


Three classical columns, likely Corinthian or Ionic, rendered in a light beige or tan color. They are positioned on the left side of the page, with the central column being the most prominent and slightly taller than the two flanking it. The columns have ornate capitals with scrolls and acanthus leaves.

Tradition, Innovation and Progress



Colorado Judicial Branch

**Fiscal Year 2002
Annual Report**

Executive Summary

This Executive Summary and the more detailed Annual Statistical Report are both available on the Judicial Branch website: www.courts.state.co.us.

Contents

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Messages | 2 |
| Overview | 3 |
| Budget | 4 |
| Court Statistics | 5 |
| Administration | 8 |

A Message from the Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Judicial Branch is proud to present its Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2002. As the state courts work in our ever-changing society, we track our achievements, providing an annual cornerstone for past

comparisons and a benchmark for future planning. This report represents the efforts of the Judicial Branch to effectively



Mary J. Mullarkey

meet the expanding workload placed on us by agencies, organizations, and the public.

This executive summary, published as a supplement to the larger statistical report, provides an

overview of the function and accomplishments of the Branch. The statistical report offers detailed data of court activities at the appellate, dis-

trict, and county court levels, and in probation services.

In FY 2002, the statewide bench was composed of 250 judges, following the appointment of six additional district court judges as authorized by the state legislature in FY 2001. In total, the authorization allows the addition of another six judges every year for the next three fiscal years, for a total of 24 new judges. The expansion of the judiciary adds depth to the Branch, enabling it to better respond to increasing caseloads, ongoing case management issues, and

increased case complexities. Supporting these judges are more than 3,000 judicial employees involved in a wide variety of duties related to the courts.

As Chief Justice, it is my responsibility to ensure the Branch is responsive to the needs of the public, and promote the public's understanding of and confidence in the judiciary. I hope the information contained in this report will help you explore the accomplishments of your courts in addressing the complex issues facing the Colorado courts.

From the Desk of Colorado's State Court Administrator

As Colorado's State Court Administrator, it is my pleasure and honor to share with you a brief summary of the accomplishments of the Colorado Judicial Branch. I am pleased to highlight the business of the courts from July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002, in this report.

In a year marked by profound national tragedy, the courts in Colorado have reaffirmed their commitment to justice and the rule of law by providing innovation and progress built upon the foundations of tradition. Traditionally, our courts have many areas of strength, but especially a well-qualified judi-

ciary and a hardworking and dedicated staff in the courts and in probation. In matters such as customer service, collection systems, judicial selection processes, e-filing, Integrated Criminal Justice (CICJIS) systems, electronic public access, and many others, the Colorado courts have been seen as a national leader. During this year, we have maintained and enhanced those areas.

Where old methods of doing business have needed changing, we have looked to new,

exciting, and technologically advanced methods of doing court business, such as satellite tracking of sex offenders and privatizing public access to data on the Internet. Under the leadership of Chief Justice Mary Mullarkey and with the



Gerald A. Marroney

help of many citizens, legislators, attorneys, judges, and others who care about Colorado's families, the Commission on Families in the Colorado Courts finalized its official report this year with recommendations that will help streamline family cases and make them less contentious and less damaging to children and their parents.

We have tried to demonstrate proper public accountability of the courts, sought to obtain public trust and confidence in our courts, and attempted to make evident our good and responsible management of the funds we are given by the taxpayers and appropriated by the legislators — all to help assure that all Coloradans can have an effective "day in court."

I am proud of our Supreme Court justices for moving us forward, proud of our judges and their hardworking court and probation staff members for their day-to-day work, and proud of the work done by the dedicated employees of the Office of the State Court Administrator.

Colorado's State Courts in FY 2002

Colorado Supreme Court

In addition to its legal duties as the court of last resort in the Colorado court system, the Colorado Supreme Court has supervisory and administrative responsibility over the following bodies:

- Commission on Judicial Discipline
- Judicial Nominating Commission
- State Board of Law Examiners
- State Judicial Performance Commission
- Alternate Defense Counsel Commission
- Office of the Child's Representative Board
- Board of Continuing Legal Education
- Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel

- Appellate Discipline Commission
- Presiding Attorney Disciplinary Judge
- Public Defender Commission
- Public Defender

The chief justice, who is elected by the court from its membership, is the chief executive officer of the Colorado Judicial Branch.

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Court of Appeals, Colorado's intermediate appellate court, sits in divisions of three judges to hear oral arguments in cases that have been appealed from the state trial courts. The court is also responsible for reviewing the decisions of several state administrative agencies. In

cases of first impression or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

Court of Appeals Judges:

Chief Judge Claus Hume
Judge James Casebolt
Judge John Dailey
Judge Janice Davidson
Judge Raymond Dean Jones
Judge Robert Kapelke
Judge Jose D.L. Marquez
Judge Karen Metzger
Judge Peter Ney
Judge Henry Nieto
Judge Leonard Plank
Judge Sandra Rothenberg
Judge Arthur Roy
Judge Edwin Ruland
Judge Daniel Taubman
Judge JoAnn Vogt

Trial Courts

The Judicial Branch consists of 127 district court judges, 102 county court judges, and more than 3,000 support staff working together to ensure timely and fair case resolution.

District Courts

District courts in Colorado have authority to handle case types

Front Row (left to right): Rebecca Love Kourlis, Chief Justice Mary Mullarkey, Gregory Hobbs; Back Row: Nancy Rice, Alex Martinez, Michael Bender, Nathan Ben Coats

including domestic, civil, juvenile, probate, mental health, and criminal. There are 22 judicial districts in Colorado that encompass the state's 64 counties. The Denver District Court is the only court in Colorado with separate courts for juvenile and probate matters.

County Courts

Each of Colorado's 64 counties has a county court with one or more county judges (the Denver County Court functions as a municipal and county court and is not part of the state court system). County courts handle traffic cases and minor criminal matters, as well as civil actions of no more than \$15,000. Small claims courts are divisions of county courts as well.

Water Courts

Colorado has seven water courts, one in each of the major river basins in Colorado (South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White, and San Juan rivers). Water rights and usage cases are heard by the water courts, which are divisions of their local district court. The Supreme Court appoints a district court judge from within the water division to act as water judge. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals from water judges' decisions are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.



The Colorado Supreme Court

Visiting the Appellate Courts
Oral arguments in both the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals are open to the public, and members of the public are always welcome to visit the court. For more information, call Supreme Court Clerk of Court Mac Danford at (303) 861-1111, or Court of Appeals Clerk of Court John Doerner at (303) 837-3785.



FY 2002 Budget Appropriations

The Judicial Branch's FY 2002 budget was \$219 million. This amount represented 1.7 percent of the state's total budget of \$13 billion.

This budget was an increase of \$9.5 million from the previous fiscal year (although the increase was partially offset by the transfer of \$6.8 million to the Office of the Child's


Representative). The increase was primarily due to:

- an increase of 6.0 new district court judges and accompanying staff (\$2.2 million);
- a county judge created for the new City and County of Broomfield (\$415,000);
- an increase in probation treatment cash funds (\$2 million);

- a judicial pay increase (\$1.9 million);
- 30.0 new case processing staff (\$1 million);
- 30.0 new probation officers (\$1.4 million).

The Branch budget was apportioned among the various divisions and programs of the Branch (excluding the Public Defender's Office, Alternate Defense Counsel, and Office of the Child's Representative) as shown in the charts below.

Judicial Branch Budget FY 2002: \$219 million



Collections


The Judicial Branch collections program took in nearly \$100 million in court-ordered payments from defendants. These monies were allocated to the following funds:

- State General Fund (\$23.3M)
- Restitution Owed to Victims (\$18.4M)
- Victims Assistance & Compensation Programs (\$19.6M)
- Highway Users Trust Fund (\$7.5M)*
- Other Funds and Programs (\$30.8M)**


* Collections of DUI and traffic fines.

**Alcohol eval. & sup. fees, drug offender surcharges, law enforcement assistance fees, probation supervision fees, fines and fees earmarked for local governments, Supreme Court fees, other misc. collections.

Judicial Branch FY 2002 Budget Appropriations



Judicial Branch FY 2002 Budget Appropriation by Category of Expenditure



FY 2002 Case Statistic Overview

Colorado Supreme Court

During FY 2002, 1,368 cases were filed with the Colorado Supreme Court. The justices disposed of 1,415 cases through written opinions (121), without written opinion (1,287), and by transfer to the Court of Appeals (7).

As the chart below indicates, the majority of the court's filings were requests to review

decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals. However, the Supreme Court also has jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional; cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; cases involving adjudication of water rights; summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code; and prosecutorial appeals concern-

ing search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings.

The court consists of seven justices who serve 10-year terms.

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals saw 2,673 cases filed. The judges disposed of 2,463 cases through published opinions (291), unpublished opinions (1,330), dismissals (834), and transfers to the Supreme Court (8).

The Court of Appeals consists of 16 judges who serve eight-year terms. It is typically the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders from the state's trial courts. In addition, the court has appellate jurisdiction over decisions made in several state administrative boards and agencies.

District Courts

There were 164,237 cases filed at the district court level

(excluding water cases), and 165,895 cases terminated in the same time period. District court filings have increased by 28.6 percent over the past 10 years. The growth has primarily occurred in criminal and juvenile matters, including delinquency and dependency and neglect matters.

County Courts

There were 469,993 cases filed in Colorado's county courts, and 476,713 cases were terminated in that same time period.

Over the past 10 years, county court filings have increased by 14.5 percent, primarily in civil and misdemeanor filings.

Water Courts

Colorado's seven water courts saw 1,257 filings and 4,769 claims, while 1,300 cases were terminated in that same time period.

Types of Cases Filed in the Supreme Court During FY 2002


| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Death Penalty Appeals | 3 |
| Original Proceedings: | |
| Criminal | 131 |
| Civil | 157 |
| Petitions in Certiorari: | |
| Criminal | 554 |
| Civil | 239 |
| Child Welfare | 31 |
| Industrial Claims | 45 |
| Civil Appeals ¹ | 23 |
| Statutory Reviews ² | 26 |
| Interlocutories ³ | 17 |
| Habeas Corpus | 17 |
| Reapportionment | 1 |
| Rule 2.1 | 1 |
| Unauthorized Practice | 9 |
| Original Proceedings | |
| In Discipline | 15 |
| Continuing Legal Education | 69 |
| Multi-District Litigation | 30 |
| TOTAL | 1,368 |

1. Includes PUC decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions.


2. Includes ballot title reviews.

3. Appeals by the prosecution in criminal cases after a motion to suppress evidence was granted.

District Court Filing Distribution



County Court Filing Distribution



This executive summary provides a basic overview of the state courts' 2002 statistics. Detailed charts and comparative tables are included in the annual statistical report, available on the Judicial Branch website: www.courts.state.co.us.

One-Year Comparisons in Trial Court Filings by Judicial District

District Court Filings and One-Year Percent Changes

| <i>District</i> | <i>FY 01</i> | <i>FY 02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 16,516 | 16,468 | -0.3% |
| 2 | 17,097 | 17,825 | 4.3% |
| 2nd Juvenile | 5,838 | 5,850 | 0.2% |
| 2nd Probate | 2,860 | 2,980 | 4.2% |
| 3 | 1,142 | 1,317 | 15.3% |
| 4 | 19,641 | 21,819 | 11.1% |
| 5 | 3,117 | 3,296 | 5.7% |
| 6 | 2,060 | 2,047 | -0.6% |
| 7 | 2,947 | 3,210 | 8.9% |
| 8 | 7,085 | 7,699 | 8.7% |
| 9 | 2,497 | 2,418 | -3.2% |
| 10 | 6,843 | 6,998 | 2.3% |
| 11 | 3,163 | 3,390 | 7.2% |
| 12 | 2,206 | 2,217 | 0.5% |
| 13 | 2,934 | 3,465 | 18.1% |
| 14 | 1,928 | 1,906 | -1.1% |
| 15 | 1,012 | 967 | -4.4% |
| 16 | 1,493 | 1,572 | 5.3% |
| 17 | 12,076 | 13,252 | 9.7% |
| 18 | 20,611 | 22,477 | 9.1% |
| 19 | 6,560 | 7,204 | 9.8% |
| 20 | 9,453 | 9,496 | 0.5% |
| 21 | 5,014 | 5,151 | 2.7% |
| 22 | 1,127 | 1,213 | 7.6% |
| State Total | 155,220 | 164,237 | 5.8% |

County Court Filings and One-Year Percent Changes

| <i>District</i> | <i>FY 01</i> | <i>FY 02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 47,838 | 51,432 | 7.5% |
| 2* | 197,094 | 190,091 | -3.6% |
| 3 | 5,095 | 5,328 | 4.6% |
| 4 | 66,733 | 68,381 | 2.5% |
| 5 | 14,970 | 13,275 | -11.3% |
| 6 | 7,503 | 6,784 | -9.6% |
| 7 | 11,645 | 10,455 | -10.2% |
| 8 | 29,607 | 27,016 | -8.8% |
| 9 | 8,350 | 9,323 | 11.7% |
| 10 | 18,054 | 20,535 | 13.7% |
| 11 | 11,740 | 11,338 | -3.4% |
| 12 | 7,624 | 7,642 | 0.2% |
| 13 | 12,320 | 12,775 | 3.7% |
| 14 | 6,733 | 7,258 | 7.8% |
| 15 | 4,628 | 5,081 | 9.8% |
| 16 | 6,331 | 6,417 | 1.4% |
| 17 | 41,665 | 49,273 | 18.3% |
| 18 | 75,537 | 85,873 | 13.7% |
| 19 | 19,487 | 20,387 | 4.6% |
| 20 | 30,969 | 30,943 | -0.1% |
| 21 | 14,002 | 17,107 | 22.2% |
| 22 | 3,798 | 3,370 | -11.3% |
| State Total Without Denver County Court | 444,629 | 469,993 | 5.7% |
| State Total | 641,723 | 660,084 | 2.9% |

* Denver County Court statistics are based on the calendar year.

One-Year Comparisons in Water Court Filings and Claims by Water Division

| <i>Division</i> | <i>FY 01</i> | <i>FY 02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| One: Weld County, Greeley | | | |
| Filings | 262 | 337 | 29% |
| Claims | 1,432 | 1,346 | -6% |
| Two: Pueblo County, Pueblo | | | |
| Filings | 143 | 208 | 45% |
| Claims | 793 | 1,785 | 125% |
| Three: Alamosa County, Alamosa | | | |
| Filings | 39 | 57 | 46% |
| Claims | 148 | 256 | 73% |
| Four: Montrose County, Montrose | | | |
| Filings | 273 | 267 | -2% |
| Claims | 541 | 671 | 24% |

| <i>Division</i> | <i>FY 01</i> | <i>FY 02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Five: Garfield County, Glenwood Springs | | | |
| Filings | 357 | 396 | 11% |
| Claims | 1,406 | 1,498 | 7% |
| Six: Routt County, Steamboat Springs | | | |
| Filings | 100 | 136 | 36% |
| Claims | 311 | 240 | -23% |
| Seven: La Plata County, Durango | | | |
| Filings | 83 | 149 | 80% |
| Claims | 138 | 616 | 346% |
| State Total | | | |
| Filings | 1,257 | 1,550 | 23% |
| Claims | 4,769 | 6,412 | 34% |

Probation's Purpose and Statewide Statistics

The Judicial Branch's Division of Probation Services (DPS) administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. DPS publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all specialized probation programs. Within the limits of these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that ad-

dress the needs of the local court and community.

DPS's probation philosophy continues to move from traditional offender management to a balance of risk management and principles of "restorative justice." This ongoing commitment to innovative practices requires probation to maintain quality offender assessment

and supervision methods and to extend the interest of community and victims into the planning of effective probation programs. Specialized programs offered by the division include the Intensive Supervision Probation Program for both adults and juveniles, Specialized Drug Offender Program, Female Offender Program, Sex Offender

Intensive Supervision Probation, and Drug Court.

The statistics below and in the Annual Statistical Report reflect DPS's commitment to providing improved outcomes, protecting the public, reducing the overall cost of the correctional system, and increasing services to the victims of crime.

Probation Supervision and New Case Investigations: FY 1998 to FY 2002

| | <u>FY 1998</u> | <u>FY 1999</u> | <u>FY 2000</u> | <u>FY 2001</u> | <u>FY 2002</u> |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ADULT | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 33,754 | 36,529 | 35,513 | 36,635*** | 37,259*** |
| New Clients | 21,013 | 21,762 | 19,188 | 19,859 | 22,665 |
| Terminations | 15,863 | 16,121 | 17,419 | 18,092 | 18,506 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 36,529 | 35,513 | 36,635*** | 37,259*** | 39,751 |
| Total Investigations | 13,960 | 13,680 | 13,305 | 14,318 | 15,257 |
| JUVENILE | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 9,933 | 9,490 | 8,722 | 9,041 | 8,524 |
| New Clients | 8,694 | 8,607 | 7,650 | 7,164 | 7,600 |
| Terminations | 7,364** | 6,533 | 7,024 | 6,856 | 6,321 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 9,490 | 8,722 | 9,041 | 8,524 | 8,558 |
| Total Investigations | 6,219* | 6,022 | 5,727 | 4,887 | 4,917 |
| ADULT & JUVENILE COMBINED | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 43,687 | 46,019 | 44,235 | 45,676 | 45,783 |
| New Clients | 29,707 | 30,369 | 26,838 | 27,023 | 30,265 |
| Terminations | 23,227 | 22,654 | 24,443 | 24,948 | 24,827 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 46,019 | 44,235 | 45,676 | 45,783 | 48,309 |
| Total Investigations | 20,179 | 19,702 | 19,032 | 19,205 | 20,174 |

* As of FY 1998, Denver Juvenile Probation no longer provides Detention Investigations. These are completed by the S.B. 94 program.

** Figures reflect the numbers reported in the Annual Report Summary in each fiscal year and not the detailed numbers. The differences in numbers reflect missing data.

*** This total is the number of state-supervised clients and the number of transfers to private probation for supervision. This number does not include direct sentences to private probation.

Detailed statewide probation statistics are included in the accompanying Annual Statistical Report, available on the Judicial Branch website: www.courts.state.co.us

Administrative Highlights from Fiscal Year 2002

The Colorado Judicial Branch is centrally administered by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. The court appoints the State Court Administrator to help in this responsibility.

The State Court Administrator's Office (SCAO) provides administrative support and services to the trial and appellate courts, in addition to supporting the management of probation services. In executing its constitutional and statutory duties, the office has the following functions:

- to provide administrative and technical support to the appellate courts, trial courts, and probation;
- to provide centralized policy guidance;
- to develop and implement standards and guidelines for the courts and probation;
- to serve as an advocate for the entire Judicial Branch in obtaining necessary resources from the legislature; and
- to provide services in an accurate, timely, and equitable manner.

The SCAO and the judicial districts constantly evaluate innovative business techniques and new procedures for possible introduction throughout the Branch in order to improve efficiency and to make the courts more accessible to Colorado citizens.

The following sections highlight the various SCAO divisions, including their roles, personnel, and accomplishments during FY 2002.

SCAO Divisions/Division Heads During FY 2002

- *Executive: Gerald A. Marroney (State Court Administrator)*
- *Court Services*: Nancy E. Rutledge*
- *Financial Services: Mary Flanigan Otto*
- *Human Resources*: James G. Benway*
- *Integrated Information Services: Robert T. Roper*
- *Planning & Analysis: Robert L. Bernard*
- *Probation Services: Vern Fogg*

* After FY 2002, the Court Services and Human Resources Divisions were combined to form the Court and Human Services Division.

Executive Division

Function: The SCAO Executive Division works to ensure equality of distribution of resources throughout the Judicial Branch. It combines the various tools of administrative guidance and standards, audit services, legal support, legislative liaison services, communications, and public education services to assist other SCAO divisions, the judicial districts, and the public. It attempts to improve accessibility to the courts and to increase accountability throughout the system. The division also administers the Senior Judge programs, and the State Court Administrator sits on the Information Management

Commission (IMC) and Colorado Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (CICJIS) executive board.

Staff: During FY 2002, the Executive Division included the State Court Administrator and 12 staff members.

Programs

Senior Judge Program: This program allows for judges who have retired from the Branch to serve as highly experienced "substitutes" on the bench. It is an important resource in addressing backlog issues, ensuring continuous docket coverage, and assisting judges involved in complex litigation, such as cases involving the

death penalty and complicated civil cases. This program is also helpful in providing independent judges in cases where the local trial judge may have a conflict of interest. Participants can work up to 90 days per year.

Public Education and Communications

The Executive Division promotes access to and understanding of the Branch through a variety of media resources and communications services. It produces staff publications, plus a series of brochures and handbooks, some of which are distributed in both English and Spanish. It also manages the Branch's website. One unique outreach

program, called "Courts in the Community," allows the Supreme Court justices and Court of Appeals judges to hear the oral arguments of actual cases in high schools across the state. Each of the courts generally travels to one school per semester.

Management of Overall Branch Activity

The Division is responsible for implementation of Supreme Court priorities, policy, and directives Branchwide.

Legal Services: Legal Services provides for the review of contracts and forms, as well as personnel actions. The unit also acts as general counsel for the Judicial Branch.

Court Services Division

Function: The Court Services Division provides services to courts to assist them in the performance of their duties, including alternative dispute resolution options for litigants, programs to help self-represented litigants, access to forms through the Branch website, and programs related to the improvement and successful functioning of the state's jury system.

Staff: In FY 2002, the Court Services Division included the division head and 18 staff members.

Programs

Office of Dispute Resolution: Created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983, the ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and services throughout the state. The goals of the office include increasing access to justice in the form of affordable, effective, appropriate, and humane methods of dispute resolution; providing an alternative to protracted or bitter litigation; and decreasing inappropriate methods of dispute resolution, including physical or psychological violence.

Pro Se Effort: The Branch's Pro Se Committee was organized during FY 2002 at the request of the Supreme Court to devel-

op programs that will help self-represented parties in their understanding of the court system and the filing of their cases. In its first year, the committee began revising instructions for various forms with a step-by-step educational approach; developed a brochure entitled "Representing Yourself in Court" that is available in courthouses and on the Branch website; and redesigned the forms page on the Branch website into a more user-friendly and thorough Self-Help Center.

Child Support Worksheets

Online: Colorado's child support worksheets were added to the Branch website, completing the set of dissolution of marriage forms online. The worksheets are in a format that allows them to be calculated online or downloaded to individual computers. They also include comprehensive instructions that make them more user-friendly.

Addition of Electronic Manuals:

An electronic Clerks Manual and electronic Manager's & Probation Manager's Manual were added to the Judicial Branch intranet. The manuals provide the districts with information such as case models, personnel rules, case procedural information, facilities information, fiscal procedures, and statistical and data integrity information in real time. Adding

this information to the intranet eliminated the need to mail bulk information to 23 court locations and enabled staff to update information statewide immediately.

Facilities: The Branch's facility planner helped eight judicial districts with design and construction issues in the expansion, renovation, and new construction of courthouse and probation-related projects. Ongoing facilities work includes the review and maintenance of the Facilities Manual, as well as the creation of security-related guides such as the Emergency Procedures Manual and the Colorado Courthouse Security Manual.

Judicial Performance: This program evaluates judicial performance in order to provide fair and objective information to members of the public who are voting on the retention of judges. The process also provides feedback for self-improvement to the evaluated judges. The evaluations are conducted by 23 citizen volunteer commissions, one in each judicial district and one state commission for appellate evaluations and oversight of the program. During FY 2002, 115 judges were evaluated. Of the 104 judges on the final retention ballot, two were given a "do not retain" recommendation, and 102 were given a "do retain" recommendation. New

in the 2002 process were performance plans that were developed by the commission for four of the judges to address areas of weakness identified by the evaluation process.


Human Resources Division

Function: The Human Resources (HR) Division provides services in human resources functions related to recruiting, hiring, performance issues, discipline, employee morale, benefits, equal employment and diversity, and assistance in the application of the many federal employment regulations. The division also provides training and education for judicial officers and staff statewide.


Staff: In FY 2002, the Human Resources Division included the division head and 12 staff members.

Programs

Interpreter Program: The Judicial Branch's interpreter program attempts to ensure the availability of qualified interpreters for those who use our courts. The program includes orientation and testing of interpreters; training is provided to prospective interpreters through the HR Division and through an extended studies program offered in coopera-



At the end of FY 2002, the Court Services Division and the Human Resources Division were combined to create the Court and Human Services Division.



The stone column pictured in this publication is one of two that flank the Colorado Supreme Court bench in the old Supreme Court chambers in the Colorado State Capitol. The chambers were used from the early 1890s until 1977, when the Supreme Court moved into the State Judicial Building across the street.

tion with the University of Colorado at Denver. FY 2002 saw the launch of the first 10-week "Interpreter Skills" program, which provides 30 hours of course instruction on interpretation methods and skill-sets. Twenty-five students, including interpreters working for the Branch, completed this training module during the 2002 pilot.

Employee Performance: The division assists employees in being as efficient and effective as possible by providing a variety of training and education programs, establishing expectations and providing feedback through a 360-degree performance appraisal process, and encouraging personal growth and enhanced job skills through pay for performance and professional development programs.

Planning and Analysis Division

Function: The Planning and Analysis (P&A) Division works to ensure efficient use of resources throughout the Judicial Branch. This division does so by working with local courts and other agencies, leading efforts to plan for future efficiencies while analyzing the impacts of various programs. The division assists in budget preparation and responds to legislative initia-

tives. Other division responsibilities include caseload management, family issues, compilation of statistical information, and data integrity issues.

Staff: During FY 2002, the Planning and Analysis Division included a division head and 10 staff members.

Programs

Caseflow Management: P&A works on development of caseflow models in all districts to provide justice in a fair, timely, and economical manner. Division staff provided training and status reports to courts and assisted four districts with planning and implementation of caseflow models.

Family Issues: The division staffed the Commission on Families in the Colorado Courts, which during FY 2002 reviewed and made recommendations on how to improve court services in cases involving families. An oversight committee will assist in implementing the 77 recommendations made by the commission's final report. P&A staff members also are developing methods to improve court functions within areas such as restraining orders, domestic violence, and self-represented litigants.

Statistical Information: P&A provides statistics throughout the year, as well as the Branch's Annual Statistical Report (available on the Branch

website: www.courts.state.co.us). The detailed Annual Report, which is a companion piece to this Executive Summary, provides the public with information on trial and appellate court filings and terminations, and probation division oversight statistics. The information is evaluated internally to determine judicial officer and trial court support staff needs.

Data Integrity: The P&A Data Integrity Unit establishes coding definitions and standards for the Integrated Colorado Online Network (ICON). The unit also helps in development of policies regarding public access to court data.

Financial Services Division

Function: The Financial Services Division (FSD) comprises accounting, budget, collections, payroll, purchasing, and mandated costs, including court-appointed counsel contracting and payments. The division is responsible for development of Branch strategies, policies, and procedures within these assigned areas.

Staff: During FY 2002, FSD included a division head and 14 staff members.

Programs

Accounting, Budget, and Payroll: FSD staff allocated,

monitored, and accounted for a statewide budget of \$219 million, including \$166 million General Fund, \$51 million Cash Funds, and \$2 million Federal Funds. Staff members processed about 26,000 invoices, including approximately 20,000 payments to court-appointed counsel. They also processed payroll and tracked sick and annual leave balances for employees of the State Court Administrator's Office.

Legislation: In cooperation with staff from the Planning and Analysis Division, FSD staff prepared the Branch's 2003 budget request, and also reviewed more than 200 versions of proposed legislative bills for their fiscal impact on the Judicial Branch.

Collections: FSD staff tracked and monitored revenue of nearly \$100 million. Of this amount, approximately \$46 million supported the Branch's general and cash-funded programs and operations; \$38 million supported victims of crime (restitution, victim compensation, and victim assistance); and \$16 million supported other governmental activities (such as highways and law enforcement).

Purchasing: FSD staff provided technical assistance with the preparation, review, and evaluation of a variety of solicitation projects, including such items as furniture, copiers, the print-

ing of jury summonses, and janitorial services.

Division of Probation Services

Function: The Division of Probation Services (DPS) works to improve the quality of probation services in Colorado by providing leadership and assistance to local probation departments in the areas of probation programs, training, and statistical needs. DPS staff members develop and provide direction in the areas of probation programming, offender assessment, research, evaluation, statistical reporting, training, and technical assistance. Additionally, the division supports local probation departments through involvement in legislative initiatives regarding probation concerns.

DPS is divided into three units: Programs, Research and Evaluation, and Training. The Probation Advisory Committee, which includes probation staff of all levels, judges, and citizen members, provides direction to the division and approves all new initiatives and product revisions undertaken by division staff.

Staff: During FY 2002, the Division of Probation Services included the director and 15 staff members.

Programs

Probation Academy and Trainings:

Fifty-three new probation officers received comprehensive training in the two probation academies held during FY 2002. Each two-week academy addressed basic skills for probation officers, including leadership, restorative justice, victim empathy, communication, adult and juvenile assessment, pre-sentence investigation, case planning, supervision, officer safety, and case management documentation.

DPS staff offered 81 courses in addition to the probation academy and completed a new self-directed course on testifying in court. This course, available on CD-ROM, is the first interactive training package developed by DPS for probation officers.

Program Evaluation and Individual Officer Assessment Instruments:

DPS staff developed two instruments for use by local districts that allow the districts to review and assess case work locally: the first addresses pre-sentence investigation reports and processes, while the second can be used to assess an entire department, a unit within a department, or an individual officer for performance appraisal.

Drug Court Report and Support:

The final report on a two-year evaluation of the Denver Drug Court was com-

pleted. Drug courts offer a method of case management and supervision that combines active judicial involvement and probation case management for drug-dependent offenders. Results from the Denver evaluation were generally positive, indicating higher success rates for probationers in drug court, compared to a similar group managed more traditionally.

In addition to the Denver Drug Court, seven adult and three juvenile drug courts are operating across the state. DPS has provided directional and evaluation support to these programs.

Sex Offender Management:

DPS collaborated with the Division of Criminal Justice to review, revise, and develop a plan to retrain probation officers and judges on the Sexually Violent Predator Assessment Instrument. DPS also participated in the design of new procedures and the implementation plan for new sex offender registration procedures and protocol. Finally, the division pilot-tested the use of global positioning satellite technology with six judicial district probation departments. That project indicated that this technology is useful, and the technology has been added to the DPS list of supervision options.

Mental Health Assessment: As directed by legislative man-

date, DPS worked with the Interagency Committee on Adult and Juvenile Correctional Treatment to develop and pilot test the use of a mental health screening instrument to be completed pre-sentence. These instruments are designed to better identify the mental health needs of offenders prior to sentencing to allow for more effective management in both incarceration populations and in the community.

DUI Offenders: The Alcohol Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program conducts alcohol evaluations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence. Statewide, the ADDS program has succeeded in decreasing the average length of time an offender is on the waiting list for an evaluation to less than 30 days from judicial order to evaluation. This decrease allows for quicker case processing in the courts and decreases the time from initial court date to reception of appropriate services.

Integrated Information Services Division

Function: The Integrated Information Services Division is designed to respond effectively to customers' technical concerns and needs; to be proactive in the evaluation, development, implementation, and maintenance of technical appli-





To Contact the Colorado Judicial Branch:

(303) 861-1111

www.courts.state.co.us

cations for the Judicial Branch; and to focus on the Branch's needs in sharing data and information with other state agencies and departments.

IIS is headed by the Branch's Chief Information Officer and is divided into three teams: technical support staff who maintain the Branch's computers, ensure data security, and maintain the servers and telecommunications network; information system specialists who staff the software help desk, design and test new software applications, and train employees on these applications; and programmers who support the maintenance and development of the Branch's ICON (court/probation case management system), CICJIS (statewide criminal integrated information system), and jury system software applications.

IIS is advised by the IIS Standing Committee, which meets monthly and is composed of a cross-section of Branch representatives from around Colorado, including judges, district administrators, clerks of court, chief probation officers, supervisors, and line staff.

ICON: The Integrated Colorado Online Network (ICON) is the primary case management system. It consists of several modules, including courts, probation, financial, attorney registration, Office of Dispute Resolution, and drug court. During

FY 2002, IIS supported the development and deployment of two releases of this program. ICON, with its integration with the CICJIS project (discussed below), was recently rated the #1 application of its kind in the nation by *Government Technology Magazine*.

CICJIS: The Colorado Integrated Criminal Justice Information System (CICJIS), implemented in 1998, allows for the electronic transfer of information among the five primary criminal justice agencies in Colorado: courts/probation, district attorneys, adult corrections, youth corrections, and local law enforcement through the Colorado Bureau of Investigation. During FY 2002, the project

successfully focused on sending criminal dispositions from the courts to the statewide criminal history repository.

Electronic Filing: The Judicial Branch has partnered with LEXIS/Courtlink in designing and deploying the only statewide electronic filing system in the United States. This system allows attorneys in Colorado to file their pleadings electronically with the trial courts through an Internet application. On average, the courts are now receiving approximately 3,500 new documents every week in general jurisdiction civil, domestic, probate, and water cases. Although this still represents a small percentage of the actual

filings, the number of new filings is growing consistently.

Jury Management System: The Jury Management System is responsible for the creation of the jury pool each year from voter registration, driver's license, and Department of Revenue lists. The system is then used to summon jurors for service, process the jurors when they arrive at the courthouse, and provide the courts with management reports to better utilize jurors during their service. Considerable effort was spent this year making the juror lists more efficient by removing bad addresses from the lists.

**Colorado Judicial Branch
1301 Pennsylvania St., #300
Denver, CO 80203**

PRSRT STD
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
Denver, Colo.
Permit No. 3493

Table of Contents

| | |
|--------------------------|----|
| Foreword | i |
| Organization Chart | ii |

I. Supreme Court

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| List of Supreme Court Justices | 1 |
| Narrative | 3 |

| | | |
|---------|--|---|
| Table 1 | Supreme Court Caseload, FY 1972 to FY 2002 | 5 |
| Table 2 | Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1984 to FY 2002 | 6 |
| Table 3 | Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 1995 to 2002 | 6 |
| Table 4 | Distribution of Case Terminations, FY 1978 to 2002 | 7 |
| Table 5 | Supreme Court Written Opinions, FY1988 to FY 2002 | 7 |
| Table 6 | Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of FY 2002 | 8 |
| Table 7 | Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of FY 2002 | 8 |

II. Court of Appeals

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| List of Court of Appeals Judges | 9 |
| Narrative | 11 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Table 8 | Court of Appeals Caseload, FY 1972 to FY 2002 | 13 |
| Table 9 | Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for FY 2001,2002 | 14 |
| Table 10 | Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2001, 2002 | 14 |

III. District Court

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| List of District Court Judges | 15 |
| Narrative | 17 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-------|
| Chart 1 | Filings, FY 1992 to FY 2002 | 19 |
| Chart 2 | Filing Distribution, FY 2002 | 19 |
| Table 11 | District Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year | 21 |
| Table 12 | District Court Caseload, FY 1993 to FY 2002 | 22 |
| Table 13 | District Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 2001 to FY 2002 | 23 |
| Table 14 | District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002 | 24-27 |
| Table 15 | Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2002 | 28-29 |
| Table 16 | Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 30-31 |
| Table 17 | Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 32-39 |
| Table 18 | Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 40-41 |
| Table 19 | Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 42-45 |
| Table 20 | Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 46-51 |
| Table 21 | Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 52-53 |
| Table 22 | Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 54-57 |

IV. County Court

| | |
|--|-------|
| List of County Court Judges | 59 |
| Narrative..... | 61 |
| Chart 3 Filings, FY 1992 to FY 2002 | 63 |
| Chart 4 Filing Distribution, FY 2002..... | 63 |
| Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year | 65 |
| Table 24 County Court Caseload FY 1993 to FY 2002..... | 66 |
| Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change from FY 2001 to FY 2002..... | 67 |
| Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002..... | 68-71 |
| Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for FY 2002 | 72-75 |
| Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for FY 1992 to FY 2002..... | 77 |
| Table 29 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002..... | 78-81 |
| Table 30 Small Claims Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002..... | 82-83 |
| Table 31 Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002 | 84-89 |

V. Water Court

| | |
|---|----|
| List of Water Court Judges | 91 |
| Narrative..... | 93 |
| Table 32 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for FY 2001, 2002 | 94 |
| Table 33 Water Court Caseload, FY 1993 to FY 2002..... | 95 |

VI. Probation

| | |
|--|-------|
| Narrative..... | 97-99 |
| Table 34 Summary of Supervision and Investigation Caseload Totals, FY 1995 to FY 2002..... | 100 |
| Table 35 Adult Probation: FY 2002 District Court Investigations | 101 |
| Table 36 Adult Probation: FY 2002 County Court Investigations | 102 |
| Table 37 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Offense | 103 |
| Table 38 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Court of Origin..... | 104 |
| Table 39 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Supervision Type..... | 105 |
| Table 40 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Gender | 106 |
| Table 41 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Age | 107 |
| Table 42 Adult Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Ethnic Group..... | 108 |
| Table 43 Adult Probation: FY 2002 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations..... | 109 |
| Table 44 Adult Probation: FY 2002 All Probation Supervision Closure Types..... | 110 |
| Table 45 Adult Probation: FY 2002 Types of Revocation..... | 111 |
| Table 46 Adult Probation: FY 2002 Length of Stay..... | 112 |
| Table 47 Adult Probation: FY 2002 Last Risk Status at Termination..... | 113 |
| Table 48 Adult Probation: FY 2002 Active Client Status on June 30, 2002 | 114 |

Private Probation:

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Table 49 | Private Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Offense Type..... | 115 |
| Table 50 | Private Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Court of Origin..... | 116 |
| Table 51 | Private Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Supervision Type..... | 117 |
| Table 52 | Private Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Gender..... | 118 |
| Table 53 | Private Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Age..... | 119 |
| Table 54 | Private Probation: FY2002 New Cases by Ethnic Group..... | 120 |
| Table 55 | Private Probation: FY 2002 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations..... | |
| Table 56 | Private Probation: FY 2002 Type of Revocation..... | 122 |
| Table 57 | Private Probation: FY 2002 Length of Stay..... | 123 |
| Table 58 | Private Probation: FY 2002 Active Clients on June 30, 2002..... | 124 |
| Table 59 | Private Probation: FY 2002 Total Active Clients on June 30, 2002..... | 125 |

Juvenile Probation:

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Table 60 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Investigations..... | 127 |
| Table 61 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 New Clients by Supervision Type..... | 128 |
| Table 62 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Gender..... | 129 |
| Table 63 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Ethnic Group..... | 130 |
| Table 64 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 New Cases by Age..... | 131 |
| Table 65 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations..... | 132 |
| Table 66 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 All Supervision Closure Types..... | 133 |
| Table 67 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Types of Revocation..... | 134 |
| Table 68 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Supervision Level at Termination..... | 135 |
| Table 69 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Length of Stay at Termination..... | 136 |
| Table 70 | Juvenile Probation: FY 2002 Active Case Risk Levels on June 30, 2002..... | 137 |

Specialized Programs:

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| Table 71 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Adult Intensive Supervision New Cases..... | 139 |
| Table 72 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Specialized Drug Offender Program New Cases..... | 140 |
| Table 73 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Female Offender Program New Cases..... | 141 |
| Table 74 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision New Cases..... | 142 |
| Table 75 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Juvenile Intensive Supervision New Cases..... | 143 |
| Table 76 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Adult Intensive Supervision Discharges..... | 144 |
| Table 77 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Specialized Drug Offender Program Discharges..... | 145 |
| Table 78 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Female Offender Program Discharges..... | 146 |
| Table 79 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Discharges..... | 147 |
| Table 80 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Juvenile Intensive Supervision Discharges..... | 148 |
| Table 81 | Specialized Programs: FY 2002 Active Caseloads on June 30, 2002..... | 149 |

VII. Financial Information

| | | |
|----------------|---|-----|
| Narrative..... | | 151 |
| Table 82 | Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections..... | 153 |
| Chart 5 | Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2002 Budget Appropriation..... | 154 |
| Chart 6 | Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2002 Budget Appropriation by Expenditures..... | 154 |
| Chart 7 | Judicial Restitution, FY 1993 to FY 2002..... | 155 |
| Chart 8 | Colorado State, FY 2002 Budget Appropriation..... | 156 |

FOREWORD

The Colorado Judicial Branch *Annual Statistical Report* for Fiscal Year 2002 provides information about Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are case load statistics for the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, Probation, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Branch.

All references to years in this report refer to fiscal years (July 1 through June 30) unless specified otherwise. This report can also be viewed by accessing the Judicial Branch web site at www.courts.state.co.us. If you need additional information, contact the State Court Administrator's Office, Planning and Analysis Division at (303) 861-1111.

SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES

Chief Justice Mary J. Mullarkey

Rebecca Love Kourlis

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.

Alex J. Martinez

Michael L. Bender

Nancy E. Rice

Nathan B. Coats

THE SUPREME COURT

The Colorado Supreme Court is composed of seven justices serving ten-year terms and is the Colorado court system's court of last resort. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the Supreme Court and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the Supreme Court. The Chief Justice serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial System and is the Ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice also appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals. And the Chief Judge of each judicial district, and is vested with authority to assign judges (active and retired) to perform duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which the statute has been held to be unconstitutional; cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission; writs of habeas corpus; cases involving adjudication of water rights; summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code; and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and therefore bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

The Supreme Court also licenses and disciplines Colorado attorneys. The court's attorney regulation system funded by attorney registration fee, policies the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, the Board of Continuing Legal Education, the Board of Law Examiners, the Commission on Judicial Discipline, and the Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

For further information, please contact Mac V. Danford, Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at Two East 14th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203; or call (303) 861-1111. Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit.

Table 1

Supreme Court Caseload FY 1972 to FY 2002

| Fiscal Year | Cases Pending July 1 | Cases Filed | Total Caseload | Cases Terminated(a) | Cases Pending June 30 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1971-72 | 511 | 517 | 1,028 | 605 | 423 |
| 1972-73 | 423 | 606 | 1,029 | 602 | 427 |
| 1973-74 | 427 | 611 | 1,038 | 559 | 479 |
| 1974-75 | 479 | 553 | 1,032 | 666 | 366 |
| 1975-76 | 366 | 651 | 1,017 | 674 | 343 |
| 1976-77 | 343 | 735 | 1,078 | 704 | 374 |
| 1977-78 | 374 | 854 | 1,228 | 893 | 335 |
| 1978-79 | 350 | 941 | 1,291 | 877 | 414 |
| 1979-80 | 414 | 950 | 1,364 | 893 | 471 |
| 1980-81 | 471 | 966 | 1,437 | 979 | 458 |
| 1981-82 | 458 | 1,052 | 1,510 | 1,006 | 504 |
| 1982-83 | 504 | 971 | 1,475 | 1,038 | 437 |
| 1983-84 | 437 | 1,069 | 1,506 | 950 | 556 |
| 1984-85 | 556 | 967 | 1,523 | 1,011 | 512 |
| 1985-86 | 512 | 988 | 1,500 | 973 | 527 |
| 1986-87 | 527 | 970 | 1,497 | 1,036 | 461 |
| 1987-88 | 461 | 1,022 | 1,483 | 1,001 | 482 |
| 1988-89 | 482 | 1,198 | 1,680 | 1,215 | 465 |
| 1989-90 | 465 | 1,300 | 1,765 | 1,261 | 504 |
| 1990-91 | 504 | 1,265 | 1,769 | 1,326 | 443 |
| 1991-92 | 443 | 1,313 | 1,756 | 1,286 | 470 |
| 1992-93 | 470 | 1,251 | 1,721 | 1,261 | 460 |
| 1993-94 | 460 | 1,277 | 1,737 | 1,290 | 447 |
| 1994-95 | 447 | 1,358 | 1,805 | 1,316 | 489 |
| 1995-96 | 489 | 1,401 | 1,890 | 1,368 | 522 |
| 1996-97 | 522 | 1,511 | 2,033 | 1,432 | 601 |
| 1997-98 | 601 | 1,520 | 2,121 | 1,561 | 560 |
| 1998-99 | 560 | 1,525 | 2,085 | 1,609 | 476 |
| 1999-00 | 476 | 1,617 | 2,093 | 1,563 | 530 |
| 2000-01 | 530 | 1,367 | 1,897 | 1,425 | 472 |
| 2001-02 | 472 | 1,368 | 1,840 | 1,415 | 425 |

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Table 2

***Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
FY 1984 to FY 2002***

| Fiscal Year | Direct Filings | Transfers from Court of Appeals | Reopened Cases | Total Filings |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1983-84 | 1,023 | 37 | 9 | 1,069 |
| 1984-85 | 933 | 31 | 3 | 967 |
| 1985-86 | 954 | 33 | 1 | 988 |
| 1986-87 | 952 | 16 | 2 | 970 |
| 1987-88 | 1,006 | 14 | 2 | 1,022 |
| 1988-89 | 1,182 | 15 | 1 | 1,198 |
| 1989-90 | 1,284 | 15 | 1 | 1,300 |
| 1990-91 | 1,260 | 4 | 1 | 1,265 |
| 1991-92 | 1,292 | 21 | 0 | 1,313 |
| 1992-93 | 1,235 | 16 | 0 | 1,251 |
| 1993-94 | 1,270 | 7 | 0 | 1,277 |
| 1994-95 | 1,356 | 2 | 0 | 1,358 |
| 1995-96 | 1,389 | 11 | 1 | 1,401 |
| 1996-97 | 1,507 | 4 | 0 | 1,511 |
| 1997-98 | 1,517 | 3 | 0 | 1,520 |
| 1998-99 | 1,521 | 4 | 0 | 1,525 |
| 1999-00 | 1,613 | 4 | 0 | 1,617 |
| 2000-01 | 1,363 | 4 | 0 | 1,367 |
| 2001-02 | 1,361 | 7 | 0 | 1,368 |

Table 3

***Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
FY 1995 to FY 2002***

| | 94-95 | 95-96 | 96-97 | 97-98 | 98-99 | 99-00 | 00-01 | 01-02 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Criminal Appeals | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Original Proceedings | 267 | 282 | 271 | 315 | 297 | 309 | 304 | 288 |
| Petitions in Certiorari | 804 | 803 | 950 | 884 | 969 | 1,036 | 915 | 869 |
| Civil Appeals (a) | 12 | 20 | 17 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 13 | 23 |
| Interlocutories | 20 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 17 |
| Statutory Reviews (b) | 15 | 13 | 2 | 38 | 21 | 31 | 5 | 26 |
| Habeas Corpus | 4 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 50 | 16 | 17 |
| Bail Reductions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Adversary Sentence Review: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interrogatories | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reapportionment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Reopened Cases | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rule 21.1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Judicial Discipline or Disability | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Request for Stay Pending Appeal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unauthorized Practice | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 |
| Original Proceedings | | | | | | | | |
| In Discipline | 102 | 106 | 129 | 107 | 61 | 15 | 24 | 15 |
| Disability | 3 | 12 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Continuing Legal Education | 97 | 112 | 82 | 81 | 102 | 108 | 45 | 69 |
| Multi-District Litigation | 28 | 19 | 27 | 32 | 10 | 29 | 14 | 30 |
| Total | 1,358 | 1,401 | 1,511 | 1,520 | 1,525 | 1,617 | 1,367 | 1,368 |

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions

(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

Table 4 **Distribution of Case Terminations
FY 1978 to FY 2002**

| Fiscal Year | Disposed of by Written Opinion | Disposed of Without Opinion | Total Dispositions | Transferred to Court of Appeals | Total Terminations |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 1977-78 | 322 | 564 | 886 | 7 | 893 |
| 1978-79 | 284 | 587 | 871 | 6 | 877 |
| 1979-80 | 291 | 596 | 887 | 6 | 893 |
| 1980-81 | 294 | 683 | 977 | 2 | 979 |
| 1981-82 | 279 | 722 | 1,001 | 5 | 1,006 |
| 1982-83 | 311 | 722 | 1,033 | 5 | 1,038 |
| 1983-84 | 217 | 731 | 948 | 2 | 950 |
| 1984-85 | 239 | 764 | 1,003 | 8 | 1,011 |
| 1985-86 | 222 | 745 | 967 | 6 | 973 |
| 1986-87 | 238 | 793 | 1,031 | 5 | 1,036 |
| 1987-88 | 244 | 749 | 993 | 8 | 1,001 |
| 1988-89 | 226 | 976 | 1,202 | 13 | 1,215 |
| 1989-90 | 237 | 1,008 | 1,245 | 16 | 1,261 |
| 1990-91 | 227 | 1,086 | 1,313 | 13 | 1,326 |
| 1991-92 | 216 | 1,058 | 1,274 | 12 | 1,286 |
| 1992-93 | 181 | 1,059 | 1,240 | 21 | 1,261 |
| 1993-94 | 192 | 1,093 | 1,285 | 5 | 1,290 |
| 1994-95 | 233 | 1,077 | 1,310 | 6 | 1,316 |
| 1995-96 | 193 | 1,176 | 1,369 | 1 | 1,370 |
| 1996-97 | 214 | 1,212 | 1,426 | 6 | 1,432 |
| 1997-98 | 187 | 1,372 | 1,559 | 2 | 1,561 |
| 1998-99 | 196 | 1,413 | 1,609 | 6 | 1,615 |
| 1999-00 | 111 | 1,452 | 1,563 | 0 | 1,563 |
| 2000-01 | 128 | 1,291 | 1,419 | 6 | 1,425 |
| 2001-02 | 121 | 1,287 | 1,408 | 7 | 1,415 |

Table 5 **Supreme Court Written Opinions FY 1988 to FY 2002**

| | Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court | Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents | Number of Dissents | Number of Special Concurrences | Total Number of Written Opinions |
|---------|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1987-88 | 222 | 12 | 31 | 15 | 280 |
| 1988-89 | 221 | 11 | 42 | 17 | 291 |
| 1989-90 | 230 | 10 | 44 | 18 | 302 |
| 1990-91 | 213 | 6 | 41 | 13 | 273 |
| 1991-92 | 205 | 16 | 51 | 17 | 289 |
| 1992-93 | 172 | 14 | 24 | 21 | 231 |
| 1993-94 | 194 | 13 | 38 | 22 | 267 |
| 1994-95 | 223 | 16 | 50 | 17 | 306 |
| 1995-96 | 166 | 16 | 36 | 8 | 226 |
| 1996-97 | 204 | 9 | 30 | 3 | 246 |
| 1997-98 | 179 | 8 | 35 | 10 | 232 |
| 1998-99 | 158 | 1 | 15 | 11 | 185 |
| 1999-00 | 90* | 5 | 12 | 3 | 110* |
| 2000-01 | 120 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 148 |
| 2001-02 | 109 | 7 | 20 | 4 | 140 |

* Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

Table 6

***Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue
End of FY 2002***

| | Original Proceedings | Certiorari | Appeals | Grievances | Total |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Cases at issue awaiting oral argument | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 13 |
| Cases orally argued awaiting opinion | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Cases submitted without argument | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 10 |
| Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 8 | 25 | 19 | 3 | 55 |

Table 7

***Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue
End of FY 2002***

| Pending Cases | Total |
|---|------------|
| Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits | 16 |
| Certiorari pending | 298 |
| Appeals pending | 22 |
| Original proceedings pending | 4 |
| Interlocutory appeals pending | 1 |
| Grievance matters pending | 5 |
| Unauthorized practice pending | 3 |
| Judicial discipline pending | 0 |
| Total | 349 |

COURT OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES

Chief Judge Claus J. Hume

Karen S. Metzger

Raymond Dean Jones

Peter H. Ney

Jose D. L. Marquez

Janice B. Davidson

Sandra I. Rothenberg

Daniel M. Taubman

Robert J. Kapelke

James S. Casebolt

Arthur P. Roy

JoAnn L. Vogt

Henry E. Nieto

John D. Dailey

John R. Webb (1)

Dennis A. Graham (2)

(1) Replaced Edwin G. Ruland

(2) Replaced Leonard P. Plank

COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Sixteen judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact John Doerner, Clerk of the Court at (303) 837-3785 or (800) 888-0001, ext. 785. Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. The Court of Appeals is located in the Colorado Judicial Building at 2 East 14th Ave., Denver, Colo. 80203.

Table 8

| <i>Caseload of Court of Appeals for FY 1972 through FY 2002</i> | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Fiscal Year | Cases Pending July 1 | Cases Filed | Cases Terminated | Cases Pending June 30 |
| 1971-72 | 376 | 426 | 447 | 355 |
| 1972-73 | 355 | 468 | 467 | 356 |
| 1973-74 | 356 | 444 | 441 | 359 |
| 1974-75 | 359 | 858 | 625 | 592 |
| 1975-76 | 592 | 915 | 833 | 674 |
| 1976-77 | 674 | 1,128 | 918 | 884 |
| 1977-78 | 884 | 1,119 | 1,000 | 1,003 |
| 1978-79 | 1,003 | 1,214 | 1,119 | 1,098 |
| 1979-80 | 1,098 | 1,207 | 1,164 | 1,141 |
| 1980-81 | 1,139 | 1,285 | 1,213 | 1,211 |
| 1981-82 | 1,211 | 1,512 | 1,320 | 1,403 |
| 1982-83 | 1,403 | 1,453 | 1,381 | 1,475 |
| 1983-84 | 1,475 | 1,580 | 1,411 | 1,644 |
| 1984-85 | 1,644 | 1,631 | 1,396 | 1,879 |
| 1985-86 | 1,879 | 1,917 | 1,630 | 2,166 |
| 1986-87 | 2,166 | 1,930 | 1,602 | 2,494 |
| 1987-88 | 2,494 | 1,946 | 2,034 | 2,406 |
| 1988-89 | 2,406 | 2,012 | 2,193 | 2,225 |
| 1989-90 | 2,225 | 2,270 | 2,144 | 2,351 |
| 1990-91 | 2,351 | 2,147 | 2,192 | 2,306 |
| 1991-92 | 2,306 | 2,201 | 2,335 | 2,172 |
| 1992-93 | 2,172 | 2,109 | 2,269 | 2,012 |
| 1993-94 | 2,012 | 2,287 | 2,192 | 2,107 |
| 1994-95 | 2,107 | 2,179 | 2,156 | 2,130 |
| 1995-96 | 2,130 | 2,289 | 2,318 | 2,101 |
| 1996-97 | 2,101 | 2,245 | 2,274 | 2,072 |
| 1997-98 | 2,072 | 2,410 | 2,231 | 2,251 |
| 1998-99 | 2,251 | 2,647 | 2,458 | 2,440 |
| 1999-00 | 2,440 | 2,502 | 2599* | 2343* |
| 2000-01 | 2,343 | 2,335 | 2,414 | 2,264 |
| 2001-2002 | 2,264 | 2,673 | 2,463 | 2,474 |

*Terminated and pending case totals for FY 2000 have been amended to reflect a manual count of open cases.

Table 9

***Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change
for FY 2001 and FY 2002***

| Case Filings | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | % Change |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Criminal | 971 | 1,178 | 21.3% |
| Civil | 1,011 | 1,122 | 11.0% |
| ICAO (1) | 202 | 222 | 9.9% |
| Other (juvenile, agency) | 151 | 151 | 0.0% |
| Total | 2,335 | 2,673 | 14.5% |

(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

Table 10

***Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change
for FY 2001 and FY 2002***

| Case Terminations | FY 2001 | FY 2002 | % Change |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Published Opinions | 266 | 291 | 9.4% |
| Unpublished Opinions | 1,348 | 1,330 | -1.3% |
| Dismissed | 796 | 834 | 4.8% |
| Transferred to Supreme Court | 4 | 8 | 100.0% |
| Total | 2,414 | 2,463 | 2.0% |

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

L. Thomas Woodford, Chief Judge
Leland P. Anderson
Jack W. Berryhill
Brian Boatright
R. Brooke Jackson
Christopher J. Munch
Stephen M. Munsinger
Frank Plaut
Ruthanne N. Polidori
Jane A. Tidball
James D. Zimmerman

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Stephen Phillips, Chief Judge
H. Jeffrey Bayless
John W. Coughlin
Martin F. Egelhoff
Shelley I. Gilman
Morris B. Hoffman
Robert S. Hyatt
Lawrence A. Manzanares
Paul A. Markson, Jr.
Frank Martinez
Michael A. Martinez
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
John N. McMullen
Joseph E. Meyer, III
R. Michael Mullins
Larry J. Naves
Sheila A. Rappaport
Gloria A. Rivera
William D. Robbins (1)
Herbert L. Stern, III

DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen Ashby, Presiding Judge
Dana U. Wakefield
Orrelle R. Weeks

DENVER PROBATE COURT

C. Jean Stewart, Presiding Judge

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Norman L. Arends, Acting
Chief Judge
Jesse C. Manzanares
Claude W. Appel

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilbert A. Martinez, Chief Judge
Peter W. Booth
Rebecca S. Bromley
Theresa M. Cisneros
Edward S. Colt
David A. Gilbert
Richard V. Hall
Thomas K. Kane
James P. Kelly (2)
Thomas L. Kennedy
G. David Miller (3)
Steven T. Pelican
Kirk S. Samelson
Larry E. Schwartz
Timothy Simmons
D. Richard Toth

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

W. Terry Ruckriegle, Chief Judge
Richard H. Hart
David R. Lass
Robert T. Moorhead (4)

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge
David L. Dickinson
Jeffrey R. Wilson (5)

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Dennis P. Friedrich (6)
Charles R. Greenacre

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James H. Hiatt, Chief Judge
Jolene C. Blair
Terence Gilmore
Daniel J. Kaup (7)
Arnaud Newton

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Thomas W. Ossola, Chief Judge
T. Peter Craven
J. E. DeVilbiss

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Dennis Maes, Chief Judge
David A. Cole
James H. Frasher, Jr.
Scott B. Epstein
Victor I. Reyes
Rosalie Vigna

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kenneth M. Plotz, Chief Judge
John E. Anderson, III
Julie G. Marshall

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert W. Ogburn, Chief Judge
O. John Kuenhold

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Steven E. Shinn, Chief Judge
James R. Leh
Douglas R. Vannoy
Joseph J. Weatherby

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Richard P. Doucette, Chief Judge
Joel S. Thompson

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garth L. Nieschburg, Chief Judge
Stanley A. Brinkley

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl (8)

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Harlan R. Bockman, Chief Judge
Charles S. Crabtree
Katherine R. Delgado (9)
Thomas R. Ensor
Donald W. Marshall, Jr.
Chris Melonakis
C. Vincent Phelps, Jr.
John E. Popovich, Jr.
John J. Vigil

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kenneth K. Stuart, Chief Judge
Angela R. Arkin (10)
Thomas J. Curry
Timothy L. Fasing
Paul A. King
John P. Leopold
Thomas C. Levi
James F. Macrum, Jr.
Cheryl L. Post
Gerald J. Rafferty
Juanita Rice
Robert H. Russell, II
Frederick B. Skillern
Jack F. Smith
William B. Sylvester

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William L. West, Chief Judge
Jonathan W. Hays
Roger A. Klein
J. Robert Lowenbach
Daniel S. Maus (11)

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge
Frank Dubofsky
Carol Glowinsky
Daniel C. Hale
Lael E. Montgomery
Morris W. Sandstead, Jr.

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles A. Buss, Chief Judge
Amanda Bailey
David A. Bottger
Nicholas R. Massaro, Jr.

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Sharon L. Hansen, Chief Judge

- (1) Replaced Warren O. Martin
- (2) New Position Effective 7/1/02
- (3) Replaced Douglas E. Anderson
- (4) New Position Effective 7/1/02
- (5) New Position Effective 7/1/02
- (6) Replaced Richard J. Brown
- (7) Replaced John David Sullivan
- (8) Replaced Robert E. Blackburn
- (9) New Position Effective 7/1/02
- (10) New Position Effective 7/1/02
- (11) New Position Effective 7/1/02

DISTRICT COURT

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include one county and others encompass as many as seven. Each district has a district court and judges. These judges may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There are currently 132 district judges.


District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2002, there were 164,237 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 165,895 cases during that same 12-month period. During the past ten years, district court filings have increased 28.6% percent, rising from 127,688 cases to the current level of filings, with the greatest area of increase occurring in criminal filings.

District Court Filings - Ten Year Comparison


District Court Filing Distribution for FY 1992 (a)

Chart 1



District Court Filing Distribution for FY 2002 (b)

Chart 2



(a) Total number of filings for FY1992- 133,317

(b) Total number of filings for FY 2002- 164,237

Table 11

District Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year

| Filings Compared to Previous Year | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Case Class | FY01 | FY02 | Percent Change |
| Domestic Relations | 31,068 | 32,166 | 3.5% |
| Civil | 37,235 | 41,349 | 11.0% |
| Probate | 11,360 | 11,655 | 2.6% |
| Juvenile | 34,481 | 35,691 | 3.5% |
| Mental Health | 4,216 | 4,229 | 0.3% |
| Criminal | 36,860 | 39,147 | 6.2% |
| Total | 155,220 | 164,237 | 5.8% |

| Terminations Compared to Previous Year* | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Case Class | FY01 | FY02 | Percent Change |
| Domestic Relations | 31,468 | 33,719 | 7.2% |
| Civil | 36,817 | 41,277 | 12.1% |
| Probate | 11,577 | 13,675 | 18.1% |
| Juvenile | 35,910 | 35,409 | -1.4% |
| Mental Health | 4,290 | 4,194 | -2.2% |
| Criminal | 35,071 | 37,621 | 7.3% |
| Total | 155,133 | 165,895 | 6.9% |

*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporarily inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.

Table 12

District Court Caseload FY 1993 to FY2002

| | <i>FY 93</i> | <i>FY 94</i> | <i>FY 95</i> | <i>FY 96</i> | <i>FY 97</i> | <i>FY 98</i> | <i>FY 99</i> | <i>FY 00</i> | <i>FY01</i> | <i>FY02</i> |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 33,124 | 34,473 | 33,456 | 31,764 | 31,819 | 32,179 | 31,885 | 32,318 | 31,068 | 32,166 |
| Cases Terminated* | 30,503 | 30,904 | 31,211 | 38,292 | 39,426 | 35,030 | 38,934 | 33,146 | 31,468 | 33,719 |
| CIVIL | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 27,997 | 25,193 | 24,054 | 33,434 | 33,434 | 40,389 | 38,848 | 39,161 | 37,235 | 41,349 |
| Cases Terminated* | 28,546 | 23,540 | 24,074 | 33,825 | 33,825 | 43,442 | 37,969 | 38,783 | 36,817 | 41,277 |
| PROBATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 10,587 | 11,161 | 10,147 | 11,263 | 11,432 | 11,412 | 11,714 | 11,605 | 11,360 | 11,655 |
| Cases Terminated* | 7,578 | 7,866 | 13,962 | 12,470 | 11,768 | 9,742 | 9,888 | 18,618 | 11,577 | 13,675 |
| JUVENILE | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 29,155 | 29,840 | 34,689 | 36,025 | 37,540 | 38,905 | 37,214 | 36,601 | 34,481 | 35,691 |
| Cases Terminated* | 22,321 | 21,837 | 35,096 | 45,976 | 59,908 | 37,062 | 35,616 | 40,434 | 35,910 | 35,409 |
| MENTAL HEALTH | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 3,338 | 3,023 | 3,642 | 3,873 | 3,840 | 4,139 | 4,142 | 4,141 | 4,216 | 4,229 |
| Cases Terminated* | 2,913 | 2,901 | 3,009 | 3,466 | 3,803 | 3,804 | 4,149 | 4,544 | 4,290 | 4,194 |
| CRIMINAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 23,487 | 24,636 | 28,172 | 30,613 | 33,867 | 38,815 | 37,538 | 35,770 | 36,860 | 39,147 |
| Cases Terminated* | 22,557 | 23,118 | 31,503 | 40,187 | 41,680 | 36,455 | 38,880 | 36,037 | 35,071 | 37,621 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 127,688 | 128,326 | 134,160 | 138,782 | 151,932 | 165,839 | 161,341 | 159,596 | 155,220 | 164,237 |
| Cases Terminated* | 114,418 | 110,166 | 138,855 | 167,962 | 190,410 | 165,535 | 165,436 | 171,562 | 155,133 | 165,895 |

*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporarily inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.

Table 13

District Court Filings and Percent Change for FY 2001 to FY 2002

| <i>District</i> | Filings | | | Terminations* | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | <i>FY01</i> | <i>FY02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> | <i>FY01</i> | <i>FY02</i> | <i>Percent Change</i> |
| 1 | 16,516 | 16,468 | -0.3% | 15,877 | 16,611 | 4.6% |
| 2 | 17,097 | 17,825 | 4.3% | 17,073 | 17,583 | 3.0% |
| 2nd Juvenile | 5,838 | 5,850 | 0.2% | 6,849 | 6,006 | -12.3% |
| 2nd Probate | 2,860 | 2,980 | 4.2% | 2,791 | 2,850 | 2.1% |
| 3 | 1,142 | 1,317 | 15.3% | 1,134 | 1,291 | 13.8% |
| 4 | 19,641 | 21,819 | 11.1% | 19,258 | 21,748 | 12.9% |
| 5 | 3,117 | 3,296 | 5.7% | 3,037 | 3,567 | 17.5% |
| 6 | 2,060 | 2,047 | -0.6% | 2,008 | 2,053 | 2.2% |
| 7 | 2,947 | 3,210 | 8.9% | 2,898 | 3,173 | 9.5% |
| 8 | 7,085 | 7,699 | 8.7% | 6,920 | 7,406 | 7.0% |
| 9 | 2,497 | 2,418 | -3.2% | 2,449 | 2,595 | 6.0% |
| 10 | 6,843 | 6,998 | 2.3% | 6,803 | 7,129 | 4.8% |
| 11 | 3,163 | 3,390 | 7.2% | 3,027 | 3,827 | 26.4% |
| 12 | 2,206 | 2,217 | 0.5% | 2,228 | 2,490 | 11.8% |
| 13 | 2,934 | 3,465 | 18.1% | 2,570 | 3,278 | 27.5% |
| 14 | 1,928 | 1,906 | -1.1% | 1,800 | 2,087 | 15.9% |
| 15 | 1,012 | 967 | -4.4% | 1,022 | 1,059 | 3.6% |
| 16 | 1,493 | 1,572 | 5.3% | 1,538 | 1,549 | 0.7% |
| 17 | 12,076 | 13,252 | 9.7% | 12,383 | 12,818 | 3.5% |
| 18 | 20,611 | 22,477 | 9.1% | 20,672 | 23,586 | 14.1% |
| 19 | 6,560 | 7,204 | 9.8% | 6,163 | 7,077 | 14.8% |
| 20 | 9,453 | 9,496 | 0.5% | 10,589 | 9,518 | -10.1% |
| 21 | 5,014 | 5,151 | 2.7% | 4,837 | 5,389 | 11.4% |
| 22 | 1,127 | 1,213 | 7.6% | 1,207 | 1,205 | -0.2% |
| State Total | 155,220 | 164,237 | 5.8% | 155,133 | 165,895 | 6.9% |

*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporarily inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.

Table 14

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Domestic Relations | | Civil | | Probate | |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 1 | Gilpin | 35 | 37 | 107 | 117 | 13 | 10 |
| | Jefferson | 3,726 | 3,875 | 3,343 | 3,498 | 1,288 | 1,170 |
| | Total | 3,761 | 3,912 | 3,450 | 3,615 | 1,301 | 1,180 |
| 2 | Denver | 3,950 | 4,039 | 8,705 | 8,742 | n/a | n/a |
| | Denver Juvenile | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Denver Probate | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 1,992 | 1,838 |
| | Total | 3,950 | 4,039 | 8,705 | 8,742 | 1,992 | 1,838 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 51 | 59 | 127 | 121 | 46 | 51 |
| | Las Animas | 143 | 128 | 158 | 169 | 50 | 39 |
| | Total | 194 | 187 | 285 | 290 | 96 | 90 |
| 4 | El Paso | 4,691 | 4,782 | 5,149 | 5,133 | 1,339 | 1,698 |
| | Teller | 107 | 104 | 298 | 304 | 54 | 53 |
| | Total | 4,798 | 4,886 | 5,447 | 5,437 | 1,393 | 1,751 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 67 | 86 | 102 | 118 | 18 | 36 |
| | Eagle | 203 | 181 | 740 | 774 | 108 | 233 |
| | Lake | 37 | 30 | 99 | 85 | 30 | 33 |
| | Summit | 107 | 129 | 402 | 438 | 37 | 52 |
| | Total | 414 | 426 | 1,343 | 1,415 | 193 | 354 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 98 | 101 | 175 | 174 | 43 | 40 |
| | La Plata | 342 | 334 | 348 | 400 | 118 | 99 |
| | San Juan | 2 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| | Total | 442 | 437 | 530 | 579 | 165 | 141 |
| 7 | Delta | 274 | 294 | 217 | 221 | 112 | 88 |
| | Gunnison | 89 | 73 | 129 | 128 | 49 | 38 |
| | Hinsdale | 3 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| | Montrose | 371 | 372 | 255 | 241 | 102 | 98 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | | | | | | |
| | Ouray | 21 | 24 | 39 | 39 | 8 | 10 |
| | San Miguel | 45 | 44 | 88 | 94 | 13 | 7 |
| Total | 803 | 809 | 735 | 731 | 291 | 244 | |
| 8 | Jackson | 6 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 5 | 8 |
| | Larimer | 1,705 | 1,663 | 1,461 | 1,360 | 571 | 477 |
| | Total | 1,711 | 1,670 | 1,473 | 1,379 | 576 | 485 |
| 9 | Garfield | 431 | 416 | 354 | 371 | 79 | 92 |
| | Pitkin | 95 | 97 | 240 | 264 | 35 | 91 |
| | Rio Blanco | 52 | 52 | 36 | 40 | 22 | 41 |
| | Total | 578 | 565 | 630 | 675 | 136 | 224 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 1,051 | 1,151 | 1,474 | 1,465 | 580 | 414 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 130 | 146 | 163 | 153 | 57 | 161 |
| | Custer | 30 | 24 | 47 | 57 | 17 | 37 |
| | Fremont | 400 | 467 | 446 | 556 | 134 | 228 |
| | Park | 81 | 93 | 231 | 227 | 49 | 69 |
| | Total | 641 | 730 | 887 | 993 | 257 | 495 |

Table 14

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Domestic Relations | | Civil | | Probate | |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 12 | Alamosa | 164 | 200 | 141 | 147 | 38 | 41 |
| | Conejos | 23 | 44 | 66 | 61 | 43 | 35 |
| | Costilla | 24 | 25 | 59 | 68 | 62 | 92 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 11 |
| | Rio Grande | 115 | 136 | 103 | 104 | 51 | 85 |
| | Saguache | 34 | 48 | 68 | 53 | 32 | 62 |
| | Total | 362 | 455 | 444 | 446 | 230 | 326 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 61 | 64 | 100 | 114 | 31 | 29 |
| | Logan | 171 | 184 | 206 | 212 | 76 | 88 |
| | Morgan | 232 | 237 | 262 | 269 | 106 | 89 |
| | Phillips | 34 | 31 | 35 | 33 | 44 | 19 |
| | Sedgwick | 14 | 20 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 15 |
| | Washington | 38 | 30 | 39 | 33 | 48 | 17 |
| | Yuma | 40 | 28 | 49 | 32 | 58 | 27 |
| | Total | 590 | 594 | 703 | 706 | 375 | 284 |
| 14 | Grand | 85 | 99 | 197 | 202 | 34 | 87 |
| | Moffat | 155 | 176 | 113 | 117 | 42 | 117 |
| | Routt | 141 | 166 | 237 | 235 | 51 | 48 |
| | Total | 381 | 441 | 547 | 554 | 127 | 252 |
| 15 | Baca | 22 | 26 | 48 | 48 | 44 | 51 |
| | Cheyenne | 10 | 8 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| | Kiowa | 15 | 19 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 46 |
| | Prowers | 139 | 175 | 111 | 117 | 58 | 68 |
| | Total | 186 | 228 | 183 | 193 | 134 | 179 |
| 16 | Bent | 55 | 73 | 75 | 80 | 48 | 44 |
| | Crowley | 29 | 30 | 72 | 60 | 13 | 8 |
| | Otero | 191 | 209 | 153 | 163 | 65 | 66 |
| | Total | 275 | 312 | 300 | 303 | 126 | 118 |
| 17 | Adams | 2,685 | 2,933 | 2,878 | 2,816 | 635 | 640 |
| | Broomfield | 108 | 39 | 102 | 52 | 17 | 15 |
| | Total | 2,793 | 2,972 | 2,980 | 2,868 | 652 | 655 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 3,480 | 3,732 | 4,642 | 4,582 | 1,191 | 2,696 |
| | Douglas | 1,166 | 1,206 | 1,338 | 1,191 | 179 | 147 |
| | Elbert | 134 | 135 | 235 | 174 | 36 | 47 |
| | Lincoln | 35 | 37 | 73 | 78 | 26 | 27 |
| | Total | 4,815 | 5,110 | 6,288 | 6,025 | 1,432 | 2,917 |
| 19 | Weld | 1,131 | 1,323 | 1,630 | 1,596 | 439 | 441 |
| 20 | Boulder | 1,809 | 1,916 | 2,173 | 2,120 | 632 | 604 |
| 21 | Mesa | 1,231 | 1,295 | 855 | 861 | 396 | 511 |
| 22 | Dolores | 11 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 21 |
| | Montezuma | 239 | 254 | 276 | 266 | 122 | 151 |
| | Total | 250 | 261 | 287 | 284 | 132 | 172 |
| State Total | | 32,166 | 33,719 | 41,349 | 41,277 | 11,655 | 13,675 |

Table 14

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Juvenile | | Mental Health | | Criminal | | Total | |
|----------|-----------------|----------|-------|---------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|--------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 1 | Gilpin | 29 | 32 | 2 | 1 | 110 | 130 | 296 | 327 |
| | Jefferson | 3,922 | 3,818 | 423 | 426 | 3,470 | 3,497 | 16,172 | 16,284 |
| | Total | 3,951 | 3,850 | 425 | 427 | 3,580 | 3,627 | 16,468 | 16,611 |
| 2 | Denver | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 5,170 | 4,802 | 17,825 | 17,583 |
| | Denver Juvenile | 5,850 | 6,006 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 5,850 | 6,006 |
| | Denver Probate | n/a | n/a | 988 | 1,012 | n/a | n/a | 2,980 | 2,850 |
| | Total | 5,850 | 6,006 | 988 | 1,012 | 5,170 | 4,802 | 26,655 | 26,439 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 121 | 120 | 15 | 17 | 135 | 132 | 495 | 500 |
| | Las Animas | 188 | 200 | 19 | 16 | 264 | 239 | 822 | 791 |
| | Total | 309 | 320 | 34 | 33 | 399 | 371 | 1,317 | 1,291 |
| 4 | El Paso | 4,084 | 3,942 | 346 | 360 | 5,322 | 4,973 | 20,931 | 20,888 |
| | Teller | 181 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 229 | 888 | 860 |
| | Total | 4,265 | 4,112 | 346 | 360 | 5,570 | 5,202 | 21,819 | 21,748 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 66 | 73 | 5 | 5 | 106 | 111 | 364 | 429 |
| | Eagle | 132 | 143 | 16 | 17 | 448 | 443 | 1,647 | 1,791 |
| | Lake | 71 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 69 | 80 | 307 | 287 |
| | Summit | 97 | 103 | 16 | 18 | 319 | 320 | 978 | 1,060 |
| | Total | 366 | 377 | 38 | 41 | 942 | 954 | 3,296 | 3,567 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 52 | 60 | 10 | 10 | 122 | 99 | 500 | 484 |
| | La Plata | 170 | 160 | 72 | 68 | 471 | 483 | 1,521 | 1,544 |
| | San Juan | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 26 | 25 |
| | Total | 228 | 226 | 82 | 78 | 600 | 592 | 2,047 | 2,053 |
| 7 | Delta | 147 | 156 | 8 | 4 | 217 | 212 | 975 | 975 |
| | Gunnison | 76 | 60 | 3 | 3 | 192 | 191 | 538 | 493 |
| | Hinsdale | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 28 | 19 |
| | Montrose | 269 | 254 | 11 | 9 | 306 | 367 | 1,314 | 1,341 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | | | | | 21 | 17 | 21 | 17 |
| | Ouray | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 22 | 92 | 104 |
| | San Miguel | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 63 | 242 | 224 |
| Total | 518 | 496 | 25 | 18 | 838 | 875 | 3,210 | 3,173 | |
| 8 | Jackson | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 43 | 56 |
| | Larimer | 1,824 | 1,733 | 168 | 177 | 1,927 | 1,940 | 7,656 | 7,350 |
| | Total | 1,831 | 1,741 | 168 | 177 | 1,940 | 1,954 | 7,699 | 7,406 |
| 9 | Garfield | 333 | 344 | 23 | 25 | 510 | 553 | 1,730 | 1,801 |
| | Pitkin | 46 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 94 | 97 | 511 | 599 |
| | Rio Blanco | 29 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 36 | 35 | 177 | 195 |
| | Total | 408 | 417 | 26 | 29 | 640 | 685 | 2,418 | 2,595 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 1,585 | 1,762 | 446 | 463 | 1,862 | 1,874 | 6,998 | 7,129 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 157 | 163 | 9 | 9 | 163 | 131 | 679 | 763 |
| | Custer | 15 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 51 | 155 | 187 |
| | Fremont | 525 | 498 | 38 | 43 | 441 | 456 | 1,984 | 2,248 |
| | Park | 72 | 96 | 1 | 1 | 138 | 143 | 572 | 629 |
| | Total | 769 | 775 | 49 | 53 | 787 | 781 | 3,390 | 3,827 |

Table 14

District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Juvenile | | Mental Health | | Criminal | | Total | |
|--------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 12 | Alamosa | 235 | 231 | 10 | 12 | 259 | 275 | 847 | 906 |
| | Conejos | 50 | 60 | 4 | 3 | 53 | 49 | 239 | 252 |
| | Costilla | 29 | 34 | 4 | 3 | 40 | 50 | 218 | 272 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 28 |
| | Rio Grande | 160 | 164 | 7 | 6 | 200 | 208 | 636 | 703 |
| | Saguache | 59 | 110 | 2 | 10 | 66 | 46 | 261 | 329 |
| | Total | 535 | 601 | 27 | 34 | 619 | 628 | 2,217 | 2,490 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 42 | 36 | 7 | 11 | 83 | 68 | 324 | 322 |
| | Logan | 195 | 180 | 92 | 88 | 345 | 302 | 1,085 | 1,054 |
| | Morgan | 243 | 270 | 52 | 50 | 359 | 355 | 1,254 | 1,270 |
| | Phillips | 24 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 22 | 167 | 137 |
| | Sedgwick | 9 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 30 | 69 | 95 |
| | Washington | 40 | 30 | 5 | 6 | 62 | 56 | 232 | 172 |
| | Yuma | 83 | 76 | 29 | 16 | 75 | 49 | 334 | 228 |
| | Total | 636 | 630 | 194 | 182 | 967 | 882 | 3,465 | 3,278 |
| 14 | Grand | 54 | 56 | 7 | 8 | 165 | 192 | 542 | 644 |
| | Moffat | 121 | 103 | 10 | 10 | 141 | 134 | 582 | 657 |
| | Routt | 68 | 89 | 8 | 7 | 277 | 241 | 782 | 786 |
| | Total | 243 | 248 | 25 | 25 | 583 | 567 | 1,906 | 2,087 |
| 15 | Baca | 26 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 38 | 172 | 192 |
| | Cheyenne | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 17 | 67 | 62 |
| | Kiowa | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 68 | 95 |
| | Prowers | 180 | 194 | 5 | 5 | 167 | 151 | 660 | 710 |
| | Total | 226 | 237 | 10 | 11 | 228 | 211 | 967 | 1,059 |
| 16 | Bent | 90 | 85 | 3 | 6 | 74 | 66 | 345 | 354 |
| | Crowley | 48 | 47 | 4 | 5 | 61 | 54 | 227 | 204 |
| | Otero | 280 | 269 | 22 | 22 | 289 | 262 | 1,000 | 991 |
| | Total | 418 | 401 | 29 | 33 | 424 | 382 | 1,572 | 1,549 |
| 17 | Adams | 3,010 | 2,992 | 307 | 273 | 3,173 | 2,864 | 12,688 | 12,518 |
| | Broomfield | 179 | 128 | 6 | 4 | 152 | 62 | 564 | 300 |
| | Total | 3,189 | 3,120 | 313 | 277 | 3,325 | 2,926 | 13,252 | 12,818 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 3,748 | 3,665 | 452 | 426 | 3,610 | 3,498 | 17,123 | 18,599 |
| | Douglas | 880 | 900 | 55 | 46 | 831 | 635 | 4,449 | 4,125 |
| | Elbert | 78 | 84 | 7 | 7 | 103 | 106 | 593 | 553 |
| | Lincoln | 47 | 41 | 9 | 9 | 122 | 117 | 312 | 309 |
| | Total | 4,753 | 4,690 | 523 | 488 | 4,666 | 4,356 | 22,477 | 23,586 |
| 19 | Weld | 2,262 | 2,008 | 59 | 54 | 1,683 | 1,655 | 7,204 | 7,077 |
| 20 | Boulder | 2,125 | 2,165 | 256 | 227 | 2,501 | 2,486 | 9,496 | 9,518 |
| 21 | Mesa | 1,037 | 1,043 | 157 | 164 | 1,475 | 1,515 | 5,151 | 5,389 |
| 22 | Dolores | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 54 | 62 |
| | Montezuma | 176 | 177 | 9 | 8 | 337 | 287 | 1,159 | 1,143 |
| | Total | 187 | 184 | 9 | 8 | 348 | 296 | 1,213 | 1,205 |
| State Total | | 35,691 | 35,409 | 4,229 | 4,194 | 39,147 | 37,621 | 164,237 | 165,895 |

Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Juvenile | | Criminal | | Total | |
|----------|-----------------|-------|------|----------|------|----------|------|-------|------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 1 | Gilpin | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 |
| | Jefferson | 51 | 35 | 34 | 1 | 6 | 71 | 91 | 107 |
| | Total | 56 | 36 | 36 | 1 | 7 | 71 | 99 | 108 |
| 2 | Denver | 53 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 53 | 186 |
| | Denver Juvenile | | | 70 | 5 | | | 70 | 5 |
| | Total | 53 | 49 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 137 | 123 | 191 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Las Animas | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| | Total | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| 4 | El Paso | 31 | 52 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 71 | 79 | 127 |
| | Teller | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 31 | 54 | 47 | 4 | 1 | 72 | 79 | 130 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| | Eagle | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | Lake | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| | Summit | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| | Total | 15 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 21 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | La Plata | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 12 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 11 | 18 |
| 7 | Delta | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| | Hinsdale | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| | Ouray | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| | Total | 11 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 22 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 24 | 19 |
| | Total | 12 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 24 | 19 |
| 9 | Garfield | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 5 |
| | Pitkin | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| | Rio Blanco | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Total | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 11 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 13 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 25 | 41 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| | Park | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| | Total | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 10 |

Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Juvenile | | Criminal | | Total | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 12 | Alamosa | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Costilla | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| | Mineral | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 18 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 24 | 4 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 6 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 17 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| | Routt | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| | Total | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 12 |
| 15 | Baca | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| | Total | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | Bent | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| 17 | Adams | 16 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 99 | 35 | 123 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 16 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 99 | 35 | 123 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 36 | 29 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 198 | 119 |
| | Douglas | 15 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 22 | 28 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Total | 51 | 37 | 170 | 1 | 3 | 113 | 224 | 151 |
| 19 | Weld | 19 | 9 | 52 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 73 | 59 |
| 20 | Boulder | 19 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 39 |
| 21 | Mesa | 9 | 8 | 38 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 49 | 45 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| | Total | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| State Total | | 372 | 287 | 485 | 31 | 36 | 730 | 893 | 1,048 |

Table 16

Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Dissolution of Marriage | Legal Separation | Invalidity of Marriage | Child Custody | Support Order | Registration of Foreign Decree | Incoming Reg of Support | Other | Total |
|----------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 29 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 |
| | Jefferson | 2,937 | 156 | 42 | 292 | 14 | 38 | 234 | 13 | 3,726 |
| | Total | 2,966 | 156 | 42 | 295 | 15 | 38 | 236 | 13 | 3,761 |
| 2 | Denver | 3,040 | 84 | 57 | 389 | 4 | 23 | 189 | 164 | 3,950 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 51 |
| | Las Animas | 109 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 143 |
| | Total | 149 | 7 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 194 |
| 4 | El Paso | 3,655 | 143 | 70 | 216 | 139 | 80 | 331 | 57 | 4,691 |
| | Teller | 76 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 107 |
| | Total | 3,731 | 143 | 70 | 219 | 145 | 82 | 348 | 60 | 4,798 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 52 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 67 |
| | Eagle | 171 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 203 |
| | Lake | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 37 |
| | Summit | 87 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 107 |
| | Total | 341 | 11 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 24 | 5 | 414 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 67 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 98 |
| | La Plata | 245 | 6 | 3 | 19 | 35 | 7 | 15 | 12 | 342 |
| | San Juan | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Total | 314 | 9 | 3 | 35 | 39 | 11 | 18 | 13 | 442 |
| 7 | Delta | 223 | 2 | 4 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 274 |
| | Gunnison | 79 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| | Hinsdale | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Montrose | 262 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 35 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 371 |
| | Ouray | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | San Miguel | 30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 45 |
| | Total | 616 | 8 | 7 | 81 | 42 | 4 | 43 | 2 | 803 |
| 8 | Jackson | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Larimer | 1,397 | 44 | 16 | 135 | 98 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1,705 |
| | Total | 1,403 | 44 | 16 | 135 | 98 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 1,711 |
| 9 | Garfield | 325 | 6 | 6 | 33 | 19 | 5 | 20 | 17 | 431 |
| | Pitkin | 88 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 95 |
| | Rio Blanco | 38 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Total | 451 | 10 | 6 | 37 | 28 | 5 | 21 | 20 | 578 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 795 | 17 | 7 | 167 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 1,051 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 98 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 130 |
| | Custer | 26 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| | Fremont | 290 | 6 | 7 | 43 | 3 | 6 | 43 | 2 | 400 |
| | Park | 56 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 81 |
| | Total | 470 | 17 | 7 | 63 | 6 | 18 | 52 | 8 | 641 |

Table 16

Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Dissolution of Marriage | Legal Separation | Invalidity of Marriage | Child Custody | Support Order | Registration of Foreign Decree | Incoming Reg of Support | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 124 | 1 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 164 |
| | Conejos | 14 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 23 |
| | Costilla | 19 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| | Mineral | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Rio Grande | 91 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 115 |
| | Saguache | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 34 |
| | Total | 270 | 3 | 5 | 51 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 362 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 44 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 61 |
| | Logan | 136 | 3 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 171 |
| | Morgan | 175 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 232 |
| | Phillips | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 34 |
| | Sedgwick | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Washington | 26 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 38 |
| | Yuma | 39 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Total | 461 | 6 | 3 | 80 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 13 | 590 |
| 14 | Grand | 61 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 85 |
| | Moffat | 97 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 22 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 155 |
| | Routt | 105 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 141 |
| | Total | 263 | 6 | 4 | 28 | 40 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 381 |
| 15 | Baca | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| | Cheyenne | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Kiowa | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | Prowers | 104 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 139 |
| | Total | 139 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 186 |
| 16 | Bent | 38 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 55 |
| | Crowley | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| | Otero | 124 | 5 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 191 |
| | Total | 186 | 7 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 275 |
| 17 | Adams | 1,998 | 55 | 24 | 407 | 2 | 80 | 104 | 15 | 2,685 |
| | Broomfield | 85 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 108 |
| | Total | 2,083 | 58 | 25 | 411 | 3 | 85 | 105 | 23 | 2,793 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 2,723 | 133 | 36 | 225 | 89 | 42 | 191 | 41 | 3,480 |
| | Douglas | 1,011 | 52 | 11 | 40 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 5 | 1,166 |
| | Elbert | 115 | 3 | | 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 134 |
| | Lincoln | 29 | 3 | | | 0 | | 1 | 2 | 35 |
| | Total | 3,878 | 191 | 47 | 269 | 95 | 56 | 230 | 49 | 4,815 |
| 19 | Weld | 946 | 16 | 4 | 83 | 1 | 14 | 66 | 1 | 1,131 |
| 20 | Boulder | 1,494 | 74 | 21 | 110 | 70 | 36 | 0 | 4 | 1,809 |
| 21 | Mesa | 929 | 18 | 11 | 116 | 72 | 28 | 1 | 56 | 1,231 |
| 22 | Dolores | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Montezuma | 172 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 6 | 6 | 26 | 1 | 239 |
| | Total | 181 | 4 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 6 | 26 | 1 | 250 |
| State Total | | 25,106 | 893 | 342 | 2,713 | 696 | 452 | 1,511 | 453 | 32,166 |
| Percent of State Total | | 78.05% | 2.78% | 1.06% | 8.43% | 2.16% | 1.41% | 4.70% | 1.41% | 100.00% |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Professional Malpractice | Negligence | Personal Injury | Personal Injury-MV | Property Damage | Property Damage-MV | Wrongful Death | Distrain Warrant |
|----------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Jefferson | 9 | 307 | 15 | 93 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 322 |
| | Total | 9 | 314 | 17 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 329 |
| 2 | Denver | 151 | 108 | 297 | 772 | 25 | 0 | 31 | 1,772 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Total | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 4 | El Paso | 18 | 132 | 89 | 478 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1,054 |
| | Teller | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 44 |
| | Total | 19 | 136 | 89 | 489 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 1,098 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| | Eagle | 2 | 17 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 141 |
| | Lake | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Summit | 0 | 24 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 |
| | Total | 2 | 47 | 17 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 252 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 84 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 114 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 5 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 33 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Total | 5 | 13 | 11 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Larimer | 3 | 131 | 16 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 200 |
| | Total | 3 | 132 | 16 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 205 |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 33 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 110 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 29 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 2 | 62 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 135 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 18 | 17 | 37 | 152 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 102 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 5 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| | Park | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Total | 7 | 21 | 11 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 97 |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Professional Malpractice | Negligence | Personal Injury | Personal Injury-MV | Property Damage | Property Damage-MV | Wrongful Death | Distraint Warrant |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Total | 1 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 57 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| | Logan | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| | Morgan | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Total | 2 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 104 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Routt | 0 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Total | 1 | 38 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Total | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Otero | 0 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | Total | 0 | 9 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 17 | Adams | 8 | 59 | 37 | 201 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 321 |
| | Broomfield | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 9 | 60 | 41 | 203 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 321 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 39 | 78 | 80 | 320 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 662 |
| | Douglas | 2 | 57 | 13 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 41 | 138 | 94 | 395 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 823 |
| 19 | Weld | 6 | 46 | 11 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 314 |
| 20 | Boulder | 15 | 174 | 51 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 306 |
| 21 | Mesa | 4 | 85 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 58 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| | Total | 0 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| State Total | | 297 | 1,456 | 758 | 2,583 | 75 | 2 | 115 | 6,419 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.72% | 3.52% | 1.83% | 6.25% | 0.18% | 0.00% | 0.28% | 15.52% |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Real Personal Property | Rule 120 | Money Demands | Cty. Court/ Mun. Appeals | County Court Counter Claim | Disclaimer | Declaratory Judgment | Forcible Entry | Foreign Judgment | Injunctive Relief |
|----------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 13 | 32 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Jefferson | 279 | 859 | 714 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 83 | 43 | 20 |
| | Total | 292 | 891 | 725 | 67 | 2 | 0 | 52 | 84 | 43 | 22 |
| 2 | Denver | 373 | 1,470 | 2,054 | 103 | 10 | 0 | 105 | 141 | 120 | 156 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 20 | 35 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 8 | 41 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| | Total | 28 | 76 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 4 | El Paso | 208 | 1,330 | 857 | 80 | 28 | 0 | 51 | 63 | 68 | 36 |
| | Teller | 25 | 127 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| | Total | 233 | 1,457 | 891 | 85 | 28 | 0 | 53 | 65 | 70 | 42 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 6 | 35 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 76 | 197 | 156 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 4 |
| | Lake | 20 | 20 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Summit | 39 | 55 | 122 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| | Total | 141 | 307 | 299 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 13 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 17 | 60 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| | La Plata | 18 | 68 | 60 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| | San Juan | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 40 | 129 | 93 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 5 |
| 7 | Delta | 15 | 88 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| | Gunnison | 20 | 39 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 17 | 75 | 53 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| | Ouray | 4 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 8 | 19 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| | Total | 64 | 232 | 145 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 17 | 13 |
| 8 | Jackson | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 89 | 369 | 285 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 12 |
| | Total | 90 | 369 | 290 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 12 |
| 9 | Garfield | 13 | 55 | 60 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| | Pitkin | 20 | 24 | 70 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| | Rio Blanco | 3 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total | 36 | 89 | 135 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 8 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 65 | 662 | 199 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 13 | 28 | 26 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| | Custer | 10 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | Fremont | 23 | 143 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| | Park | 27 | 112 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 73 | 298 | 130 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 11 |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Real Personal Property | Rule 120 | Money Demands | Cty. Court/ Mun. Appeals | County Court Counter Claim | Disclaimer | Declaratory Judgment | Forcible Entry | Foreign Judgment | Injunctive Relief |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 10 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| | Conejos | 7 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Costilla | 16 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| | Mineral | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 5 | 34 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Saguache | 24 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Total | 65 | 89 | 71 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 11 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 2 | 19 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| | Logan | 12 | 45 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Morgan | 14 | 83 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Phillips | 8 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 4 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Yuma | 3 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 43 | 190 | 84 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 9 | |
| 14 | Grand | 55 | 24 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| | Moffat | 2 | 31 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Routt | 26 | 63 | 44 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 4 |
| | Total | 83 | 118 | 93 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 15 | Baca | 7 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 8 | 26 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 19 | 36 | 48 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| 16 | Bent | 4 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Crowley | 3 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Otero | 9 | 68 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total | 16 | 99 | 34 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 17 | Adams | 190 | 1,028 | 645 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 43 | 23 | 9 |
| | Broomfield | 14 | 27 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| | Total | 204 | 1,055 | 671 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 26 | 10 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 370 | 1,246 | 998 | 37 | 17 | 2 | 79 | 112 | 73 | 33 |
| | Douglas | 140 | 343 | 300 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 28 | 16 | 12 |
| | Elbert | 18 | 86 | 47 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| | Lincoln | 5 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 533 | 1,688 | 1,350 | 63 | 20 | 2 | 99 | 143 | 91 | 54 |
| 19 | Weld | 85 | 532 | 266 | 26 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 16 | 49 |
| 20 | Boulder | 78 | 240 | 452 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 36 | 24 | 19 |
| 21 | Mesa | 57 | 342 | 149 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 13 |
| 22 | Dolores | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 31 | 70 | 43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| | Total | 32 | 77 | 45 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| State Total | | 2,650 | 10,446 | 8,264 | 601 | 99 | 3 | 512 | 597 | 531 | 473 |
| Percent of State Total | | 6.41% | 25.26% | 19.99% | 1.45% | 0.24% | 0.01% | 1.24% | 1.44% | 1.28% | 1.14% |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Name Change | Other | Prohibition | Public Nuisance | Petition to Seal Crim Records | Public Utilities Commission | Rule 106 | Restraining Order | Revw. Other Local Govt | Sealed Case Conversion Only |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 1 | 212 | 0 | 82 | 146 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 20 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 213 | 0 | 87 | 160 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 22 | 0 |
| 2 | Denver | 2 | 435 | 0 | 127 | 319 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | El Paso | 1 | 255 | 0 | 94 | 241 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 6 | 0 |
| | Teller | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 270 | 0 | 97 | 251 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 9 | 0 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 1 | 132 | 0 | 28 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 132 | 0 | 28 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 50 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 2 | 59 | 1 | 24 | 51 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | Park | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 3 | 54 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 80 | 5 | 0 | 1 |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Name Change | Other | Prohibition | Public Nuisance | Petition to Seal Crim Records | Public Utilities Commission | Rule 106 | Restraining Order | Revw. Other Local Govt | Sealed Case Conversion Only |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 2 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 15 | 0 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 1 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 73 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 25 | 1 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 114 | 1 | 37 | 58 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 120 | 1 | 37 | 60 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 157 | 0 | 52 | 208 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 103 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 241 | 1 | 57 | 318 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| 19 | Weld | 19 | 46 | 0 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| 20 | Boulder | 0 | 107 | 0 | 19 | 344 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 21 | Mesa | 0 | 36 | 0 | 27 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| State Total | | 33 | 2,117 | 4 | 579 | 1,801 | 2 | 314 | 99 | 235 | 1 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.08% | 5.12% | 0.01% | 1.40% | 4.36% | 0.00% | 0.76% | 0.24% | 0.57% | 0.00% |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Special District | Sexual Harassment | Specific Performance | Unemployment Comp. | Writ of Habeas Corpus | Worker's Comp. | Total |
|----------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| | Jefferson | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3,343 |
| | Total | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3,450 |
| 2 | Denver | 0 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 8,705 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| | Las Animas | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 158 |
| | Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 285 |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5,149 |
| | Teller | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5,447 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 740 |
| | Lake | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
| | Summit | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 402 |
| | Total | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,343 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 175 |
| | La Plata | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 348 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 530 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 217 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 255 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 735 |
| | 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Larimer | | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1,461 |
| Total | | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1,473 |
| 9 | Garfield | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 630 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1,474 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 163 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 446 |
| | Park | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 887 |

Table 17

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Special District | Sexual Harassment | Specific Performance | Unemployment Comp. | Writ of Habeas Corpus | Worker's Comp. | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 141 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 444 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 206 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 262 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 703 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| | Routt | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 237 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 547 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 75 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 72 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 300 |
| 17 | Adams | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2,878 |
| | Broomfield | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| | Total | 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2,980 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 1 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4,642 |
| | Douglas | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,338 |
| | Elbert | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 73 |
| | Total | 12 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 6,288 |
| 19 | Weld | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,630 |
| 20 | Boulder | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2,173 |
| 21 | Mesa | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 855 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| State Total | | 71 | 21 | 66 | 1 | 108 | 16 | 41,349 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.17% | 0.05% | 0.16% | 0.00% | 0.26% | 0.04% | 100.00% |

Table 18

Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY2002

| District | County | Intestates | Testates | Guardianships | Conservatorships | Estates | Other | Total |
|----------|-------------------|------------|----------|---------------|------------------|---------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| | Jefferson | 190 | 796 | 115 | 85 | 2 | 100 | 1,288 |
| | Total | 193 | 804 | 115 | 85 | 2 | 102 | 1,301 |
| | Denver Probate | 316 | 1,061 | 222 | 156 | 5 | 232 | 1,992 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 10 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 46 |
| | Las Animas | 3 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 50 |
| | Total | 13 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 34 | 96 |
| 4 | El Paso | 132 | 701 | 277 | 101 | 2 | 126 | 1,339 |
| | Teller | 10 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 54 |
| | Total | 142 | 721 | 283 | 103 | 2 | 142 | 1,393 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 18 |
| | Eagle | 26 | 9 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 50 | 108 |
| | Lake | 3 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 30 |
| | Summit | 19 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 37 |
| | Total | 51 | 29 | 23 | 10 | 11 | 69 | 193 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 11 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 43 |
| | La Plata | 17 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 26 | 118 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Total | 28 | 61 | 20 | 14 | 2 | 40 | 165 |
| 7 | Delta | 26 | 65 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 112 |
| | Gunnison | 12 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 49 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| | Montrose | 13 | 66 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 102 |
| | Ouray | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| | Total | 55 | 161 | 25 | 15 | 5 | 30 | 291 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| | Larimer | 67 | 360 | 40 | 71 | 0 | 33 | 571 |
| | Total | 67 | 362 | 40 | 72 | 0 | 35 | 576 |
| 9 | Garfield | 4 | 51 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 79 |
| | Pitkin | 13 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 35 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 1 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| | Total | 18 | 77 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 22 | 136 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 129 | 303 | 45 | 44 | 0 | 59 | 580 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 31 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 57 |
| | Custer | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 17 |
| | Fremont | 14 | 75 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 134 |
| | Park | 5 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 49 |
| | Total | 51 | 106 | 19 | 23 | 1 | 57 | 257 |

Table 18

Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY2002

| District | County | Intestates | Testates | Guardianships | Conservatorships | Estates | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 11 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 38 |
| | Conejos | 16 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 43 |
| | Costilla | 13 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 62 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Rio Grande | 18 | 22 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 51 |
| | Saguache | 6 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 32 |
| | Total | 64 | 79 | 18 | 14 | 1 | 54 | 230 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 4 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 31 |
| | Logan | 16 | 43 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 76 |
| | Morgan | 9 | 59 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 15 | 106 |
| | Phillips | 5 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 44 |
| | Sedgwick | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| | Washington | 4 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 48 |
| | Yuma | 5 | 43 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 58 |
| | Total | 45 | 229 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 58 | 375 |
| 14 | Grand | 9 | 14 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 34 |
| | Moffat | 10 | 16 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 42 |
| | Routt | 4 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 51 |
| | Total | 23 | 56 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 18 | 127 |
| 15 | Baca | 7 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 44 |
| | Cheyenne | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| | Kiowa | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 |
| | Prowers | 7 | 23 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 58 |
| | Total | 16 | 57 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 134 |
| 16 | Bent | 5 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 48 |
| | Crowley | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| | Otero | 8 | 41 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 65 |
| | Total | 17 | 57 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 126 |
| 17 | Adams | 134 | 319 | 56 | 62 | 0 | 64 | 635 |
| | Broomfield | 3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| | Total | 137 | 327 | 58 | 63 | 2 | 65 | 652 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 130 | 721 | 131 | 89 | 2 | 118 | 1,191 |
| | Douglas | 28 | 97 | 16 | 14 | 0 | 24 | 179 |
| | Elbert | 11 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 36 |
| | Lincoln | 6 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 26 |
| | Total | 175 | 842 | 149 | 106 | 8 | 152 | 1,432 |
| 19 | Weld | 64 | 241 | 41 | 54 | 2 | 37 | 439 |
| 20 | Boulder | 77 | 394 | 40 | 54 | 0 | 67 | 632 |
| 21 | Mesa | 53 | 200 | 42 | 43 | 1 | 57 | 396 |
| 22 | Dolores | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| | Montezuma | 23 | 66 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 122 |
| | Total | 24 | 73 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 132 |
| State Total | | 1,758 | 6,277 | 1,220 | 930 | 61 | 1,409 | 11,655 |
| Percent of State Total | | 15.08% | 53.86% | 10.47% | 7.98% | 0.52% | 12.09% | 100.00% |

Table 19

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Delinquency | Dependency & Neglect* | Adoptions | Relinquishments |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 24 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 2,036 | 341 | 324 | 109 |
| | Total | 2,060 | 344 | 325 | 109 |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | 2,412 | 578 | 385 | 33 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 72 | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 61 | 27 | 3 | 7 |
| | Total | 133 | 37 | 11 | 7 |
| 4 | El Paso | 2,094 | 417 | 447 | 50 |
| | Teller | 91 | 37 | 17 | 1 |
| | Total | 2,185 | 454 | 464 | 51 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 32 | 10 | 13 | 2 |
| | Eagle | 82 | 9 | 7 | 1 |
| | Lake | 38 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
| | Summit | 63 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| | Total | 215 | 31 | 31 | 5 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 32 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | La Plata | 95 | 11 | 23 | 7 |
| | San Juan | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 130 | 14 | 25 | 9 |
| 7 | Delta | 95 | 19 | 12 | 1 |
| | Gunnison | 44 | 13 | 4 | 2 |
| | Hinsdale | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 101 | 31 | 34 | 5 |
| | Ouray | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| | San Miguel | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 254 | 69 | 53 | 9 |
| 8 | Jackson | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 1,061 | 125 | 236 | 21 |
| | Total | 1,068 | 125 | 236 | 21 |
| 9 | Garfield | 163 | 25 | 19 | 5 |
| | Pitkin | 36 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 21 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| | Total | 220 | 30 | 26 | 5 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 692 | 310 | 90 | 14 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 68 | 8 | 9 | 6 |
| | Custer | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 211 | 50 | 41 | 3 |
| | Park | 45 | 12 | 2 | |
| | Total | 332 | 70 | 56 | 9 |

*Dependency and neglect case totals include expedited permanency planning (EPP) cases.

Table 19

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Delinquency | Dependency & Neglect* | Adoptions | Relinquishments |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 97 | 31 | 18 | 5 |
| | Conejos | 17 | 11 | 5 | 3 |
| | Costilla | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 86 | 12 | 4 | 5 |
| | Saguache | 28 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| | Total | 240 | 68 | 31 | 17 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| | Logan | 69 | 28 | 18 | 6 |
| | Morgan | 103 | 59 | 14 | 3 |
| | Phillips | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| | Washington | 13 | 11 | 5 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 34 | 13 | 7 | 3 |
| | Total | 241 | 121 | 51 | 15 |
| 14 | Grand | 31 | 2 | 6 | 5 |
| | Moffat | 88 | 22 | 5 | 1 |
| | Routt | 53 | 3 | 6 | 0 |
| | Total | 172 | 27 | 17 | 6 |
| 15 | Baca | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| | Cheyenne | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 50 | 16 | 6 | 1 |
| | Total | 68 | 23 | 10 | 2 |
| 16 | Bent | 31 | 14 | 3 | 2 |
| | Crowley | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 176 | 27 | 14 | 0 |
| | Total | 231 | 42 | 17 | 2 |
| 17 | Adams | 1,280 | 380 | 234 | 32 |
| | Broomfield | 130 | 25 | 4 | 1 |
| | Total | 1,410 | 405 | 238 | 33 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 1,845 | 311 | 389 | 50 |
| | Douglas | 582 | 15 | 151 | 54 |
| | Elbert | 52 | 12 | 11 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 14 | 17 | 4 | 0 |
| | Total | 2,493 | 355 | 555 | 104 |
| 19 | Weld | 1,349 | 162 | 143 | 35 |
| 20 | Boulder | 1,197 | 159 | 153 | 33 |
| 21 | Mesa | 471 | 114 | 108 | 17 |
| 22 | Dolores | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 97 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| | Total | 102 | 14 | 10 | 2 |
| State Total | | 17,675 | 3,552 | 3,035 | 538 |
| Percent of State Total | | 49.52% | 9.95% | 8.50% | 1.51% |

*Dependency and neglect case totals include

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Delinquency | Dependency & Neglect* | Adoptions | Relinquishments |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|

expedited permanency planning (EPP) cases.

Table 19

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Administrative Paternity Order | Paternity & Support | Other | Total |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| | Jefferson | 108 | 703 | 301 | 3,922 |
| | Total | 108 | 703 | 302 | 3,951 |
| 2 | Denver Juvenile | 240 | 1,221 | 981 | 5,850 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 7 | 23 | 1 | 121 |
| | Las Animas | 13 | 52 | 25 | 188 |
| | Total | 20 | 75 | 26 | 309 |
| 4 | El Paso | 92 | 728 | 256 | 4,084 |
| | Teller | 1 | 29 | 5 | 181 |
| | Total | 93 | 757 | 261 | 4,265 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 3 | 5 | 66 |
| | Eagle | 3 | 24 | 6 | 132 |
| | Lake | 0 | 15 | 4 | 71 |
| | Summit | 1 | 9 | 13 | 97 |
| | Total | 5 | 51 | 28 | 366 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 8 | 7 | 0 | 52 |
| | La Plata | 15 | 7 | 12 | 170 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | Total | 23 | 14 | 13 | 228 |
| 7 | Delta | 3 | 8 | 9 | 147 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 10 | 2 | 76 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | Montrose | 41 | 30 | 27 | 269 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| | Total | 45 | 48 | 40 | 518 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Larimer | 94 | 250 | 37 | 1,824 |
| | Total | 94 | 250 | 37 | 1,831 |
| 9 | Garfield | 16 | 92 | 13 | 333 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 3 | 2 | 46 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| | Total | 16 | 95 | 16 | 408 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 75 | 233 | 171 | 1,585 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 11 | 34 | 21 | 157 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| | Fremont | 25 | 146 | 49 | 525 |
| | Park | 4 | 9 | 0 | 72 |
| | Total | 40 | 190 | 72 | 769 |

Table 19

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Administrative Paternity Order | Paternity & Support | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 37 | 30 | 17 | 235 |
| | Conejos | 6 | 8 | 0 | 50 |
| | Costilla | 1 | 10 | 0 | 29 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Rio Grande | 15 | 35 | 3 | 160 |
| | Saguache | 9 | 8 | 0 | 59 |
| | Total | 68 | 91 | 20 | 535 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 4 | 8 | 9 | 42 |
| | Logan | 4 | 45 | 25 | 195 |
| | Morgan | 25 | 37 | 2 | 243 |
| | Phillips | 6 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 |
| | Washington | 2 | 6 | 3 | 40 |
| | Yuma | 3 | 21 | 2 | 83 |
| Total | 44 | 120 | 44 | 636 | |
| 14 | Grand | 5 | 5 | 0 | 54 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 5 | 0 | 121 |
| | Routt | 2 | 4 | 0 | 68 |
| | Total | 7 | 14 | 0 | 243 |
| 15 | Baca | 1 | 12 | 0 | 26 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| | Prowers | 6 | 93 | 8 | 180 |
| | Total | 7 | 106 | 10 | 226 |
| 16 | Bent | 9 | 25 | 6 | 90 |
| | Crowley | 6 | 13 | 4 | 48 |
| | Otero | 14 | 43 | 6 | 280 |
| | Total | 29 | 81 | 16 | 418 |
| 17 | Adams | 174 | 759 | 151 | 3,010 |
| | Broomfield | 6 | 12 | 1 | 179 |
| | Total | 180 | 771 | 152 | 3,189 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 235 | 684 | 234 | 3,748 |
| | Douglas | 3 | 34 | 41 | 880 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 2 | 1 | 78 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 11 | 1 | 47 |
| | Total | 238 | 731 | 277 | 4,753 |
| 19 | Weld | 89 | 287 | 197 | 2,262 |
| 20 | Boulder | 102 | 356 | 125 | 2,125 |
| 21 | Mesa | 0 | 312 | 15 | 1,037 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 30 | 27 | 176 |
| | Total | 0 | 31 | 28 | 187 |
| State Total | | 1,523 | 6,537 | 2,831 | 35,691 |
| Percent of State Total | | 4.27% | 18.32% | 7.93% | 100.00% |

Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Administrative Paternity Order | Paternity & Support | Other | Total |
|-----------------|---------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|-----------------|---------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Homicide | Vehicular Homicide | Sex Offense | Assault Offense | Child Offense | Incest Offense | Harassment Offense | Kidnapping Offense |
|----------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 1 | 0 | 68 | 199 | 3 | 11 | 83 | 1 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 68 | 200 | 3 | 11 | 84 | 1 |
| 2 | Denver | 7 | 0 | 101 | 249 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 4 | El Paso | 5 | 0 | 59 | 345 | 2 | 5 | 111 | 6 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| | Total | 5 | 0 | 62 | 360 | 2 | 5 | 114 | 7 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 13 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 0 | 45 | 107 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 45 | 107 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 0 |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 1 | 0 | 16 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 1 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 9 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Homicide | Vehicular Homicide | Sex Offense | Assault Offense | Child Offense | Incest Offense | Harassment Offense | Kidnapping Offense |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 1 | 14 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 2 |
| 17 | Adams | 3 | 2 | 57 | 99 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 2 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 3 | 2 | 59 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 6 | 0 | 87 | 240 | 5 | 4 | 57 | 6 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 0 | 32 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 1 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 6 | 0 | 123 | 324 | 6 | 4 | 85 | 7 |
| 19 | Weld | 2 | 0 | 49 | 191 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 3 |
| 20 | Boulder | 1 | 0 | 30 | 124 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 21 | Mesa | 0 | 2 | 21 | 79 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| State Total | | 27 | 5 | 659 | 2,168 | 37 | 30 | 616 | 25 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.15% | 0.03% | 3.73% | 12.27% | 0.21% | 0.17% | 3.49% | 0.14% |

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Menacing Offense | Vehicular Offense | Arson | Burglary | Criminal Mischief | Robbery | Tampering | Theft | Trespass | Fraud |
|----------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|----------------------|---------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 62 | 1 | 37 | 158 | 121 | 31 | 6 | 463 | 114 | 2 |
| | Total | 63 | 1 | 38 | 164 | 124 | 31 | 6 | 463 | 116 | 2 |
| 2 | Denver | 65 | 0 | 19 | 190 | 158 | 74 | 0 | 514 | 66 | 0 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 |
| | Total | 8 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 0 |
| 4 | El Paso | 80 | 1 | 36 | 205 | 179 | 49 | 3 | 463 | 105 | 3 |
| | Teller | 4 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| | Total | 84 | 1 | 38 | 215 | 185 | 51 | 3 | 472 | 107 | 4 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 5 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 11 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 0 |
| 7 | Delta | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 2 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 6 | 2 | 3 | 36 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 0 |
| 8 | Jackson | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 23 | 1 | 14 | 81 | 74 | 2 | 3 | 173 | 94 | 4 |
| | Total | 26 | 1 | 14 | 82 | 74 | 2 | 3 | 173 | 95 | 4 |
| 9 | Garfield | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 18 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 6 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 28 | 0 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 19 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 51 | 6 | 1 | 133 | 51 | 0 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 1 |
| | Custer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 9 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 6 | 0 |
| | Park | 3 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | Total | 13 | 0 | 6 | 34 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 52 | 11 | 1 |

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Menacing Offense | Vehicular Offense | Arson | Burglary | Criminal Mischief | Robbery | Tampering | Theft | Trespass | Fraud |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 5 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 10 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 2 | 0 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| | Logan | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 8 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | Total | 16 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 14 | 0 |
| 14 | Grand | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 |
| | Routt | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 |
| | Total | 4 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 5 | 0 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| | Otero | 19 | 1 | 5 | 25 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 | 1 |
| | Total | 20 | 1 | 8 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 1 |
| 17 | Adams | 53 | 2 | 12 | 133 | 53 | 24 | 0 | 261 | 109 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 0 |
| | Total | 59 | 2 | 12 | 140 | 67 | 25 | 2 | 276 | 121 | 0 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 70 | 4 | 9 | 143 | 117 | 19 | 4 | 352 | 104 | 4 |
| | Douglas | 18 | 4 | 4 | 35 | 39 | 2 | 5 | 164 | 21 | 1 |
| | Elbert | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 91 | 8 | 15 | 191 | 159 | 21 | 9 | 525 | 127 | 5 |
| 19 | Weld | 62 | 2 | 14 | 109 | 112 | 10 | 0 | 324 | 67 | 0 |
| 20 | Boulder | 56 | 2 | 12 | 63 | 97 | 8 | 3 | 280 | 105 | 0 |
| 21 | Mesa | 18 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 34 | 3 | 3 | 89 | 37 | 0 |
| 22 | Dolores | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 7 | 1 |
| | Total | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 1 |
| State Total | | 645 | 24 | 211 | 1,471 | 1,252 | 246 | 35 | 3,650 | 1,010 | 18 |
| Percent of State Total | | 3.65% | 0.14% | 1.19% | 8.32% | 7.08% | 1.39% | 0.20% | 20.65% | 5.71% | 0.10% |

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Forgery | Impersonation | Escape | Drugs | Status Offense | Weapon | Other | Total |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------------|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 24 |
| | Jefferson | 24 | 7 | 25 | 216 | 53 | 50 | 300 | 2,036 |
| | Total | 24 | 7 | 25 | 220 | 53 | 52 | 303 | 2,060 |
| 2 | Denver | 3 | 4 | 32 | 186 | 30 | 123 | 575 | 2,412 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 72 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 133 |
| 4 | El Paso | 19 | 20 | 13 | 75 | 0 | 107 | 203 | 2,094 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 91 |
| | Total | 19 | 20 | 13 | 85 | 0 | 114 | 219 | 2,185 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| | Eagle | 2 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 82 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 |
| | Summit | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 63 |
| | Total | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 26 | 215 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 |
| | La Plata | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 95 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 130 |
| 7 | Delta | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 95 |
| | Gunnison | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 44 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 6 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 101 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| | Total | 10 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 2 | 9 | 43 | 254 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | Larimer | 18 | 9 | 0 | 129 | 23 | 27 | 166 | 1,061 |
| | Total | 18 | 9 | 0 | 130 | 23 | 27 | 167 | 1,068 |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 26 | 163 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 36 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 32 | 220 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 2 | 7 | 8 | 85 | 2 | 25 | 97 | 692 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 68 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 211 |
| | Park | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 45 |
| | Total | 3 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 27 | 3 | 42 | 332 |

Table 20

Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Forgery | Impersonation | Escape | Drugs | Status Offense | Weapon | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 97 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 1 | 5 | 86 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 28 |
| | Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 4 | 28 | 240 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 69 |
| | Morgan | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 103 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| | Yuma | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 |
| | Total | 3 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 241 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 31 |
| | Moffat | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 88 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 53 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 22 | 172 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| | Prowers | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 50 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 68 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 31 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| | Otero | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 176 |
| | Total | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 35 | 231 |
| 17 | Adams | 7 | 2 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 33 | 344 | 1,280 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 130 |
| | Total | 7 | 4 | 3 | 70 | 0 | 34 | 397 | 1,410 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 34 | 7 | 8 | 116 | 12 | 38 | 399 | 1,845 |
| | Douglas | 3 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 582 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 52 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| | Total | 37 | 8 | 8 | 175 | 12 | 44 | 503 | 2,493 |
| 19 | Weld | 12 | 5 | 2 | 58 | 11 | 27 | 235 | 1,349 |
| 20 | Boulder | 14 | 15 | 4 | 148 | 8 | 28 | 182 | 1,197 |
| 21 | Mesa | 5 | 6 | 8 | 28 | 13 | 20 | 41 | 471 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 97 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 102 |
| State Total | | 170 | 96 | 111 | 1,378 | 234 | 530 | 3,027 | 17,675 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.96% | 0.54% | 0.63% | 7.80% | 1.32% | 3.00% | 17.13% | 100.00% |

Table 21

Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Alcohol & Drugs | Developmental Disability | Evaluations | Long Term Change of Venue | Certification | Other | Total |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| | Jefferson | 14 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 384 | 1 | 423 |
| | Total | 14 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 385 | 1 | 425 |
| 2 | Denver | 47 | 1 | 17 | 10 | 912 | 1 | 988 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 15 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 34 |
| 4 | El Paso | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 337 | 0 | 346 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 337 | 0 | 346 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 16 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 38 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 72 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 82 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 25 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 162 | 0 | 168 |
| | Total | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 162 | 0 | 168 |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 23 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | Total | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 26 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 117 | 299 | 446 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 38 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 49 |

Table 21

Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Alcohol & Drugs | Developmental Disability | Evaluations | Long Term Change of Venue | Certification | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | Total | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 27 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| | Logan | 9 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 52 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Yuma | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| | Total | 12 | 1 | 157 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 194 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 25 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| | Otero | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 22 |
| | Total | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 29 |
| 17 | Adams | 7 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 282 | 0 | 307 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| | Total | 7 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 288 | 0 | 313 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 422 | 1 | 452 |
| | Douglas | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 55 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 9 |
| | Total | 16 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 486 | 3 | 523 |
| 19 | Weld | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 59 |
| 20 | Boulder | 3 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 221 | 14 | 256 |
| 21 | Mesa | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 138 | 2 | 157 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 |
| State Total | | 134 | 40 | 300 | 39 | 3,390 | 326 | 4,229 |
| Percent of State Total | | 3.17% | 0.95% | 7.09% | 0.92% | 80.16% | 7.71% | 100.00% |

Table 22

Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Homicide | Vehicular Homicide | Sex Offense | Offense Against Person | Offense Against Property | Fraud | Judicial & Government Proceedings | Drugs | Interstate Compact |
|----------|----------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|---|-------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 2 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 25 | 16 | 12 | 28 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 14 | 4 | 143 | 385 | 1,294 | 309 | 216 | 739 | 0 |
| | Total | 16 | 4 | 144 | 396 | 1,319 | 325 | 228 | 767 | 0 |
| 2 | Denver | 94 | 18 | 143 | 465 | 1,486 | 231 | 385 | 2,236 | 0 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 1 | 4 | 33 | 34 | 12 | 6 | 19 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 1 | 0 | 7 | 65 | 83 | 25 | 10 | 27 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 1 | 11 | 98 | 117 | 37 | 16 | 46 | 0 |
| 4 | El Paso | 74 | 7 | 176 | 633 | 1,909 | 599 | 468 | 1,160 | 0 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 7 | 32 | 56 | 16 | 20 | 63 | 0 |
| | Total | 74 | 7 | 183 | 665 | 1,965 | 615 | 488 | 1,223 | 0 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 32 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 2 | 0 | 18 | 50 | 124 | 83 | 50 | 92 | 0 |
| | Lake | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 26 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| | Summit | 0 | 1 | 11 | 48 | 107 | 45 | 21 | 71 | 0 |
| | Total | 4 | 1 | 30 | 133 | 289 | 142 | 90 | 183 | 0 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 1 | 5 | 31 | 43 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 2 | 1 | 16 | 55 | 123 | 52 | 84 | 79 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 3 | 21 | 88 | 167 | 61 | 100 | 94 | 0 |
| 7 | Delta | 1 | 2 | 8 | 32 | 68 | 14 | 19 | 52 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 64 | 15 | 10 | 40 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 1 | 0 | 17 | 32 | 87 | 30 | 22 | 73 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 37 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 3 | 33 | 115 | 272 | 61 | 64 | 181 | 0 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 8 | 1 | 98 | 209 | 665 | 194 | 182 | 367 | 0 |
| | Total | 8 | 2 | 98 | 210 | 670 | 196 | 182 | 368 | 0 |
| 9 | Garfield | 2 | 1 | 17 | 72 | 138 | 60 | 31 | 141 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 30 | 10 | 9 | 17 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| | Total | 3 | 2 | 21 | 93 | 188 | 70 | 41 | 162 | 0 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 11 | 7 | 76 | 328 | 606 | 158 | 89 | 369 | 0 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 28 | 10 | 56 | 0 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 4 | 0 | 26 | 74 | 93 | 36 | 29 | 133 | 0 |
| | Park | 2 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 12 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| | Total | 6 | 2 | 35 | 122 | 174 | 80 | 44 | 226 | 0 |

Table 22

Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Homicide | Vehicular Homicide | Sex Offense | Offense Against Person | Offense Against Property | Fraud | Judicial & Government Proceedings | Drugs | Interstate Compact |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------|-----------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 1 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 59 | 75 | 18 | 22 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 42 | 48 | 3 | 45 | 1 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 13 | 87 | 146 | 138 | 30 | 81 | 1 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 30 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 0 |
| | Logan | 2 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 86 | 26 | 25 | 106 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 1 | 13 | 40 | 82 | 26 | 25 | 119 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 27 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 1 | 40 | 100 | 255 | 79 | 70 | 297 | 0 | |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 44 | 19 | 29 | 35 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 37 | 15 | 35 | 12 | 0 |
| | Routt | 0 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 69 | 16 | 55 | 75 | 0 |
| | Total | 1 | 2 | 11 | 74 | 150 | 50 | 119 | 122 | 0 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 52 | 16 | 11 | 35 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 67 | 19 | 20 | 45 | 0 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 29 | 0 |
| | Otero | 0 | 1 | 14 | 52 | 66 | 34 | 15 | 78 | 0 |
| | Total | 0 | 1 | 19 | 68 | 103 | 45 | 18 | 125 | 0 |
| 17 | Adams | 27 | 6 | 113 | 414 | 1,000 | 216 | 201 | 849 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 81 | 20 | 3 | 13 | 0 |
| | Total | 27 | 6 | 116 | 432 | 1,081 | 236 | 204 | 862 | 0 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 59 | 7 | 184 | 400 | 1,255 | 329 | 294 | 659 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 4 | 5 | 45 | 94 | 388 | 70 | 48 | 83 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 4 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 22 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 30 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 0 |
| | Total | 68 | 12 | 243 | 526 | 1,688 | 409 | 368 | 788 | 0 |
| 19 | Weld | 10 | 5 | 91 | 208 | 529 | 145 | 135 | 323 | 0 |
| 20 | Boulder | 10 | 3 | 76 | 312 | 777 | 417 | 165 | 397 | 0 |
| 21 | Mesa | 5 | 6 | 45 | 144 | 479 | 116 | 87 | 382 | 0 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 3 | 1 | 9 | 46 | 72 | 38 | 27 | 94 | 0 |
| | Total | 3 | 1 | 11 | 49 | 74 | 40 | 27 | 94 | 0 |
| State Total | | 352 | 87 | 1,467 | 4,733 | 12,602 | 3,670 | 2,970 | 9,371 | 1 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.90% | 0.22% | 3.75% | 12.09% | 32.19% | 9.37% | 7.59% | 23.94% | 0.00% |

Table 22

Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Cruelty to Animals | Gambling | Habitual Criminal | Habitual Traffic | Public Peace & Order | Prostitution | Carrying Concealed Weapon | Ponography | Public Indecency | Other | Total |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 110 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 3,470 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 261 | 3,580 |
| 2 | Denver | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 5,170 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 135 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 264 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 399 |
| 4 | El Paso | 0 | 1 | 2 | 96 | 89 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 5,322 |
| | Teller | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 248 |
| | Total | 0 | 1 | 2 | 107 | 89 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 5,570 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 106 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 448 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 69 |
| | Summit | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 319 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 942 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 122 |
| | La Plata | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 471 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 600 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 217 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 192 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| | Montrose | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 306 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 80 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 838 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 |
| | Larimer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 41 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 1,927 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 42 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 1,940 |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 510 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 94 |
| | Rio Blanco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 36 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 640 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 1 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 1,862 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 163 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 45 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 441 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 138 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 787 |

Table 22

Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Cruelty to Animals | Gambling | Habitual Criminal | Habitual Traffic | Public Peace & Order | Prostitution | Carrying Concealed Weapon | Ponography | Public Indecency | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 259 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 53 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 200 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 66 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 619 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 83 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 345 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 359 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 75 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 967 | |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 165 |
| | Moffat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 141 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 277 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 583 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 167 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 228 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 74 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 61 |
| | Otero | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 289 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 424 |
| 17 | Adams | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 249 | 3,173 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 152 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 260 | 3,325 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 3,610 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 831 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 103 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 122 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 26 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 446 | 4,666 |
| 19 | Weld | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 1,683 |
| 20 | Boulder | 0 | 0 | 1 | 92 | 76 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 2,501 |
| 21 | Mesa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 1,475 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 337 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 348 |
| State Total | | 3 | 1 | 18 | 1,009 | 321 | 8 | 189 | 1 | 1 | 2,343 | 39,147 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.01% | 0.00% | 0.05% | 2.58% | 0.82% | 0.02% | 0.48% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 5.99% | 100.00% |

COUNTY COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin Frederic B. Rodgers
Jefferson Judy L. Archuleta
James C. Demlow
Kim H. Goldberger
Charles T. Hoppin
Tina Olsen
Roy G. Olson, Jr.

DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver Raymond N. Satter,
Presiding Judge
Andrew S. Armatas
Larry L. Bohning
Kathleen M. Bowers
James B. Breese
Doris E. Burd
Brian T. Campbell
Mary Celeste
Robert B. Crew, Jr.
Arthur L. Fine
Herbert H. Galchinsky
Alfred C. Harrell
Claudia J. Jordan
John M. Marcucci
Melvin Okamoto
Aleene Ortiz-White
Robert Patterson

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Robert E. Haeger
Las Animas George A. Newnam

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Geoffrey H. deWolfe
Karla J. Hansen
Barney Iuppa
Sylvia A. Manzanares
Larry D. Martin
James S. Patterson
Stephen J. Sletta
Daniel S. Wilson (1)
Teller Jackson L. Peters, Jr.

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Russell H. Granger
Eagle Terri Sue Diem
Lake Wayne Patton
Summit Edward J. Casias

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir
La Plata Martha T. Minot
San Juan Jeffrey R. Wilson (2)

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Honath
Gunnison Ben F. Eden
Hinsdale Larry E. Vickers
Montrose John J. Mitchel
Bette R. Nickell
Ouray David S. Westfall
San Miguel Sharon E. Shuteran

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Rex A. Shaw
Larimer Christine A. Carney
John E. Kochenburger
Ronald L. Schultz
C. Edward Stirman

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Stephen L. Carter
Victor M. Zerbi, Jr.
Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely
Rio Blanco Laurie A. Noble
Gerard C. Viscardi

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Adele K. Anderson
Kathleen K. Hearn
Ernest J. Ruybalid

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton
Custer Harold D. Taylor
Fremont William Gobin Fox
Park Stanley J. Mayhew

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Martin A. Gonzales
Conejos Gordon J. Bosa
Costilla Pattie P. Swift
Mineral Robert M. Wardell
Rio Grande Michael H. Trujillo
Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson J. Curt Penny, Jr.
Logan Robert B. Smith
Morgan Michael J. Schingle
Phillips David O. Colver
Sedgwick Max E. Carlson
Washington Kevin L. Hoyer
Yuma Thomas J. Callahan

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand C. Wayne Williams
Moffat Mary Lynne James
Routt James H. Garrecht

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca W. Michael Porter
Cheyenne Paul D. Tallman
Kiowa Gary W. Davis
Prowers Larry E. Stutler

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Mark A. MacDonnell
Crowley Carl W. Ross
Otero Ralph N. Wadleigh

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Ovid R. Beldock
Cindy H. Bruner
Michael A. Cox
Emil A. Rinaldi
Sabino E. Romano
Jeffrey L. Romeo
Broomfield Randall J. Davis (3)

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Alex R. Bencze
Christopher C. Cross
Ethan D. Feldman
Richard M. Jauch
Dana E. Murray
Steven R. Ruddick
Robert C. Tobias
Douglas Susanna Meissner-Cutler
James S. Miller
Elbert Kevin Sidel
Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld Gilbert A. Gutierrez
Carol M. Haller
Lynn Karowsky

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta
Carolyn Hoyer Enichen
Diane R. MacDonald
Thomas J. B. Reed
John F. Stavely

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Thomas M. Diester
Arthur R. Smith

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores Wendy Whicher
Montezuma (Vacant)

- (1) Replaced Caroline M. Benham
- (2) Replaced Allen J. Nossaman
- (3) New Position Effective 7/1/02

COUNTY COURT

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and restraining orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases and civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.


Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2002, Colorado county courts had 469,993 cases filed and were able to terminate 476,713 cases during that same period. During the past ten years, county court filings have increased 14.5% percent, rising from 410,421 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

County Court Filings - Ten Year Comparison


**County Court Filing Distribution
for FY 1992 (a)**

Chart 3



**County Court Filing Distribution
for FY 2002 (b)**

Chart 4



(a) Total number of filings for FY1992- 410,421
 (b) Total number of filings for FY 2002- 469,993

**County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year
(Does not include Denver County Court)**

| Filings Compared to Previous Year | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Case Class | FY01 | FY02 | Percent Change |
| Civil | 139,919 | 151,905 | 8.6% |
| Small Claims | 14,961 | 15,591 | 4.2% |
| Traffic | 133,860 | 138,439 | 3.4% |
| Infractions | 70,090 | 69,800 | -0.4% |
| Misdemeanors | 72,354 | 72,973 | 0.9% |
| Felony Complaints | 13,445 | 21,285 | 58.3% |
| Total | 444,629 | 469,993 | 5.7% |

| Terminations Compared to Previous Year* | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Case Class | FY 01 | FY 02 | Percent Change |
| Civil | 138,581 | 151,773 | 9.5% |
| Small Claims | 14,587 | 15,624 | 7.1% |
| Traffic | 139,866 | 139,995 | 0.1% |
| Infractions | 73,560 | 72,824 | -1.0% |
| Misdemeanors | 71,727 | 75,212 | 4.9% |
| Felony Complaints | 13,445 | 21,285 | 58.3% |
| Total with Felony Complaints | 451,766 | 476,713 | 5.5% |
| Total without Felony Complaints | 438,321 | 455,428 | 3.9% |

*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporary inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.

Table 24

County Court Caseload FY 1993 to FY 2002
(Does not include Denver County Court)

| | FY 93 | FY 94 | FY 95 | FY 96 | FY 97 | FY 98 | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CIVIL | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 110,350 | 108,050 | 113,817 | 116,127 | 119,076 | 120,846 | 121,897 | 127,017 | 139,919 | 151,905 |
| Cases Terminated* | 106,243 | 103,329 | 114,284 | 119,060 | 116,697 | 118,561 | 124,746 | 137,436 | 138,581 | 151,773 |
| SMALL CLAIMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 16,992 | 16,639 | 16,899 | 16,843 | 17,349 | 16,650 | 15,888 | 15,568 | 14,961 | 15,591 |
| Cases Terminated* | 16,207 | 16,246 | 15,342 | 16,614 | 16,907 | 16,646 | 16,747 | 17,174 | 14,587 | 15,624 |
| TRAFFIC | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 161,646 | 153,934 | 152,124 | 164,217 | 169,593 | 170,614 | 159,861 | 140,183 | 133,860 | 138,439 |
| Cases Terminated* | 152,018 | 146,904 | 155,646 | 175,920 | 180,755 | 171,321 | 170,316 | 168,898 | 139,866 | 139,995 |
| INFRACTIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 59,096 | 68,315 | 65,404 | 74,687 | 82,963 | 68,184 | 64,018 | 70,094 | 70,090 | 69,800 |
| Cases Terminated* | 58,206 | 65,893 | 63,544 | 70,481 | 85,288 | 71,789 | 66,127 | 70,776 | 73,560 | 72,824 |
| MISDEMEANORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 53,926 | 57,876 | 65,026 | 67,376 | 69,125 | 70,271 | 69,932 | 73,853 | 72,354 | 72,973 |
| Cases Terminated* | 49,290 | 51,412 | 63,070 | 71,779 | 75,431 | 70,347 | 73,182 | 76,011 | 71,727 | 75,212 |
| FELONY COMPLAINTS (a) | 12,867 | 13,389 | 16,649 | 18,504 | 14,345 | 21,097 | 20,301 | 20,010 | 13,445 | 21,285 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| New Cases Filed | 414,877 | 418,203 | 429,919 | 457,754 | 472,451 | 467,662 | 451,898 | 446,725 | 444,629 | 469,993 |
| Cases Terminated (b) | 381,964 | 383,784 | 411,886 | 453,854 | 475,078 | 448,664 | 451,118 | 470,295 | 438,321 | 455,428 |

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court.

The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporary inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.

Table 25

County Court Filings and Percent Change from FY 2001 to FY 2002

| District | Filings | | | Terminations *(b) | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | FY 01 | FY 02 | Percent Change | FY 01 | FY 02 | Percent Change |
| 1 | 47,838 | 51,432 | 7.5% | 47,344 | 50,727 | 7.1% |
| 2(a) | 197,094 | 190,091 | -3.6% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 3 | 5,095 | 5,328 | 4.6% | 4,917 | 5,208 | 5.9% |
| 4 | 66,733 | 68,381 | 2.5% | 69,421 | 65,348 | -5.9% |
| 5 | 14,970 | 13,275 | -11.3% | 16,038 | 13,891 | -13.4% |
| 6 | 7,503 | 6,784 | -9.6% | 7,288 | 7,936 | 8.9% |
| 7 | 11,645 | 10,455 | -10.2% | 11,769 | 10,546 | -10.4% |
| 8 | 29,607 | 27,016 | -8.8% | 28,996 | 25,628 | -11.6% |
| 9 | 8,350 | 9,323 | 11.7% | 8,166 | 9,130 | 11.8% |
| 10 | 18,054 | 20,535 | 13.7% | 18,900 | 20,879 | 10.5% |
| 11 | 11,740 | 11,338 | -3.4% | 11,217 | 11,848 | 5.6% |
| 12 | 7,624 | 7,642 | 0.2% | 8,054 | 7,733 | -4.0% |
| 13 | 12,320 | 12,775 | 3.7% | 11,927 | 12,617 | 5.8% |
| 14 | 6,733 | 7,258 | 7.8% | 6,436 | 7,156 | 11.2% |
| 15 | 4,628 | 5,081 | 9.8% | 4,507 | 4,875 | 8.2% |
| 16 | 6,331 | 6,417 | 1.4% | 6,141 | 6,389 | 4.0% |
| 17 | 41,665 | 49,273 | 18.3% | 43,090 | 51,871 | 20.4% |
| 18 | 75,537 | 85,873 | 13.7% | 77,639 | 91,520 | 17.9% |
| 19 | 19,487 | 20,387 | 4.6% | 21,111 | 20,948 | -0.8% |
| 20 | 30,969 | 30,943 | -0.1% | 31,237 | 30,980 | -0.8% |
| 21 | 14,002 | 17,107 | 22.2% | 13,662 | 18,092 | 32.4% |
| 22 | 3,798 | 3,370 | -11.3% | 3,906 | 3,391 | -13.2% |
| State Total Without Denver County Court | 444,629 | 469,993 | 5.7% | 470,295 | 455,428 | -3.2% |
| State Total | 641,723 | 660,084 | 2.9% | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| <p>(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on calendar year 2000.</p> <p>(b) Does not include felonly complaints.</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>*Termination levels have fluctuated over the past several years as districts have continued to address issues created by computer conversion. In some instances, conversion caused previously terminated cases to reopen, resulting in a temporary inflated termination count at the time districts reclosed them.</p> | | | | | | |

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Small Claims | | Traffic | |
|----------|--------------------|---------|--------|--------------|-------|---------|--------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 1 | Gilpin | 243 | 226 | 29 | 29 | 682 | 684 |
| | Jefferson | 20,145 | 20,307 | 1,631 | 1,556 | 13,658 | 13,109 |
| | Total | 20,388 | 20,533 | 1,660 | 1,585 | 14,340 | 13,793 |
| 2 | Denver (a) | 33,658 | (b) | 2,654 | (b) | 136,832 | (b) |
| 3 | Huerfano | 252 | 261 | 40 | 44 | 869 | 887 |
| | Las Animas | 839 | 789 | 139 | 129 | 924 | 865 |
| | Total | 1,091 | 1,050 | 179 | 173 | 1,793 | 1,752 |
| 4 | El Paso | 26,629 | 26,185 | 2,005 | 1,937 | 18,211 | 16,327 |
| | Teller | 623 | 612 | 79 | 86 | 1,144 | 1,166 |
| | Total | 27,252 | 26,797 | 2,084 | 2,023 | 19,355 | 17,493 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 173 | 182 | 34 | 42 | 1,112 | 1,175 |
| | Eagle | 730 | 746 | 253 | 265 | 1,576 | 2,011 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 95 | 91 | 40 | 40 | 246 | 229 |
| | Lake | 220 | 283 | 49 | 47 | 377 | 301 |
| | Summit | 439 | 441 | 194 | 214 | 1,995 | 1,997 |
| | Total | 1,657 | 1,743 | 570 | 608 | 5,306 | 5,713 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 198 | 245 | 87 | 95 | 393 | 609 |
| | La Plata | 953 | 997 | 245 | 260 | 1,827 | 2,468 |
| | San Juan | 10 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 57 | 44 |
| | Total | 1,161 | 1,249 | 335 | 358 | 2,277 | 3,121 |
| 7 | Delta | 787 | 814 | 173 | 176 | 671 | 648 |
| | Gunnison | 306 | 329 | 86 | 94 | 575 | 599 |
| | Hinsdale | 6 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 17 |
| | Montrose | 1,324 | 1,355 | 176 | 161 | 948 | 936 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 45 | 41 | 56 | 47 | 51 | 73 |
| | Ouray | 75 | 45 | 31 | 23 | 146 | 140 |
| | San Miguel | 106 | 100 | 48 | 50 | 313 | 297 |
| | Total | 2,649 | 2,698 | 576 | 557 | 2,717 | 2,710 |
| 8 | Jackson | 20 | 20 | 18 | 24 | 62 | 74 |
| | Larimer | 7,730 | 7,506 | 1,056 | 1,049 | 7,885 | 7,178 |
| | Total | 7,750 | 7,526 | 1,074 | 1,073 | 7,947 | 7,252 |
| 9 | Garfield | 957 | 990 | 180 | 175 | 1,337 | 1,322 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 163 | 161 | 67 | 65 | 1,219 | 1,113 |
| | Pitkin | 272 | 339 | 123 | 159 | 706 | 706 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 88 | 81 | 34 | 35 | 230 | 221 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 23 | 26 | 39 | 37 | 190 | 169 |
| | Total | 1,503 | 1,597 | 443 | 471 | 3,682 | 3,531 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 7,751 | 7,657 | 586 | 618 | 5,302 | 5,503 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 239 | 241 | 83 | 85 | 786 | 814 |
| | Custer | 41 | 50 | 39 | 41 | 137 | 130 |
| | Fremont | 1,066 | 1,047 | 201 | 207 | 1,313 | 1,350 |
| | Park | 240 | 273 | 79 | 70 | 847 | 959 |
| Total | 1,586 | 1,611 | 402 | 403 | 3,083 | 3,253 | |

(a) Denver County Court filings are based on calendar year 2001; traffic and infraction filings are combined.

(b) Termination numbers not available.

(c) Total State termination numbers do not include felony complaints

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Small Claims | | Traffic | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term |
| 12 | Alamosa | 276 | 270 | 82 | 83 | 736 | 844 |
| | Conejos | 115 | 122 | 38 | 39 | 376 | 428 |
| | Costilla | 58 | 63 | 13 | 18 | 180 | 208 |
| | Mineral | 10 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 50 | 59 |
| | Rio Grande | 175 | 190 | 82 | 92 | 864 | 816 |
| | Saguache | 90 | 79 | 44 | 36 | 448 | 384 |
| | Total | 724 | 733 | 264 | 272 | 2,654 | 2,739 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 288 | 246 | 67 | 72 | 466 | 409 |
| | Logan | 1,019 | 970 | 90 | 95 | 938 | 874 |
| | Morgan | 1,752 | 1,682 | 123 | 120 | 1,508 | 1,688 |
| | Phillips | 216 | 199 | 46 | 50 | 89 | 69 |
| | Sedgwick | 116 | 113 | 24 | 23 | 154 | 121 |
| | Washington | 165 | 168 | 31 | 26 | 233 | 205 |
| | Yuma | 356 | 344 | 55 | 48 | 310 | 272 |
| | Total | 3,912 | 3,722 | 436 | 434 | 3,698 | 3,638 |
| 14 | Grand | 275 | 244 | 78 | 73 | 653 | 636 |
| | Moffat | 589 | 581 | 79 | 75 | 371 | 341 |
| | Routt | 450 | 430 | 122 | 115 | 1,296 | 1,312 |
| | Total | 1,314 | 1,255 | 279 | 263 | 2,320 | 2,289 |
| 15 | Baca | 109 | 114 | 55 | 61 | 256 | 216 |
| | Cheyenne | 54 | 49 | 17 | 19 | 128 | 102 |
| | Kiowa | 43 | 41 | 2 | 2 | 121 | 95 |
| | Prowers | 602 | 617 | 90 | 86 | 1,323 | 1,255 |
| | Total | 808 | 821 | 164 | 168 | 1,828 | 1,668 |
| 16 | Bent | 195 | 199 | 37 | 38 | 262 | 265 |
| | Crowley | 114 | 117 | 33 | 32 | 165 | 173 |
| | Otero | 1,733 | 1,806 | 123 | 132 | 891 | 784 |
| | Total | 2,042 | 2,122 | 193 | 202 | 1,318 | 1,222 |
| 17 | Adams | 19,660 | 19,394 | 1,197 | 1,230 | 13,977 | 14,844 |
| | Broomfield | 608 | 517 | 79 | 55 | 717 | 448 |
| | Total | 20,268 | 19,911 | 1,276 | 1,285 | 14,694 | 15,292 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 9,113 | 9,105 | 1,026 | 1,078 | 8,785 | 10,014 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 17,083 | 17,195 | 945 | 1,002 | 7,157 | 8,226 |
| | Douglas | 3,815 | 3,858 | 503 | 469 | 6,386 | 6,072 |
| | Elbert | 384 | 421 | 85 | 86 | 805 | 806 |
| | Lincoln | 156 | 157 | 32 | 29 | 558 | 474 |
| | Total | 30,551 | 30,736 | 2,591 | 2,664 | 23,691 | 25,592 |
| 19 | Weld | 6,544 | 6,673 | 771 | 738 | 6,555 | 6,836 |
| 20 | Boulder | 5,802 | 5,932 | 665 | 665 | 7,706 | 7,703 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 1,966 | 1,931 | 247 | 247 | 2,648 | 2,701 |
| | Total | 7,768 | 7,863 | 912 | 912 | 10,354 | 10,404 |
| 21 | Mesa | 4,695 | 4,968 | 664 | 672 | 4,327 | 4,945 |
| 22 | Dolores | 32 | 35 | 11 | 9 | 36 | 25 |
| | Montezuma | 459 | 473 | 121 | 136 | 1,162 | 1,224 |
| | Total | 491 | 508 | 132 | 145 | 1,198 | 1,249 |
| State Total Without Denver County Court | | 151,905 | 151,773 | 15,591 | 15,624 | 138,439 | 139,995 |
| State Total With Denver County Court | | 185,563 | (b) | 18,245 | (b) | 275,271 | (b) |

(a) Denver County Court filings are based on calendar year 2001;
traffic and infraction filings are combined.

(b) Termination numbers not available.

(c) Total State termination number do not include felony complaints

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Civil Filings | Term | Small Claims Filings | Term | Traffic Filings | Term |
|----------|--------|------------------|------|-------------------------|------|--------------------|------|
|----------|--------|------------------|------|-------------------------|------|--------------------|------|

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Infraction | | Misdemeanor | | Felony Complaint | | Total | |
|----------|--------------------|------------|-------|-------------|--------|------------------|--------|---------|------------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term (c) |
| 1 | Gilpin | 141 | 147 | 662 | 667 | 36 | 36 | 1,793 | 1,789 |
| | Jefferson | 4,666 | 4,609 | 6,083 | 5,901 | 3,456 | 3,456 | 49,639 | 48,938 |
| | Total | 4,807 | 4,756 | 6,745 | 6,568 | 3,492 | 3,492 | 51,432 | 50,727 |
| 2 | Denver (a) | (a) | (b) | 11,777 | (b) | 5,170 | 5,170 | 190,091 | (b) |
| 3 | Huerfano | 531 | 533 | 323 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 2,015 | 2,056 |
| | Las Animas | 718 | 705 | 693 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 3,313 | 3,152 |
| | Total | 1,249 | 1,238 | 1,016 | 995 | 0 | 0 | 5,328 | 5,208 |
| 4 | El Paso | 8,050 | 7,795 | 9,978 | 9,595 | 420 | 420 | 65,293 | 62,259 |
| | Teller | 485 | 485 | 755 | 738 | 2 | 2 | 3,088 | 3,089 |
| | Total | 8,535 | 8,280 | 10,733 | 10,333 | 422 | 422 | 68,381 | 65,348 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 626 | 646 | 433 | 428 | 106 | 106 | 2,484 | 2,579 |
| | Eagle | 756 | 769 | 958 | 942 | 59 | 59 | 4,332 | 4,792 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 120 | 122 | 153 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 654 | 628 |
| | Lake | 184 | 141 | 279 | 283 | 67 | 67 | 1,176 | 1,122 |
| | Summit | 997 | 1,075 | 976 | 1,015 | 28 | 28 | 4,629 | 4,770 |
| | Total | 2,683 | 2,753 | 2,799 | 2,814 | 260 | 260 | 13,275 | 13,891 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 195 | 205 | 266 | 274 | 123 | 123 | 1,262 | 1,551 |
| | La Plata | 984 | 1,000 | 927 | 1,081 | 471 | 471 | 5,407 | 6,277 |
| | San Juan | 25 | 30 | 17 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 115 | 108 |
| | Total | 1,204 | 1,235 | 1,210 | 1,376 | 597 | 597 | 6,784 | 7,936 |
| 7 | Delta | 274 | 261 | 439 | 440 | 199 | 199 | 2,543 | 2,538 |
| | Gunnison | 648 | 655 | 528 | 565 | 100 | 100 | 2,243 | 2,342 |
| | Hinsdale | 46 | 43 | 29 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 103 | 111 |
| | Montrose | 447 | 444 | 560 | 582 | 306 | 306 | 3,761 | 3,784 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 23 | 27 | 64 | 68 | 8 | 8 | 247 | 264 |
| | Ouray | 332 | 334 | 80 | 75 | 80 | 80 | 744 | 697 |
| | San Miguel | 146 | 155 | 178 | 185 | 23 | 23 | 814 | 810 |
| Total | 1,916 | 1,919 | 1,878 | 1,943 | 719 | 719 | 10,455 | 10,546 | |
| 8 | Jackson | 67 | 66 | 45 | 52 | 13 | 13 | 225 | 249 |
| | Larimer | 3,547 | 3,577 | 6,305 | 5,801 | 268 | 268 | 26,791 | 25,379 |
| | Total | 3,614 | 3,643 | 6,350 | 5,853 | 281 | 281 | 27,016 | 25,628 |
| 9 | Garfield | 465 | 465 | 712 | 637 | 129 | 129 | 3,780 | 3,718 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 566 | 572 | 798 | 704 | 0 | 0 | 2,813 | 2,615 |
| | Pitkin | 373 | 403 | 253 | 241 | 7 | 7 | 1,734 | 1,855 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 84 | 90 | 117 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 553 | 526 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 60 | 61 | 131 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 443 | 416 |
| Total | 1,548 | 1,591 | 2,011 | 1,804 | 136 | 136 | 9,323 | 9,130 | |
| 10 | Pueblo | 2,124 | 2,058 | 2,910 | 3,181 | 1,862 | 1,862 | 20,535 | 20,879 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 2,919 | 2,990 | 450 | 456 | 41 | 41 | 4,518 | 4,627 |
| | Custer | 127 | 132 | 60 | 82 | 21 | 21 | 425 | 456 |
| | Fremont | 557 | 587 | 965 | 1,007 | 15 | 15 | 4,117 | 4,213 |
| | Park | 542 | 573 | 528 | 635 | 42 | 42 | 2,278 | 2,552 |
| Total | 4,145 | 4,282 | 2,003 | 2,180 | 119 | 119 | 11,338 | 11,848 | |

(a) Denver County Court filings are based on calendar year 2001; traffic and infraction filings are combined.

(b) Termination numbers not available.

(c) Total State termination numbers do not include felony complaints

County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2002

| District | County | Infraction | | Misdemeanor | | Felony Complaint | | Total | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term | Filings | Term (c) |
| 12 | Alamosa | 524 | 539 | 778 | 798 | 259 | 259 | 2,655 | 2,793 |
| | Conejos | 166 | 164 | 189 | 189 | 52 | 52 | 936 | 994 |
| | Costilla | 286 | 301 | 129 | 123 | 40 | 40 | 706 | 753 |
| | Mineral | 54 | 52 | 27 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 149 |
| | Rio Grande | 283 | 282 | 551 | 535 | 200 | 200 | 2,155 | 2,115 |
| | Saguache | 266 | 267 | 195 | 162 | 1 | 1 | 1,044 | 929 |
| | Total | 1,579 | 1,605 | 1,869 | 1,832 | 552 | 552 | 7,642 | 7,733 |
| | 13 | Kit Carson | 356 | 358 | 155 | 136 | 1 | 1 | 1,333 |
| Logan | | 940 | 956 | 481 | 478 | 61 | 61 | 3,529 | 3,434 |
| Morgan | | 1,077 | 1,132 | 702 | 772 | 0 | 0 | 5,162 | 5,394 |
| Phillips | | 55 | 49 | 72 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 478 | 454 |
| Sedgwick | | 204 | 200 | 39 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 538 | 500 |
| Washington | | 182 | 178 | 106 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 678 |
| Yuma | | 110 | 112 | 184 | 156 | 3 | 3 | 1,018 | 935 |
| Total | | 2,924 | 2,985 | 1,739 | 1,772 | 66 | 66 | 12,775 | 12,617 |
| 14 | Grand | 244 | 248 | 436 | 410 | 165 | 165 | 1,851 | 1,776 |
| | Moffat | 161 | 163 | 395 | 361 | 139 | 139 | 1,734 | 1,660 |
| | Routt | 818 | 854 | 868 | 890 | 119 | 119 | 3,673 | 3,720 |
| | Total | 1,223 | 1,265 | 1,699 | 1,661 | 423 | 423 | 7,258 | 7,156 |
| 15 | Baca | 222 | 210 | 80 | 64 | 22 | 22 | 744 | 687 |
| | Cheyenne | 118 | 118 | 49 | 46 | 2 | 2 | 368 | 336 |
| | Kiowa | 97 | 83 | 56 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 281 |
| | Prowers | 958 | 950 | 605 | 591 | 72 | 72 | 3,650 | 3,571 |
| | Total | 1,395 | 1,361 | 790 | 761 | 96 | 96 | 5,081 | 4,875 |
| 16 | Bent | 231 | 242 | 222 | 182 | 23 | 23 | 970 | 949 |
| | Crowley | 414 | 455 | 193 | 204 | 33 | 33 | 952 | 1,014 |
| | Otero | 869 | 843 | 746 | 728 | 133 | 133 | 4,495 | 4,426 |
| | Total | 1,514 | 1,540 | 1,161 | 1,114 | 189 | 189 | 6,417 | 6,389 |
| 17 | Adams | 4,615 | 4,685 | 4,620 | 7,028 | 3,180 | 3,180 | 47,249 | 50,361 |
| | Broomfield | 180 | 136 | 287 | 201 | 153 | 153 | 2,024 | 1,510 |
| | Total | 4,795 | 4,821 | 4,907 | 7,229 | 3,333 | 3,333 | 49,273 | 51,871 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 4,293 | 4,280 | 2,432 | 2,580 | 2,655 | 2,655 | 28,304 | 29,712 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 4,403 | 7,619 | 3,624 | 3,987 | 0 | 0 | 33,212 | 38,029 |
| | Douglas | 6,080 | 5,821 | 2,840 | 2,876 | 831 | 831 | 20,455 | 19,927 |
| | Elbert | 640 | 687 | 316 | 298 | 115 | 115 | 2,345 | 2,413 |
| | Lincoln | 491 | 474 | 227 | 212 | 93 | 93 | 1,557 | 1,439 |
| | Total | 15,907 | 18,881 | 9,439 | 9,953 | 3,694 | 3,694 | 85,873 | 91,520 |
| 19 | Weld | 2,249 | 2,208 | 3,547 | 3,772 | 721 | 721 | 20,387 | 20,948 |
| 20 | Boulder | 2,705 | 2,684 | 4,857 | 4,717 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 24,235 | 24,201 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 727 | 782 | 1,120 | 1,118 | 0 | 0 | 6,708 | 6,779 |
| | Total | 3,432 | 3,466 | 5,977 | 5,835 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 30,943 | 30,980 |
| 21 | Mesa | 2,424 | 2,416 | 3,523 | 3,617 | 1,474 | 1,474 | 17,107 | 18,092 |
| 22 | Dolores | 54 | 55 | 31 | 36 | 11 | 11 | 175 | 171 |
| | Montezuma | 479 | 466 | 636 | 583 | 338 | 338 | 3,195 | 3,220 |
| | Total | 533 | 521 | 667 | 619 | 349 | 349 | 3,370 | 3,391 |
| State Total Without Denver County Court | | 69,800 | 72,824 | 72,973 | 75,212 | 21,285 | 21,285 | 469,993 | 455,428 |
| State Total With Denver County Court | | (a) | (b) | 84,750 | (b) | 26,455 | (b) | 660,084 | (b) |

(a) Denver County Court filings are based on calendar year 2001; traffic and infraction filings are combined.

(b) Termination numbers not available.

(c) Total State termination numbers do not include felony complaints

Table 27

Court and Jury Trials for County Court in FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Misdemeanor(a) | | Infractions | |
|----------|--------------------|-------|------|----------------|------|-------------|------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 1 | Gilpin | 2 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Jefferson | 146 | 2 | 64 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 148 | 2 | 73 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Las Animas | 11 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 13 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | El Paso | 267 | 0 | 57 | 119 | 0 | 0 |
| | Teller | 3 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 270 | 0 | 68 | 123 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 11 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 19 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | La Plata | 35 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 40 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Delta | 16 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gunnison | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 22 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 47 | 1 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 59 | 1 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 59 | 1 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Garfield-Glenwood | 22 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 4 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Pitkin | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 40 | 1 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 28 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 14 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Custer | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 48 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Park | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 72 | 1 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 |

(a) Misdemeanor trial numbers include domestic violence cases.

Table 27

Court and Jury Trials for County Court in FY 2002

| District | County | Civil | | Misdemeanor(a) | | Infractions | |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 12 | Alamosa | 10 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 25 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Logan | 9 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Morgan | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Phillips | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 30 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Grand | 7 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | Moffat | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Routt | 4 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 15 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Baca | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 15 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Otero | 11 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 12 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Adams | 170 | 0 | 24 | 131 | 0 | 0 |
| | Broomfield | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 175 | 0 | 24 | 132 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 82 | 2 | 10 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| | Douglas | 16 | 1 | 26 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| | Elbert | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | Lincoln | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 107 | 3 | 40 | 108 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Weld | 118 | 1 | 22 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Boulder | 48 | 0 | 15 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 56 | 0 | 16 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Mesa | 62 | 0 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Dolores | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 11 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 13 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| State Total | | 1,364 | 12 | 488 | 644 | 0 | 0 |

(a) Misdemeanor trial numbers include domestic violence cases.

Table 27

Court and Jury Trials for County Court in FY 2002

| District | County | Small Claim | | Traffic(b) | | Total | |
|----------|--------------------|-------------|------|------------|------|-------|------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 1 | Gilpin | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 21 | 11 |
| | Jefferson | 406 | 0 | 30 | 14 | 646 | 60 |
| | Total | 411 | 0 | 35 | 16 | 667 | 71 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 |
| | Las Animas | 71 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 104 | 1 |
| | Total | 75 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 112 | 2 |
| 4 | El Paso | 419 | 0 | 27 | 31 | 770 | 150 |
| | Teller | 1 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 27 | 15 |
| | Total | 420 | 0 | 39 | 42 | 797 | 165 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| | Eagle | 80 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 90 | 1 |
| | Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| | Summit | 54 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 91 | 5 |
| Total | 149 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 205 | 19 | |
| 6 | Archuleta | 28 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 43 | 4 |
| | La Plata | 126 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 172 | 23 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | Total | 154 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 216 | 28 |
| 7 | Delta | 31 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 55 | 9 |
| | Gunnison | 24 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 39 | 7 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 47 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 89 | 2 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Total | 113 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 205 | 18 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Larimer | 251 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 361 | 26 |
| | Total | 251 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 361 | 26 |
| 9 | Garfield-Glenwood | 48 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 71 | 9 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 18 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 32 | 10 |
| | Pitkin | 22 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 7 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangely | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Total | 97 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 157 | 27 | |
| 10 | Pueblo | 141 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 175 | 41 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 27 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 52 | 4 |
| | Custer | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| | Fremont | 24 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 110 | 5 |
| | Park | 47 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 77 | 5 |
| Total | 106 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 250 | 14 | |

(b) Traffic trial numbers include DUI/DWAI cases.

Table 27

Court and Jury Trials for County Court in FY 2002

| District | County | Small Claim | | Traffic(b) | | Total | |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | | Court | Jury | Court | Jury | Court | Jury |
| 12 | Alamosa | 26 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 48 | 3 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Costilla | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 6 |
| | Mineral | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| | Rio Grande | 18 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 0 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 55 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 115 | 12 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 |
| | Logan | 28 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 44 | 8 |
| | Morgan | 33 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 43 | 4 |
| | Phillips | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Washington | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| | Yuma | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| | Total | 97 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 143 | 13 |
| 14 | Grand | 20 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 34 | 13 |
| | Moffat | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 3 |
| | Routt | 16 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 26 | 26 |
| | Total | 57 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 87 | 42 |
| 15 | Baca | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Kiowa | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 9 |
| | Total | 14 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 32 | 10 |
| 16 | Bent | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| | Crowley | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| | Otero | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 6 |
| | Total | 33 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 9 |
| 17 | Adams | 287 | 0 | 10 | 53 | 491 | 184 |
| | Broomfield | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 2 |
| | Total | 308 | 0 | 10 | 54 | 517 | 186 |
| 18 | Arapahoe | 308 | 1 | 3 | 39 | 403 | 93 |
| | Douglas | 115 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 185 | 69 |
| | Elbert | 27 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 3 |
| | Lincoln | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 |
| | Total | 457 | 1 | 34 | 54 | 638 | 166 |
| 19 | Weld | 429 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 578 | 47 |
| 20 | Boulder | 335 | 0 | 10 | 23 | 408 | 48 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 100 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 110 | 18 |
| | Total | 435 | 0 | 11 | 32 | 518 | 66 |
| 21 | Mesa | 77 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 172 | 19 |
| 22 | Dolores | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| | Montezuma | 32 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 62 | 14 |
| | Total | 35 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 68 | 15 |
| State Total | | 3,914 | 1 | 300 | 339 | 6,066 | 996 |

(b) Traffic trial numbers include DUI/DWAI cases.

Table 28

**DUI/DWAI Filings by District
for FY 1993 to FY 2002**

| District | FY 93 | FY 94 | FY 95 | FY 96 | FY 97 | FY 98 | FY99 | FY00 | FY01 | FY02 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | 4,424 | 4,336 | 3,889 | 3,251 | 3,080 | 2,850 | 2,665 | 2,967 | 2,845 | 3,124 |
| Denver County (a) | 4,331 | 4,709 | 5,043 | 4,497 | 4,412 | 3,792 | 4,419 | 4,428 | 3,523 | 2,822 |
| 3 | 422 | 404 | 359 | 346 | 381 | 374 | 418 | 441 | 348 | 316 |
| 4 | 4,522 | 3,963 | 3,980 | 4,234 | 4,200 | 4,383 | 4,069 | 3,393 | 3,532 | 3,420 |
| 5 | 1,297 | 1,393 | 1,040 | 1,068 | 1,080 | 1,475 | 1,727 | 1,846 | 1,434 | 1,504 |
| 6 | 641 | 594 | 567 | 677 | 779 | 640 | 848 | 870 | 803 | 737 |
| 7 | 787 | 718 | 827 | 831 | 834 | 804 | 912 | 734 | 768 | 759 |
| 8 | 1,996 | 2,148 | 2,238 | 2,537 | 2,601 | 2,397 | 2,501 | 2,358 | 2,123 | 2,391 |
| 9 | 694 | 795 | 884 | 1,038 | 915 | 969 | 979 | 1,078 | 1,044 | 930 |
| 10 | 1,194 | 1,614 | 1,790 | 1,394 | 1,756 | 2,048 | 2,062 | 1,704 | 1,420 | 1,249 |
| 11 | 628 | 712 | 733 | 834 | 841 | 714 | 608 | 461 | 558 | 530 |
| 12 | 661 | 615 | 655 | 720 | 658 | 873 | 935 | 1,015 | 837 | 712 |
| 13 | 799 | 761 | 759 | 957 | 927 | 1,013 | 896 | 850 | 745 | 732 |
| 14 | 501 | 585 | 463 | 542 | 544 | 515 | 603 | 554 | 552 | 582 |
| 15 | 242 | 308 | 220 | 232 | 373 | 346 | 381 | 318 | 281 | 306 |
| 16 | 461 | 557 | 547 | 660 | 577 | 568 | 446 | 330 | 309 | 301 |
| 17 | 3,787 | 3,386 | 2,991 | 3,628 | 3,723 | 3,584 | 3,893 | 3,734 | 3,513 | 3,496 |
| 18 | 5,515 | 5,636 | 5,057 | 4,981 | 4,462 | 4,110 | 4,671 | 4,396 | 4,133 | 3,912 |
| 19 | 1,925 | 1,661 | 1,539 | 1,824 | 1,861 | 2,315 | 2,465 | 1,890 | 1,895 | 1,668 |
| 20 | 2,485 | 2,323 | 2,357 | 2,092 | 2,355 | 2,741 | 2,961 | 2,987 | 3,353 | 3,291 |
| 21 | 761 | 694 | 607 | 731 | 853 | 878 | 999 | 987 | 902 | 1,093 |
| 22 | 407 | 383 | 600 | 358 | 250 | 284 | 376 | 329 | 317 | 229 |
| State Totals Without Denver County Court | 34,149 | 33,586 | 32,102 | 32,935 | 33,050 | 33,881 | 35,415 | 33,242 | 31,712 | 31,282 |
| State Totals (b) | 38,480 | 38,295 | 37,145 | 37,432 | 37,462 | 37,673 | 39,834 | 37,670 | 35,235 | 34,104 |

(a) Denver County Court statistics for FY 2002 are based on calendar year 2001
(b) Does not include DUI charges filed with misdemeanor or criminal case classes.

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Money | Restraining Order- Domestic Abuse | Restraining Order | Change of Venue | Forcible Entry & Detainer |
|----------|--------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 202 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 24 |
| | Jefferson | 12,012 | 941 | 541 | 35 | 5,650 |
| | Total | 12,214 | 942 | 547 | 35 | 5,674 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 206 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 3 |
| | Las Animas | 649 | 37 | 94 | 2 | 37 |
| | Total | 855 | 37 | 131 | 2 | 40 |
| 4 | El Paso | 16,703 | 1,645 | 741 | 13 | 6,922 |
| | Teller | 396 | 88 | 45 | 3 | 56 |
| | Total | 17,099 | 1,733 | 786 | 16 | 6,978 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 87 | 8 | 24 | 1 | 35 |
| | Eagle | 582 | 4 | 49 | 0 | 75 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 40 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 24 |
| | Lake | 94 | 5 | 33 | 0 | 72 |
| | Summit | 278 | 7 | 31 | 0 | 86 |
| | Total | 1,081 | 24 | 159 | 1 | 292 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 132 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 24 |
| | La Plata | 590 | 75 | 123 | 0 | 86 |
| | San Juan | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 724 | 88 | 142 | 0 | 110 |
| 7 | Delta | 516 | 112 | 54 | 3 | 55 |
| | Gunnison | 202 | 34 | 32 | 0 | 23 |
| | Hinsdale | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montrose | 901 | 127 | 136 | 3 | 110 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 9 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 6 |
| | Ouray | 44 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
| | San Miguel | 63 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 14 |
| | Total | 1,737 | 303 | 253 | 6 | 219 |
| 8 | Jackson | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| | Larimer | 5,651 | 314 | 276 | 9 | 1,113 |
| | Total | 5,660 | 314 | 284 | 9 | 1,114 |
| 9 | Garfield | 722 | 45 | 36 | 5 | 102 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 47 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 68 |
| | Pitkin | 173 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 34 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 53 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 6 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 5 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 1,000 | 77 | 115 | 6 | 210 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 5,940 | 455 | 372 | 1 | 778 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 143 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 26 |
| | Custer | 11 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 7 |
| | Fremont | 653 | 89 | 76 | 2 | 166 |
| | Park | 117 | 30 | 18 | 5 | 51 |
| | Total | 924 | 139 | 117 | 7 | 250 |

Table 29

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Money | Restraining Order- Domestic Abuse | Restraining Order | Change of Venue | Forcible Entry & Detainer |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 170 | 5 | 72 | 0 | 10 |
| | Conejos | 53 | 11 | 36 | 1 | 9 |
| | Costilla | 8 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 3 |
| | Mineral | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Grande | 111 | 6 | 37 | 1 | 12 |
| | Saguache | 36 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 6 |
| | Total | 387 | 24 | 205 | 2 | 41 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 238 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 10 |
| | Logan | 830 | 28 | 35 | 3 | 96 |
| | Morgan | 1,503 | 72 | 70 | 0 | 80 |
| | Phillips | 197 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| | Sedgwick | 101 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Washington | 128 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 7 |
| | Yuma | 297 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 6 |
| | Total | 3,294 | 131 | 169 | 4 | 205 |
| 14 | Grand | 212 | 9 | 16 | 1 | 26 |
| | Moffat | 496 | 14 | 20 | 3 | 38 |
| | Routt | 361 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 37 |
| | Total | 1,069 | 23 | 58 | 4 | 101 |
| 15 | Baca | 97 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| | Cheyenne | 49 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| | Kiowa | 34 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| | Prowers | 506 | 36 | 15 | 0 | 23 |
| | Total | 686 | 48 | 24 | 0 | 27 |
| 16 | Bent | 123 | 20 | 44 | 0 | 3 |
| | Crowley | 53 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 17 |
| | Otero | 1,551 | 60 | 67 | 0 | 28 |
| | Total | 1,727 | 86 | 142 | 0 | 48 |
| 17 | Adams | 11,072 | 796 | 506 | 43 | 6,873 |
| | Broomfield | 292 | 26 | 48 | 0 | 204 |
| | Total | 11,364 | 822 | 554 | 43 | 7,077 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 3,843 | 1,068 | 483 | 15 | 3,301 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 10,285 | 187 | 462 | 22 | 5,849 |
| | Douglas | 2,364 | 172 | 199 | 4 | 838 |
| | Elbert | 237 | 28 | 63 | 3 | 39 |
| | Lincoln | 106 | 18 | 13 | 1 | 9 |
| | Total | 16,835 | 1,473 | 1,220 | 45 | 10,036 |
| 19 | Weld | 4,632 | 419 | 317 | 7 | 999 |
| 20 | Boulder | 3,987 | 98 | 206 | 5 | 1,163 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 1,514 | 106 | 57 | 1 | 177 |
| | Total | 5,501 | 204 | 263 | 6 | 1,340 |
| 21 | Mesa | 3,383 | 144 | 124 | 7 | 897 |
| 22 | Dolores | 16 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 252 | 26 | 109 | 0 | 47 |
| | Total | 268 | 27 | 120 | 0 | 47 |
| State Total | | 96,380 | 7,513 | 6,102 | 201 | 36,483 |
| Percent of State Total | | 63.4% | 4.9% | 4.0% | 0.1% | 24.0% |

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Foreign Judgement | Name Change | Other | Replevin | Other | Total |
|----------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------|----------|-------|--------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 243 |
| | Jefferson | 9 | 574 | 343 | 40 | 6,651 | 20,145 |
| | Total | 9 | 583 | 344 | 40 | 6,685 | 20,388 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 252 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 839 |
| | Total | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 1,091 |
| 4 | El Paso | 5 | 494 | 8 | 98 | 7,540 | 26,629 |
| | Teller | 0 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 94 | 623 |
| | Total | 5 | 522 | 15 | 98 | 7,634 | 27,252 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 54 | 173 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 95 | 730 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 95 |
| | Lake | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 220 |
| | Summit | 0 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 123 | 439 |
| | Total | 0 | 83 | 14 | 3 | 393 | 1,657 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 198 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 73 | 2 | 3 | 165 | 953 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| | Total | 1 | 89 | 4 | 3 | 207 | 1,161 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 34 | 10 | 3 | 105 | 787 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 306 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Montrose | 0 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 160 | 1,324 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 45 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 75 |
| | San Miguel | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 106 |
| | Total | 1 | 108 | 18 | 4 | 356 | 2,649 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| | Larimer | 10 | 334 | 10 | 13 | 1,489 | 7,730 |
| | Total | 10 | 336 | 10 | 13 | 1,492 | 7,750 |
| 9 | Garfield | 1 | 44 | 1 | 1 | 154 | 957 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 85 | 163 |
| | Pitkin | 1 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 272 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 88 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 23 |
| | Total | 2 | 80 | 11 | 2 | 311 | 1,503 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 0 | 117 | 57 | 31 | 984 | 7,751 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 32 | 9 | 1 | 68 | 239 |
| | Custer | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 41 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 73 | 4 | 3 | 248 | 1,066 |
| | Park | 0 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 75 | 240 |
| | Total | 0 | 124 | 19 | 6 | 406 | 1,586 |

Table 29

Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Foreign Judgement | Name Change | Other | Replevin | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 276 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 115 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 23 | 58 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 175 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 90 |
| | Total | 0 | 42 | 22 | 1 | 108 | 724 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 1 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 288 |
| | Logan | 2 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 126 | 1,019 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 107 | 1,752 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 216 |
| | Sedgwick | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 116 |
| | Washington | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 165 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 356 |
| | Total | 6 | 84 | 16 | 3 | 318 | 3,912 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 275 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 59 | 589 |
| | Routt | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 67 | 450 |
| | Total | 1 | 39 | 18 | 1 | 164 | 1,314 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 109 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 43 |
| | Prowers | 2 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 45 | 602 |
| | Total | 2 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 50 | 808 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 195 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 114 |
| | Otero | 1 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 55 | 1,733 |
| | Total | 1 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 87 | 2,042 |
| 17 | Adams | 4 | 317 | 15 | 34 | 7,286 | 19,660 |
| | Broomfield | 2 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 242 | 608 |
| | Total | 6 | 351 | 17 | 34 | 7,528 | 20,268 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 9 | 381 | 9 | 4 | 3,719 | 9,113 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 5 | 237 | 3 | 33 | 6,149 | 17,083 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 215 | 18 | 5 | 1,080 | 3,815 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 384 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 156 |
| | Total | 14 | 853 | 32 | 43 | 11,023 | 30,551 |
| 19 | Weld | 7 | 136 | 8 | 19 | 1,176 | 6,544 |
| 20 | Boulder | 6 | 312 | 22 | 3 | 1,511 | 5,802 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 2 | 83 | 13 | 13 | 289 | 1,966 |
| | Total | 8 | 395 | 35 | 16 | 1,800 | 7,768 |
| 21 | Mesa | 2 | 134 | 3 | 1 | 1,044 | 4,695 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| | Montezuma | 1 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 72 | 459 |
| | Total | 1 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 76 | 491 |
| State Total | | 76 | 4,169 | 661 | 320 | 41,910 | 151,905 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.1% | 2.7% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 27.6% | 100% |

Table 30

Small Claims Filings by Case Type for FY 2002

| District | County | Landord-Tenant | Money | Change of Venue | Convenant | Other | Total |
|----------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| | Jefferson | 212 | 1,402 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 1,631 |
| | Total | 212 | 1,430 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 1,660 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Las Animas | | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| | Total | 1 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| 4 | El Paso | 250 | 1,755 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,005 |
| | Teller | | 78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 |
| | Total | 250 | 1,833 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2,084 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| | Eagle | 0 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Lake | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Summit | 0 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 194 |
| | Total | 1 | 567 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 570 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| | La Plata | 8 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Total | 8 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 |
| 7 | Delta | 24 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 173 |
| | Gunnison | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Montrose | 3 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| | San Miguel | 4 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| | Total | 31 | 543 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 576 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Larimer | 24 | 1,030 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,056 |
| | Total | 24 | 1,048 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,074 |
| 9 | Garfield | 6 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| | Pitkin | 8 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 123 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| | Total | 14 | 425 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 443 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 83 | 501 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 586 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| | Custer | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| | Fremont | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 201 |
| | Park | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| | Total | 0 | 400 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 402 |

Table 30

Small Claims Filings by Case Type for FY 2002

| District | County | Landord- Tenant | Money | Change of Venue | Convenant | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| | Total | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| | Logan | 12 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| | Morgan | 9 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Washington | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | Total | 21 | 414 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 436 |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| | Moffat | 15 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| | Routt | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| | Total | 15 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Prowers | 8 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| | Total | 8 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | Otero | 8 | 114 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| | Total | 8 | 184 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 193 |
| 17 | Adams | 52 | 1,117 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1,197 |
| | Broomfield | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| | Total | 52 | 1,196 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1,276 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 76 | 949 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,026 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 0 | 940 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 945 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 494 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 503 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | Total | 76 | 2,499 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 2,591 |
| 19 | Weld | 118 | 643 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 771 |
| 20 | Boulder | 10 | 653 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 665 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 0 | 243 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 247 |
| | Total | 10 | 896 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 912 |
| 21 | Mesa | 57 | 597 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 664 |
| 22 | Dolores | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| | Total | 1 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| State Total | | 990 | 14,496 | 56 | 17 | 32 | 15,591 |
| Percent of State Total | | 6.35% | 92.98% | 0.36% | 0.11% | 0.21% | 100.00% |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Offense Against Person | Offense Against Property | Fraud | Drugs | Domestic Violence | Sex Offense | Alcohol |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 50 | 35 | 178 | 50 | 52 | 2 | 22 |
| | Jefferson | 731 | 457 | 20 | 518 | 1,223 | 63 | 143 |
| | Total | 781 | 492 | 198 | 568 | 1,275 | 65 | 165 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 49 | 16 | 5 | 69 | 38 | 0 | 26 |
| | Las Animas | 95 | 95 | 23 | 45 | 69 | 5 | 32 |
| | Total | 144 | 111 | 28 | 114 | 107 | 5 | 58 |
| 4 | El Paso | 1,189 | 596 | 180 | 1,237 | 3,249 | 66 | 311 |
| | Teller | 105 | 45 | 14 | 170 | 115 | 2 | 58 |
| | Total | 1,294 | 641 | 194 | 1,407 | 3,364 | 68 | 369 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 37 | 11 | 2 | 38 | 72 | 3 | 45 |
| | Eagle | 141 | 97 | 38 | 68 | 141 | 6 | 58 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 19 | 11 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 1 | 5 |
| | Lake | 30 | 12 | 28 | 19 | 74 | 0 | 0 |
| | Summit | 103 | 113 | 3 | 106 | 109 | 6 | 32 |
| Total | 330 | 244 | 77 | 245 | 421 | 16 | 140 | |
| 6 | Archuleta | 33 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 43 | 0 | 21 |
| | La Plata | 105 | 34 | 10 | 214 | 179 | 9 | 30 |
| | San Juan | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 142 | 46 | 10 | 239 | 223 | 9 | 51 |
| 7 | Delta | 49 | 19 | 13 | 71 | 102 | 2 | 10 |
| | Gunnison | 56 | 22 | 21 | 108 | 61 | 3 | 29 |
| | Hinsdale | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Montrose | 49 | 27 | 48 | 83 | 130 | 7 | 0 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 11 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| | Ouray | 7 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| | San Miguel | 28 | 20 | 3 | 32 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| | Total | 205 | 97 | 86 | 310 | 326 | 14 | 43 |
| 8 | Jackson | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| | Larimer | 711 | 468 | 21 | 844 | 1,126 | 45 | 244 |
| | Total | 714 | 469 | 24 | 846 | 1,132 | 45 | 247 |
| 9 | Garfield | 101 | 47 | 5 | 68 | 130 | 3 | 3 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 74 | 36 | 0 | 69 | 214 | 4 | 18 |
| | Pitkin | 34 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 53 | 2 | 2 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 16 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 49 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 11 | 16 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 236 | 133 | 6 | 173 | 419 | 10 | 79 | |
| 10 | Pueblo | 359 | 167 | 15 | 109 | 932 | 6 | 157 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 65 | 30 | 55 | 45 | 47 | 1 | 11 |
| | Custer | 12 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| | Fremont | 129 | 60 | 101 | 88 | 184 | 7 | 73 |
| | Park | 40 | 13 | 39 | 77 | 63 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 246 | 106 | 213 | 213 | 295 | 8 | 101 | |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Offense Against Person | Offense Against Property | Fraud | Drugs | Domestic Violence | Sex Offense | Alcohol |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 67 | 32 | 274 | 55 | 106 | 1 | 88 |
| | Conejos | 36 | 9 | 43 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 12 |
| | Costilla | 25 | 20 | 4 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 14 |
| | Mineral | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Rio Grande | 79 | 34 | 145 | 72 | 68 | 3 | 10 |
| | Saguache | 45 | 9 | 12 | 19 | 32 | 2 | 24 |
| | Total | 255 | 107 | 480 | 169 | 237 | 6 | 149 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 20 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 28 | 1 | 10 |
| | Logan | 57 | 24 | 22 | 70 | 92 | 2 | 39 |
| | Morgan | 79 | 20 | 4 | 106 | 122 | 2 | 62 |
| | Phillips | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sedgwick | 8 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| | Washington | 21 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| | Total | 215 | 91 | 84 | 227 | 287 | 7 | 131 |
| 14 | Grand | 39 | 34 | 22 | 47 | 60 | 0 | 23 |
| | Moffat | 62 | 22 | 6 | 15 | 128 | 2 | 3 |
| | Routt | 87 | 38 | 7 | 240 | 49 | 6 | 4 |
| | Total | 188 | 94 | 35 | 302 | 237 | 8 | 30 |
| 15 | Baca | 9 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| | Cheyenne | 9 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| | Kiowa | 4 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prowers | 32 | 34 | 107 | 69 | 93 | 1 | 61 |
| | Total | 54 | 44 | 123 | 85 | 111 | 1 | 79 |
| 16 | Bent | 32 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 57 | 0 | 11 |
| | Crowley | 26 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 33 | 0 | 8 |
| | Otero | 89 | 69 | 6 | 42 | 203 | 4 | 57 |
| | Total | 147 | 87 | 10 | 80 | 293 | 4 | 76 |
| 17 | Adams | 416 | 136 | 3 | 231 | 1,266 | 31 | 294 |
| | Broomfield | 42 | 77 | 0 | 7 | 80 | 1 | 7 |
| | Total | 458 | 213 | 3 | 238 | 1,346 | 32 | 301 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 432 | 85 | 2 | 294 | 226 | 25 | 7 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 247 | 478 | 3 | 196 | 865 | 20 | 284 |
| | Douglas | 222 | 323 | 8 | 234 | 550 | 8 | 122 |
| | Elbert | 44 | 3 | 1 | 51 | 74 | 2 | 22 |
| | Lincoln | 16 | 25 | 5 | 35 | 12 | 2 | 2 |
| | Total | 961 | 914 | 19 | 810 | 1,727 | 57 | 437 |
| 19 | Weld | 345 | 195 | 154 | 289 | 1,214 | 33 | 178 |
| 20 | Boulder | 560 | 611 | 17 | 457 | 399 | 29 | 85 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 189 | 97 | 4 | 107 | 359 | 5 | 9 |
| | Total | 749 | 708 | 21 | 564 | 758 | 34 | 94 |
| 21 | Mesa | 353 | 235 | 16 | 629 | 610 | 14 | 19 |
| 22 | Dolores | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| | Montezuma | 66 | 27 | 28 | 51 | 92 | 7 | 61 |
| | Total | 75 | 28 | 28 | 51 | 100 | 8 | 61 |
| State Total | | 8,251 | 5,222 | 1,824 | 7,668 | 15,414 | 450 | 2,965 |
| Percent of State Total | | 11.31% | 7.16% | 2.50% | 10.51% | 21.12% | 0.62% | 4.06% |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Bail Violation | Cruelty to Animals | Animal Violation | Habitual Traffic | Escape | Gambling | Municipal Appeal | Other |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|---------------------|-------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 0 | 1 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 27 |
| | Jefferson | 0 | 10 | 9 | 324 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 1,547 |
| | Total | 0 | 11 | 67 | 332 | 43 | 116 | 0 | 1,574 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| | Total | 1 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 4 | El Paso | 4 | 13 | 367 | 224 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 570 |
| | Teller | 0 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 83 |
| | Total | 4 | 16 | 418 | 224 | 104 | 24 | 0 | 653 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 11 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 74 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Lake | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| | Summit | 34 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| Total | 56 | 1 | 240 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 318 | |
| 6 | Archuleta | 7 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | La Plata | 27 | 1 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Total | 34 | 6 | 156 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 7 | Delta | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Gunnison | 15 | 3 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Hinsdale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Montrose | 1 | 2 | 41 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Ouray | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | San Miguel | 2 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| | Total | 27 | 9 | 102 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Larimer | 10 | 5 | 152 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 703 |
| | Total | 10 | 6 | 152 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 709 |
| 9 | Garfield | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 66 | 1 | 55 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| | Pitkin | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 79 | 1 | 65 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 244 | |
| 10 | Pueblo | 4 | 2 | 89 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 259 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 8 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
| | Custer | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | Fremont | 3 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| | Park | 0 | 1 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Total | 11 | 3 | 85 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 244 | |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Bail Violation | Cruelty to Animals | Animal Violation | Habitual Traffic | Escape | Gambling | Municipal Appeal | Other |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| | Conejos | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 191 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 7 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | Washington | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| | Yuma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | Total | 0 | 7 | 20 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 255 |
| 14 | Grand | 10 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| | Routt | 24 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| | Total | 35 | 8 | 96 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| | Prowers | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| | Total | 0 | 2 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 46 |
| 16 | Bent | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| | Crowley | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | Otero | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| | Total | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| 17 | Adams | 1 | 2 | 122 | 315 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1,277 |
| | Broomfield | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| | Total | 4 | 2 | 122 | 318 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1,330 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 0 | 2 | | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 568 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 0 | 4 | 135 | 175 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 340 |
| | Douglas | 0 | 2 | 142 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 1 | 43 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| | Lincoln | 3 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| | Total | 3 | 12 | 322 | 394 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1,346 |
| 19 | Weld | 36 | 5 | 109 | 173 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 271 |
| 20 | Boulder | 34 | 0 | 83 | 49 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 256 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 7 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| | Total | 41 | 0 | 103 | 79 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 374 |
| 21 | Mesa | 0 | 0 | 434 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 275 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| State Total | | 346 | 93 | 2,637 | 1,775 | 302 | 141 | 15 | 8,765 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.47% | 0.13% | 3.61% | 2.43% | 0.41% | 0.19% | 0.02% | 12.01% |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Public Indecency | Perjury | Public Peace & Order | Prostitution | Trespass | Wildlife | Weapon | Underage Alcohol Offenses | Total |
|----------|--------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------|---------------------------|--------|
| 1 | Gilpin | 1 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 662 |
| | Jefferson | 27 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 73 | 781 | 6,083 |
| | Total | 28 | 1 | 91 | 1 | 57 | 7 | 81 | 792 | 6,745 |
| 3 | Huerfano | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 41 | 323 |
| | Las Animas | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 203 | 693 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 244 | 1,016 |
| 4 | El Paso | 39 | 0 | 212 | 10 | 128 | 33 | 132 | 1,316 | 9,978 |
| | Teller | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 13 | 29 | 755 |
| | Total | 45 | 0 | 215 | 10 | 141 | 52 | 145 | 1,345 | 10,733 |
| 5 | Clear Creek | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 72 | 433 |
| | Eagle | 1 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 8 | 148 | 958 |
| | Eagle-Basalt | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 153 |
| | Lake | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 28 | 279 |
| | Summit | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 37 | 32 | 9 | 92 | 976 |
| | Total | 5 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 100 | 85 | 23 | 346 | 2,799 |
| 6 | Archuleta | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 26 | 3 | 49 | 266 |
| | La Plata | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 22 | 25 | 927 |
| | San Juan | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 24 | 45 | 25 | 74 | 1,210 |
| 7 | Delta | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 5 | 50 | 439 |
| | Gunnison | 1 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 2 | 87 | 528 |
| | Hinsdale | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| | Montrose | 1 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 37 | 560 |
| | Montrose-Nucla | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 64 |
| | Ouray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 80 |
| | San Miguel | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 178 |
| | Total | 4 | 1 | 110 | 2 | 50 | 88 | 25 | 193 | 1,878 |
| 8 | Jackson | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| | Larimer | 29 | 0 | 253 | 1 | 147 | 234 | 68 | 1,172 | 6,305 |
| | Total | 29 | 0 | 265 | 1 | 148 | 239 | 68 | 1,173 | 6,350 |
| 9 | Garfield | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 10 | 197 | 712 |
| | Garfield-Rifle | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 7 | 77 | 11 | 49 | 798 |
| | Pitkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 55 | 253 |
| | Rio Blanco-Meeker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 117 |
| | Rio Blanco-Rangley | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 38 | 131 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 35 | 112 | 27 | 341 | 2,011 |
| 10 | Pueblo | 1 | 1 | 115 | 0 | 39 | 243 | 22 | 365 | 2,910 |
| 11 | Chaffee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 63 | 450 |
| | Custer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 60 |
| | Fremont | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 44 | 13 | 101 | 965 |
| | Park | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 98 | 3 | 43 | 528 |
| | Total | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 42 | 161 | 23 | 207 | 2,003 |

Table 31

Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2002

| District | County | Public Indecency | Perjury | Public Peace & Order | Prostitution | Trespass | Wildlife | Weapon | Underage Alcohol Offenses | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| 12 | Alamosa | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 44 | 778 |
| | Conejos | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 189 |
| | Costilla | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 129 |
| | Mineral | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| | Rio Grande | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 83 | 551 |
| | Saguache | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 4 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 195 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 25 | 33 | 11 | 164 | 1,869 |
| 13 | Kit Carson | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 155 |
| | Logan | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 112 | 481 |
| | Morgan | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 43 | 702 |
| | Phillips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 72 |
| | Sedgwick | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 39 |
| | Washington | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 106 |
| | Yuma | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 184 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 40 | 16 | 248 | 1,739 | |
| 14 | Grand | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 3 | 64 | 436 |
| | Moffat | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 40 | 3 | 46 | 395 |
| | Routt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 | 16 | 239 | 868 |
| | Total | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 30 | 103 | 22 | 349 | 1,699 |
| 15 | Baca | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 80 |
| | Cheyenne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 49 |
| | Kiowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 56 |
| | Prowers | 3 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 76 | 605 |
| | Total | 3 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 112 | 790 |
| 16 | Bent | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 4 | 27 | 222 |
| | Crowley | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 63 | 193 |
| | Otero | 2 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 180 | 746 |
| | Total | 4 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 8 | 270 | 1,161 |
| 17 | Adams | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 53 | 23 | 26 | 399 | 4,620 |
| | Broomfield | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 287 |
| | Total | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 24 | 29 | 405 | 4,907 |
| 18 | Arapahoe-Aurora | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 22 | 35 | 520 | 2,432 |
| | Arapahoe-Littleton | 11 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 781 | 3,624 |
| | Douglas | 5 | 1 | 55 | 1 | 43 | 113 | 21 | 598 | 2,840 |
| | Elbert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 316 |
| | Lincoln | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 227 |
| | Total | 41 | 3 | 90 | 3 | 107 | 148 | 87 | 1,945 | 9,439 |
| 19 | Weld | 6 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 35 | 62 | 31 | 335 | 3,547 |
| 20 | Boulder | 6 | 0 | 152 | 1 | 86 | 18 | 29 | 1,944 | 4,857 |
| | Boulder-Longmont | 2 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 25 | 41 | 1,120 |
| | Total | 8 | 0 | 228 | 1 | 107 | 24 | 54 | 1,985 | 5,977 |
| 21 | Mesa | 5 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 50 | 129 | 27 | 642 | 3,523 |
| 22 | Dolores | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 31 |
| | Montezuma | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 5 | 112 | 636 |
| | Total | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 116 | 667 |
| State Total | | 192 | 9 | 1,685 | 19 | 1,140 | 1,663 | 746 | 11,651 | 72,973 |
| Percent of State Total | | 0.26% | 0.01% | 2.31% | 0.03% | 1.56% | 2.28% | 1.02% | 15.97% | 100.00% |

WATER COURT JUDGES

| | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Division One | Greeley | Jonathan W. Hays |
| Division Two | Pueblo | C. Dennis Maes |
| Division Three | Alamosa | Robert W. Ogburn |
| Division Four | Montrose | J. Steven Patrick |
| Division Five | Glenwood Springs | Thomas W. Ossola |
| Division Six | Steamboat Springs | Richard P. Doucette |
| Division Seven | Durango | Gregory G. Lyman |

DESIGNATED GROUND WATER JUDGES

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Northern High Plains Camp Creek | James R. Leh |
| Kiowa-Bijou Lost Creek | C. Vincent Phelps, Jr. |
| Upper Black Squirrel Creek Upper Big Sandy | Larry E. Schwartz |
| Southern High Plains | Norman L. Arends |

WATER COURT

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan Rivers. Each water division is staffed by a division engineer, appointed by the state engineer; a water judge, appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee, appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk, assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Table 32

Water Courts Filings, Claims, and Percent Change for FY 2001 and FY 2002

| Division | FY2001 | FY2002 | Percent Change |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| One- Weld County, Greeley | | | |
| Filings | 262 | 337 | 29% |
| Claims | 1,432 | 1,346 | -6% |
| Two- Pueblo County, Pueblo | | | |
| Filings | 143 | 208 | 45% |
| Claims | 793 | 1,785 | 125% |
| Three- Alamosa County, Alamosa | | | |
| Filings | 39 | 57 | 46% |
| Claims | 148 | 256 | 73% |
| Four- Montrose County, Montrose | | | |
| Filings | 273 | 267 | -2% |
| Claims | 541 | 671 | 24% |
| Five- Garfield County, Glenwood Springs | | | |
| Filings | 357 | 396 | 11% |
| Claims | 1,406 | 1,498 | 7% |
| Six- Routt County, Steamboat Springs | | | |
| Filings | 100 | 136 | 36% |
| Claims | 311 | 240 | -23% |
| Seven- La Plata County, Durango | | | |
| Filings | 83 | 149 | 80% |
| Claims | 138 | 616 | 346% |
| State Total | | | |
| Filings | 1,257 | 1,550 | 23% |
| Claims | 4,769 | 6,412 | 34% |

| Division | FY 93 | FY 94 | FY 95 | FY 96 | FY 97 | FY 98 | FY 99 | FY 00 | FY01 | FY 02 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| One- Weld County, Greeley | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 457 | 412 | 403 | 658 | 869 | 1,855 | 2,109 | 2,027 | 1,923 | 1,957 |
| New Cases Filed | 172 | 208 | 339 | 299 | 1,222 | 508 | 275 | 253 | 262 | 337 |
| Total Caseload | 629 | 620 | 742 | 957 | 2,091 | 2,363 | 2,384 | 2,280 | 2,185 | 2,294 |
| Cases Terminated | 217 | 217 | 84 | 88 | 236 | 254 | 357 | 357 | 228 | 454 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 412 | 403 | 658 | 869 | 1,855 | 2,109 | 2,027 | 1,923 | 1,957 | 1,840 |
| Two- Pueblo County, Pueblo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 157 | 188 | 180 | 235 | 263 | 224 | 270 | 291 | 302 | 281 |
| New Cases Filed | 88 | 83 | 199 | 164 | 211 | 220 | 169 | 159 | 143 | 208 |
| Total Caseload | 245 | 271 | 379 | 399 | 474 | 444 | 439 | 450 | 445 | 489 |
| Cases Terminated | 57 | 91 | 144 | 136 | 250 | 174 | 148 | 148 | 164 | 135 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 188 | 180 | 235 | 263 | 224 | 270 | 291 | 302 | 281 | 354 |
| Three- Alamosa County, Alamosa | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 56 | 53 | 55 | 76 | 64 | 72 | 75 | 95 | 113 | 97 |
| New Cases Filed | 48 | 36 | 72 | 38 | 52 | 39 | 51 | 49 | 39 | 57 |
| Total Caseload | 104 | 89 | 127 | 114 | 116 | 111 | 126 | 144 | 152 | 154 |
| Cases Terminated | 51 | 34 | 51 | 50 | 44 | 36 | 31 | 31 | 55 | 43 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 53 | 55 | 76 | 64 | 72 | 75 | 95 | 113 | 97 | 111 |
| Four- Montrose County, Montrose | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 178 | 264 | 248 | 312 | 274 | 268 | 229 | 340 | 420 | 481 |
| New Cases Filed | 256 | 240 | 248 | 260 | 291 | 224 | 294 | 263 | 273 | 267 |
| Total Caseload | 434 | 504 | 496 | 572 | 565 | 492 | 523 | 603 | 693 | 748 |
| Cases Terminated | 170 | 256 | 184 | 298 | 297 | 263 | 183 | 183 | 212 | 244 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 264 | 248 | 312 | 274 | 268 | 229 | 340 | 420 | 481 | 504 |
| Five- Garfield County, Glenwood Springs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 453 | 457 | 479 | 519 | 562 | 480 | 376 | 368 | 368 | 466 |
| New Cases Filed | 339 | 325 | 377 | 424 | 352 | 274 | 318 | 326 | 357 | 396 |
| Total Caseload | 792 | 782 | 856 | 943 | 914 | 754 | 694 | 694 | 725 | 862 |
| Cases Terminated | 335 | 303 | 337 | 381 | 434 | 378 | 326 | 326 | 259 | 205 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 457 | 479 | 519 | 562 | 480 | 376 | 368 | 368 | 466 | 657 |
| Six- Routt County, Steamboat Springs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 76 | 90 | 118 | 145 | 89 | 81 | 78 | 63 | 43 | 51 |
| New Cases Filed | 121 | 135 | 151 | 135 | 94 | 85 | 85 | 80 | 100 | 136 |
| Total Caseload | 197 | 225 | 269 | 280 | 183 | 166 | 163 | 143 | 143 | 187 |
| Cases Terminated | 107 | 107 | 124 | 191 | 102 | 88 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 117 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 90 | 118 | 145 | 89 | 81 | 78 | 63 | 43 | 51 | 70 |
| Seven- La Plata County, Durango | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 108 | 152 | 174 | 282 | 270 | 279 | 218 | 207 | 212 | 160 |
| New Cases Filed | 95 | 112 | 113 | 98 | 153 | 82 | 78 | 94 | 83 | 149 |
| Total Caseload | 203 | 264 | 287 | 380 | 423 | 361 | 296 | 301 | 295 | 309 |
| Cases Terminated | 51 | 90 | 5 | 110 | 144 | 143 | 89 | 89 | 135 | 102 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 152 | 174 | 282 | 270 | 279 | 218 | 207 | 212 | 160 | 207 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cases Pending July 1 | 1,485 | 1,616 | 1,657 | 2,227 | 2,391 | 3,259 | 3,355 | 3,391 | 3,381 | 3,493 |
| New Cases Filed | 1,119 | 1,139 | 1,499 | 1,418 | 2,375 | 1,432 | 1,270 | 1,224 | 1,257 | 1,550 |
| Total Caseload | 2,604 | 2,755 | 3,156 | 3,645 | 4,766 | 4,691 | 4,625 | 4,615 | 4,638 | 5,043 |
| Cases Terminated | 988 | 1,098 | 929 | 1,254 | 1,507 | 1,336 | 1,234 | 1,234 | 1,145 | 1,300 |
| Cases Pending June 30 | 1,616 | 1,657 | 2,227 | 2,391 | 3,259 | 3,355 | 3,391 | 3,381 | 3,493 | 3,743 |

PROBATION

Colorado Probation continues to develop its operational philosophy from traditional offender management to a balance of risk management and principles of “Restorative Justice.” This ongoing commitment to innovative practices requires probation to maintain quality offender assessment, supervision methods and extend the interest of community and victims in to the planning of effective probation programs. These are the foundations for improved public safety, offender accountability and community reparation.

Colorado Probation has embarked on a wide range of energetic efforts to incorporate both traditional and creative forms of offender case management, victim services and community involvement.

This year’s statistical report reflects our commitment to provide improving outcomes, protecting the public, reducing the overall cost of the correctional system and increasing services to the victims of crime.

Probation Programs

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state’s 22 judicial districts. This division publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all specialized probation programs. Within the limits of these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to probation in Fiscal Year 2002 was 22,665; this was an increase from FY2001. Also in Fiscal Year 2002, of all adult probationers terminated, 70% did so successfully.

In Fiscal Year 2002, 7,600 juvenile offenders were sentenced to probation. Seventy three percent of all juveniles completing probation were successful.

Private Probation

Chief Justice Directive 96-05, which sets probation priorities, explains the use of Private probation supervision for appropriate low risk cases. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk probationers. On June 30, 2002 there were 9,398 offenders being managed by private probation services. By utilizing private probation for these low risk offenders, State probation services can dedicate more resources to high risk offenders, which provides greater public safety.

Specialized Programs

Specialized programs are valuable probation resources which provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk or high-need offenders. The three main goals of specialized probation programming are to assess the offender's criminal risk to the community; to target the offender's needs which are served by criminal activity; and to identify appropriate treatment and resources to impact the criminal behavior. All applicants for specialized probation programs are screened for appropriateness by local internal boards. Specialized probation programs offer specialized assessments, home detention, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, literacy programs and employment programs.

Intensive Supervision Probation

The adult Intensive Supervision Probation (ISP) offers intensive monitoring and treatment to high-risk offenders that would otherwise receive prison sentences. At the end of FY 2002, 1,551 offenders, who might otherwise be serving sentences in the Department of Corrections, were supervised on ISP.

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) is in place in 18 of the 22 judicial districts. At the end of FY 2002, 567 juveniles, who might otherwise be serving sentences in the Department of Youth Corrections, were supervised on JISP.

Specialized Drug Offender Program

The Specialized Drug Offender Program (SDOP) was developed in FY 1991, as a response to an increase in the number of drug offenders and offenders with severe substance abuse problems. SDOP is operational in 16 of the 22 judicial districts. At the end of FY 2002, there were 302 offenders in SDOP.

Female Offender Program

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was developed in FY 1992, to intervene in the lives of female offenders who are experiencing problems, especially substance abuse problems. At the end of FY 2002, there were 201 female offenders in FOP.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) was developed in response to statutory requirements of lifetime supervision for certain sex offenders. The program combines monitoring, treatment and high levels of accountability to contain offenders safely in the community. The program became available in November of 1998, and at the end of FY 2002, 430 offenders were supervised on SOISP.

Drug Court

In drug court models, non-violent offenders may undergo treatment and counseling and are monitored closely for probation and the judicial officer to maintain compliance. Swift, consistent and firm action for violations of ordered conditions are the mainstay of Colorado Drug Courts. Last year (FY 2002) Denver District Court and El Paso County maintained a separate court division to process drug related criminal cases, while in other jurisdictions the case processing model was combined with other divisions. In FY 2002 Adult "Drug Courts" operated in communities of Denver, Fort Collins, Colorado Springs, Durango, Cortez, Montrose, Delta, and Canon City, while juvenile courts operated in Denver, Fort Collins and Canon City. The General Assembly identified funding for 3 demonstration Drug Courts which will provide evaluations in January 2004.

TABLE 34

**Summary of Supervision and New Case Investigation Caseload Totals
FY 1998 to FY 2002**

| | FY 1998 | FY1999 | FY2000 | FY2001 | FY2002 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| ADULT | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 33,754 | 36,529 | 35,513 | 36,635*** | 37,259*** |
| New Clients | 21,013 | 21,762 | 19,188 | 19,859 | 22,665 |
| Terminations | 15,863 | 16,121 | 17,419 | 18,092 | 18,506 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 36,529 | 35,513 | 36,635*** | 37,259*** | 39,751 |
| Total Investigations | 13,960 | 13,680 | 13,305 | 14,318 | 15,257 |
| JUVENILE | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 9,933 | 9,490 | 8,722 | 9,041 | 8,524 |
| New Clients | 8,694 | 8,607 | 7,650 | 7,164 | 7,600 |
| Terminations | 7,364** | 6,533 | 7,024 | 6,856 | 6,321 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 9,490 | 8,722 | 9,041 | 8,524 | 8,558 |
| Total Investigations | 6,219* | 6,022 | 5,727 | 4,887 | 4,917 |
| ADULT & JUVENILE COMBINED | | | | | |
| On Supervision July 1 | 43,687 | 46,019 | 44,235 | 45,676 | 45,783 |
| New Clients | 29,707 | 30,369 | 26,838 | 27,023 | 30,265 |
| Terminations | 23,227 | 22,654 | 24,443 | 24,948 | 24,827 |
| On Supervision June 30 | 46,019 | 44,235 | 45,676 | 45,783 | 48,309 |
| Total Investigations | 20,179 | 19,702 | 19,032 | 19,205 | 20,174 |

*As of FY1998 Denver Juvenile Probation no longer provides Detention Investigations. These are completed by the SB94 program.

** Figures reflect the numbers reported in the Annual Report Summary in each Fiscal year and not the detailed numbers. The differences in numbers reflect missing data.

***This total is the number of State supervised clients and the number of transfers to private probation for supervision. This number does not include direct sentences to private probation.

TABLE 35

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
District Court Investigations

| DISTRICT | FELONY | | MIS-DEMEANOR | | DEFERRED JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE | | PLACEMENT DUE TO RECONSIDERATION OF SENTENCE | | ARTICLE 11.5 DRUG OFFENDER ASSESSMENT | | INTERSTATE INVESTIGATIONS TO COLORADO | | UPDATED PSI | | SPOUSAL ASSAULT RISK ASSESSMENT | | TOTAL DISTRICT COURT INVESTIGATIONS | |
|----------|--------|------|--------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|--|----|---------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,335 | 92% | 101 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,457 | 100% |
| 2 | 2,178 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 401 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 42 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2,621 | 100% |
| 3 | 51 | 75% | 17 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 68 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,586 | 91% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 162 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,748 | 100% |
| 5 | 228 | 90% | 12 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 14 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 255 | 100% |
| 6 | 173 | 73% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 10% | 3 | 1% | 36 | 15% | 237 | 100% |
| 7 | 276 | 75% | 9 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 54 | 15% | 12 | 3% | 19 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 370 | 100% |
| 8 | 697 | 82% | 50 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 4% | 72 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 854 | 100% |
| 9 | 321 | 68% | 2 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 4% | 136 | 28% | 0 | 0% | 481 | 100% |
| 10 | 599 | 83% | 61 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 30 | 4% | 38 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 728 | 100% |
| 11 | 134 | 77% | 17 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 10% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 174 | 100% |
| 12 | 90 | 73% | 23 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 7% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 125 | 100% |
| 13 | 365 | 87% | 11 | 3% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 4% | 23 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 417 | 100% |
| 14 | 215 | 82% | 23 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 2% | 18 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 261 | 100% |
| 15 | 25 | 86% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 29 | 100% |
| 16 | 73 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 73 | 100% |
| 17 | 771 | 74% | 157 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 116 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,044 | 100% |
| 18 | 836 | 87% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 88 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 3% | 956 | 100% |
| 19 | 724 | 90% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 5% | 28 | 3% | 10 | 1% | 6 | 1% | 810 | 100% |
| 20 | 292 | 65% | 98 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 57 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 447 | 100% |
| 21 | 595 | 88% | 10 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 47 | 7% | 26 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 678 | 100% |
| 22 | 100 | 81% | 10 | 8% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 124 | 100% |
| STATE | 11,664 | 83% | 610 | 4% | 406 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 141 | 1% | 703 | 6% | 364 | 3% | 69 | 0% | 13,957 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 36

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
County Court Investigations

| TYPE: DISTRICT | MISDEMEANOR | | DEFERRED JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE | | TRAFFIC-NON DRIVING UNDER INFLUENCE | | UPDATED PSI | | SPOUSAL ASSAULT RISK ASSESSMENT | | TOTAL COUNTY COURT INVESTIGATIONS | |
|-------------------|-------------|------|--------------------------------------|----|---|----|-------------|----|------------------------------------|-----|---|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 262 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 262 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 8 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 6 | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 127 | 98% | 130 | 100% |
| 7 | 8 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 8 | 116 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 116 | 100% |
| 9 | 10 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 10 | 30 | 97% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 100% |
| 11 | 34 | 97% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 35 | 100% |
| 12 | 112 | 97% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 115 | 100% |
| 13 | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 14 | 35 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| 15 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 17 | 268 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 268 | 100% |
| 18 | 44 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 32 | 42% | 77 | 100% |
| 19 | 27 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 43 | 61% | 70 | 100% |
| 20 | 16 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 100% |
| 21 | 9 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 22 | 100 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 100 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,092 | 84% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 203 | 16% | 1,300 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 37

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Offense Type

| TYPE: | FELONY | | MISDEMEANOR | | PETTY OFFENSE | | TRAFFIC | | OTHER | | UNKNOWN | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| | DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number |
| 1 | 946 | 64% | 495 | 34% | 4 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 23 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 2,582 | 91% | 264 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 9 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 86 | 45% | 97 | 51% | 8 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,374 | 53% | 1,205 | 46% | 7 | 0% | 8 | 0% | 37 | 1% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 218 | 41% | 270 | 52% | 19 | 4% | 1 | 0% | 6 | 1% | 10 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 212 | 51% | 201 | 49% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 251 | 82% | 49 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 684 | 48% | 660 | 46% | 64 | 4% | 15 | 1% | 18 | 1% | 7 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 269 | 80% | 67 | 20% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 432 | 45% | 513 | 54% | 3 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 201 | 39% | 313 | 60% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 70 | 19% | 277 | 76% | 7 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 7 | 2% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 279 | 89% | 35 | 11% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 126 | 54% | 110 | 46% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 73 | 70% | 29 | 28% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 130 | 55% | 104 | 43% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 954 | 46% | 1,045 | 50% | 88 | 4% | 3 | 0% | 7 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,102 | 55% | 1,621 | 43% | 41 | 1% | 6 | 0% | 22 | 1% | 8 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 544 | 45% | 663 | 54% | 5 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 6 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 537 | 50% | 529 | 48% | 15 | 1% | 4 | 0% | 9 | 1% | 3 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 535 | 39% | 821 | 60% | 18 | 1% | 2 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 69 | 51% | 65 | 48% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 12,674 | 56% | 9,433 | 42% | 289 | 1% | 46 | 0% | 124 | 1% | 98 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 38

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Court of Origin

| COURT TYPE: DISTRICT | DISTRICT COURT | | COUNTY COURT | | INTERSTATE COMPACT | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------|----|--------------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,115 | 76% | 279 | 19% | 76 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 2,804 | 98% | 1 | 0% | 51 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 113 | 59% | 75 | 39% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,373 | 52% | 1,161 | 44% | 102 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 286 | 55% | 225 | 43% | 13 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 244 | 58% | 161 | 39% | 13 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 266 | 87% | 28 | 9% | 12 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 762 | 53% | 653 | 45% | 33 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 273 | 80% | 46 | 14% | 19 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 615 | 64% | 319 | 33% | 24 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 259 | 50% | 245 | 47% | 18 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 134 | 37% | 216 | 59% | 15 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 288 | 91% | 15 | 5% | 12 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 150 | 63% | 84 | 35% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 94 | 90% | 7 | 7% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 148 | 62% | 90 | 38% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,396 | 67% | 609 | 29% | 90 | 4% | 3 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,409 | 63% | 1,326 | 35% | 65 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 561 | 46% | 631 | 52% | 29 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 773 | 70% | 288 | 27% | 35 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 636 | 46% | 747 | 54% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 75 | 56% | 50 | 37% | 10 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 14,774 | 65% | 7,256 | 32% | 629 | 3% | 6 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 39

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Supervision Type

| TYPE: | PROBATION | | INTERSTATE TRANSFERS TO COLORADO | | COURTESY FROM OTHER COLORADO PROBATION DISTRICTS | | DIRECT SENTENCE COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS | | DEFERRED JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-------|-----------|-----|----------------------------------|----|--|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|--------------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,209 | 83% | 76 | 5% | 80 | 5% | 91 | 6% | 14 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,456 | 51% | 51 | 2% | 141 | 5% | 227 | 8% | 982 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 124 | 64% | 4 | 2% | 9 | 5% | 5 | 3% | 50 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 2,182 | 83% | 102 | 4% | 140 | 5% | 10 | 0% | 202 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 335 | 65% | 13 | 2% | 34 | 6% | 20 | 4% | 122 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 318 | 76% | 13 | 3% | 23 | 6% | 8 | 2% | 56 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 186 | 61% | 12 | 4% | 41 | 13% | 33 | 11% | 34 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,026 | 71% | 33 | 2% | 84 | 6% | 26 | 2% | 279 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 173 | 51% | 19 | 6% | 36 | 11% | 21 | 6% | 89 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 633 | 66% | 24 | 3% | 71 | 7% | 16 | 2% | 214 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 306 | 59% | 18 | 3% | 42 | 8% | 6 | 1% | 150 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 266 | 72% | 15 | 4% | 21 | 6% | 6 | 2% | 57 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 182 | 58% | 12 | 4% | 22 | 7% | 19 | 6% | 80 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 154 | 64% | 4 | 2% | 18 | 8% | 3 | 1% | 59 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 75 | 71% | 3 | 3% | 9 | 9% | 6 | 6% | 11 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 187 | 79% | 1 | 0% | 17 | 7% | 19 | 8% | 15 | 6% | 1 | 0% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,590 | 76% | 90 | 4% | 169 | 8% | 151 | 7% | 98 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,231 | 59% | 65 | 2% | 142 | 4% | 128 | 3% | 1,234 | 32% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 754 | 62% | 29 | 2% | 88 | 7% | 46 | 4% | 304 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 675 | 62% | 35 | 3% | 77 | 7% | 34 | 3% | 275 | 25% | 1 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,005 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 3% | 32 | 2% | 298 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 94 | 70% | 10 | 7% | 9 | 7% | 3 | 2% | 19 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 15,161 | 67% | 629 | 3% | 1,321 | 6% | 910 | 4% | 4,642 | 20% | 2 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 40

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Gender

| GENDER TYPE: DISTRICT | FEMALE | | MALE | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|---------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 357 | 24% | 1,090 | 74% | 23 | 2% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 670 | 23% | 2,186 | 77% | 1 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 45 | 23% | 147 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 524 | 20% | 2,107 | 80% | 5 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 91 | 17% | 425 | 81% | 8 | 2% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 85 | 20% | 315 | 75% | 18 | 5% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 79 | 26% | 224 | 73% | 3 | 1% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 351 | 24% | 1,097 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 70 | 21% | 268 | 79% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 247 | 26% | 711 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 132 | 25% | 389 | 75% | 1 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 93 | 25% | 272 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 88 | 28% | 227 | 72% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 44 | 18% | 190 | 80% | 4 | 2% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 22 | 21% | 82 | 79% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 58 | 24% | 179 | 75% | 3 | 1% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 470 | 22% | 1,628 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 968 | 25% | 2,832 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 276 | 23% | 944 | 77% | 1 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 205 | 19% | 889 | 81% | 3 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 372 | 27% | 1,006 | 73% | 5 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 32 | 24% | 102 | 76% | 1 | 0% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 5,279 | 23% | 17,310 | 77% | 76 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 41

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Age

| AGE: DISTRICT | 0-17 Years | | 18-20 Years | | 21-24 Years | | 25-32 Years | | 33-39 Years | | 40 and above | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|------------------|------------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------|----|--------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 5 | 0% | 203 | 14% | 281 | 19% | 356 | 24% | 302 | 21% | 319 | 22% | 4 | 0% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 3 | 0% | 447 | 16% | 546 | 19% | 735 | 25% | 531 | 19% | 594 | 21% | 1 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 2 | 1% | 31 | 16% | 32 | 17% | 52 | 27% | 28 | 15% | 47 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 7 | 0% | 354 | 13% | 486 | 18% | 684 | 27% | 488 | 19% | 616 | 23% | 1 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 10 | 2% | 56 | 11% | 88 | 17% | 169 | 32% | 84 | 16% | 115 | 22% | 2 | 0% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 2 | 0% | 44 | 11% | 79 | 19% | 106 | 25% | 65 | 16% | 117 | 28% | 5 | 1% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 39 | 13% | 80 | 26% | 77 | 25% | 41 | 13% | 67 | 22% | 2 | 1% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 9 | 0% | 231 | 16% | 312 | 22% | 352 | 24% | 242 | 17% | 302 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 49 | 14% | 66 | 20% | 90 | 27% | 59 | 17% | 74 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 3 | 0% | 119 | 12% | 177 | 18% | 253 | 27% | 201 | 21% | 205 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 76 | 15% | 71 | 14% | 104 | 20% | 106 | 20% | 165 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 4 | 1% | 56 | 15% | 76 | 21% | 74 | 20% | 62 | 17% | 93 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 49 | 16% | 73 | 23% | 84 | 27% | 54 | 17% | 55 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 0% | 46 | 19% | 45 | 19% | 59 | 25% | 42 | 18% | 45 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 1 | 1% | 16 | 15% | 22 | 21% | 26 | 26% | 14 | 13% | 25 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 31 | 13% | 39 | 16% | 56 | 23% | 55 | 23% | 51 | 22% | 8 | 3% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 17 | 0% | 319 | 15% | 393 | 19% | 539 | 26% | 416 | 20% | 413 | 20% | 1 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 14 | 0% | 547 | 14% | 721 | 19% | 974 | 27% | 698 | 18% | 846 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 8 | 1% | 190 | 16% | 259 | 21% | 283 | 23% | 234 | 19% | 246 | 20% | 1 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 15 | 1% | 202 | 18% | 229 | 21% | 285 | 27% | 179 | 16% | 185 | 17% | 2 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 8 | 1% | 221 | 16% | 230 | 17% | 326 | 24% | 242 | 17% | 355 | 25% | 1 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 17 | 13% | 24 | 18% | 32 | 24% | 30 | 22% | 31 | 23% | 1 | 1% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 109 | 0% | 3,343 | 15% | 4,329 | 19% | 5,716 | 26% | 4,173 | 18% | 4,966 | 22% | 29 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 42

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
New Cases by Ethnic Group

| ETHNIC TYPE: DISTRICT | ANGLO | | BLACK | | ASIAN | | HISPANIC | | NATIVE AMERICAN | | OTHER | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|----|----------|-----|-----------------|-----|--------|----|--------------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,253 | 86% | 71 | 5% | 18 | 1% | 80 | 5% | 15 | 1% | 33 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,470 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,080 | 38% | 782 | 27% | 31 | 1% | 847 | 30% | 25 | 1% | 91 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 2,857 | 100% |
| 3 | 95 | 50% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 93 | 48% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,580 | 60% | 513 | 20% | 34 | 1% | 461 | 17% | 23 | 1% | 25 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 2,636 | 100% |
| 5 | 463 | 88% | 6 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 42 | 8% | 3 | 1% | 9 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 524 | 100% |
| 6 | 334 | 81% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 5% | 31 | 7% | 27 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 418 | 100% |
| 7 | 266 | 86% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 9% | 2 | 1% | 8 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 306 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,310 | 91% | 42 | 3% | 5 | 0% | 75 | 5% | 7 | 0% | 9 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,448 | 100% |
| 9 | 284 | 84% | 3 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 46 | 14% | 3 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 338 | 100% |
| 10 | 555 | 58% | 29 | 3% | 7 | 1% | 344 | 36% | 9 | 1% | 14 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 958 | 100% |
| 11 | 484 | 93% | 9 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 4% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 522 | 100% |
| 12 | 182 | 50% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 178 | 49% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 365 | 100% |
| 13 | 256 | 81% | 5 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 50 | 16% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 315 | 100% |
| 14 | 216 | 91% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 15 | 61 | 59% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 42 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 104 | 100% |
| 16 | 182 | 76% | 12 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 37 | 15% | 4 | 2% | 3 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 240 | 100% |
| 17 | 1,615 | 78% | 208 | 10% | 23 | 1% | 220 | 10% | 4 | 0% | 28 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 2,098 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,864 | 76% | 657 | 17% | 49 | 1% | 138 | 4% | 8 | 0% | 84 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 3,800 | 100% |
| 19 | 946 | 78% | 28 | 2% | 5 | 0% | 236 | 20% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,221 | 100% |
| 20 | 857 | 78% | 41 | 4% | 16 | 1% | 171 | 16% | 6 | 1% | 5 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 1,097 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,155 | 84% | 38 | 3% | 3 | 0% | 154 | 11% | 14 | 1% | 19 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,383 | 100% |
| 22 | 78 | 58% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 9% | 41 | 30% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 135 | 100% |
| STATE | 16,116 | 71% | 2,465 | 11% | 196 | 1% | 3,308 | 15% | 202 | 1% | 374 | 2% | 4 | 0% | 22,665 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

| TYPE: | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|----------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|------------|-----|--------------------|------|
| | SUCCESSFUL | | REVOICATION | | ABSCONSION | | | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 734 | 75% | 114 | 12% | 125 | 13% | 973 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,375 | 68% | 213 | 11% | 419 | 21% | 2,007 | 100% |
| 3 | 99 | 80% | 14 | 11% | 11 | 9% | 124 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,091 | 53% | 396 | 20% | 538 | 27% | 2,025 | 100% |
| 5 | 127 | 69% | 12 | 7% | 45 | 24% | 184 | 100% |
| 6 | 258 | 68% | 51 | 13% | 72 | 19% | 381 | 100% |
| 7 | 199 | 80% | 31 | 12% | 20 | 8% | 250 | 100% |
| 8 | 792 | 79% | 98 | 10% | 113 | 11% | 1,003 | 100% |
| 9 | 200 | 77% | 28 | 11% | 31 | 12% | 259 | 100% |
| 10 | 504 | 65% | 152 | 20% | 119 | 15% | 775 | 100% |
| 11 | 311 | 66% | 75 | 16% | 84 | 18% | 470 | 100% |
| 12 | 108 | 83% | 13 | 10% | 9 | 7% | 130 | 100% |
| 13 | 126 | 73% | 18 | 10% | 30 | 17% | 174 | 100% |
| 14 | 122 | 83% | 7 | 5% | 18 | 12% | 147 | 100% |
| 15 | 66 | 56% | 15 | 13% | 36 | 31% | 117 | 100% |
| 16 | 170 | 67% | 35 | 14% | 49 | 19% | 254 | 100% |
| 17 | 961 | 72% | 189 | 14% | 194 | 14% | 1,344 | 100% |
| 18 | 1,853 | 73% | 259 | 10% | 442 | 17% | 2,554 | 100% |
| 19 | 593 | 67% | 149 | 17% | 144 | 16% | 886 | 100% |
| 20 | 584 | 70% | 127 | 15% | 122 | 15% | 833 | 100% |
| 21 | 437 | 83% | 71 | 13% | 19 | 4% | 527 | 100% |
| 22 | 112 | 68% | 29 | 18% | 23 | 14% | 164 | 100% |
| STATE | 10,822 | 70% | 2,096 | 13% | 2,663 | 17% | 15,581 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations* : This number equals the total successful terminations (TERM) and private successful terminations. Cases supervised by a private provider were sent through probation and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

Unsuccessful Terminations : This column includes Revocations and Absconsions. A revocation is defined as a revocation for probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. An absconson is defined as an offender who has fled from supervision and whereabouts is unknown.

A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section.

Absconson: When an offender is unavailable for supervision as whereabouts is unknown.

Total Terminations : In this chart only, the total terminations include: Revocations, Absconsions and Successful Terminations from probation supervision.

Does not include Community Corrections closures, Administrative closures, or missing data.

TABLE 44

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

| TYPE: DISTRICT | SUCCESSFUL | | REVOCAATION | | ABSCONSION | | COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS DISCHARGE | | ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSURE | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|-------------------|------------|-----|-------------|-----|------------|-----|---------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|--------------|----|-----------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 734 | 61% | 114 | 10% | 125 | 11% | 183 | 16% | 20 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,176 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,375 | 54% | 213 | 8% | 419 | 16% | 466 | 18% | 95 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 2,568 | 100% |
| 3 | 99 | 80% | 14 | 11% | 11 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 124 | 100% |
| 4 | 1,091 | 49% | 396 | 18% | 538 | 24% | 118 | 5% | 78 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 2,221 | 100% |
| 5 | 127 | 64% | 12 | 6% | 45 | 22% | 4 | 2% | 13 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 201 | 100% |
| 6 | 258 | 63% | 51 | 13% | 72 | 18% | 15 | 4% | 9 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 405 | 100% |
| 7 | 199 | 72% | 31 | 11% | 20 | 7% | 18 | 7% | 7 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 275 | 100% |
| 8 | 792 | 69% | 98 | 9% | 113 | 10% | 63 | 5% | 82 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 1,148 | 100% |
| 9 | 200 | 60% | 28 | 8% | 31 | 9% | 32 | 9% | 48 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 339 | 100% |
| 10 | 504 | 63% | 152 | 19% | 119 | 15% | 5 | 1% | 13 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 793 | 100% |
| 11 | 311 | 62% | 75 | 15% | 84 | 17% | 9 | 2% | 19 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 498 | 100% |
| 12 | 108 | 71% | 13 | 9% | 9 | 6% | 4 | 3% | 16 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 150 | 100% |
| 13 | 126 | 59% | 18 | 8% | 30 | 14% | 21 | 10% | 19 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 214 | 100% |
| 14 | 122 | 76% | 7 | 4% | 18 | 11% | 11 | 7% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 162 | 100% |
| 15 | 66 | 54% | 15 | 12% | 36 | 30% | 5 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 122 | 100% |
| 16 | 170 | 65% | 35 | 13% | 49 | 19% | 2 | 0% | 7 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 263 | 100% |
| 17 | 961 | 64% | 189 | 13% | 194 | 13% | 133 | 9% | 8 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,485 | 100% |
| 18 | 1,853 | 69% | 259 | 9% | 442 | 16% | 122 | 4% | 68 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 2,745 | 100% |
| 19 | 593 | 60% | 149 | 15% | 144 | 14% | 89 | 9% | 19 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 994 | 100% |
| 20 | 584 | 62% | 127 | 13% | 122 | 13% | 81 | 9% | 33 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 947 | 100% |
| 21 | 437 | 78% | 71 | 13% | 19 | 3% | 29 | 5% | 7 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 563 | 100% |
| 22 | 112 | 65% | 29 | 17% | 23 | 13% | 5 | 3% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 172 | 100% |
| STATE | 10,822 | 62% | 2,096 | 12% | 2,663 | 15% | 1,415 | 8% | 568 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 17,565 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations* : This number equals the total successful terminations (TERM) and private successful terminations. Cases supervised by a private provider were sent through probation and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

Revocations : This column includes revocation from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or a new felony. A revocation to community corrections is NOT included in this table. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

Absconson : This column includes offenders that may have absconded from supervision and a warrant issued. In rare circumstances, an offender could be terminated as an absconder but no warrant issued. Absconson is defined as when an offender is unavailable for supervision as whereabouts is unknown.

Community Corrections Discharge : This column is the number of Community Corrections clients discharged from community corrections probation supervision. The outcome of these cases are calculated by the community corrections agencies. The type of termination is unknown.

Admin Closure : Includes death of an offender, deportation or no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).

Total Terminations : This total does not include "Courtesy Closure sent back to original jurisdiction". Those terminations are included in the appropriate category of the originating district.

Closure Types for interstate offenders supervised by Colorado Probation are included in the above table.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 45

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Type of Revocation

| TYPE: DISTRICT | NEW FELONY | | NEW MISDEMEANOR | | TECHNICAL | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL REVOCATIONS | |
|-------------------|------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------|-----|--------------|----|-------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 26 | 23% | 14 | 12% | 74 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 114 | 100% |
| 2 | 57 | 27% | 14 | 7% | 142 | 66% | 0 | 0% | 213 | 100% |
| 3 | 2 | 14% | 3 | 21% | 9 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 4 | 70 | 18% | 65 | 16% | 261 | 66% | 0 | 0% | 396 | 100% |
| 5 | 4 | 33% | 1 | 8% | 7 | 59% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 6 | 6 | 12% | 3 | 6% | 42 | 82% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 100% |
| 7 | 5 | 16% | 5 | 16% | 21 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 100% |
| 8 | 26 | 27% | 14 | 14% | 58 | 59% | 0 | 0% | 98 | 100% |
| 9 | 5 | 18% | 3 | 11% | 20 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 10 | 21 | 14% | 28 | 18% | 103 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 152 | 100% |
| 11 | 11 | 15% | 11 | 15% | 53 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 75 | 100% |
| 12 | 3 | 23% | 1 | 8% | 9 | 69% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 13 | 11 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 14 | 3 | 42% | 2 | 29% | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 15 | 4 | 29% | 2 | 14% | 8 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 16 | 11 | 31% | 5 | 14% | 19 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 35 | 100% |
| 17 | 33 | 17% | 38 | 20% | 118 | 63% | 0 | 0% | 189 | 100% |
| 18 | 66 | 25% | 52 | 20% | 141 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 259 | 100% |
| 19 | 25 | 17% | 27 | 18% | 97 | 65% | 0 | 0% | 149 | 100% |
| 20 | 8 | 6% | 16 | 13% | 103 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 127 | 100% |
| 21 | 16 | 23% | 15 | 21% | 40 | 56% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 3% | 6 | 21% | 22 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 29 | 100% |
| STATE | 414 | 20% | 325 | 16% | 1,356 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 2,095 | 100% |

Key: *Felony* : This is a revocation for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

Misdemeanor : This is a revocation for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical : This is a revocation for probation supervision violations (i.e. Drug use, non-compliance)

Total Revocations : This total includes felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 46

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Length of Stay
On Probation Supervision

| Number of Months: DISTRICT | 0-12 months | | 13-24 months | | 25-36 months | | 37 + months | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------|-----|---------|----|-----------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 353 | 29% | 363 | 29% | 231 | 19% | 289 | 23% | 0 | 0% | 1,236 | 100% |
| 2 | 977 | 36% | 893 | 33% | 495 | 18% | 346 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 2,711 | 100% |
| 3 | 53 | 40% | 47 | 36% | 17 | 13% | 14 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 131 | 100% |
| 4 | 776 | 33% | 900 | 39% | 333 | 14% | 325 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 2,334 | 100% |
| 5 | 89 | 41% | 45 | 21% | 30 | 14% | 53 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 217 | 100% |
| 6 | 164 | 39% | 172 | 41% | 65 | 15% | 23 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 424 | 100% |
| 7 | 59 | 21% | 133 | 46% | 53 | 19% | 41 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 286 | 100% |
| 8 | 530 | 43% | 298 | 25% | 190 | 16% | 198 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 1,216 | 100% |
| 9 | 141 | 38% | 109 | 30% | 62 | 17% | 54 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 366 | 100% |
| 10 | 266 | 31% | 326 | 39% | 129 | 15% | 125 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 846 | 100% |
| 11 | 212 | 41% | 164 | 31% | 78 | 15% | 69 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 523 | 100% |
| 12 | 63 | 39% | 63 | 39% | 25 | 15% | 12 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 163 | 100% |
| 13 | 69 | 29% | 57 | 24% | 44 | 18% | 70 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 240 | 100% |
| 14 | 37 | 21% | 61 | 35% | 39 | 23% | 36 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 173 | 100% |
| 15 | 33 | 26% | 48 | 38% | 12 | 9% | 34 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 127 | 100% |
| 16 | 47 | 17% | 95 | 35% | 78 | 30% | 49 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 269 | 100% |
| 17 | 825 | 51% | 494 | 30% | 143 | 9% | 159 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 1,621 | 100% |
| 18 | 590 | 21% | 1,218 | 44% | 565 | 20% | 432 | 15% | 1 | 0% | 2,806 | 100% |
| 19 | 296 | 28% | 499 | 48% | 135 | 13% | 117 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 1,047 | 100% |
| 20 | 412 | 42% | 335 | 34% | 113 | 11% | 133 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 993 | 100% |
| 21 | 270 | 45% | 184 | 31% | 86 | 15% | 53 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 593 | 100% |
| 22 | 76 | 40% | 71 | 39% | 25 | 14% | 12 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 184 | 100% |
| STATE | 6,338 | 34% | 6,575 | 36% | 2,948 | 16% | 2,644 | 14% | 1 | 0% | 18,506 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 47

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Last Risk Status at Termination

| RISK LEVELS: | MAXIMUM | | MEDIUM | | MINIMUM | | NEW* (within 30 days) | | ADMINISTRATIVE | | UNCLASSIFIED | | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE | | SEX OFFENDER | | TRANSFER TO OTHER COLORADO PROBATION DISTRICTS | | INTERSTATE (TRANSFER TO OTHER STATES) | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|--------------|---------|-----|--------|-----|---------|-----|--------------------------|----|----------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--------------|----|--|----|---------------------------------------|----|--------------|----|--------------------|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| DISTRICT 1 | 38 | 3% | 106 | 9% | 200 | 16% | 4 | 0% | 638 | 51% | 8 | 1% | 133 | 11% | 41 | 3% | 32 | 3% | 36 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1,236 | 100% |
| 2 | 107 | 4% | 276 | 10% | 404 | 15% | 25 | 1% | 1,801 | 67% | 34 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 1% | 11 | 0% | 22 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 2,711 | 100% |
| 3 | 17 | 13% | 26 | 20% | 32 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 131 | 100% |
| 4 | 201 | 9% | 267 | 11% | 319 | 14% | 5 | 0% | 727 | 32% | 123 | 5% | 566 | 24% | 52 | 2% | 16 | 1% | 58 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 2,334 | 100% |
| 5 | 10 | 5% | 22 | 10% | 41 | 19% | 2 | 1% | 82 | 37% | 19 | 9% | 21 | 10% | 5 | 2% | 9 | 4% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 217 | 100% |
| 6 | 14 | 3% | 61 | 14% | 31 | 7% | 3 | 1% | 164 | 39% | 4 | 1% | 121 | 29% | 6 | 1% | 9 | 2% | 11 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 424 | 100% |
| 7 | 31 | 11% | 63 | 22% | 68 | 24% | 2 | 1% | 84 | 29% | 15 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 2% | 14 | 5% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 286 | 100% |
| 8 | 46 | 4% | 118 | 10% | 135 | 11% | 46 | 4% | 281 | 23% | 424 | 35% | 54 | 4% | 37 | 3% | 41 | 3% | 34 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1,216 | 100% |
| 9 | 28 | 8% | 53 | 14% | 83 | 23% | 1 | 0% | 155 | 42% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 4% | 15 | 4% | 13 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 366 | 100% |
| 10 | 40 | 5% | 121 | 14% | 147 | 17% | 3 | 0% | 135 | 16% | 23 | 3% | 328 | 39% | 24 | 3% | 17 | 2% | 8 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 846 | 100% |
| 11 | 17 | 3% | 88 | 17% | 153 | 30% | 4 | 1% | 112 | 21% | 18 | 3% | 108 | 21% | 13 | 2% | 7 | 1% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 523 | 100% |
| 12 | 14 | 9% | 34 | 20% | 71 | 41% | 1 | 1% | 29 | 18% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 7 | 4% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 163 | 100% |
| 13 | 24 | 10% | 33 | 14% | 61 | 25% | 2 | 1% | 97 | 40% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 9 | 4% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 240 | 100% |
| 14 | 3 | 2% | 28 | 16% | 34 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 32% | 1 | 1% | 25 | 14% | 3 | 2% | 16 | 9% | 7 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 173 | 100% |
| 15 | 10 | 8% | 17 | 13% | 22 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 66 | 52% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 3 | 2% | 5 | 4% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 127 | 100% |
| 16 | 5 | 2% | 29 | 11% | 58 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 78 | 28% | 16 | 6% | 69 | 26% | 2 | 1% | 6 | 2% | 6 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 269 | 100% |
| 17 | 58 | 4% | 160 | 10% | 259 | 15% | 11 | 1% | 828 | 50% | 28 | 2% | 159 | 10% | 44 | 3% | 45 | 3% | 29 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,621 | 100% |
| 18 | 78 | 3% | 329 | 12% | 699 | 25% | 15 | 1% | 756 | 26% | 131 | 5% | 627 | 22% | 57 | 2% | 60 | 2% | 54 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 2,806 | 100% |
| 19 | 31 | 3% | 80 | 8% | 39 | 4% | 7 | 1% | 641 | 61% | 3 | 0% | 151 | 14% | 16 | 2% | 43 | 4% | 36 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1,047 | 100% |
| 20 | 96 | 10% | 111 | 11% | 108 | 11% | 14 | 1% | 174 | 18% | 308 | 31% | 114 | 11% | 31 | 3% | 25 | 3% | 12 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 993 | 100% |
| 21 | 46 | 8% | 84 | 14% | 131 | 22% | 6 | 1% | 116 | 20% | 181 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 2% | 7 | 1% | 8 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 593 | 100% |
| 22 | 5 | 3% | 57 | 32% | 52 | 28% | 0 | 0% | 41 | 22% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 8 | 4% | 13 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 184 | 100% |
| STATE | 919 | 5% | 2,163 | 12% | 3,147 | 17% | 151 | 1% | 7,117 | 39% | 1,355 | 7% | 2,478 | 13% | 405 | 2% | 402 | 2% | 369 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 18,506 | 100% |

NEW*: This category will require additional analysis to ensure an accurate case count.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 48

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
State Probation Caseloads
Active Client Status on June 30, 2002

| RISK LEVELS: | NEW: w/i first 30 days of supervision start date | | MAXIMUM | | MEDIUM | | MINIMUM | | ADMINISTRATIVE | | UN-CLASSIFIED | | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE | | SEX OFFENDER | | INTER-STATE | | TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS | |
|-----------------|--|----|---------|-----|--------|-----|---------|-----|----------------|-----|---------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------|----|-------------------------------|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| DISTRICT | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| 1 | 46 | 2% | 117 | 5% | 352 | 14% | 527 | 22% | 732 | 30% | 20 | 1% | 381 | 15% | 183 | 7% | 103 | 4% | 2,461 | 100% |
| 2 | 80 | 2% | 363 | 8% | 869 | 19% | 879 | 20% | 2,068 | 46% | 103 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 1% | 73 | 2% | 4,490 | 100% |
| 3 | 8 | 2% | 37 | 10% | 104 | 29% | 89 | 25% | 106 | 31% | 8 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 355 | 100% |
| 4 | 45 | 1% | 380 | 11% | 964 | 29% | 361 | 10% | 428 | 12% | 247 | 7% | 624 | 18% | 217 | 6% | 211 | 6% | 3,477 | 100% |
| 5 | 38 | 6% | 36 | 6% | 120 | 20% | 110 | 18% | 174 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 12% | 27 | 5% | 23 | 4% | 599 | 100% |
| 6 | 19 | 3% | 58 | 9% | 94 | 15% | 63 | 10% | 183 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 133 | 22% | 24 | 4% | 40 | 7% | 614 | 100% |
| 7 | 5 | 1% | 61 | 11% | 158 | 28% | 102 | 18% | 170 | 30% | 29 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 4% | 15 | 3% | 560 | 100% |
| 8 | 27 | 2% | 102 | 7% | 253 | 17% | 138 | 10% | 488 | 34% | 64 | 4% | 129 | 9% | 114 | 8% | 131 | 9% | 1,446 | 100% |
| 9 | 3 | 1% | 50 | 11% | 108 | 25% | 90 | 21% | 138 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 3% | 34 | 8% | 436 | 100% |
| 10 | 31 | 2% | 98 | 8% | 395 | 31% | 90 | 7% | 112 | 9% | 138 | 11% | 306 | 24% | 64 | 5% | 34 | 3% | 1,268 | 100% |
| 11 | 19 | 2% | 40 | 5% | 161 | 20% | 230 | 29% | 114 | 14% | 34 | 4% | 172 | 21% | 27 | 3% | 13 | 2% | 810 | 100% |
| 12 | 4 | 1% | 36 | 12% | 105 | 37% | 82 | 28% | 37 | 13% | 12 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 3% | 5 | 2% | 289 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 0% | 70 | 14% | 112 | 23% | 86 | 17% | 153 | 31% | 11 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 3% | 44 | 9% | 494 | 100% |
| 14 | 6 | 1% | 33 | 8% | 134 | 31% | 63 | 15% | 78 | 18% | 23 | 5% | 43 | 10% | 19 | 4% | 35 | 8% | 434 | 100% |
| 15 | 8 | 3% | 14 | 5% | 70 | 27% | 61 | 24% | 78 | 31% | 5 | 2% | 2 | 1% | 8 | 3% | 10 | 4% | 256 | 100% |
| 16 | 17 | 3% | 40 | 8% | 146 | 28% | 135 | 26% | 40 | 8% | 70 | 13% | 54 | 10% | 15 | 3% | 6 | 1% | 523 | 100% |
| 17 | 82 | 3% | 188 | 6% | 451 | 15% | 453 | 15% | 1,000 | 34% | 176 | 6% | 410 | 14% | 125 | 4% | 82 | 3% | 2,967 | 100% |
| 18 | 185 | 4% | 295 | 6% | 1,248 | 23% | 441 | 9% | 1,200 | 23% | 363 | 7% | 794 | 16% | 288 | 6% | 307 | 6% | 5,121 | 100% |
| 19 | 16 | 1% | 106 | 9% | 246 | 22% | 76 | 7% | 355 | 32% | 4 | 0% | 194 | 17% | 51 | 5% | 78 | 7% | 1,126 | 100% |
| 20 | 39 | 3% | 153 | 14% | 125 | 11% | 109 | 9% | 209 | 19% | 207 | 18% | 132 | 11% | 142 | 12% | 38 | 3% | 1,154 | 100% |
| 21 | 24 | 2% | 170 | 16% | 322 | 31% | 112 | 10% | 263 | 25% | 77 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 67 | 6% | 34 | 3% | 1,069 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 0% | 15 | 7% | 94 | 46% | 34 | 17% | 41 | 20% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 4% | 12 | 6% | 206 | 100% |
| STATE | 705 | 2% | 2,462 | 8% | 6,631 | 23% | 4,331 | 14% | 8,167 | 28% | 1,592 | 5% | 3,445 | 11% | 1,491 | 5% | 1,331 | 4% | 30,155 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 49

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Offense Type sentenced directly to Private Probation

| TYPE: DISTRICT | FELONY | | MISDEMEANOR | | PETTY OFFENSE | | OTHER | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-------------------|--------|----|-------------|------|---------------|----|--------|-----|--------------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 38 | 3% | 1,183 | 94% | 15 | 1% | 17 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 6 | 7% | 66 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 150 | 97% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 2 | 0% | 671 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 673 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 37 | 7% | 491 | 91% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 2% | -1 | 0% | 538 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 83 | 3% | 2,561 | 95% | 15 | 1% | 47 | 2% | -1 | 0% | 2,705 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 50

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Court of Origin sentenced directly to Private Probation

| COURT TYPE: | DISTRICT COURT | | COUNTY COURT | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------|------|---------------------|----|------------------------|------|
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 158 | 13% | 1,095 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 7 | 8% | 80 | 92% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 8 | 1% | 665 | 99% | 0 | 0% | 673 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 9 | 2% | 529 | 98% | 0 | 0% | 538 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 182 | 7% | 2,523 | 93% | 0 | 0% | 2,705 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 51

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Supervision Type sentenced directly to Private Probation

| TYPE: DISTRICT | PROBATION | | DEFERRED JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1,159 | 92% | 94 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 83 | 95% | 4 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 94 | 61% | 60 | 39% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 673 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 673 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 274 | 51% | 264 | 49% | 0 | 0% | 538 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 2,283 | 84% | 422 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 2,705 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 52

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Gender sentenced directly to Private Probation

| GENDER | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|-------------|-----|----------------|----|------------------------|------|
| TYPE: | FEMALE | | MALE | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 300 | 24% | 953 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 21 | 24% | 66 | 75% | 1 | 1% | 88 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 22 | 14% | 132 | 86% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 161 | 24% | 512 | 76% | 0 | 0% | 673 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 134 | 25% | 404 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 538 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 638 | 24% | 2,067 | 76% | 1 | 0% | 2,706 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 53

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Age sentenced directly to Private Probation

| AGE: DISTRICT | 0-17 Years | | 18-20 Years | | 21-24 Years | | 25-32 Years | | 33-39 Years | | 40 and above | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|------------------|------------|----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 5 | 0% | 122 | 10% | 209 | 17% | 318 | 25% | 265 | 21% | 334 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 16 | 18% | 10 | 11% | 23 | 27% | 16 | 18% | 21 | 25% | 1 | 1% | 87 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 19 | 12% | 30 | 19% | 54 | 36% | 28 | 18% | 23 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 0 | 0% | 66 | 9% | 118 | 17% | 212 | 30% | 172 | 24% | 105 | 15% | 40 | 6% | 713 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 110 | 20% | 88 | 16% | 139 | 26% | 92 | 17% | 109 | 20% | 3 | 1% | 541 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 5 | 0% | 333 | 12% | 455 | 17% | 746 | 27% | 573 | 21% | 592 | 22% | 44 | 2% | 2,748 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 54

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
New Cases by Ethnic Group sentenced directly to Private Probation

| ETHNIC TYPE: DISTRICT | ANGLO | | BLACK | | ASIAN | | HISPANIC | | NATIVE AMERICAN | | OTHER | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL NEW CASES | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|--------|----|--------|----|----------|-----|--------------------|----|--------|----|--------------|----|--------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 914 | 73% | 25 | 2% | 27 | 2% | 247 | 20% | 13 | 1% | 27 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,253 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 70 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 87 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 96 | 62% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 58 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 154 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 343 | 52% | 23 | 3% | 10 | 1% | 282 | 42% | 2 | 0% | 13 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 673 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 394 | 74% | 13 | 2% | 12 | 2% | 113 | 21% | 2 | 0% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 538 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 1,817 | 66% | 61 | 2% | 49 | 2% | 717 | 27% | 17 | 1% | 44 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 2,705 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 55

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations for directly sentenced to Private Probation

| TYPE: | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|--------|-----|--------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| DISTRICT | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 469 | 72% | 101 | 16% | 81 | 12% | 651 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 176 | 97% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 182 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 43 | 60% | 19 | 26% | 10 | 14% | 72 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 436 | 75% | 140 | 24% | 7 | 1% | 583 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 509 | 72% | 97 | 14% | 92 | 13% | 705 | 100% |
| 21 | 282 | 75% | 65 | 17% | 21 | 6% | 375 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 1,915 | 75% | 428 | 17% | 211 | 8% | 2,568 | 100% |

Key: *Successful Terminations* : This number equals the total private successful terminations directly sentenced to a private provider.

and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

Unsuccessful Terminations : This column includes Revocations and Absconsions. A revocation is defined as a revocation for probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section.

Absconson: An absconson is defined as an offender who has fled from supervision and whereabouts is unknown.

Total Terminations : In this chart only, the total terminations include: Revocations, Absconsions and Successful Terminations from probation supervision.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 56

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
Type of Revocation for directly sentenced to Private Probation

| TYPE: DISTRICT | NEW FELONY | | NEW MISDEMEANOR | | TECHNICAL | | MISSING DATA | | TOTAL REVOCATIONS | |
|-------------------|------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------|------|--------------|----|-------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 1 | 1% | 15 | 15% | 85 | 84% | 0 | 0% | 101 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 2 | 11% | 6 | 32% | 11 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 19 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 1 | 1% | 25 | 18% | 114 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 140 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 8 | 8% | 32 | 33% | 57 | 59% | 0 | 0% | 97 | 100% |
| 21 | 9 | 14% | 14 | 22% | 42 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 65 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 21 | 5% | 92 | 21% | 315 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 428 | 100% |

Key:

Felony : This is a revocation for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.*Misdemeanor* : This is a revocation for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.*Technical* : This is a revocation for probation supervision violations (i.e. Drug use, non-compliance)*Total Revocations* : This total includes felony, misdemeanor and technical violations.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 57

FY 2002
Colorado Adult PRIVATE Probation
Length of Stay for directly sentenced to Private Probation

| Number of Months: DISTRICT | 0-12 months | | 13-24 months | | 25-36 months | | 37 + months | | UNKNOWN | | TOTAL TERMINATIONS | |
|---|-------------|-----|--------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------|----|---------|----|-----------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 435 | 67% | 158 | 24% | 45 | 7% | 13 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 651 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | 136 | 75% | 33 | 18% | 4 | 2% | 9 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 182 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 67 | 93% | 5 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 72 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 506 | 87% | 74 | 13% | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 583 | 100% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 274 | 39% | 416 | 59% | 15 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 705 | 100% |
| 21 | 116 | 31% | 215 | 57% | 41 | 11% | 2 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 375 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 1,534 | 60% | 901 | 35% | 108 | 4% | 24 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 2,568 | 100% |

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 58

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Private Probation Caseloads
Active Clients on June 30, 2002

| DISTRICT | TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS TRANSFERRED BY PROB DEPT. | | ¹ ACTIVE PRIVATE CLIENTS SENTENCED DIRECTLY BY COURT | | ² ALL ACTIVE PRIVATE POPULATION | |
|----------|---|------|---|-----|--|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| 1 | 701 | 52% | 650 | 48% | 1,351 | 100% |
| 2 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 1,423 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1,423 | 100% |
| 5 | 15 | 8% | 177 | 92% | 192 | 100% |
| 6 | 46 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 46 | 0% |
| 7 | 52 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 52 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,120 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 1,120 | 100% |
| 9 | 67 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 67 | 100% |
| 10 | 439 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 439 | 100% |
| 11 | 11 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 0% |
| 12 | 192 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 192 | 0% |
| 13 | 50 | 29% | 122 | 71% | 172 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 6 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 17 | 417 | 36% | 744 | 64% | 1,161 | 100% |
| 18 | 2,138 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 2,138 | 100% |
| 19 | 965 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 965 | 100% |
| 20 | 720 | 53% | 645 | 47% | 1,365 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,034 | 66% | 530 | 34% | 1,564 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 9,398 | 77% | 2,868 | 23% | 12,266 | 100% |

¹ **ACTIVE PRIVATE CLIENTS DIRECTLY SENTENCED FROM COURT:** This information was reported by each local private provider and is only the number of clients that have been directly sentenced from the court for supervision.

² **ALL ACTIVE PRIVATE POPULATION:** This total is all active clients being supervised by private probation (referred by probation and directly sentenced from the court).

TABLE 59

FY 2002
Colorado Adult Probation
Total Active Clients on June 30, 2002

| DISTRICT | TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED ACTIVE CLIENTS | | TOTAL PRIVATE CLIENTS TRANSFERRED BY STATE PROBATION DEPT. | | ¹ TOTAL ACTIVE PRIVATE CLIENTS SENTENCED DIRECTLY BY COURT | | TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------|--|-----|---|-----|-------------------------------------|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| 1 | 2,461 | 65% | 701 | 18% | 650 | 17% | 3,812 | 100% |
| 2 | 4,490 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4,490 | 100% |
| 3 | 355 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 355 | 100% |
| 4 | 3,477 | 71% | 1,423 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 4,900 | 100% |
| 5 | 599 | 76% | 15 | 2% | 177 | 22% | 791 | 100% |
| 6 | 614 | 93% | 46 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 660 | 100% |
| 7 | 560 | 92% | 52 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 612 | 100% |
| 8 | 1,446 | 56% | 1,120 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 2,566 | 100% |
| 9 | 436 | 87% | 67 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 503 | 100% |
| 10 | 1,268 | 74% | 439 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 1,707 | 100% |
| 11 | 810 | 99% | 11 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 821 | 100% |
| 12 | 289 | 60% | 192 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 481 | 100% |
| 13 | 494 | 74% | 50 | 8% | 122 | 18% | 666 | 100% |
| 14 | 434 | 100% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 436 | 100% |
| 15 | 256 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 256 | 100% |
| 16 | 523 | 99% | 6 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 529 | 100% |
| 17 | 2,967 | 72% | 417 | 10% | 744 | 18% | 4,128 | 100% |
| 18 | 5,121 | 71% | 2,138 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 7,259 | 100% |
| 19 | 1,126 | 54% | 965 | 46% | 0 | 0% | 2,091 | 100% |
| 20 | 1,154 | 45% | 720 | 29% | 645 | 26% | 2,519 | 100% |
| 21 | 1,069 | 41% | 1,034 | 39% | 530 | 20% | 2,633 | 100% |
| 22 | 206 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 206 | 100% |
| STATE | 30,155 | 71% | 9,398 | 22% | 2,868 | 7% | 42,421 | 100% |

¹ ACTIVE PRIVATE CLIENTS DIRECTLY SENTENCED FROM COURT: This information was reported by each local private provider and is only the number of clients that have been directly sentenced from the court for supervision.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 60

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Investigations

| District | Pre Dispositional | | Intake | | Detention | | Pre-Plea | | Preliminary | | Transfer to Adult Court | | Custody | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Updated PSI | | Total Investigations | |
|--------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 617 | 98% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 12 | 2% | 631 | 100% |
| 2 | 666 | 80% | 157 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 828 | 100% |
| 3 | 24 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 24 | 100% |
| 4 | 567 | 95% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 30 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 597 | 100% |
| 5 | 83 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 83 | 100% |
| 6 | 77 | 93% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 6% | 1 | 1% | 83 | 100% |
| 7 | 144 | 92% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 2% | 9 | 6% | 156 | 100% |
| 8 | 352 | 95% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 5% | 370 | 100% |
| 9 | 137 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 2% | 53 | 27% | 193 | 100% |
| 10 | 22 | 88% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 100% |
| 11 | 48 | 89% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 9% | 1 | 2% | 54 | 100% |
| 12 | 54 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 17% | 65 | 100% |
| 13 | 90 | 86% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% | 12 | 11% | 105 | 100% |
| 14 | 101 | 90% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 10 | 9% | 112 | 100% |
| 15 | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 16 | 7 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 17 | 176 | 98% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 180 | 100% |
| 18 | 150 | 20% | 605 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 755 | 100% |
| 19 | 219 | 95% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 2% | 8 | 3% | 231 | 100% |
| 20 | 127 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 127 | 100% |
| 21 | 238 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 238 | 100% |
| 22 | 45 | 94% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 2 | 4% | 48 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,949 | 81% | 762 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 69 | 1% | 137 | 3% | 4,917 | 100% |

Key:

Pre-Dispositional Report: Pre-sentence report completed on a juvenile by probation for sentencing.

Intake: Pre-sentence assessment screening. **Detention:** A report detailing juvenile's risk and need for further detention.

Pre-Plea: A report prepared by probation before plea. **Preliminary:** Report not used at this time.

Transfer: A report prepared for the Court when the offense is such that the District Attorney moves to have the case transferred to Adult Court.

Custody: Probation completes assessment and prepares report for purposes of determination of custody of juvenile.

Interstate Transfer: An assessment to determine acceptance or rejection of interstate supervision of a juvenile.

Update: Pre-sentence report done subsequent to completion of a full presentence report which serves to update juvenile's status.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 61

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

| Type: District | Probation Granted | | Deferred Adjudications | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Missing Data | | Total New Cases | |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 905 | 97% | 29 | 3% | 3 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 937 | 100% |
| 2 | 1,105 | 95% | 53 | 5% | 6 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,164 | 100% |
| 3 | 38 | 87% | 5 | 11% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| 4 | 651 | 97% | 3 | 0% | 20 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 674 | 100% |
| 5 | 114 | 99% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 115 | 100% |
| 6 | 68 | 93% | 3 | 4% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 73 | 100% |
| 7 | 130 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 130 | 100% |
| 8 | 284 | 100% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 286 | 100% |
| 9 | 92 | 90% | 8 | 8% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 102 | 100% |
| 10 | 273 | 86% | 40 | 13% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 317 | 100% |
| 11 | 98 | 61% | 57 | 35% | 6 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 161 | 100% |
| 12 | 34 | 48% | 36 | 51% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 13 | 60 | 67% | 29 | 32% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 14 | 50 | 70% | 21 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 15 | 38 | 94% | 1 | 3% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 100% |
| 16 | 75 | 83% | 15 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 17 | 767 | 93% | 46 | 6% | 7 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 820 | 100% |
| 18 | 941 | 77% | 278 | 23% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,220 | 100% |
| 19 | 357 | 81% | 81 | 18% | 6 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 20 | 251 | 53% | 222 | 47% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 474 | 100% |
| 21 | 222 | 95% | 11 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 233 | 100% |
| 22 | 35 | 80% | 7 | 15% | 2 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 6,588 | 87% | 946 | 12% | 66 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 7,600 | 100% |

Please Note: FY1999 This chart had a category titled "Probation Revoked Regranted for New Term". This category is no longer considered a new client to probation as they were already counted in another month. Therefore, this category is no longer calculated as a new client in FY2000, FY2001 or FY2002.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 62

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Gender

| Gender Type: District | Female | | Male | | Unknown | | Total New Cases | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|---------|-----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 205 | 22% | 712 | 76% | 20 | 2% | 937 | 100% |
| 2 | 239 | 21% | 925 | 79% | 0 | 0% | 1,164 | 100% |
| 3 | 10 | 23% | 34 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| 4 | 160 | 24% | 511 | 76% | 3 | 0% | 674 | 100% |
| 5 | 19 | 17% | 87 | 76% | 9 | 7% | 115 | 100% |
| 6 | 16 | 22% | 44 | 60% | 13 | 18% | 73 | 100% |
| 7 | 26 | 20% | 101 | 78% | 3 | 2% | 130 | 100% |
| 8 | 51 | 18% | 234 | 82% | 1 | 0% | 286 | 100% |
| 9 | 26 | 25% | 76 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 102 | 100% |
| 10 | 71 | 22% | 246 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 317 | 100% |
| 11 | 37 | 23% | 123 | 76% | 1 | 1% | 161 | 100% |
| 12 | 18 | 25% | 53 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 13 | 23 | 26% | 67 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 14 | 10 | 14% | 60 | 85% | 1 | 1% | 71 | 100% |
| 15 | 10 | 25% | 30 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 100% |
| 16 | 22 | 24% | 56 | 63% | 12 | 13% | 90 | 100% |
| 17 | 140 | 17% | 680 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 820 | 100% |
| 18 | 280 | 23% | 940 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 1,220 | 100% |
| 19 | 111 | 25% | 333 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 20 | 83 | 18% | 388 | 82% | 3 | 0% | 474 | 100% |
| 21 | 61 | 26% | 172 | 74% | 0 | 0% | 233 | 100% |
| 22 | 10 | 23% | 34 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,628 | 21% | 5,906 | 78% | 66 | 1% | 7,600 | 100% |

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 63

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

| Ethnic Type: District | Anglo | | Black | | Asian | | Hispanic | | Native American | | Other | | Missing Data | | Total New Cases | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 829 | 89% | 42 | 4% | 17 | 2% | 25 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 23 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 937 | 100% |
| 2 | 520 | 45% | 300 | 26% | 18 | 2% | 307 | 26% | 12 | 1% | 7 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1,164 | 100% |
| 3 | 16 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 26 | 60% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| 4 | 407 | 61% | 111 | 16% | 11 | 2% | 121 | 18% | 8 | 1% | 16 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 674 | 100% |
| 5 | 89 | 77% | 5 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 115 | 100% |
| 6 | 45 | 62% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 11% | 1 | 1% | 19 | 26% | 0 | 0% | 73 | 100% |
| 7 | 109 | 84% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 8 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 130 | 100% |
| 8 | 237 | 83% | 9 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 33 | 12% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 286 | 100% |
| 9 | 82 | 80% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 19 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 102 | 100% |
| 10 | 239 | 76% | 4 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 67 | 21% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 317 | 100% |
| 11 | 152 | 94% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 2% | 1 | 1% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 161 | 100% |
| 12 | 45 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 25 | 35% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 13 | 75 | 83% | 5 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 14 | 52 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 15 | 27 | 67% | 2 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 28% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 100% |
| 16 | 51 | 57% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 17% | 2 | 2% | 20 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 17 | 586 | 72% | 82 | 10% | 28 | 3% | 99 | 12% | 2 | 0% | 23 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 820 | 100% |
| 18 | 892 | 74% | 237 | 19% | 41 | 3% | 19 | 2% | 1 | 0% | 30 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 1,220 | 100% |
| 19 | 181 | 41% | 4 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 257 | 58% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 20 | 349 | 74% | 13 | 3% | 13 | 3% | 93 | 20% | 3 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 474 | 100% |
| 21 | 206 | 88% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 9% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 233 | 100% |
| 22 | 32 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 11 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 5,221 | 68% | 822 | 11% | 133 | 2% | 1,183 | 15% | 48 | 1% | 191 | 3% | 2 | 0% | 7,600 | 100% |

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 64

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Age

| Age: District | 0-14 years | | 15 years | | 16 years | | 17 years | | 18 + years | | Unknown | | Total New Cases | |
|------------------|------------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|------------|-----|---------|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 226 | 24% | 146 | 16% | 219 | 23% | 233 | 25% | 109 | 12% | 4 | 0% | 937 | 100% |
| 2 | 304 | 26% | 234 | 20% | 226 | 19% | 252 | 22% | 148 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 1,164 | 100% |
| 3 | 10 | 23% | 6 | 14% | 12 | 27% | 12 | 27% | 4 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| 4 | 192 | 28% | 139 | 21% | 121 | 18% | 159 | 24% | 62 | 9% | 1 | 0% | 674 | 100% |
| 5 | 24 | 21% | 14 | 12% | 29 | 25% | 21 | 18% | 26 | 23% | 1 | 1% | 115 | 100% |
| 6 | 22 | 30% | 14 | 19% | 18 | 25% | 15 | 21% | 3 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 73 | 100% |
| 7 | 28 | 22% | 27 | 21% | 32 | 25% | 26 | 20% | 15 | 12% | 2 | 0% | 130 | 100% |
| 8 | 82 | 28% | 50 | 17% | 53 | 19% | 62 | 22% | 39 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 286 | 100% |
| 9 | 20 | 20% | 18 | 18% | 23 | 23% | 29 | 27% | 12 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 102 | 100% |
| 10 | 87 | 27% | 66 | 21% | 65 | 21% | 79 | 25% | 20 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 317 | 100% |
| 11 | 56 | 35% | 19 | 12% | 33 | 20% | 37 | 23% | 13 | 8% | 3 | 2% | 161 | 100% |
| 12 | 16 | 23% | 7 | 10% | 20 | 28% | 17 | 24% | 11 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 13 | 10 | 11% | 20 | 22% | 20 | 22% | 24 | 27% | 16 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 14 | 9 | 13% | 7 | 10% | 15 | 21% | 21 | 29% | 19 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 71 | 100% |
| 15 | 7 | 18% | 6 | 15% | 11 | 27% | 10 | 25% | 6 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 100% |
| 16 | 25 | 27% | 21 | 23% | 24 | 27% | 14 | 16% | 6 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 17 | 191 | 23% | 173 | 21% | 200 | 25% | 176 | 21% | 78 | 10% | 2 | 0% | 820 | 100% |
| 18 | 268 | 22% | 233 | 19% | 282 | 23% | 279 | 23% | 158 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 1,220 | 100% |
| 19 | 154 | 34% | 96 | 22% | 83 | 19% | 84 | 19% | 27 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 444 | 100% |
| 20 | 110 | 23% | 83 | 18% | 114 | 24% | 113 | 24% | 53 | 11% | 1 | 0% | 474 | 100% |
| 21 | 57 | 24% | 32 | 14% | 51 | 22% | 60 | 26% | 33 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 233 | 100% |
| 22 | 12 | 27% | 10 | 23% | 11 | 25% | 6 | 14% | 5 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,910 | 25% | 1,421 | 19% | 1,662 | 22% | 1,729 | 23% | 863 | 11% | 15 | 0% | 7,600 | 100% |

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 65

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Termination Types

| District | SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS | | | | Total Terminations | |
|--------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconcion | | Total Terminations | |
| District | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 434 | 82% | 77 | 15% | 18 | 3% | 529 | 100% |
| 2 | 470 | 68% | 162 | 23% | 63 | 9% | 695 | 100% |
| 3 | 18 | 78% | 5 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 4 | 438 | 70% | 136 | 21% | 60 | 9% | 634 | 100% |
| 5 | 70 | 92% | 3 | 4% | 3 | 4% | 76 | 100% |
| 6 | 46 | 65% | 20 | 29% | 4 | 6% | 70 | 100% |
| 7 | 97 | 77% | 22 | 17% | 7 | 6% | 126 | 100% |
| 8 | 158 | 68% | 64 | 28% | 10 | 4% | 232 | 100% |
| 9 | 92 | 80% | 18 | 16% | 5 | 4% | 115 | 100% |
| 10 | 105 | 64% | 48 | 30% | 9 | 6% | 162 | 100% |
| 11 | 126 | 78% | 28 | 18% | 6 | 4% | 160 | 100% |
| 12 | 75 | 83% | 10 | 11% | 5 | 6% | 90 | 100% |
| 13 | 49 | 76% | 12 | 18% | 4 | 6% | 65 | 100% |
| 14 | 37 | 84% | 5 | 11% | 2 | 5% | 44 | 100% |
| 15 | 30 | 74% | 5 | 13% | 5 | 13% | 40 | 100% |
| 16 | 31 | 81% | 6 | 16% | 1 | 3% | 38 | 100% |
| 17 | 347 | 76% | 73 | 16% | 35 | 8% | 455 | 100% |
| 18 | 462 | 68% | 160 | 23% | 62 | 9% | 684 | 100% |
| 19 | 237 | 63% | 115 | 31% | 24 | 6% | 376 | 100% |
| 20 | 291 | 88% | 27 | 8% | 12 | 4% | 330 | 100% |
| 21* | 85 | 71% | 32 | 27% | 2 | 2% | 119 | 100% |
| 22 | 34 | 70% | 9 | 18% | 6 | 12% | 49 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,732 | 73% | 1,037 | 20% | 343 | 7% | 5,112 | 100% |

Key: 21*: This district currently has private probation for juveniles.

Successful Terminations : This number equals the total successful terminations (TERM) and private successful terminations of those districts that use juvenile private probation.

Cases supervised by a private provider were sent through probation and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

Unsuccessful Terminations : This column includes Revocations and Absconcions. A revocation is defined as a revocation for probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconcion: An absconcion is defined as an offender who has fled from supervision and whereabouts is unknown.

Total Terminations : In this chart only, the total terminations include: Revocations, Absconcions and Successful Terminations from probation supervision.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 66

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

| Type: District | Successful | | Revocation | | Absconson | | Change of Venue | | Administrative | | Missing Data | | Total Terminations | |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 434 | 59% | 77 | 10% | 18 | 2% | 203 | 28% | 5 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 737 | 100% |
| 2 | 470 | 56% | 162 | 19% | 63 | 8% | 142 | 17% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 838 | 100% |
| 3 | 18 | 59% | 5 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 19% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 100% |
| 4 | 438 | 63% | 136 | 19% | 60 | 9% | 63 | 9% | 2 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 699 | 100% |
| 5 | 70 | 78% | 3 | 3% | 3 | 3% | 8 | 9% | 6 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 6 | 46 | 60% | 20 | 26% | 4 | 5% | 4 | 5% | 3 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| 7 | 97 | 73% | 22 | 16% | 7 | 5% | 5 | 4% | 3 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 8 | 158 | 55% | 64 | 22% | 10 | 3% | 49 | 17% | 9 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 290 | 100% |
| 9 | 92 | 77% | 18 | 15% | 5 | 4% | 5 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 120 | 100% |
| 10 | 105 | 54% | 48 | 25% | 9 | 5% | 29 | 15% | 2 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 193 | 100% |
| 11 | 126 | 70% | 28 | 16% | 6 | 3% | 18 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 179 | 100% |
| 12 | 75 | 77% | 10 | 10% | 5 | 5% | 5 | 5% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 98 | 100% |
| 13 | 49 | 57% | 12 | 14% | 4 | 5% | 19 | 22% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 86 | 100% |
| 14 | 37 | 67% | 5 | 9% | 2 | 4% | 8 | 15% | 3 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 100% |
| 15 | 30 | 67% | 5 | 11% | 5 | 11% | 4 | 9% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 45 | 100% |
| 16 | 31 | 66% | 6 | 13% | 1 | 2% | 9 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 47 | 100% |
| 17 | 347 | 55% | 73 | 11% | 35 | 5% | 188 | 29% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 644 | 100% |
| 18 | 462 | 48% | 160 | 17% | 62 | 6% | 275 | 28% | 8 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 967 | 100% |
| 19 | 237 | 58% | 115 | 28% | 24 | 6% | 24 | 6% | 10 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 410 | 100% |
| 20 | 291 | 73% | 27 | 7% | 12 | 3% | 62 | 16% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 396 | 100% |
| 21* | 85 | 64% | 32 | 24% | 2 | 1% | 14 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 22 | 34 | 66% | 9 | 18% | 6 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,732 | 60% | 1,037 | 16% | 343 | 5% | 1,140 | 18% | 69 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 6,321 | 100% |

Key: 21* This district currently has private probation for juveniles.

Successful Terminations : This number equals the total successful terminations (TERM) and private successful terminations of those districts that use juvenile private probation.

Cases supervised by a private provider were sent through probation and terminated on ICON or were sentenced to a private provider and were reported by local private providers as a successful termination.

Revocations : This column includes revocation from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or a new felony.

Absconson : This column includes offenders that may have absconded from supervision and a warrant issued.

Change of Venue : This column includes juveniles who have been sent to another jurisdiction for supervision.

Admin Closure : Includes death of an offender, deportation or no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).

Closure Types for Interstate offenders supervised by Colorado Probation are included in the above table.

TABLE 67

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Type of Revocation

| Type: District | New Felony | | New Misdemeanor | | Technical Violation | | Missing Data | | Total Revocations | |
|-------------------|------------|-----|-----------------|-----|---------------------|------|--------------|----|-------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 4 | 5% | 14 | 18% | 59 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| 2 | 14 | 9% | 6 | 4% | 142 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 162 | 100% |
| 3 | 2 | 40% | 1 | 20% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 4 | 42 | 31% | 11 | 8% | 83 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 136 | 100% |
| 5 | 2 | 67% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 6 | 4 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 16 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| 7 | 9 | 41% | 2 | 9% | 11 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 100% |
| 8 | 12 | 19% | 4 | 6% | 48 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 64 | 100% |
| 9 | 6 | 33% | 3 | 17% | 9 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 10 | 9 | 19% | 6 | 13% | 33 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 100% |
| 11 | 3 | 11% | 5 | 18% | 20 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 12 | 3 | 30% | 4 | 40% | 3 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 17% | 2 | 17% | 8 | 66% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 20% | 3 | 60% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 5 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 17 | 19 | 26% | 9 | 12% | 45 | 62% | 0 | 0% | 73 | 100% |
| 18 | 19 | 12% | 32 | 20% | 109 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 160 | 100% |
| 19 | 22 | 19% | 23 | 20% | 70 | 61% | 0 | 0% | 115 | 100% |
| 20 | 4 | 15% | 6 | 22% | 17 | 63% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| 21 | 4 | 13% | 2 | 6% | 26 | 81% | 0 | 0% | 32 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% | 8 | 89% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| STATE | 181 | 17% | 136 | 13% | 720 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 1,037 | 100% |

Key: *Felony* : This is a revocation for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

Misdemeanor : This is a revocation for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

Technical : This is a revocation for probation supervision violations (i.e. Drug use, non-compliance)

Total Revocations : This total includes felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 68

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Supervision Level at Termination

| Risk Level: | New: w/in first 30 days of supervision start date | | | | | | Administrative | | Interstate Transfer to Other State | | Unclassified | | Missing Data | | Total Terminations | | | |
|--------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------------|-----|------------------------------------|-----|--------------|----|--------------|-----|--------------------|----|-------|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | | |
| 1 | 9 | 1% | 63 | 9% | 177 | 24% | 303 | 41% | 65 | 9% | 7 | 1% | 113 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 737 | 100% |
| 2 | 9 | 1% | 191 | 23% | 183 | 22% | 268 | 32% | 176 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 1% | 1 | 0% | 838 | 100% |
| 3 | 2 | 6% | 7 | 23% | 4 | 13% | 11 | 36% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 100% |
| 4 | 12 | 2% | 177 | 25% | 223 | 31% | 193 | 28% | 53 | 8% | 4 | 1% | 37 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 699 | 100% |
| 5 | 1 | 1% | 11 | 12% | 17 | 19% | 40 | 45% | 20 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 15 | 20% | 18 | 23% | 18 | 23% | 19 | 25% | 7 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 21 | 16% | 35 | 26% | 47 | 35% | 26 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 8 | 16 | 6% | 49 | 16% | 130 | 44% | 43 | 15% | 28 | 10% | 19 | 7% | 5 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 290 | 100% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 15 | 13% | 14 | 12% | 51 | 42% | 33 | 27% | 7 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 120 | 100% |
| 10 | 11 | 6% | 28 | 15% | 62 | 31% | 46 | 24% | 27 | 14% | 3 | 2% | 16 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 193 | 100% |
| 11 | 1 | 1% | 7 | 4% | 64 | 36% | 76 | 42% | 23 | 13% | 2 | 1% | 6 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 179 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 12 | 12% | 25 | 26% | 45 | 46% | 13 | 13% | 2 | 2% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 98 | 100% |
| 13 | 4 | 5% | 19 | 22% | 18 | 21% | 20 | 23% | 21 | 24% | 3 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 86 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 4% | 10 | 18% | 17 | 31% | 11 | 20% | 10 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 100% |
| 15 | 1 | 2% | 7 | 16% | 12 | 27% | 9 | 20% | 15 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 45 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 6 | 13% | 13 | 28% | 17 | 36% | 9 | 19% | 1 | 2% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 47 | 100% |
| 17 | 77 | 12% | 55 | 9% | 125 | 19% | 180 | 28% | 180 | 28% | 1 | 0% | 26 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 644 | 100% |
| 18 | 2 | 0% | 117 | 12% | 181 | 19% | 298 | 30% | 191 | 20% | 18 | 2% | 160 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 967 | 100% |
| 19 | 2 | 0% | 59 | 14% | 135 | 34% | 102 | 25% | 107 | 26% | 4 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 410 | 100% |
| 20 | 19 | 4% | 56 | 14% | 103 | 26% | 90 | 23% | 26 | 7% | 3 | 1% | 99 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 396 | 100% |
| 21 | 2 | 1% | 25 | 19% | 45 | 34% | 43 | 32% | 13 | 10% | 1 | 0% | 5 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 6 | 12% | 13 | 25% | 8 | 16% | 13 | 25% | 2 | 4% | 9 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 100% |
| STATE | 170 | 3% | 956 | 15% | 1,614 | 26% | 1,919 | 30% | 1,070 | 17% | 84 | 1% | 506 | 8% | 2 | 0% | 6,321 | 100% |

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 69

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Length of Stay at Termination

| Number of Months ¹ : | 0-12 months | | 13-24 months | | 25-36 months | | 37+ months | | Unknown | | Missing Data | | Total Terminations | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|
| | District | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number |
| 1 | 461 | 62% | 207 | 28% | 50 | 7% | 19 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 737 | 100% |
| 2 | 506 | 61% | 224 | 27% | 70 | 8% | 37 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 838 | 100% |
| 3 | 15 | 49% | 10 | 32% | 1 | 3% | 5 | 16% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 31 | 100% |
| 4 | 382 | 55% | 219 | 31% | 59 | 8% | 39 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 699 | 100% |
| 5 | 51 | 56% | 26 | 29% | 5 | 6% | 8 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 90 | 100% |
| 6 | 39 | 50% | 26 | 34% | 10 | 13% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 77 | 100% |
| 7 | 44 | 33% | 69 | 52% | 14 | 10% | 7 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 8 | 149 | 51% | 92 | 32% | 30 | 10% | 19 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 290 | 100% |
| 9 | 64 | 53% | 37 | 31% | 15 | 13% | 4 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 120 | 100% |
| 10 | 123 | 64% | 55 | 28% | 11 | 6% | 4 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 193 | 100% |
| 11 | 100 | 56% | 56 | 31% | 14 | 8% | 8 | 4% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 179 | 100% |
| 12 | 62 | 63% | 28 | 29% | 6 | 6% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 98 | 100% |
| 13 | 41 | 47% | 22 | 26% | 17 | 20% | 6 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 86 | 100% |
| 14 | 28 | 51% | 16 | 29% | 4 | 7% | 7 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 55 | 100% |
| 15 | 13 | 29% | 14 | 31% | 13 | 29% | 5 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 45 | 100% |
| 16 | 12 | 26% | 22 | 46% | 9 | 19% | 4 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 47 | 100% |
| 17 | 383 | 59% | 175 | 27% | 63 | 10% | 23 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 644 | 100% |
| 18 | 517 | 54% | 264 | 27% | 124 | 13% | 62 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 967 | 100% |
| 19 | 208 | 51% | 143 | 35% | 47 | 11% | 11 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 410 | 100% |
| 20 | 282 | 71% | 82 | 21% | 21 | 5% | 11 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 396 | 100% |
| 21 | 69 | 52% | 46 | 34% | 10 | 7% | 9 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 134 | 100% |
| 22 | 35 | 69% | 14 | 27% | 2 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 51 | 100% |
| STATE | 3,584 | 56% | 1,847 | 30% | 595 | 9% | 292 | 5% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 6,321 | 100% |

Number of Months¹: In FY1999, the Length of Stay Categories for Juveniles was 0-6, 7-12, 13-18, 19-24 and 25+ months.

Due to programming changes the Length of Stay Categories for Juveniles in FY2000 and FY2001 are the same as the adult categories (0-12, 13-24, 25-36, 37+ months).

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 70

FY 2002
Colorado Juvenile Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2002

| Risk Level: | New: w/in first 30 days of supervision start date | | Maximum | | Medium | | Minimum | | Administrative | | Interstate Transfers to Colorado | | Unclassified | | Total Active Cases | |
|-----------------|---|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------|
| | District | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number |
| 1 | 38 | 4% | 67 | 7% | 382 | 37% | 346 | 37% | 94 | 9% | 5 | 1% | 67 | 7% | 999 | 100% |
| 2 | 34 | 3% | 201 | 19% | 349 | 33% | 241 | 23% | 151 | 14% | 5 | 0% | 82 | 8% | 1,063 | 100% |
| 3 | 4 | 10% | 13 | 33% | 11 | 28% | 7 | 18% | 3 | 8% | 1 | 3% | 1 | 3% | 40 | 100% |
| 4 | 7 | 1% | 227 | 27% | 290 | 35% | 204 | 24% | 56 | 7% | 8 | 1% | 41 | 5% | 833 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 28 | 22% | 35 | 27% | 45 | 35% | 18 | 14% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 2% | 129 | 100% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 14 | 15% | 39 | 43% | 20 | 22% | 16 | 18% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 91 | 100% |
| 7 | 1 | 0% | 25 | 13% | 59 | 31% | 69 | 36% | 25 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 7% | 192 | 100% |
| 8 | 4 | 1% | 74 | 23% | 169 | 53% | 21 | 6% | 37 | 11% | 14 | 4% | 5 | 2% | 324 | 100% |
| 9 | 1 | 0% | 23 | 23% | 18 | 18% | 43 | 42% | 14 | 14% | 3 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 102 | 100% |
| 10 | 23 | 8% | 34 | 12% | 84 | 30% | 72 | 25% | 30 | 11% | 4 | 1% | 37 | 13% | 284 | 100% |
| 11 | 8 | 4% | 14 | 7% | 106 | 49% | 55 | 26% | 29 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 0% | 214 | 100% |
| 12 | 1 | 1% | 4 | 4% | 36 | 41% | 31 | 34% | 15 | 16% | 2 | 2% | 2 | 2% | 91 | 100% |
| 13 | 4 | 3% | 37 | 26% | 28 | 19% | 34 | 23% | 34 | 23% | 4 | 3% | 4 | 3% | 145 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 1% | 24 | 26% | 37 | 41% | 8 | 9% | 9 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 11 | 12% | 91 | 100% |
| 15 | 3 | 5% | 11 | 17% | 15 | 23% | 21 | 33% | 12 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 64 | 100% |
| 16 | 11 | 7% | 21 | 13% | 28 | 16% | 63 | 37% | 10 | 6% | 7 | 4% | 28 | 17% | 168 | 100% |
| 17 | 30 | 4% | 111 | 14% | 187 | 24% | 222 | 27% | 164 | 21% | 4 | 1% | 75 | 9% | 793 | 100% |
| 18 | 50 | 3% | 210 | 13% | 346 | 21% | 619 | 38% | 257 | 16% | 65 | 4% | 84 | 5% | 1,631 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 98 | 17% | 202 | 35% | 148 | 26% | 107 | 19% | 8 | 1% | 9 | 2% | 572 | 100% |
| 20 ¹ | 27 | 7% | 103 | 27% | 76 | 20% | 58 | 15% | 44 | 11% | 1 | 0% | 75 | 20% | 384 | 100% |
| 21 ² | 1 | 0% | 63 | 22% | 114 | 39% | 71 | 25% | 25 | 9% | 2 | 1% | 13 | 4% | 289 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 2% | 5 | 8% | 25 | 43% | 15 | 25% | 7 | 12% | 4 | 7% | 2 | 3% | 59 | 100% |
| STATE | 249 | 3% | 1,407 | 15% | 2,636 | 32% | 2,413 | 28% | 1,157 | 14% | 141 | 2% | 555 | 6% | 8,558 | 100% |

20¹: This district has juvenile cases being supervised as adults by a private provider, these private numbers are included in each of these supervision levels.

21²: This district contracts with a private provider for juveniles. Private probation numbers are included in each of these supervision levels.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Report submitted by probation districts July 2001 to June 2002.

TABLE 71

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation New Cases by Order Type

| Type: | Direct Sentence to Special Programs | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Interstate | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Total New Cases | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|------|------------|----|---|-----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| DISTRICT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 157 | 85% | 24 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 2% | 185 | 100% |
| 3 | 3 | 43% | 4 | 57% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 4 | 73 | 53% | 59 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 2% | 135 | 100% |
| 5 | 7 | 58% | 5 | 42% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 6 | 6 | 67% | 3 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 8 | 59 | 67% | 27 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 3% | 89 | 100% |
| 9 | 10 | 77% | 2 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 13 | 100% |
| 10 | 17 | 52% | 12 | 36% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 12% | 33 | 100% |
| 11 | 4 | 57% | 3 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 12 | 5 | 28% | 13 | 72% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 13 | 2 | 25% | 4 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 25% | 8 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 15 | 4 | 50% | 4 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 37 | 45% | 40 | 49% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 6% | 82 | 100% |
| 18 | 39 | 41% | 54 | 58% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 94 | 100% |
| 19 | 26 | 59% | 14 | 32% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 9% | 44 | 100% |
| 20 | 41 | 78% | 11 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 53 | 100% |
| 21 | 7 | 44% | 8 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 16 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 28* | 372 | 71% | 144 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 2% | 527 | 100% |
| STATE | 871 | 65% | 435 | 32% | 0 | 0% | 40 | 3% | 1,346 | 100% |

28*: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 72

FY2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Specialized Drug Offender Program New Cases by Order Type

| DISTRICT | Direct Sentence to Special Programs | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Interstate | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Total New Cases | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|------|------------|----|---|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 14 | 30% | 32 | 70% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 46 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 20% | 15 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 5% | 20 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 9 | 1 | 11% | 8 | 89% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 10 | 3 | 33% | 6 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 5 | 24% | 15 | 71% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 5% | 21 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 2 | 25% | 6 | 75% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 13 | 50% | 13 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 26 | 100% |
| 18 | 4 | 17% | 18 | 75% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 24 | 100% |
| 19 | 10 | 36% | 18 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 3 | 27% | 8 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 25* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 59 | 27% | 157 | 71% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 220 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

28**: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 73

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Female Offender Program New Cases by Order Type

| Type: DISTRICT | Direct Sentence to Special Programs | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Interstate | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Total New Cases | |
|--------------------------|--|-----|------------------------------------|-----|------------|----|---|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 3 | 20% | 12 | 80% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 3 | 13% | 20 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 6 | 33% | 12 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 100% |
| 18 | 2 | 22% | 7 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 25* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28** | 73 | 66% | 37 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 111 | 100% |
| STATE | 87 | 49% | 88 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 176 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

28**: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program New Cases by Order Type

| Type: DISTRICT | Direct Sentence to Special Programs | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Interstate | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Total New Cases | |
|-------------------|--|------|------------------------------------|------|------------|----|---|-----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 45 | 94% | 3 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 48 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 12 | 23% | 39 | 73% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 4% | 53 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 5 | 83% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 9 | 4 | 66% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 6 | 100% |
| 10 | 7 | 44% | 7 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 12% | 16 | 100% |
| 11 | 1 | 7% | 13 | 86% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 7% | 15 | 100% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 6 | 67% | 3 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 14 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 17 | 45% | 20 | 53% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 38 | 100% |
| 18 | 30 | 45% | 36 | 54% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 67 | 100% |
| 19 | 15 | 83% | 2 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 18 | 100% |
| 20 | 4 | 80% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 25* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28** | 23 | 82% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 11% | 28 | 100% |
| STATE | 170 | 53% | 135 | 43% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 4% | 317 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

28**: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

TABLE 75

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation New Cases by Order Type

| Type: DISTRICT | Direct Sentence to Special Programs | | Transfer from Regular Probation | | Interstate | | Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts | | Total New Cases | |
|-------------------|--|------|------------------------------------|-----|------------|----|---|----|-----------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 24 | 45% | 29 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 53 | 100% |
| 3 | 1 | 33% | 2 | 67% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 4 | 15 | 56% | 12 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 27 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 5 | 50% | 5 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 8 | 89% | 1 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 9 | 4 | 40% | 6 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 100% |
| 10 | 7 | 87% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 100% |
| 13 | 8 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 17 | 31 | 94% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 33 | 100% |
| 18 | 11 | 73% | 4 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 19 | 3 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 20 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 21 | 13 | 87% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 25* | 11 | 25% | 30 | 68% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 7% | 44 | 100% |
| STATE | 148 | 61% | 94 | 38% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 1% | 245 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 76

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation Discharges

| Type: | Successful: Finish on Regular Probation | | Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Revoked and Reinstated back to Intensive Supervision | | Revoked: Community Corrections | | Revocation: Technical no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Felony no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Misdemeanor no longer on Probation | | Abscond | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Total Discharges | |
|-------|---|------|--|-----|--|------|--------------------------------|-----|--|-----|---|-----|--|-----|---------|------|---|----|---|-----|------------------|------|
| | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % | # | % |
| 1 | 30 | 22% | 8 | 6% | 12 | 9% | 24 | 18% | 23 | 17% | 7 | 5% | 7 | 5% | 15 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 7% | 135 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 100% |
| 4 | 64 | 45% | 3 | 2% | 12 | 8% | 3 | 2% | 18 | 12% | 18 | 12% | 8 | 5% | 15 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 4 | 3% | 146 | 100% |
| 5 | 8 | 68% | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 100% |
| 6 | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 2 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 6 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 30 | 41% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 3% | 4 | 5% | 15 | 20% | 3 | 4% | 8 | 11% | 6 | 8% | 2 | 3% | 3 | 4% | 74 | 100% |
| 9 | 6 | 36% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 13% | 16 | 100% |
| 10 | 8 | 35% | 2 | 9% | 3 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 22% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 3 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 23 | 100% |
| 11 | 3 | 42% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 100% |
| 12 | 7 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 18% | 2 | 12% | 3 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 17 | 100% |
| 13 | 4 | 37% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 27% | 1 | 9% | 2 | 18% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 14 | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 38 | 56% | 2 | 3% | 5 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 6% | 5 | 7% | 2 | 3% | 10 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 4% | 69 | 100% |
| 18 | 40 | 35% | 5 | 4% | 4 | 4% | 18 | 16% | 24 | 21% | 3 | 3% | 3 | 3% | 11 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 4% | 112 | 100% |
| 19 | 19 | 44% | 1 | 2% | 5 | 12% | 4 | 10% | 4 | 10% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 10% | 42 | 100% |
| 20 | 3 | 10% | 2 | 7% | 6 | 20% | 7 | 23% | 6 | 20% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 10% | 30 | 100% |
| 21 | 8 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 25% | 2 | 10% | 4 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 5% | 20 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| 28* | 146 | 30% | 35 | 8% | 34 | 8% | 52 | 12% | 71 | 16% | 25 | 6% | 3 | 1% | 66 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 4% | 452 | 100% |
| STATE | 418 | 35% | 63 | 5% | 99 | 8% | 121 | 10% | 186 | 16% | 65 | 6% | 34 | 3% | 138 | 12% | 3 | 0% | 54 | 5% | 1,181 | 100% |

28*: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 77

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Specialized Drug Offender Program Discharges

| DISTRICT | Successful: Finish on Regular Probation | | Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Revoked and Reinstated back to Drug Offender | | Revoked: Community Corrections | | Revocation: Technical no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Felony no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Misdemeanor no longer on Probation | | Abscond | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Total Discharges | |
|--------------|---|------------|--|------------|--|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|------------|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|------------|---|-----------|---|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 18 | 22% | 8 | 10% | 28 | 34% | 9 | 11% | 7 | 9% | 2 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 82 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 1 | 7% | 2 | 13% | 3 | 20% | 1 | 7% | 1 | 7% | 4 | 26% | 1 | 7% | 2 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 21 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 100% |
| 9 | 3 | 60% | 1 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 20% | 5 | 100% |
| 10 | 1 | 17% | 1 | 17% | 3 | 49% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 10 | 55% | 4 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 6% | 18 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 2 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 13 | 40% | 4 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 6% | 3 | 9% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 6% | 33 | 100% |
| 18 | 14 | 25% | 5 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 15 | 29% | 6 | 12% | 2 | 4% | 6 | 12% | 1 | 2% | 3 | 6% | 52 | 100% |
| 19 | 6 | 55% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 8 | 73% | 2 | 18% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| STATE | 97 | 35% | 28 | 11% | 34 | 16% | 17 | 7% | 27 | 11% | 14 | 5% | 3 | 1% | 27 | 11% | 1 | 0% | 8 | 3% | 256 | 100% |

145

28*: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 78

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Female Offender Program Discharges

| DISTRICT | Successful: Finish on Regular Probation | | Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Revoked and Reinstated back to Female Offender Program | | Revocation: Technical no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Felony no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Misdemeanor no longer on Probation | | Abscond | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Total Discharges | | | |
|----------|---|-----|--|-----|--|-----|--|-----|---|-----|--|----|---------|----|---|-----|---|----|------------------|-----|-----|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | | |
| 1 | 5 | 18% | 5 | 18% | 8 | 27% | 5 | 18% | 1 | 4% | 2 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 9 | 29% | 1 | 3% | 6 | 19% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 31% | 3 | 9% | 1 | 3% | 2 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 32 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 14 | 34% | 1 | 3% | 8 | 21% | 2 | 5% | 5 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 39 | 100% |
| 18 | 10 | 47% | 3 | 14% | 1 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 5% | 1 | 5% | 3 | 14% | 21 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28* | 23 | 19% | 24 | 21% | 7 | 6% | 23 | 19% | 12 | 10% | 1 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 26 | 22% | 1 | 1% | 1 | 1% | 118 | 100% |
| STATE | 61 | 25% | 34 | 14% | 30 | 13% | 30 | 13% | 30 | 13% | 6 | 3% | 1 | 0% | 38 | 16% | 3 | 1% | 5 | 2% | 238 | 100% |

28*: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 79

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program Discharges

| DISTRICT | Successful: Finish on Regular Probation | | Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Revoked and Reinstated back to Intensive Program | | Revoked: Community Corrections | | Revocation: Technical no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Felony no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Misdemeanor no longer on Probation | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Total Discharges | | | |
|----------|---|-----|--|-----|--|-----|--------------------------------|----|--|-----|---|------|--|-----|---|-----|---|----|------------------|-----|----|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | | |
| 1 | 2 | 8% | 1 | 4% | 3 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 58% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 1 | 4% | 24 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 25% | 4 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 8 | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 3 | 0% |
| 9 | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 33% | 3 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 13 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 2 | 0% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 0% | 1 | 0% |
| 18 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 19 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 21 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 28* | 1 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 13% | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 38% | 8 | 100% |
| STATE | 4 | 8% | 2 | 4% | 4 | 8% | 0 | 0% | 21 | 45% | 2 | 4% | 2 | 4% | 3 | 6% | 1 | 2% | 9 | 19% | 48 | 100% |

28*: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 80

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation Discharges

| DISTRICT | Successful: Finish on Regular Probation | | Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation | | Revoked and Reinstated back to Juvenile Intensive | | Revoked: Community Corrections | | Revocation: Technical no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Felony no longer on Probation | | Revocation: New Misdemeanor no longer on Probation | | Abscond | | Transfer to Another Specialized Program | | Courtesy sent back to Original District | | Total Discharges | |
|----------|---|------|--|-----|---|------|--------------------------------|----|--|-----|---|-----|--|-----|---------|-----|---|----|---|-----|------------------|------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 8 | 13% | 18 | 30% | 19 | 32% | 1 | 2% | 7 | 12% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 3% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 5% | 60 | 100% |
| 3 | 0 | 0% | 3 | 60% | 2 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 100% |
| 4 | 1 | 5% | 1 | 5% | 9 | 47% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 32% | 2 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 19 | 100% |
| 5 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 2 | 15% | 4 | 31% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 24% | 2 | 15% | 2 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 7 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 8 | 1 | 3% | 13 | 47% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 34% | 1 | 3% | 2 | 7% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 29 | 100% |
| 9 | 3 | 33% | 3 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% | 1 | 11% | 1 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 10 | 0 | 0% | 9 | 64% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 29% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 100% |
| 11 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 12 | 8 | 40% | 6 | 30% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 15% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| 13 | 7 | 24% | 13 | 45% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 21% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 29 | 100% |
| 14 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 15 | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 16 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 17 | 21 | 34% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 34% | 2 | 3% | 4 | 6% | 4 | 6% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 11% | 62 | 100% |
| 18 | 12 | 29% | 10 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 36% | 3 | 7% | 1 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 2% | 41 | 100% |
| 19 | 2 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 67% | 1 | 11% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 100% |
| 20 | 0 | 0% | 3 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 9% | 6 | 55% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 100% |
| 21 | 6 | 27% | 3 | 14% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 4 | 18% | 3 | 14% | 4 | 18% | 2 | 9% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 22 | 100% |
| 22 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| 25* | 11 | 18% | 21 | 34% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 20% | 6 | 10% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 13% | 1 | 2% | 2 | 3% | 61 | 100% |
| STATE | 83 | 20% | 111 | 30% | 32 | 8% | 2 | 0% | 101 | 25% | 22 | 5% | 18 | 4% | 22 | 5% | 1 | 0% | 14 | 3% | 406 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

TABLE 81

FY 2002
Colorado Probation Specialized Programs
Active Caseloads on June 30, 2002

| DISTRICT | Adult Intensive Supervision Probation | | Specialized Drug Offender Program | | Female Offender Program | | ****Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation | | Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation | | Total Active Cases | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|------------|--|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| 1 | 207 | 48% | 60 | 14% | 26 | 6% | 79 | 19% | 55 | 13% | 427 | 100% |
| 3 | 10 | 62% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 38% | 16 | 100% |
| 4 | 180 | 51% | 30 | 8% | 26 | 7% | 72 | 20% | 50 | 14% | 358 | 100% |
| 5 | 15 | 75% | 5 | 25% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| 6 | 6 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 29% | 14 | 50% | 28 | 100% |
| 7 | 5 | 83% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 100% |
| 8 | 97 | 70% | 7 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 10 | 7% | 25 | 18% | 139 | 100% |
| 9 | 12 | 26% | 10 | 21% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 11% | 20 | 42% | 47 | 100% |
| 10 | 47 | 26% | 38 | 22% | 0 | 0% | 28 | 16% | 63 | 36% | 176 | 100% |
| 11 | 8 | 40% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 12 | 60% | 0 | 0% | 20 | 100% |
| 12 | 14 | 50% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 50% | 28 | 100% |
| 13 | 18 | 27% | 13 | 20% | 0 | 0% | 11 | 17% | 24 | 36% | 66 | 100% |
| 14 | 7 | 54% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 46% | 0 | 0% | 13 | 100% |
| 15 | 7 | 22% | 13 | 41% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 9% | 9 | 28% | 32 | 100% |
| 16 | 4 | 44% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 5 | 56% | 9 | 100% |
| 17 | 63 | 33% | 21 | 11% | 14 | 7% | 22 | 11% | 72 | 38% | 192 | 100% |
| 18 | 202 | 43% | 49 | 10% | 38 | 8% | 90 | 19% | 95 | 20% | 474 | 100% |
| 19 | 64 | 46% | 33 | 24% | 0 | 0% | 29 | 21% | 13 | 9% | 139 | 100% |
| 20 | 100 | 77% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 14 | 11% | 15 | 12% | 129 | 100% |
| 21 | 26 | 30% | 23 | 27% | 0 | 0% | 6 | 7% | 31 | 36% | 86 | 100% |
| 22 | 1 | 13% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 7 | 87% | 0 | 0% | 8 | 100% |
| 25* | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 56 | 100% | 56 | 100% |
| 28** | 458 | 78% | 0 | 0% | 97 | 17% | 27 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 582 | 100% |
| STATE | 1,551 | 50% | 302 | 10% | 201 | 7% | 430 | 14% | 567 | 19% | 3,051 | 100% |

25*: Denver Juvenile Probation.

28**: Denver Adult Probation including Denver Drug Court.

****The Non-SOISP Sex Offender Population is included in the Regular Adult Probation.

Source: Specialized Programs Monthly Summary submitted by probation districts July 2001- June 2002.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2002, the Judicial Branch had a budget of \$219 million and about 3,271 full-time equivalent staff. Of this budget, 86% directly funded the courts and the probations departments (with the trial courts at 54%, the appellate courts at 6% and the probation departments at 26%). The remaining 14% funded support functions (central administration at 6%, information services at 3%, and the insurance and wage survey costs for the Judicial Branch employees at 5%).

Personnel costs of \$126 million comprised the largest portion of the \$219 million total budget, while operating costs of \$9 million comprised the smallest portion. Twenty-five million dollars supported victims compensation and assistance programs and \$11 million was appropriated to mandated costs for court-appointed counsel, jury and court costs. The remaining \$48 million was appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, the collections and alternate dispute resolution programs, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

**JUDICIAL BRANCH
COLLECTIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2001-02**

| COLLECTIONS CATEGORY | AMOUNT |
|--|----------------------|
| GENERAL FUND | |
| Attorney Fees | \$ 72,335 |
| Civil Filing Fees | \$ 11,681,598 |
| Copy Work, Certifications, etc. | \$ 1,095,192 |
| Criminal Fees, Court Costs | \$ 5,652,292 |
| Fish & Game Fines (General Fund portion) | \$ 97,174 |
| Judgment Fees | \$ 16,038 |
| Jury Demand Fees | \$ 1,090,189 |
| Misc. Fees & Fines | \$ 1,300,855 |
| Probate Fees | \$ 1,191,018 |
| Public Defender Fees | \$ 184,917 |
| Civil Action Tax | \$ 267,156 |
| Unclaimed Funds | \$ 403,748 |
| Water Case Filings | \$ 213,793 |
| Water Case Mailings | \$ 5,194 |
| Total General Fund | \$ 23,271,499 |
| Percentage of Total | 23.3% |
| HIGHWAY USERS TRUST FUND | |
| Traffic and DUI Fines | \$ 7,471,341 |
| Percentage of Total | 7.5% |
| VICTIM FUNDS | |
| Restitution | \$ 18,445,512 |
| Victim Assistance Fund | \$ 11,027,424 |
| Victim Compensation Fund | \$ 8,574,067 |
| Total Victim Funds | \$ 38,047,003 |
| Percentage of Total | 38.2% |
| OTHER (Other Special Purposes) | |
| Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees | \$ 4,285,652 |
| Animal Cruelty Surcharges | \$ 1,250 |
| Continuing Legal Educ. Fund | \$ 313,974 |
| Displaced Homemaker Fees (for Dept. Labor & Employment) | \$ 113,301 |
| Domestic Relations Child Trust Fund | \$ 326,734 |
| Drug Offender Surcharge Cash Fund | \$ 3,327,736 |
| Felony & Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund) | \$ 1,295,332 |
| Law Enforcement Assistance Fees (for Dept. of Transport. / Hum. Serv.) | \$ 2,202,802 |
| Law Examiner Board Fund | \$ 710,892 |
| Local Payments (e.g., Municipalities' & Counties' portions) | \$ 5,061,255 |
| Offender ID Fund | \$ 3,108 |
| Offender Services Fund (Probation Supv. Fees; Juv. Cost of Care) | \$ 4,731,478 |
| Office of Dispute Resolution Cash Fund | \$ 681,474 |
| Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharges | \$ 585,324 |
| Sex Offender ID Fees | \$ 20,377 |
| Sex Offender Surcharge Cash Fund | \$ 314,270 |
| Supreme Court Committee Fund | \$ 4,468,426 |
| Supreme Court Library Fund | \$ 341,138 |
| Time Pmt./Late Fees (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund) | \$ 1,934,361 |
| Vital Statistics Tax (for Dept. Health & Environment) | \$ 93,020 |
| Wildlife Fund (for Dept. Natural Resources) | \$ 97,174 |
| Youthful Offender Surcharge | \$ 89 |
| Total Other | \$ 30,909,167 |
| Percentage of Total | 31.0% |
| TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES | \$ 99,699,010 |

Financial Information

| | |
|------------------------|------|
| IIS \$6M | 3.0% |
| Appellate Courts \$13M | 6.0% |

Financial Information

| | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Administration \$14M | 6.0% |
| Insurance Wage \$12M | 5.0% |
| Probation \$57M | 26.0% |
| Trial Courts \$117M | 54.0% |

| | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Operating \$9M | 4.0% |
| Mandated \$11M | 5.0% |
| Victims Funds \$25M | 11.0% |
| Other \$48M | 22.0% |
| Personal Services \$126M | 58.0% |

Financial Information

Financial Information

Chart 5






Chart 6



In Fiscal year 2002, the court and probation departments throughout Colorado collected a record \$99.7 million in various statutory fines, fees, surcharges and restitution. These dollars are earmarked by statute to go to the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Victims Funds, Drug Offender Surcharge, Offender Services Fund, Cash Fund, and numerous other state and local funds and programs. Through the use of Collection Investigators in every district, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive collection strategies and procedures to maximize revenues, particularly in the enforcement of court fines and restitution. Victims of crimes, among others, are important stakeholders in this. As indicated by the chart below, recoveries of restitution have risen sharply over the last ten years.

| YEAR | AMOUNT |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| FY 93 | \$ 7,800,178 |
| FY 94 | \$ 8,421,723 |
| FY 95 | \$ 8,973,089 |
| FY 96 | \$ 10,313,117 |
| FY 97 | \$ 11,554,016 |
| FY 98 | \$ 13,588,270 |
| FY 99 | \$ 14,709,309 |
| FY 00 | \$ 16,861,234 |
| FY 01 | \$ 18,398,097 |
| FY02 | \$ 18,445,512 |
| Ten Year Total | \$ 129,064,545 |



| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| General Government \$226M | 1.70% |
| Judicial \$272M | 2.10% |
| Corrections \$540M | 4.10% |
| Transportation \$1.3B | 10.20% |
| Higher Education \$1.6B | 12.50% |
| Other \$2B | 15.10% |
| K-12 Education \$2.8B | 21.60% |
| Human Services/Health Care \$ | 32.70% |

