | 19 | 11% | 169 | 100% |
| 13 | 124 | 67% | 31 | 17% | 31 | 17% | 186 | 100% |
| 14 | 150 | 70% | 42 | 20% | 22 | 10% | 214 | 100% |
| 15 | 80 | 72% | 12 | 11% | 19 | 17% | 111 | 100% |
| 16 | 89 | 66% | 11 | 8% | 34 | 25% | 134 | 100% |
| 17 | 694 | 54% | 268 | 21% | 315 | 25% | 1,277 | 100% |
| 18 | 880 | 45% | 328 | 17% | 736 | 38% | 1,944 | 100% |
| 19 | 496 | 62% | 191 | 24% | 119 | 15% | 806 | 100% |
| 20 | 328 | 51% | 186 | 29% | 124 | 19% | 638 | 100% |
| 21 | 444 | 69% | 114 | 18% | 81 | 13% | 639 | 100% |
| 22 | 66 | 62% | 26 | 25% | 14 | 13% | 106 | 100% |
| STATE | 7,678 | 55% | 2,650 | 19% | 3,537 | 26% | 13,865 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 10.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 10
(FY04 TABLE 43)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *All Probation Supervision Closure Types*

| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | Courtesy Closure (sent back to original jurisdiction) | | Community Corrections Discharge | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | TOTAL CLOSURES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 651 | 40% | 276 | 17% | 301 | 19% | 110 | 7% | 235 | 15% | 2 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 31 | 2% | 1,612 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,147 | 39% | 285 | 10% | 799 | 27% | 144 | 5% | 421 | 14% | 19 | 1% | 5 | 0% | 114 | 4% | 2,934 | 100% |
| 3 | 131 | 64% | 34 | 17% | 15 | 7% | 17 | 8% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 2% | 205 | 100% |
| 4 | 646 | 38% | 311 | 18% | 354 | 21% | 153 | 9% | 165 | 10% | 5 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 46 | 3% | 1,684 | 100% |
| 5 | 234 | 56% | 34 | 8% | 79 | 19% | 24 | 6% | 16 | 4% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 30 | 7% | 420 | 100% |
| 6 | 193 | 57% | 56 | 16% | 42 | 12% | 17 | 5% | 24 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 3% | 341 | 100% |
| 7 | 149 | 57% | 26 | 10% | 27 | 10% | 40 | 15% | 12 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 6 | 2% | 263 | 100% |
| 8 | 435 | 42% | 146 | 14% | 182 | 18% | 88 | 9% | 163 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 13 | 1% | 1,031 | 100% |
| 9 | 132 | 46% | 17 | 6% | 33 | 12% | 57 | 20% | 27 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 6% | 284 | 100% |
| 10 | 253 | 38% | 130 | 20% | 146 | 22% | 81 | 12% | 22 | 3% | 2 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 24 | 4% | 659 | 100% |
| 11 | 238 | 52% | 94 | 21% | 45 | 10% | 43 | 9% | 18 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 16 | 4% | 455 | 100% |
| 12 | 118 | 58% | 32 | 16% | 19 | 9% | 21 | 10% | 11 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 204 | 100% |
| 13 | 124 | 47% | 31 | 12% | 31 | 12% | 44 | 17% | 19 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 10 | 4% | 262 | 100% |
| 14 | 150 | 54% | 42 | 15% | 22 | 8% | 27 | 10% | 34 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 279 | 100% |
| 15 | 80 | 60% | 12 | 9% | 19 | 14% | 13 | 10% | 8 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 134 | 100% |
| 16 | 89 | 51% | 11 | 6% | 34 | 20% | 23 | 13% | 15 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 174 | 100% |
| 17 | 694 | 35% | 268 | 13% | 315 | 16% | 210 | 10% | 478 | 24% | 25 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 1% | 2,005 | 100% |
| 18 | 880 | 35% | 328 | 13% | 736 | 29% | 115 | 5% | 418 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 56 | 2% | 2,539 | 100% |
| 19 | 496 | 46% | 191 | 18% | 119 | 11% | 113 | 10% | 161 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 1% | 1,089 | 100% |
| 20 | 328 | 41% | 186 | 23% | 124 | 16% | 96 | 12% | 47 | 6% | 3 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 7 | 1% | 793 | 100% |
| 21 | 444 | 55% | 114 | 14% | 81 | 10% | 59 | 7% | 69 | 9% | 9 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 31 | 4% | 808 | 100% |
| 22 | 66 | 50% | 26 | 20% | 14 | 11% | 16 | 12% | 7 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 131 | 100% |
| STATE | 7,678 | 42% | 2,650 | 14% | 3,537 | 19% | 1,511 | 8% | 2,373 | 13% | 66 | 0% | 42 | 0% | 449 | 2% | 18,306 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 11
(FY04 TABLE 44)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *Type of Revocation*

| TYPE: | New Felony | | New Misdemeanor | | Technical | | TOTAL REVOCATIONS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 68 | 25% | 36 | 13% | 172 | 62% | 276 | 100% |
| 2 | 84 | 29% | 21 | 7% | 180 | 63% | 285 | 100% |
| 3 | 10 | 29% | 8 | 24% | 16 | 47% | 34 | 100% |
| 4 | 132 | 42% | 21 | 7% | 158 | 51% | 311 | 100% |
| 5 | 5 | 15% | 4 | 12% | 25 | 74% | 34 | 100% |
| 6 | 8 | 14% | 16 | 29% | 32 | 57% | 56 | 100% |
| 7 | 9 | 35% | 2 | 8% | 15 | 58% | 26 | 100% |
| 8 | 40 | 27% | 27 | 18% | 79 | 54% | 146 | 100% |
| 9 | 4 | 24% | 2 | 12% | 11 | 65% | 17 | 100% |
| 10 | 29 | 22% | 26 | 20% | 75 | 58% | 130 | 100% |
| 11 | 17 | 18% | 9 | 10% | 68 | 72% | 94 | 100% |
| 12 | 6 | 19% | 5 | 16% | 21 | 66% | 32 | 100% |
| 13 | 6 | 19% | 3 | 10% | 22 | 71% | 31 | 100% |
| 14 | 6 | 14% | 8 | 19% | 28 | 67% | 42 | 100% |
| 15 | 4 | 33% | 1 | 8% | 7 | 58% | 12 | 100% |
| 16 | 7 | 64% | 1 | 9% | 3 | 27% | 11 | 100% |
| 17 | 67 | 25% | 45 | 17% | 156 | 58% | 268 | 100% |
| 18 | 75 | 23% | 82 | 25% | 171 | 52% | 328 | 100% |
| 19 | 40 | 21% | 30 | 16% | 121 | 63% | 191 | 100% |
| 20 | 26 | 14% | 37 | 20% | 123 | 66% | 186 | 100% |
| 21 | 20 | 18% | 18 | 16% | 76 | 67% | 114 | 100% |
| 22 | 4 | 15% | 5 | 19% | 17 | 65% | 26 | 100% |
| STATE | 667 | 25% | 407 | 15% | 1,576 | 59% | 2,650 | 100% |

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision

*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations*: Includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 12
(FY04 TABLE 45)

***FY 2005***
***Colorado Adult Probation***
***Length of Stay on Probation Supervision***

| TYPE: | 0-12 months | | 13-24 months | | 25-36 months | | 37 + months | | TOTAL CLOSURES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 657 | 41% | 494 | 31% | 242 | 15% | 219 | 14% | 1,612 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,259 | 43% | 962 | 33% | 400 | 14% | 313 | 11% | 2,934 | 100% |
| 3 | 88 | 43% | 72 | 35% | 20 | 10% | 25 | 12% | 205 | 100% |
| 4 | 638 | 38% | 578 | 34% | 230 | 14% | 238 | 14% | 1,684 | 100% |
| 5 | 160 | 38% | 133 | 32% | 75 | 18% | 52 | 12% | 420 | 100% |
| 6 | 137 | 40% | 123 | 36% | 51 | 15% | 30 | 9% | 341 | 100% |
| 7 | 85 | 32% | 89 | 34% | 51 | 19% | 38 | 14% | 263 | 100% |
| 8 | 386 | 37% | 294 | 29% | 130 | 13% | 221 | 21% | 1,031 | 100% |
| 9 | 130 | 46% | 85 | 30% | 35 | 12% | 34 | 12% | 284 | 100% |
| 10 | 257 | 39% | 240 | 36% | 86 | 13% | 79 | 12% | 662 | 100% |
| 11 | 210 | 46% | 152 | 34% | 54 | 12% | 37 | 8% | 453 | 100% |
| 12 | 84 | 41% | 76 | 37% | 24 | 12% | 20 | 10% | 204 | 100% |
| 13 | 88 | 34% | 66 | 25% | 59 | 23% | 49 | 19% | 262 | 100% |
| 14 | 126 | 45% | 95 | 34% | 28 | 10% | 30 | 11% | 279 | 100% |
| 15 | 37 | 28% | 44 | 33% | 21 | 16% | 32 | 24% | 134 | 100% |
| 16 | 55 | 32% | 61 | 35% | 25 | 14% | 33 | 19% | 174 | 100% |
| 17 | 922 | 46% | 621 | 31% | 230 | 11% | 232 | 12% | 2,005 | 100% |
| 18 | 925 | 36% | 829 | 33% | 423 | 17% | 362 | 14% | 2,539 | 100% |
| 19 | 445 | 41% | 401 | 37% | 123 | 11% | 120 | 11% | 1,089 | 100% |
| 20 | 412 | 52% | 217 | 27% | 77 | 10% | 87 | 11% | 793 | 100% |
| 21 | 353 | 44% | 278 | 34% | 91 | 11% | 86 | 11% | 808 | 100% |
| 22 | 61 | 47% | 43 | 33% | 19 | 15% | 7 | 5% | 130 | 100% |
| STATE | 7,515 | 41% | 5,953 | 33% | 2,494 | 14% | 2,344 | 13% | 18,306 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 13
(FY04 TABLE 47)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *State Probation Caseloads*
## *Active Client Status Levels on June 30, 2005*

| Risk Levels: | New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date | | Maximum | | Medium | | Minimum | | Administrative | | Community Corrections | | Domestic Violence[1] | | Sex Offender[2] | | Interstate Transfer to Another State | | TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 35 | 1% | 206 | 7% | 473 | 15% | 539 | 18% | 701 | 23% | 341 | 11% | 506 | 17% | 159 | 5% | 105 | 3% | 3,065 | 100% |
| 2 | 339 | 8% | 551 | 12% | 1,098 | 25% | 840 | 19% | 1,366 | 31% | 80 | 2% | 36 | 1% | 47 | 1% | 77 | 2% | 4,434 | 100% |
| 3 | 13 | 5% | 47 | 18% | 71 | 28% | 61 | 24% | 30 | 12% | 7 | 3% | 17 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 4% | 256 | 100% |
| 4 | 93 | 3% | 481 | 13% | 902 | 25% | 378 | 10% | 831 | 23% | 28 | 1% | 607 | 17% | 100 | 3% | 207 | 6% | 3,627 | 100% |
| 5 | 23 | 3% | 32 | 4% | 192 | 24% | 172 | 22% | 184 | 23% | 37 | 5% | 79 | 10% | 13 | 2% | 56 | 7% | 788 | 100% |
| 6 | 22 | 4% | 35 | 6% | 126 | 21% | 113 | 19% | 109 | 18% | 43 | 7% | 109 | 18% | 10 | 2% | 40 | 7% | 607 | 100% |
| 7 | 9 | 2% | 92 | 18% | 129 | 25% | 121 | 24% | 84 | 17% | 40 | 8% | 1 | 0% | 20 | 4% | 12 | 2% | 508 | 100% |
| 8 | 27 | 2% | 194 | 11% | 353 | 20% | 241 | 14% | 290 | 17% | 308 | 18% | 165 | 9% | 51 | 3% | 114 | 7% | 1,743 | 100% |
| 9 | 8 | 2% | 35 | 7% | 86 | 18% | 108 | 22% | 119 | 24% | 46 | 9% | 36 | 7% | 9 | 2% | 40 | 8% | 487 | 100% |
| 10 | 43 | 3% | 122 | 9% | 456 | 35% | 119 | 9% | 187 | 14% | 14 | 1% | 290 | 22% | 43 | 3% | 28 | 2% | 1,302 | 100% |
| 11 | 19 | 3% | 45 | 6% | 226 | 31% | 152 | 21% | 122 | 17% | 19 | 3% | 111 | 15% | 20 | 3% | 19 | 3% | 733 | 100% |
| 12 | 20 | 5% | 33 | 8% | 154 | 38% | 121 | 30% | 21 | 5% | 34 | 8% | 9 | 2% | 13 | 3% | 5 | 1% | 410 | 100% |
| 13 | 6 | 1% | 90 | 15% | 157 | 26% | 125 | 21% | 125 | 21% | 42 | 7% | 5 | 1% | 14 | 2% | 42 | 7% | 606 | 100% |
| 14 | 13 | 3% | 38 | 9% | 99 | 22% | 80 | 18% | 44 | 10% | 36 | 8% | 81 | 18% | 11 | 2% | 42 | 9% | 444 | 100% |
| 15 | 5 | 2% | 21 | 8% | 57 | 22% | 72 | 27% | 70 | 27% | 14 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 2% | 19 | 7% | 264 | 100% |
| 16 | 9 | 2% | 38 | 10% | 73 | 19% | 100 | 25% | 19 | 5% | 72 | 18% | 68 | 17% | 7 | 2% | 8 | 2% | 394 | 100% |
| 17 | 103 | 3% | 258 | 9% | 611 | 21% | 535 | 18% | 539 | 18% | 570 | 19% | 196 | 7% | 72 | 2% | 64 | 2% | 2,948 | 100% |
| 18 | 276 | 6% | 309 | 7% | 1,094 | 25% | 356 | 8% | 880 | 20% | 527 | 12% | 576 | 13% | 171 | 4% | 265 | 6% | 4,454 | 100% |
| 19 | 53 | 4% | 171 | 11% | 266 | 18% | 162 | 11% | 337 | 22% | 139 | 9% | 275 | 18% | 42 | 3% | 60 | 4% | 1,505 | 100% |
| 20 | 133 | 12% | 120 | 11% | 172 | 15% | 180 | 16% | 354 | 31% | 10 | 1% | 77 | 7% | 86 | 8% | 6 | 1% | 1,138 | 100% |
| 21 | 172 | 17% | 122 | 12% | 273 | 27% | 138 | 14% | 232 | 23% | 7 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 42 | 4% | 25 | 2% | 1,012 | 100% |
| 22 | 2 | 1% | 20 | 8% | 81 | 33% | 39 | 16% | 30 | 12% | 6 | 2% | 50 | 20% | 5 | 2% | 15 | 6% | 248 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,423 | 5% | 3,060 | 10% | 7,149 | 23% | 4,752 | 15% | 6,674 | 22% | 2,420 | 8% | 3,295 | 11% | 941 | 3% | 1,259 | 4% | 30,973 | 100% |

[1]Domestic Violence: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 14.
[2]Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 14.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 14
(New TABLE for FY05)

*FY 2005*
*Colorado Adult Probation*
*Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads*
*Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2005*

| | Domestic Violence Offenders | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Risk Level: | DV Maximum | | DV Medium | | DV Minimum | | DV Administrative | | TOTAL DV OFFENDERS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 146 | 29% | 213 | 42% | 116 | 23% | 31 | 6% | 506 | 100% |
| 2 | 10 | 28% | 22 | 61% | 4 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 36 | 100% |
| 3 | 4 | 24% | 5 | 29% | 6 | 35% | 2 | 12% | 17 | 100% |
| 4 | 230 | 38% | 236 | 39% | 119 | 20% | 22 | 4% | 607 | 100% |
| 5 | 35 | 44% | 17 | 22% | 8 | 10% | 19 | 24% | 79 | 100% |
| 6 | 24 | 22% | 49 | 45% | 28 | 26% | 8 | 7% | 109 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 81 | 49% | 69 | 42% | 15 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 165 | 100% |
| 9 | 12 | 33% | 13 | 36% | 7 | 19% | 4 | 11% | 36 | 100% |
| 10 | 153 | 53% | 112 | 39% | 16 | 6% | 9 | 3% | 290 | 100% |
| 11 | 6 | 5% | 86 | 77% | 18 | 16% | 1 | 1% | 111 | 100% |
| 12 | 1 | 11% | 5 | 56% | 3 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 14 | 18 | 22% | 35 | 43% | 22 | 27% | 6 | 7% | 81 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 17 | 25% | 22 | 32% | 27 | 40% | 2 | 3% | 68 | 100% |
| 17 | 78 | 40% | 82 | 42% | 28 | 14% | 8 | 4% | 196 | 100% |
| 18 | 87 | 15% | 453 | 79% | 23 | 4% | 13 | 2% | 576 | 100% |
| 19 | 65 | 24% | 61 | 22% | 149 | 54% | 0 | 0% | 275 | 100% |
| 20 | 29 | 38% | 24 | 31% | 24 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| 21 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 22 | 13 | 26% | 18 | 36% | 17 | 34% | 2 | 4% | 50 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,011 | 31% | 1,525 | 46% | 632 | 19% | 127 | 4% | 3,295 | 100% |

| | Sex Offenders (Non- Sex Offender Intensive Supervision SOISP) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Risk Level: | Sex Offender Maximum | | Sex Offender Medium | | Sex Offender Minimum | | Sex Offender Administrative | | TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 31 | 19% | 70 | 44% | 50 | 31% | 8 | 5% | 159 | 100% |
| 2 | 30 | 64% | 16 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 47 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 44 | 44% | 32 | 32% | 24 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 100 | 100% |
| 5 | 4 | 31% | 6 | 46% | 2 | 15% | 1 | 8% | 13 | 100% |
| 6 | 3 | 30% | 5 | 50% | 2 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 7 | 8 | 40% | 5 | 25% | 6 | 30% | 1 | 5% | 20 | 100% |
| 8 | 39 | 76% | 3 | 6% | 2 | 4% | 7 | 14% | 51 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 5 | 56% | 4 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 10 | 13 | 30% | 22 | 51% | 8 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 43 | 100% |
| 11 | 4 | 20% | 10 | 50% | 4 | 20% | 2 | 10% | 20 | 100% |
| 12 | 1 | 8% | 8 | 62% | 4 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 13 | 7 | 50% | 5 | 36% | 2 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 14 | 3 | 27% | 3 | 27% | 5 | 45% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 15 | 3 | 50% | 2 | 33% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 16 | 2 | 29% | 2 | 29% | 3 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 17 | 26 | 36% | 32 | 44% | 8 | 11% | 6 | 8% | 72 | 100% |
| 18 | 34 | 20% | 70 | 41% | 63 | 37% | 4 | 2% | 171 | 100% |
| 19 | 22 | 52% | 16 | 38% | 2 | 5% | 2 | 5% | 42 | 100% |
| 20 | 25 | 29% | 42 | 49% | 14 | 16% | 5 | 6% | 86 | 100% |
| 21 | 8 | 19% | 8 | 19% | 21 | 50% | 5 | 12% | 42 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 40% | 3 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| STATE | 307 | 33% | 364 | 39% | 228 | 24% | 42 | 4% | 941 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 15
(FY04 TABLE 57)

***FY 2005***
***Colorado Adult Probation***
***Total Active Clients on June 30, 2005***

| | TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS | | TOTAL NON-DUI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS | | TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 3,065 | 73% | 1,145 | 27% | 4,210 | 100% |
| 2 | 4,434 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 4,434 | 100% |
| 3 | 256 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 256 | 100% |
| 4 | 3,627 | 77% | 1,083 | 23% | 4,710 | 100% |
| 5 | 788 | 86% | 129 | 14% | 917 | 100% |
| 6 | 607 | 95% | 32 | 5% | 639 | 100% |
| 7 | 508 | 95% | 26 | 5% | 534 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,743 | 78% | 490 | 22% | 2,233 | 100% |
| 9 | 487 | 76% | 151 | 24% | 638 | 100% |
| 10 | 1,302 | 80% | 331 | 20% | 1,633 | 100% |
| 11 | 733 | 89% | 89 | 11% | 822 | 100% |
| 12 | 410 | 81% | 94 | 19% | 504 | 100% |
| 13 | 606 | 84% | 119 | 16% | 725 | 100% |
| 14 | 444 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 15 | 264 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 264 | 100% |
| 16 | 394 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 394 | 100% |
| 17 | 2,948 | 73% | 1,104 | 27% | 4,052 | 100% |
| 18 | 4,454 | 67% | 2,221 | 33% | 6,675 | 100% |
| 19 | 1,505 | 82% | 340 | 18% | 1,845 | 100% |
| 20 | 1,138 | 51% | 1,089 | 49% | 2,227 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,012 | 69% | 462 | 31% | 1,474 | 100% |
| 22 | 248 | 99% | 2 | 1% | 250 | 100% |
| STATE | 30,973 | 78% | 8,907 | 22% | 39,880 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports and Private Probation Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 16
(FY04 TABLE 58)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Adult Probation*
## *Total Active Clients on June 30, 2005*

| | TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS | | TOTAL NON-DUI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS | | TOTAL DUI PRIVATE CLIENTS | | TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 3,065 | 61% | 1,145 | 23% | 802 | 16% | 5,012 | 100% |
| 2 | 4,434 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4,434 | 100% |
| 3 | 256 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 256 | 100% |
| 4 | 3,627 | 73% | 1,083 | 22% | 289 | 6% | 4,999 | 100% |
| 5 | 788 | 74% | 129 | 12% | 151 | 14% | 1,068 | 100% |
| 6 | 607 | 95% | 32 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 639 | 100% |
| 7 | 508 | 95% | 26 | 5% | 3 | 1% | 537 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,743 | 77% | 490 | 22% | 43 | 2% | 2,276 | 100% |
| 9 | 487 | 76% | 151 | 23% | 7 | 1% | 645 | 100% |
| 10 | 1,302 | 80% | 331 | 20% | 3 | 0% | 1,636 | 100% |
| 11 | 733 | 65% | 89 | 8% | 307 | 27% | 1,129 | 100% |
| 12 | 410 | 49% | 94 | 11% | 339 | 40% | 843 | 100% |
| 13 | 606 | 83% | 119 | 16% | 1 | 0% | 726 | 100% |
| 14 | 444 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 15 | 264 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 264 | 100% |
| 16 | 394 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 394 | 100% |
| 17 | 2,948 | 65% | 1,104 | 24% | 505 | 11% | 4,557 | 100% |
| 18 | 4,454 | 48% | 2,221 | 24% | 2,617 | 28% | 9,292 | 100% |
| 19 | 1,505 | 81% | 340 | 18% | 4 | 0% | 1,849 | 100% |
| 20 | 1,138 | 43% | 1,089 | 41% | 426 | 16% | 2,653 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,012 | 62% | 462 | 28% | 154 | 9% | 1,628 | 100% |
| 22 | 248 | 63% | 2 | 1% | 145 | 37% | 395 | 100% |
| STATE | 30,973 | 68% | 8,907 | 20% | 5,796 | 13% | 45,676 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports and Private Probation Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 17
(New Table for FY 05)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Private Probation*
## *New Clients by Supervision Type*

| | NON- DUI CRIMINAL PROBATION | | | | | | DUI PROBATION | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Court Direct Sentence | | Transfer from State Probation | | TOTAL NEW NON-CRIMINAL CLIENTS | | Court Direct Sentence | | Transfer from State Probation | | TOTAL NEW DUI CLIENTS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 707 | 71% | 286 | 29% | 993 | 100% | 792 | 100% | 2 | 0% | 794 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 3 | 0% | 697 | 100% | 700 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 240 | 100% | 240 | 100% |
| 5 | 2 | 2% | 123 | 98% | 125 | 100% | 5 | 6% | 76 | 94% | 81 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% | 13 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% | 18 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 494 | 100% | 494 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% | 15 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 156 | 100% | 156 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 128 | 100% | 128 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 236 | 100% | 236 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 100 | 100% | 100 | 100% |
| 12 | 55 | 14% | 327 | 86% | 382 | 100% | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 13 | 106 | 86% | 17 | 14% | 123 | 100% | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 3 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 957 | 87% | 145 | 13% | 1,102 | 100% | 313 | 89% | 39 | 11% | 352 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 1,976 | 100% | 1,976 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1,025 | 100% | 1,025 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 326 | 100% | 326 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 593 | 71% | 247 | 29% | 840 | 100% | 66 | 26% | 187 | 74% | 253 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 384 | 100% | 384 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 49 | 100% | 49 | 100% |
| 22 | 5 | 63% | 3 | 38% | 8 | 100% | 164 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 164 | 100% |
| STATE | 2,428 | 30% | 5,576 | 70% | 8,004 | 100% | 1,347 | 44% | 1,734 | 56% | 3,081 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 18
(FY04 TABLE 59)

# *FY 2005*
# *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
# *Investigations*

| TYPE: | Sex Offender | | Pre- Sentence Investigations | | Updated Pre-Sentence Investigation | | Intake Evaluation | | Interstate Investigations to Colorado | | Administrative | | TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS | | No Show Reports* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 14 | 3% | 408 | 87% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 1% | 40 | 8% | 471 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 13 | 2% | 506 | 95% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 1% | 5 | 1% | 530 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 7 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 22% | 9 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 8 | 2% | 313 | 85% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 7% | 23 | 6% | 369 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 63 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 21 | 24% | 86 | 100% | 2 | 13% |
| 6 | 1 | 2% | 58 | 92% | 1 | 2% | 1 | 2% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 63 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 59 | 64% | 16 | 17% | 1 | 1% | 6 | 7% | 10 | 11% | 92 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 1 | 1% | 159 | 82% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 33 | 17% | 193 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 3 | 3% | 89 | 75% | 13 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 11% | 118 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 15 | 94% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 16 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 16 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 14% | 2 | 10% | 21 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 42 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 7% | 9 | 16% | 55 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 72 | 83% | 4 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 13% | 87 | 100% | 1 | 6% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 59 | 56% | 11 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 33% | 105 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 5 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 6 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 4 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 20% | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 2 | 1% | 123 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 16 | 11% | 142 | 100% | 13 | 81% |
| 18 | 4 | 4% | 63 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 14% | 17 | 17% | 98 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 167 | 67% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 76 | 30% | 250 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 76 | 88% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 12% | 86 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 1 | 0% | 207 | 83% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 40 | 16% | 250 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 44 | 85% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 5 | 10% | 52 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 47 | 2% | 2,555 | 82% | 55 | 2% | 2 | 0% | 74 | 2% | 371 | 12% | 3,104 | 100% | 16 | 100% |

***No Show Reports:** This short report is submitted to the court without a full investigation due to the unavailablity of the offender and are not included in the TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 19
(FY04 TABLE 60)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *New Clients by Supervision Type*

| TYPE: | Probation | | Probation Revoked and Re-Sentenced | | Deferred Adjudication | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Change of Venue | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 583 | 82% | 13 | 2% | 33 | 5% | 11 | 2% | 68 | 10% | 708 | 100% |
| 2 | 386 | 55% | 11 | 2% | 48 | 7% | 9 | 1% | 244 | 35% | 698 | 100% |
| 3 | 15 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 8% | 2 | 8% | 6 | 24% | 25 | 100% |
| 4 | 559 | 90% | 3 | 0% | 7 | 1% | 18 | 3% | 35 | 6% | 622 | 100% |
| 5 | 81 | 92% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 2% | 3 | 3% | 88 | 100% |
| 6 | 45 | 80% | 1 | 2% | 6 | 11% | 1 | 2% | 3 | 5% | 56 | 100% |
| 7 | 64 | 70% | 3 | 3% | 12 | 13% | 5 | 5% | 7 | 8% | 91 | 100% |
| 8 | 211 | 74% | 9 | 3% | 42 | 15% | 7 | 2% | 15 | 5% | 284 | 100% |
| 9 | 69 | 85% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 5% | 81 | 100% |
| 10 | 147 | 71% | 1 | 0% | 26 | 13% | 2 | 1% | 30 | 15% | 206 | 100% |
| 11 | 88 | 43% | 2 | 1% | 96 | 47% | 4 | 2% | 13 | 6% | 203 | 100% |
| 12 | 30 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 46 | 52% | 5 | 6% | 8 | 9% | 89 | 100% |
| 13 | 47 | 50% | 3 | 3% | 36 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 9% | 94 | 100% |
| 14 | 38 | 64% | 1 | 2% | 16 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 7% | 59 | 100% |
| 15 | 17 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 13% | 23 | 100% |
| 16 | 51 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% | 64 | 100% |
| 17 | 343 | 61% | 3 | 1% | 20 | 4% | 8 | 1% | 186 | 33% | 560 | 100% |
| 18 | 500 | 53% | 6 | 1% | 312 | 33% | 13 | 1% | 107 | 11% | 938 | 100% |
| 19 | 299 | 62% | 3 | 1% | 130 | 27% | 5 | 1% | 45 | 9% | 482 | 100% |
| 20 | 112 | 36% | 2 | 1% | 189 | 61% | 2 | 1% | 3 | 1% | 308 | 100% |
| 21 | 204 | 76% | 1 | 0% | 45 | 17% | 2 | 1% | 18 | 7% | 270 | 100% |
| 22 | 26 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 12% | 34 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,915 | 65% | 63 | 1% | 1,091 | 18% | 96 | 2% | 818 | 14% | 5,983 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 20
(FY04 TABLE 61)

***FY 2005***
***Colorado Juvenile Probation***
***New Clients by Gender***

| TYPE: | Female | | Male | | Unknown | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 173 | 24% | 536 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 709 | 100% |
| 2 | 140 | 20% | 554 | 80% | 2 | 0% | 696 | 100% |
| 3 | 6 | 24% | 19 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 100% |
| 4 | 149 | 24% | 473 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 622 | 100% |
| 5 | 16 | 18% | 71 | 81% | 1 | 1% | 88 | 100% |
| 6 | 10 | 18% | 46 | 82% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 100% |
| 7 | 27 | 31% | 59 | 69% | 0 | 0% | 86 | 100% |
| 8 | 68 | 24% | 217 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 285 | 100% |
| 9 | 15 | 19% | 66 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 81 | 100% |
| 10 | 50 | 24% | 156 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 206 | 100% |
| 11 | 55 | 27% | 147 | 72% | 1 | 0% | 203 | 100% |
| 12 | 22 | 25% | 67 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 89 | 100% |
| 13 | 15 | 16% | 79 | 84% | 0 | 0% | 94 | 100% |
| 14 | 21 | 35% | 39 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 60 | 100% |
| 15 | 3 | 13% | 20 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 16 | 16 | 25% | 46 | 72% | 2 | 3% | 64 | 100% |
| 17 | 117 | 21% | 444 | 79% | 2 | 0% | 563 | 100% |
| 18 | 185 | 20% | 753 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 938 | 100% |
| 19 | 123 | 26% | 359 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 482 | 100% |
| 20 | 71 | 23% | 236 | 77% | 1 | 0% | 308 | 100% |
| 21 | 79 | 29% | 191 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 270 | 100% |
| 22 | 14 | 40% | 21 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,375 | 23% | 4,599 | 77% | 9 | 0% | 5,983 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 21
(FY04 TABLE 62)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *New Clients by Ethnic Group*

| TYPE: | Anglo | | African American | | Asian | | Hispanic | | Native American | | Other | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 464 | 65% | 34 | 5% | 8 | 1% | 180 | 25% | 13 | 2% | 10 | 1% | 709 | 100% |
| 2 | 213 | 31% | 191 | 27% | 8 | 1% | 274 | 39% | 3 | 0% | 7 | 1% | 696 | 100% |
| 3 | 12 | 48% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 48% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 100% |
| 4 | 360 | 58% | 139 | 22% | 10 | 2% | 103 | 17% | 3 | 0% | 7 | 1% | 622 | 100% |
| 5 | 58 | 66% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 24 | 27% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 5% | 88 | 100% |
| 6 | 48 | 86% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 9% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 100% |
| 7 | 61 | 71% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 86 | 100% |
| 8 | 245 | 86% | 2 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 32 | 11% | 3 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 285 | 100% |
| 9 | 69 | 85% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 81 | 100% |
| 10 | 136 | 66% | 6 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 59 | 29% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 206 | 100% |
| 11 | 190 | 94% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 8 | 4% | 2 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 203 | 100% |
| 12 | 60 | 67% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 89 | 100% |
| 13 | 78 | 83% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 15% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 94 | 100% |
| 14 | 59 | 98% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 60 | 100% |
| 15 | 12 | 52% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 48% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 16 | 45 | 70% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 64 | 100% |
| 17 | 414 | 74% | 39 | 7% | 6 | 1% | 96 | 17% | 3 | 1% | 5 | 1% | 563 | 100% |
| 18 | 667 | 71% | 183 | 20% | 17 | 2% | 66 | 7% | 1 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 938 | 100% |
| 19 | 422 | 88% | 4 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 54 | 11% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 482 | 100% |
| 20 | 236 | 77% | 9 | 3% | 2 | 1% | 58 | 19% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 308 | 100% |
| 21 | 209 | 77% | 7 | 3% | 2 | 1% | 52 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 270 | 100% |
| 22 | 22 | 63% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 9% | 10 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| STATE | 4,080 | 68% | 621 | 10% | 59 | 1% | 1,129 | 19% | 45 | 1% | 49 | 1% | 5,983 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 22
(FY04 TABLE 63)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *New Clients by Age*

| TYPE: | 10-14 Years | | 15 Years | | 16 Years | | 17 Years | | 18+ Years | | Unknown | | TOTAL NEW CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | 17 Years | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 174 | 25% | 131 | 18% | 162 | 23% | 164 | 23% | 78 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 709 | 100% |
| 2 | 185 | 27% | 125 | 18% | 153 | 22% | 143 | 20% | 91 | 13% | 1 | 0% | 698 | 100% |
| 3 | 4 | 16% | 6 | 24% | 3 | 12% | 9 | 36% | 3 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 100% |
| 4 | 133 | 21% | 131 | 21% | 144 | 23% | 149 | 24% | 65 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 622 | 100% |
| 5 | 18 | 20% | 13 | 15% | 15 | 17% | 21 | 24% | 21 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 88 | 100% |
| 6 | 16 | 29% | 8 | 14% | 15 | 27% | 10 | 18% | 7 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 100% |
| 7 | 13 | 15% | 14 | 17% | 21 | 25% | 22 | 26% | 14 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 84 | 100% |
| 8 | 90 | 32% | 38 | 13% | 56 | 20% | 64 | 22% | 37 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 285 | 100% |
| 9 | 16 | 20% | 14 | 17% | 20 | 25% | 21 | 26% | 10 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 81 | 100% |
| 10 | 58 | 28% | 44 | 21% | 42 | 20% | 45 | 22% | 17 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 206 | 100% |
| 11 | 59 | 29% | 44 | 22% | 47 | 23% | 38 | 19% | 15 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 203 | 100% |
| 12 | 30 | 34% | 14 | 16% | 21 | 24% | 17 | 19% | 7 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 89 | 100% |
| 13 | 25 | 27% | 9 | 10% | 18 | 19% | 23 | 24% | 19 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 94 | 100% |
| 14 | 10 | 17% | 8 | 13% | 21 | 35% | 12 | 20% | 9 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 60 | 100% |
| 15 | 1 | 4% | 3 | 13% | 8 | 35% | 9 | 39% | 2 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 16 | 20 | 31% | 12 | 19% | 11 | 17% | 11 | 17% | 10 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 64 | 100% |
| 17 | 164 | 29% | 99 | 18% | 99 | 18% | 132 | 23% | 69 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 563 | 100% |
| 18 | 219 | 23% | 162 | 17% | 224 | 24% | 223 | 24% | 110 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 938 | 100% |
| 19 | 163 | 34% | 89 | 18% | 104 | 22% | 96 | 20% | 30 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 482 | 100% |
| 20 | 62 | 20% | 62 | 20% | 74 | 24% | 88 | 29% | 21 | 7% | 1 | 0% | 308 | 100% |
| 21 | 80 | 30% | 50 | 19% | 59 | 22% | 55 | 20% | 26 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 270 | 100% |
| 22 | 13 | 37% | 6 | 17% | 8 | 23% | 8 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,553 | 26% | 1,082 | 18% | 1,325 | 22% | 1,360 | 23% | 661 | 11% | 2 | 0% | 5,983 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 23
(FY04 TABLE 64)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations*

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 356 | 78% | 88 | 19% | 12 | 3% | 456 | 100% |
| 2 | 377 | 55% | 203 | 30% | 101 | 15% | 681 | 100% |
| 3 | 35 | 88% | 5 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 100% |
| 4 | 346 | 66% | 134 | 26% | 44 | 8% | 524 | 100% |
| 5 | 86 | 84% | 9 | 9% | 7 | 7% | 102 | 100% |
| 6 | 34 | 63% | 19 | 35% | 1 | 2% | 54 | 100% |
| 7 | 90 | 75% | 23 | 19% | 7 | 6% | 120 | 100% |
| 8 | 123 | 57% | 86 | 40% | 5 | 2% | 214 | 100% |
| 9 | 50 | 85% | 5 | 8% | 4 | 7% | 59 | 100% |
| 10 | 134 | 67% | 57 | 29% | 9 | 5% | 200 | 100% |
| 11 | 130 | 75% | 36 | 21% | 7 | 4% | 173 | 100% |
| 12 | 52 | 72% | 17 | 24% | 3 | 4% | 72 | 100% |
| 13 | 75 | 76% | 21 | 21% | 3 | 3% | 99 | 100% |
| 14 | 36 | 78% | 9 | 20% | 1 | 2% | 46 | 100% |
| 15 | 32 | 84% | 4 | 11% | 2 | 5% | 38 | 100% |
| 16 | 47 | 85% | 5 | 9% | 3 | 5% | 55 | 100% |
| 17 | 315 | 64% | 115 | 23% | 64 | 13% | 494 | 100% |
| 18 | 607 | 68% | 202 | 23% | 82 | 9% | 891 | 100% |
| 19 | 290 | 63% | 149 | 32% | 22 | 5% | 461 | 100% |
| 20 | 180 | 83% | 19 | 9% | 18 | 8% | 217 | 100% |
| 21 | 159 | 72% | 49 | 22% | 14 | 6% | 222 | 100% |
| 22 | 25 | 63% | 13 | 33% | 2 | 5% | 40 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,579 | 68% | 1,268 | 24% | 411 | 8% | 5,258 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 24.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 24
(FY04 TABLE 65)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *All Probation Supervision Closure Types*

| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | Change of Venue | | Community Corrections Discharge | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | TOTAL CLOSURE | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 356 | 53% | 88 | 13% | 12 | 2% | 212 | 32% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 1% | 672 | 100% |
| 2 | 377 | 49% | 203 | 26% | 101 | 13% | 91 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 774 | 100% |
| 3 | 35 | 81% | 5 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 43 | 100% |
| 4 | 346 | 64% | 134 | 25% | 44 | 8% | 15 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 544 | 100% |
| 5 | 86 | 76% | 9 | 8% | 7 | 6% | 8 | 7% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 113 | 100% |
| 6 | 34 | 56% | 19 | 31% | 1 | 2% | 5 | 8% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 61 | 100% |
| 7 | 90 | 68% | 23 | 17% | 7 | 5% | 9 | 7% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 132 | 100% |
| 8 | 123 | 46% | 86 | 32% | 5 | 2% | 50 | 19% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 266 | 100% |
| 9 | 50 | 72% | 5 | 7% | 4 | 6% | 9 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 69 | 100% |
| 10 | 134 | 58% | 57 | 24% | 9 | 4% | 27 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 3% | 233 | 100% |
| 11 | 130 | 65% | 36 | 18% | 7 | 3% | 26 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 201 | 100% |
| 12 | 52 | 69% | 17 | 23% | 3 | 4% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 75 | 100% |
| 13 | 75 | 66% | 21 | 18% | 3 | 3% | 13 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 114 | 100% |
| 14 | 36 | 65% | 9 | 16% | 1 | 2% | 9 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 100% |
| 15 | 32 | 78% | 4 | 10% | 2 | 5% | 3 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 41 | 100% |
| 16 | 47 | 71% | 5 | 8% | 3 | 5% | 10 | 15% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 66 | 100% |
| 17 | 315 | 49% | 115 | 18% | 64 | 10% | 140 | 22% | 3 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 637 | 100% |
| 18 | 607 | 55% | 202 | 18% | 82 | 7% | 196 | 18% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 9 | 1% | 1,098 | 100% |
| 19 | 290 | 57% | 149 | 29% | 22 | 4% | 46 | 9% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 511 | 100% |
| 20 | 180 | 71% | 19 | 7% | 18 | 7% | 33 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 254 | 100% |
| 21 | 159 | 63% | 49 | 19% | 14 | 6% | 27 | 11% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 252 | 100% |
| 22 | 25 | 57% | 13 | 30% | 2 | 5% | 2 | 5% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,579 | 57% | 1,268 | 20% | 411 | 7% | 936 | 15% | 17 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 40 | 1% | 6,255 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number equals the total successful terminations and private successful terminations.

Cases supervised by a private provider were sent through probation and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

*Revocations*: This column includes revocation from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeandor, or a new felony.

*Absconsion*: This column includes offenders that may have absconded from supervision and a warrant issued.

*Change of Venue:* This column includes juveniles who have been sent to another jurisdiction for supervision.

*Administrative Closure*: Includes death of an offender, deportation or no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).

Closure Types for Interstate offenders supervised by Colorado Probation are included in the above table.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 25
(FY04 TABLE 66)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *Type of Revocation*

| TYPE: | New Felony | | New Misdemeanor | | Technical | | TOTAL REVOCATIONS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 24 | 27% | 11 | 13% | 53 | 60% | 88 | 100% |
| 2 | 17 | 8% | 4 | 2% | 182 | 90% | 203 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 40% | 3 | 60% | 5 | 100% |
| 4 | 24 | 18% | 17 | 13% | 93 | 69% | 134 | 100% |
| 5 | 2 | 22% | 3 | 33% | 4 | 44% | 9 | 100% |
| 6 | 2 | 11% | 1 | 5% | 16 | 84% | 19 | 100% |
| 7 | 6 | 26% | 2 | 9% | 15 | 65% | 23 | 100% |
| 8 | 10 | 12% | 9 | 10% | 67 | 78% | 86 | 100% |
| 9 | 1 | 20% | 1 | 20% | 3 | 60% | 5 | 100% |
| 10 | 12 | 21% | 10 | 18% | 35 | 61% | 57 | 100% |
| 11 | 3 | 8% | 6 | 17% | 27 | 75% | 36 | 100% |
| 12 | 5 | 29% | 6 | 35% | 6 | 35% | 17 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 10% | 2 | 10% | 17 | 81% | 21 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 11% | 2 | 22% | 6 | 67% | 9 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 3 | 75% | 4 | 100% |
| 16 | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 80% | 5 | 100% |
| 17 | 21 | 18% | 11 | 10% | 83 | 72% | 115 | 100% |
| 18 | 34 | 17% | 21 | 10% | 147 | 73% | 202 | 100% |
| 19 | 16 | 11% | 16 | 11% | 117 | 79% | 149 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 11% | 17 | 89% | 19 | 100% |
| 21 | 10 | 20% | 6 | 12% | 33 | 67% | 49 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 8% | 1 | 8% | 11 | 85% | 13 | 100% |
| STATE | 192 | 15% | 134 | 11% | 942 | 74% | 1,268 | 100% |

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision

*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 26
(FY04 TABLE 68)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *Length of Stay on Probation Supervision*

| TYPE: | 0-12 months | | 13-24 months | | 25-36 months | | 37 + months | | TOTAL CLOSURES | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 373 | 56% | 204 | 30% | 69 | 10% | 26 | 4% | 672 | 100% |
| 2 | 408 | 53% | 260 | 34% | 74 | 10% | 32 | 4% | 774 | 100% |
| 3 | 22 | 51% | 17 | 40% | 4 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 43 | 100% |
| 4 | 305 | 56% | 167 | 31% | 48 | 9% | 24 | 4% | 544 | 100% |
| 5 | 68 | 60% | 28 | 25% | 14 | 12% | 3 | 3% | 113 | 100% |
| 6 | 33 | 54% | 23 | 38% | 4 | 7% | 1 | 2% | 61 | 100% |
| 7 | 55 | 42% | 55 | 42% | 18 | 14% | 4 | 3% | 132 | 100% |
| 8 | 161 | 61% | 66 | 25% | 31 | 12% | 8 | 3% | 266 | 100% |
| 9 | 43 | 62% | 18 | 26% | 7 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 69 | 100% |
| 10 | 164 | 70% | 58 | 25% | 9 | 4% | 2 | 1% | 233 | 100% |
| 11 | 136 | 68% | 46 | 23% | 15 | 7% | 4 | 2% | 201 | 100% |
| 12 | 46 | 61% | 19 | 25% | 8 | 11% | 2 | 3% | 75 | 100% |
| 13 | 57 | 50% | 35 | 31% | 21 | 18% | 1 | 1% | 114 | 100% |
| 14 | 32 | 58% | 20 | 36% | 2 | 4% | 1 | 2% | 55 | 100% |
| 15 | 9 | 22% | 20 | 49% | 9 | 22% | 3 | 7% | 41 | 100% |
| 16 | 23 | 35% | 28 | 42% | 9 | 14% | 6 | 9% | 66 | 100% |
| 17 | 345 | 54% | 203 | 32% | 69 | 11% | 20 | 3% | 637 | 100% |
| 18 | 544 | 50% | 347 | 32% | 141 | 13% | 66 | 6% | 1,098 | 100% |
| 19 | 339 | 66% | 127 | 25% | 37 | 7% | 8 | 2% | 511 | 100% |
| 20 | 206 | 81% | 30 | 12% | 8 | 3% | 10 | 4% | 254 | 100% |
| 21 | 156 | 62% | 65 | 26% | 25 | 10% | 6 | 2% | 252 | 100% |
| 22 | 23 | 52% | 16 | 36% | 5 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,548 | 57% | 1,852 | 30% | 627 | 10% | 228 | 4% | 6,255 | 100% |

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 27
(FY04 TABLE 69)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
## *State Probation Caseloads*
## *Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2005*

| Risk Levels: | New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date | | Maximum | | Medium | | Minimum | | Administrative | | Community Corrections | | Sex Offender[1] | | Interstate | | TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 41 | 5% | 118 | 13% | 337 | 38% | 218 | 25% | 76 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 877 | 100% |
| 2 | 28 | 4% | 173 | 23% | 226 | 30% | 136 | 18% | 124 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 60 | 8% | 5 | 1% | 752 | 100% |
| 3 | 3 | 8% | 13 | 33% | 10 | 26% | 8 | 21% | 4 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 39 | 100% |
| 4 | 23 | 3% | 117 | 14% | 265 | 32% | 183 | 22% | 121 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 79 | 10% | 31 | 4% | 819 | 100% |
| 5 | 2 | 2% | 14 | 14% | 24 | 23% | 43 | 42% | 14 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 5 | 5% | 103 | 100% |
| 6 | 1 | 1% | 12 | 18% | 19 | 28% | 17 | 25% | 9 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 10% | 3 | 4% | 68 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 1% | 37 | 26% | 45 | 32% | 46 | 33% | 10 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 141 | 100% |
| 8 | 10 | 3% | 121 | 39% | 79 | 26% | 10 | 3% | 42 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 13% | 5 | 2% | 307 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 22 | 25% | 12 | 13% | 32 | 36% | 16 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% | 4 | 4% | 89 | 100% |
| 10 | 7 | 3% | 20 | 9% | 113 | 53% | 27 | 13% | 44 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 214 | 100% |
| 11 | 5 | 2% | 9 | 4% | 135 | 53% | 66 | 26% | 35 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 253 | 100% |
| 12 | 2 | 2% | 7 | 6% | 24 | 21% | 64 | 56% | 16 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 114 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 1% | 24 | 22% | 20 | 19% | 42 | 39% | 14 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 6% | 108 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 17 | 20% | 36 | 43% | 18 | 22% | 8 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 5% | 83 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 9 | 18% | 15 | 30% | 13 | 26% | 9 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 6% | 1 | 2% | 50 | 100% |
| 16 | 2 | 2% | 24 | 25% | 21 | 22% | 42 | 44% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 3 | 3% | 96 | 100% |
| 17 | 40 | 6% | 77 | 11% | 163 | 24% | 187 | 27% | 170 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 7% | 3 | 0% | 688 | 100% |
| 18 | 50 | 4% | 88 | 7% | 273 | 22% | 517 | 42% | 177 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 74 | 6% | 65 | 5% | 1,244 | 100% |
| 19 | 13 | 2% | 96 | 18% | 177 | 34% | 148 | 28% | 52 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 33 | 6% | 3 | 1% | 522 | 100% |
| 20 | 18 | 6% | 90 | 29% | 58 | 19% | 47 | 15% | 55 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 36 | 12% | 3 | 1% | 307 | 100% |
| 21 | 6 | 2% | 43 | 14% | 112 | 36% | 109 | 35% | 40 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 313 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 9 | 24% | 8 | 22% | 9 | 24% | 8 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 8% | 37 | 100% |
| STATE | 253 | 4% | 1,140 | 16% | 2,172 | 30% | 1,982 | 27% | 1,047 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 476 | 7% | 154 | 2% | 7,224 | 100% |

[1]Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 28.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 28
(New Table for FY05)

# *FY 2005*
# *Colorado Juvenile Probation*
# *Sex Offender Caseloads*
# *Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2005*

| Risk Level: | *Sex Offenders (Non- SOISP) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sex Offender Maximum | | Sex Offender Medium | | Sex Offender Minimum | | Sex Offender Administrative | | TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 46 | 53% | 34 | 39% | 7 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 100% |
| 2 | 31 | 52% | 23 | 38% | 6 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 60 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 71 | 90% | 4 | 5% | 4 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 79 | 100% |
| 5 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 5 | 71% | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 25 | 63% | 3 | 8% | 1 | 3% | 11 | 28% | 40 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 1 | 33% | 1 | 33% | 3 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| 15 | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 17 | 10 | 21% | 22 | 46% | 9 | 19% | 7 | 15% | 48 | 100% |
| 18 | 18 | 24% | 56 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 74 | 100% |
| 19 | 14 | 42% | 12 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 21% | 33 | 100% |
| 20 | 18 | 50% | 11 | 31% | 4 | 11% | 3 | 8% | 36 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 100% |
| STATE | 236 | 50% | 172 | 36% | 39 | 8% | 29 | 6% | 476 | 100% |

*Sex Offenders Non SOISP: This chart does not include Juvenile Sex Offenders wha have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP).

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 29
(FY04 TABLE 70)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)***
***New Clients by Order Type***

| DISTRICT | Direct Sentence | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Total New Clients | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 130 | 57% | 89 | 39% | 8 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 227 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 4 | 52 | 39% | 70 | 53% | 11 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 133 | 100% |
| 5 | 8 | 44% | 8 | 44% | 2 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 6 | 5 | 50% | 3 | 30% | 2 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 7 | 3 | 50% | 3 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 8 | 55 | 37% | 86 | 58% | 8 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 149 | 100% |
| 9 | 6 | 43% | 7 | 50% | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 10 | 17 | 35% | 29 | 60% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 100% |
| 11 | 7 | 64% | 4 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 12 | 8 | 35% | 15 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 10% | 16 | 76% | 3 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 40% | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 94 | 46% | 87 | 43% | 23 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 204 | 100% |
| 18 | 64 | 32% | 111 | 56% | 23 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 198 | 100% |
| 19 | 50 | 44% | 52 | 46% | 11 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 113 | 100% |
| 20 | 41 | 51% | 32 | 40% | 8 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 81 | 100% |
| 21 | 11 | 33% | 21 | 64% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 33 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 2A* | 216 | 91% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 771 | 50% | 638 | 41% | 129 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 1,538 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-ISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 30
(FY04 TABLE 74)

***FY 2005***
***Colorado Specialized Programs***
***Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)***
***Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations***

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Abscond | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 100 | 50% | 75 | 37% | 26 | 13% | 201 | 100% |
| 2 | 109 | 37% | 106 | 36% | 78 | 27% | 293 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 25% | 3 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 4 | 82 | 52% | 49 | 31% | 26 | 17% | 157 | 100% |
| 5 | 10 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 17% | 12 | 100% |
| 6 | 4 | 57% | 3 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 61 | 65% | 26 | 28% | 7 | 7% | 94 | 100% |
| 9 | 4 | 57% | 2 | 29% | 1 | 14% | 7 | 100% |
| 10 | 26 | 59% | 14 | 32% | 4 | 9% | 44 | 100% |
| 11 | 9 | 69% | 4 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 12 | 4 | 44% | 5 | 56% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 13 | 3 | 43% | 4 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 33% | 4 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 90 | 65% | 25 | 18% | 23 | 17% | 138 | 100% |
| 18 | 75 | 52% | 44 | 31% | 24 | 17% | 143 | 100% |
| 19 | 50 | 64% | 24 | 31% | 4 | 5% | 78 | 100% |
| 20 | 22 | 49% | 18 | 40% | 5 | 11% | 45 | 100% |
| 21 | 15 | 58% | 11 | 42% | 0 | 0% | 26 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 668 | 52% | 418 | 33% | 200 | 16% | 1,286 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation discharges are included in Table 31.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 31
(FY04 TABLE 73)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)***
***Discharges***

| DISTRICT | Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation | | Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Absconded | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections | | Revoked: Department of Corrections | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Total Discharges | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 95 | 38% | 5 | 2% | 26 | 11% | 6 | 2% | 37 | 15% | 75 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 247 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 4 | 78 | 44% | 4 | 2% | 26 | 15% | 10 | 6% | 10 | 6% | 49 | 28% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 178 | 100% |
| 5 | 10 | 59% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 12% | 3 | 18% | 2 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 100% |
| 6 | 4 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 8 | 57 | 42% | 4 | 3% | 7 | 5% | 5 | 4% | 38 | 28% | 26 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 137 | 100% |
| 9 | 4 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 2 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 10 | 22 | 46% | 4 | 8% | 4 | 8% | 1 | 2% | 3 | 6% | 14 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 100% |
| 11 | 9 | 69% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 12 | 4 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 47% | 5 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 20% | 1 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 20% | 1 | 10% | 4 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 1 | 13% | 4 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 86 | 46% | 4 | 2% | 23 | 12% | 7 | 4% | 39 | 21% | 25 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 185 | 100% |
| 18 | 72 | 33% | 3 | 1% | 24 | 11% | 10 | 5% | 63 | 29% | 44 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 218 | 100% |
| 19 | 46 | 37% | 4 | 3% | 4 | 3% | 7 | 6% | 40 | 32% | 24 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 125 | 100% |
| 20 | 20 | 30% | 2 | 3% | 5 | 8% | 7 | 11% | 12 | 18% | 18 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 66 | 100% |
| 21 | 13 | 48% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 4% | 11 | 41% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2A* | 74 | 16% | 35 | 8% | 78 | 17% | 74 | 16% | 65 | 14% | 106 | 23% | 5 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 10 | 2% | 453 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 599 | 34% | 69 | 4% | 200 | 11% | 134 | 8% | 323 | 18% | 418 | 24% | 5 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 14 | 1% | 1,773 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.
Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-ISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 32
(FY04 TABLE 73)

*FY2005*
*Colorado Probation Specialized Programs*
*Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)*
*Revocation Types*

| DISTRICT | Revoked: New Felony | | Revoked: New Misdemeanor | | Revoked: Technical | | Total Revocations | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 30 | 40% | 7 | 9% | 38 | 51% | 75 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 3 | 100% |
| 4 | 32 | 65% | 3 | 6% | 14 | 29% | 49 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% | 3 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 12 | 46% | 2 | 8% | 12 | 46% | 26 | 100% |
| 9 | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 2 | 100% |
| 10 | 2 | 14% | 2 | 14% | 10 | 71% | 14 | 100% |
| 11 | 3 | 75% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 12 | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 2 | 40% | 5 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 75% | 4 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 75% | 4 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 11 | 44% | 3 | 12% | 11 | 44% | 25 | 100% |
| 18 | 7 | 16% | 6 | 14% | 31 | 70% | 44 | 100% |
| 19 | 8 | 33% | 2 | 8% | 14 | 58% | 24 | 100% |
| 20 | 7 | 39% | 2 | 11% | 9 | 50% | 18 | 100% |
| 21 | 3 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 73% | 11 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2A* | 19 | 18% | 6 | 6% | 81 | 76% | 106 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 139 | 33% | 36 | 9% | 243 | 58% | 418 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervisio
*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervisio
*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance
*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probatic

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-ISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 33
(FY04 TABLE 71)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)***
***New Clients by Order Type***

| DISTRICT | Direct Sentence | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Total New Clients | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 39 | 87% | 4 | 9% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 45 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 4 | 32 | 56% | 23 | 40% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 57 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 20 | 63% | 10 | 31% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 32 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 11 | 73% | 2 | 13% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 11 | 3 | 30% | 6 | 60% | 1 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 17 | 13 | 81% | 2 | 13% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 100% |
| 18 | 33 | 79% | 6 | 14% | 3 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 42 | 100% |
| 19 | 5 | 45% | 2 | 18% | 4 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 20 | 12 | 86% | 1 | 7% | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 21 | 15 | 94% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 100% |
| 22 | 3 | 75% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 2A* | 19 | 95% | 1 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 210 | 72% | 61 | 21% | 20 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 291 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-SIP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 34
(FY04 TABLE 76)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Specialized Programs*
## *Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)*
## *Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations*

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 22 | 52% | 17 | 40% | 3 | 7% | 42 | 100% |
| 2A | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 3 | 3 | 9% | 25 | 74% | 6 | 18% | 34 | 100% |
| 4 | 3 | 60% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 5 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 1 | 8% | 10 | 83% | 1 | 8% | 12 | 100% |
| 8 | 3 | 75% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 9 | 2 | 33% | 4 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 2 | 33% | 2 | 33% | 2 | 33% | 6 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 2 | 20% | 7 | 70% | 1 | 10% | 10 | 100% |
| 17 | 3 | 8% | 19 | 51% | 15 | 41% | 37 | 100% |
| 18 | 1 | 13% | 6 | 75% | 1 | 13% | 8 | 100% |
| 19 | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 2 | 100% |
| 20 | 7 | 70% | 3 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 21 | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 22 | 4 | 18% | 15 | 68% | 3 | 14% | 22 | 100% |
| STATE | 58 | 28% | 115 | 56% | 34 | 16% | 207 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation discharges are included in Table 35.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 35
(FY04 TABLE 75)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)***
***Discharges***

| DISTRICT | Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation | | Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Absconded | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections | | Revoked: Department of Corrections | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Total Discharges | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 21 | 44% | 1 | 2% | 3 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 10% | 17 | 35% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 4 | 1 | 3% | 2 | 6% | 6 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 3 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 6 | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 7% | 1 | 7% | 2 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 9 | 3 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 20% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 10 | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 1 | 17% | 1 | 17% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 13% | 1 | 6% | 2 | 13% | 1 | 6% | 7 | 44% | 3 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 100% |
| 18 | 1 | 2% | 2 | 5% | 15 | 37% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 5% | 19 | 46% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 41 | 100% |
| 19 | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 3 | 25% | 1 | 8% | 6 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 1 | 17% | 2 | 33% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 21 | 3 | 27% | 4 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 22 | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 2A* | 2 | 7% | 2 | 7% | 3 | 11% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 56% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 39 | 16% | 19 | 8% | 34 | 14% | 12 | 5% | 14 | 6% | 115 | 47% | 4 | 2% | 4 | 2% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 244 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.
Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-SIP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 36
(FY04 TABLE 75)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)***
***Revocation Types***

| DISTRICT | Revoked: New Felony | | Revoked: New Misdemeanor | | Revoked: Technical | | Total Revocations | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 4 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 76% | 17 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 4 | 5 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 80% | 25 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% | 2 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 1 | 10% | 1 | 10% | 8 | 80% | 10 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% | 4 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 71% | 7 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 19 | 100% | 19 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% | 6 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% | 3 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 2A* | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 93% | 15 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 15 | 13% | 2 | 2% | 98 | 85% | 115 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-SIP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 37
(No Table existed in FY04)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Female Offender Program (FOP)***
***New Clients by Order Type***

| DISTRICT | Direct Sentence | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Total New | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 16 | 28% | 42 | 72% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 58 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 1 | 4% | 26 | 93% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 16 | 30% | 34 | 64% | 3 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 53 | 100% |
| 18 | 5 | 19% | 22 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2A* | 18 | 23% | 53 | 69% | 6 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 56 | 23% | 177 | 73% | 10 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 243 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 38
(No Table existed in FY04)

## *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Specialized Programs*
## *Female Offender Program (FOP)*
## *Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations*

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 7 | 58% | 5 | 42% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 2A | 5 | 36% | 4 | 29% | 5 | 36% | 14 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 10 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 12 | 0% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 22 | 58% | 10 | 26% | 6 | 16% | 38 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation discharges are included in Table 39.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 39
(No Table existed in FY04)

# *FY2005*
## *Colorado Probation Specialized Programs*
## *Female Offender Program (FOP)*
## *Discharges*

| DISTRICT | Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation | | Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Absconded | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections | | Revoked: Department of Corrections | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Total Discharges | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 5% | 6 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 40% | 5 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 10 | 56% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 28% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 18 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 2 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2A* | 4 | 19% | 1 | 5% | 5 | 24% | 4 | 19% | 3 | 14% | 4 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 15 | 25% | 7 | 11% | 6 | 10% | 4 | 7% | 17 | 28% | 10 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 61 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.
Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 40
(No Table existed in FY04)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Female Offender Program (FOP)***
***Revocation Types***

| DISTRICT | Revoked: New Felony | | Revoked: New Misdemeanor | | Revoked: Technical | | Total Revocations | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 40% | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 5 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2A* | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 3 | 75% | 4 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 2 | 20% | 2 | 20% | 6 | 60% | 10 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 41
(FY04 TABLE 72)

# *FY2005*
## *Colorado Probation Specialized Programs*
## *Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)*
## *New Clients by Order Type*

| DISTRICT | Direct Sentence | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Change of Venue | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Total New Clients | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 21 | 38% | 32 | 58% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 4 | 7 | 21% | 25 | 74% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 34 | 100% |
| 5 | 9 | 60% | 6 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 6 | 2 | 29% | 5 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 8 | 16 | 48% | 15 | 45% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 33 | 100% |
| 9 | 6 | 35% | 11 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 100% |
| 10 | 7 | 32% | 14 | 64% | 1 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 100% |
| 11 | 2 | 50% | 1 | 25% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 12 | 17 | 63% | 9 | 33% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| 13 | 5 | 33% | 9 | 60% | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 1 | 13% | 7 | 88% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 17 | 20 | 22% | 55 | 60% | 16 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 91 | 100% |
| 18 | 24 | 26% | 66 | 72% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 92 | 100% |
| 19 | 6 | 26% | 15 | 65% | 2 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 20 | 3 | 27% | 8 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 21 | 6 | 33% | 11 | 61% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 22 | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 2J* | 31 | 39% | 41 | 51% | 8 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 80 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 187 | 33% | 334 | 60% | 39 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 560 | 100% |

2J*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-JISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 42
(FY04 TABLE 78)

# *FY 2005*
## *Colorado Specialized Programs*
## *Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)*
## *Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations*

| | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TYPE: | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconded | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 24 | 52% | 21 | 46% | 1 | 2% | 46 | 100% |
| 2J | 19 | 37% | 25 | 49% | 7 | 14% | 51 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 4 | 18 | 50% | 14 | 39% | 4 | 11% | 36 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 6 | 3 | 30% | 7 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 11 | 48% | 12 | 52% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 9 | 2 | 25% | 5 | 63% | 1 | 13% | 8 | 100% |
| 10 | 7 | 35% | 8 | 40% | 5 | 25% | 20 | 100% |
| 11 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 12 | 21 | 81% | 4 | 15% | 1 | 4% | 26 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 13% | 7 | 88% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 16 | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 17 | 33 | 46% | 35 | 49% | 4 | 6% | 72 | 100% |
| 18 | 55 | 54% | 41 | 41% | 5 | 5% | 101 | 100% |
| 19 | 7 | 39% | 8 | 44% | 3 | 17% | 18 | 100% |
| 20 | 8 | 62% | 5 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 21 | 6 | 33% | 10 | 56% | 2 | 11% | 18 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| STATE | 227 | 49% | 206 | 44% | 33 | 7% | 466 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes state successful terminations.

*Unsuccessful Terminations*: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

*Total Terminations*: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation discharges are included in Table 43 .

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 43
(FY04 TABLE 77)

*FY2005*
*Colorado Probation Specialized Programs*
*Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)*
*Discharges*

| DISTRICT | Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation | | Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Absconded | | Change of Venue | | Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections | | Revoked: Division of Youth Corrections | | Deported | | Death | | Administrative Closure | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Total Discharges | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 18 | 35% | 6 | 12% | 1 | 2% | 4 | 8% | 1 | 2% | 21 | 41% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 4 | 2 | 6% | 16 | 44% | 4 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 36 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 3 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 3 | 100% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 11 | 48% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 52% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 9 | 2 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 63% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 7 | 32% | 5 | 23% | 2 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 100% |
| 11 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 12 | 18 | 64% | 3 | 11% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 7% | 28 | 100% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 88% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 16 | 1 | 33% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 17 | 29 | 37% | 4 | 5% | 4 | 5% | 7 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 79 | 100% |
| 18 | 43 | 41% | 12 | 11% | 5 | 5% | 3 | 3% | 1 | 1% | 41 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 105 | 100% |
| 19 | 4 | 22% | 3 | 17% | 3 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 8 | 62% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 21 | 3 | 17% | 3 | 17% | 2 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 56% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 2J* | 10 | 16% | 9 | 14% | 7 | 11% | 10 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 63 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 135 | 27% | 92 | 18% | 33 | 7% | 27 | 5% | 2 | 0% | 206 | 41% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 1% | 501 | 100% |

2J*: Denver Juvenile Probation.
Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-JISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 44
(FY04 TABLE 77)

***FY2005***
***Colorado Probation Specialized Programs***
***Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)***
***Revocation Types***

| DISTRICT | Revoked: New Felony | | Revoked: New Misdemeanor | | Revoked: Technical | | Total Revocations | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 5 | 24% | 1 | 5% | 15 | 71% | 21 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 4 | 3 | 21% | 1 | 7% | 10 | 71% | 14 | 100% |
| 5 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 6 | 1 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 86% | 7 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 92% | 12 | 100% |
| 9 | 3 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 40% | 5 | 100% |
| 10 | 2 | 25% | 2 | 25% | 4 | 50% | 8 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 1 | 25% | 1 | 25% | 2 | 50% | 4 | 100% |
| 13 | 1 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 86% | 7 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 6 | 17% | 1 | 3% | 28 | 80% | 35 | 100% |
| 18 | 6 | 15% | 2 | 5% | 33 | 80% | 41 | 100% |
| 19 | 4 | 50% | 2 | 25% | 2 | 25% | 8 | 100% |
| 20 | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 60% | 5 | 100% |
| 21 | 2 | 20% | 3 | 30% | 5 | 50% | 10 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 2J* | 3 | 12% | 1 | 4% | 21 | 84% | 25 | 100% |
| **TOTAL** | 41 | 20% | 16 | 8% | 149 | 72% | 206 | 100% |

2J*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

*Key:* *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
*New Misdemeanor*: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
*Technical*: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
*Total Revocations*: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-JISP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 45
(FY04 TABLE 79)

# *FY2005*
## *Colorado Probation Specialized Programs*
## *Active Clients on June 30, 2005*

| DISTRICT | Adult Intensive Supervision Probation | | Female Offender Program | | [1]Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation | | Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation | | TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 225 | 58% | 36 | 9% | 80 | 21% | 44 | 11% | 385 | 100% |
| 3 | 7 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 50% | 1 | 6% | 16 | 100% |
| 4 | 162 | 41% | 28 | 7% | 174 | 44% | 33 | 8% | 397 | 100% |
| 5 | 15 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 29% | 12 | 32% | 38 | 100% |
| 6 | 7 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 66% | 4 | 13% | 32 | 100% |
| 7 | 10 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 2 | 15% | 13 | 100% |
| 8 | 146 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 79 | 31% | 32 | 12% | 257 | 100% |
| 9 | 15 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 19 | 54% | 35 | 100% |
| 10 | 65 | 45% | 0 | 0% | 61 | 42% | 18 | 13% | 144 | 100% |
| 11 | 14 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 12 | 22 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 39% | 36 | 100% |
| 13 | 17 | 53% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 19% | 9 | 28% | 32 | 100% |
| 14 | 5 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 15 | 5 | 63% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 2 | 25% | 8 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 63% | 3 | 38% | 8 | 100% |
| 17 | 143 | 47% | 35 | 12% | 52 | 17% | 72 | 24% | 302 | 100% |
| 18 | 161 | 36% | 26 | 6% | 173 | 39% | 86 | 19% | 446 | 100% |
| 19 | 89 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 27% | 19 | 13% | 148 | 100% |
| 20 | 58 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 36 | 34% | 11 | 10% | 105 | 100% |
| 21 | 25 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 42% | 17 | 23% | 73 | 100% |
| 22 | 3 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 53% | 4 | 27% | 15 | 100% |
| 2A* | 330 | 73% | 71 | 16% | 49 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 450 | 100% |
| 2J** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 100% | 56 | 100% |
| TOTAL | 1,524 | 50% | 196 | 6% | 853 | 28% | 458 | 15% | 3,031 | 100% |

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

2J**: Denver Juvenile Probation.

[1]Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Chart.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Specialized Programs Data Collection Coversheet-SIP submitted by probation districts July 2004- June 2005.

TABLE 46

## *Summary of Colorado Probation Victims Services FY 2001 to FY 2005*

| | FY2001 | FY2002 | FY2003 | FY2004 | FY2005 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **VICTIM SERVICES** | | | | | |
| Initial Notifications Sent | 9,430 | 9,790 | 12,296 | 10,934 | 12,077 |
| Notification Requests Returned | 2,042 | 2,159 | 2,372 | 2,635 | 2,363 |
| Percent of Victims Requesting Notification | 22% | 22% | 19% | 24% | 20% |
| *VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS | | | | | |
| Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location | 3,738 | 3,738 | 3,169 | 2,818 | 1,359 |
| Date of Probation Termination | 985 | 1,256 | 1,533 | 1,936 | 1,914 |
| Early Termination Requests | 260 | 248 | 337 | 439 | 300 |
| **Probation Violation | | | 460 | 1,096 | 996 |
| Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition | 2,542 | 2,994 | 3,831 | 3,434 | 3,392 |
| Hearing other than Revocation | 1,689 | 1,897 | 2,071 | 2,757 | 4,080 |
| Modification of Probation Sentence | 2 | 43 | 461 | 467 | 307 |
| Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction | 541 | 866 | 589 | 441 | 429 |
| Abscond/Warrant | 771 | 1,184 | 1,465 | 1,379 | 1,494 |
| Death of a Probationer | 37 | 33 | 27 | 25 | 36 |
| TOTAL | 10,565 | 12,259 | 13,943 | 14,792 | 14,307 |

***Victim Notification of Critical Probation Events*: These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision.**

****Probation Violation*: This figure refers to specific domestic violence victims regarding their right to be informed of the violation of any condition of probation (24-4.1-302.5 (i.5), C.R.S.).**

TABLE 47

## *Summary of Colorado DUI Evaluations*
## *FY 2001 to FY 2005*

| TOTAL EVALUATIONS | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | FY 2003 | FY 2004 | FY 2005 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 1 | 2,496 | 2,556 | 2,833 | 2,657 | 2,778 |
| 3 | 432 | 380 | 385 | 361 | 310 |
| 4 | 2,745 | 2,699 | 2,832 | 2,730 | 3,146 |
| 5 | 1,371 | 1,361 | 1,122 | 1,185 | 1,040 |
| 6 | 696 | 611 | 448 | 562 | 583 |
| 7 | 783 | 733 | 669 | 622 | 665 |
| 8 | 2,289 | 2,312 | 2,249 | 2,373 | 2,166 |
| 9 | 759 | 750 | 780 | 776 | 720 |
| 10 | 1,533 | 1,287 | 1,243 | 1,211 | 1,116 |
| 11 | 542 | 500 | 562 | 617 | 536 |
| 12 | 759 | 663 | 471 | 443 | 591 |
| 13 | 754 | 764 | 627 | 566 | 519 |
| 14 | 482 | 515 | 454 | 394 | 358 |
| 15 | 261 | 239 | 307 | 189 | 162 |
| 16 | 315 | 258 | 230 | 194 | 203 |
| 17 | 3,355 | 3,049 | 2,928 | 3,373 | 3,424 |
| 18 | 4,302 | 4,107 | 4,008 | 4,093 | 3,731 |
| 19 | 1,822 | 1,632 | 1,615 | 1,608 | 1,565 |
| 20 | 2,665 | 2,464 | 2,393 | 1,817 | 1,942 |
| 21 | 1,092 | 1,001 | 918 | 953 | 1,073 |
| 22 | 348 | 293 | 212 | 216 | 241 |
| **COLORADO COURT TOTAL** | **29,801** | **28,174** | **27,286** | **26,940** | **26,869** |
| | | | | | |
| Denver County* | 3,270 | 2,638 | 2,341 | 2,058 | 1,945 |
| **STATE TOTAL** | **33,071** | **30,812** | **29,627** | **28,998** | **28,814** |

*Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system, therefore this data is reported separately.

## Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

**Civil Appeal:** A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.

**Criminal Appeal:** A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.

**Habeas Corpus:** (Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) "An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent." ((http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com)

**Interlocutories:** An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.

**Interrogatories:** Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer "important questions upon solemn occasions" propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called "interrogatories."

**Judicial Discipline or Disability:** Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.

**Original Proceedings:** Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a "rule to show cause" why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

**Petitions in Certiorari:** A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.

**Reapportionment:** Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the senate and the house of representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the general assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets

constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.

**Request for Stay Pending Appeal:** A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

**Rule 21.1:** This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.

**Special Concurrences:** An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.

**Statutory Reviews:** Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.

**Unauthorized Practice:** The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

## Trial Court Glossary of Terms

**Administrative Support Order:** Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).

**Ancillary Proceedings:** A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.

**Breach of Contract:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).

**Breach of Warranty:** A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.

**C.R.C.C.P.:** Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure

**C.R.C.P.** Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure

**C.R.S.:** Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.

**Civil Protection Orders:** (Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil Protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)

**Condemnation:** A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).

**County Court Counter Claim:** A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of

| | |
|---|---|
| | the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313). |
| **County Felony:** | Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the county court level before being bound over (sent) to district court. |
| **Covenant:** | Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breech of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations. |
| **Criminal Non-support:** | A criminal action for the non-payment of child support. |
| **Declaratory Judgment:** | A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations. |
| **Dependency and Neglect:** | A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of their parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.). |
| **Determination of Interests:** | A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something. *(e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.)* |
| **Disposition:** | The final settlement of a matter, and with reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition. |
| **Distraint Warrant:** | A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding State Taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets. |
| **Expedited Placement:** | A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.). |
| **Felony:** | A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution. |
| **Forcible Entry and Detainer:** | Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.). |
| **Foreclosure other than Rule 120:** | A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.). |
| **Foreign Judgment:** | A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.). |
| **Goods Sold and Delivered:** | A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them. |
| **Incoming Registration of Support:** | A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he/she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments. |
| **Infraction:** | A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine. |
| **Injunctive Relief:** | Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65) |
| **Intestate- Formal:** | Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative. |

**Intestate-Informal:** Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.

**Lien:** A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S. )

**Mechanics' Lien:** Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)

**Misdemeanor:** A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.

**Money:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.

**Note:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.

**Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:** An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that they want to have enforced in another state.

**Public Administrator Statement:** A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.

**Public Utilities Commission:** A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)

**Registration of Foreign Decree:** When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)

**Replevin:** A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104)

**Restraining Orders:** See Civil Protection Orders

**Rule 105 Quiet Title:** Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).

**Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:** A civil case brought in district court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.

**Rule 120 Deed of Trust:** A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).

**Services Rendered:** A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.

**Single Transaction:** A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.

**Special Administrator- Formal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on Petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)

**Special Administrator- Informal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.

**Special District:** Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)

**Specific Performance:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.

**Testate- Formal:** A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).

**Testate-Informal:** A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.

**Termination (terminated case):** A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.

**Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:** A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veteran's Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.

**Writ of Habeas Corpus:** Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)

*Probation*

**Adjudication:** Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is "conviction."

**Absconsion:** Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

**Change of Venue:** Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Changes of venue typically occur because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.

**Client Risk Level:** Probation offenders are classified using a risk instrument. The instrument used with adults is the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory) and the juvenile instrument is the CYO- LSI (Colorado Young Offender Level of Services Inventory). These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).

**Courtesy Supervision:** Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.

**Community Corrections:** A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case worker. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.

**Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication:** Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.

**Intake:** The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.

**Interstate:** Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in other states but reside in Colorado. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to Colorado and are screened by the Intestate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.

| | |
|---|---|
| **Length of Stay:** | The period of time an offender is on probation supervision. |
| **Pre-Sentence Investigation:** | An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies. |
| **Revocation:** | The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court. |
| **Success:** | Successful terminations represent those offenders who completed the Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired. |
| **Technical Violation:** | Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. drug use, missed appointments). |
| **Spousal Assault Risk Assessment:** | An instrument used with domestic violence offenders to assess the risk of assault. |