

Colorado Judicial Branch

ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2013



Supreme Court of Colorado

2 EAST 14TH AVENUE
DENVER, CO 80203-2116
MICHAEL.BENDER@JUDICIAL.STATE.CO.US

MICHAEL L. BENDER
CHIEF JUSTICE

TELEPHONE: (720) 625-5400
FACSIMILE: (720) 625-5405

As Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, I am pleased to present the Judicial Branch's annual statistical report. This report reflects our efforts to implement the United States and Colorado Constitutions and laws by fully and fairly resolving disputes of all kinds.

This statistical report provides a detailed look at the numbers of cases that were filed and resolved in our courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2013. It presents detailed data of court activities at the appellate, district, and county court levels at 76 court locations statewide. It also presents data concerning probation services, which supervises adult and juvenile offenders and which operates at 60 locations around Colorado. The information in this report is presented by category and location of court filings and probation caseloads.

Over the past few years, the Judicial Branch has concentrated its efforts on increasing access to the courts, improving the ability of people to represent themselves on some types of legal matters, and increasing understanding of the judicial process for all participants.

We are continuing to expand our roster of self-represented litigant coordinators. At the start of Fiscal Year 2014, we were staffing self-help centers in 13 of our 22 judicial districts and already making big differences in people's lives. One coordinator tells the story of helping to greatly expedite a name-change process to ensure an elderly person could be accepted into hospice care in a timely manner. With no charge to those they help, self-represented litigant coordinators provide assistance in non-criminal matters, including finding court forms and helping people understand them, finding community resources, and finding information on alternative dispute resolution.

This kind of assistance not only helps people trying to navigate sometimes complex court processes on their own, but also helps the courts as we continue to see growth in the number of cases in which people forego representation by attorneys. For example, about 75 percent of parties involved in divorce cases in Colorado are not represented by an attorney. Self-represented litigant coordinators help unrepresented litigants be prepared for court hearings and correctly fill out forms, which saves the time of both the court and the litigants.

The Judicial Branch continues to work to ensure all people who appear in our courts understand the judge's decisions in their case and feel they had the opportunity to tell their side of the story. Additional employee training and leadership education are helping us improve our performance in what we call procedural fairness.

Meanwhile, our Division of Probation Services is the state's largest criminal justice agency, monitoring some 55,944 adults and juveniles and overseeing some 24,863 cases monitored by our private probation partners. Probation is seeing the fruits of its push to implement evidence-based practices.

During the past few years, Probation has put training, education and evaluation projects at the forefront of the division's implementation of evidence-based practices, which is the use of processes and procedures that have been tested and validated. By determining which needs of the probation client are most pressing and concentrating efforts on proven methods of encouraging positive change in those areas, probation officers are using the best tools available to improve community safety.

The shift has begun to affect the long-term outcomes of probation. Since 2007, the number of people who have successfully completed probation has increased while the rate of post-release recidivism has declined. In other words, more individuals are successfully completing their probation sentences and fewer are returning to criminal behavior afterward. This is a tremendous benefit to Colorado, as it increases public safety while making prudent use of taxpayer dollars.

Probation also plays a large role in our problem-solving courts, which serve specialized populations through intensive programs which may include treatment, counseling and sobriety monitoring. Instead of being sentenced to prison, eligible offenders may be ordered to drug court or mental health court, for example. In these courts, prosecutors, defense attorneys, probation officers and support agencies work in collaboration to ensure offenders stay on course to complete their treatment. In Colorado and across the country, problem-solving courts have proved to reduce recidivism, keep incarceration costs down, and keep the community safe.

Finally, I must also mention the wonderfully successful dedication of the beautiful new Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center. We were honored to have U.S. Supreme Court Justice Sonia Sotomayor visit Denver in May 2013 to formally dedicate the building and throw open the doors of the Colorado Judicial Learning Center.

The Learning Center offers numerous interactive and other exhibits that teach the importance of the rule of law, how the state and federal court systems operate, provide some role-playing opportunities and even offer the opportunity to hear personally from a dozen judges from around the state. There's nothing else like it in the world, and I personally invite everybody who is able to come in and spend some time learning and having fun. The center is open to the public during normal business hours, and best of all, admission is always free.

Meanwhile, our trial courts, while handling a smaller caseload overall, continue to be very busy. Overall, filings in the District Courts numbered 230,481, while the County Courts received 446,255 filings. On the appellate level, 1,457 cases were filed with the Colorado Supreme Court, which issued 77 opinions. There were 2,539 cases filed with the Colorado Court of Appeals,

which issued 1,805 published and unpublished opinions with an additional 803 cases either dismissed or transferred to the Colorado Supreme Court.

All our efforts are aimed at providing the best public service possible, and we never lose sight of our core constitutional functions and the people we serve. We will continue to ensure that we respond to the needs of the people of Colorado and that we promote public understanding of and confidence in the judicial system.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael L. Bender". The signature is written in a cursive style with a prominent loop at the end of the last name.

Michael L. Bender

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Forward</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Colorado Judicial Districts Map</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Organization Chart of the Judicial Department</i>	<i>iii</i>
I. Supreme Court	
<i>List of Supreme Court Justices</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Narrative</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Table 1 Supreme Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 1978 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Year 1989 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Year 2006 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations, Fiscal Year 1991 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions, Fiscal Year 2005 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>9</i>
II. Court of Appeals	
<i>List of Court of Appeals Judges</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Narrative</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>Table 8 Court of Appeals Caseload, Fiscal Year 1973 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Table 9 Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>13</i>
<i>Table 10 Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Graph 1 Case Filings and Terminations</i>	<i>15</i>
III. District Court	
<i>List of District Court Judges</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Narrative</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Chart 1 and Chart 2 District Court Case Filings- Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison, Fiscal Year 2004 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Table 11 District Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>Table 12 District Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>20</i>
<i>Table 13 District Court Filings and Percent Change, Fiscal Year 2012 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>21</i>
<i>Table 14 District Court Filings and Terminations for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>22-25</i>
<i>Table 15 Court and Jury Trials for District Court for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>26-27</i>
<i>Table 16 Civil Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	<i>28-41</i>

<i>Table 17 Domestic Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	42-45
<i>Table 18 Criminal Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	46-57
<i>Table 19 Juvenile Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	58-61
<i>Table 20 Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	62-69
<i>Table 21 Mental Health Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	70-71
<i>Table 22 Probate Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	72-79

IV. County Court

<i>List of County Court Judges</i>	80
<i>Narrative</i>	81
<i>Chart 3 and 4 Filings Comparison, Fiscal Year 2004 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	82
<i>Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year</i>	83
<i>Table 24 County Court Caseload, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	84
<i>Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change, Fiscal Year 2012 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	85
<i>Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	86-89
<i>Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	90-91
<i>Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	92
<i>Table 29 Civil Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	93-94
<i>Table 30 Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	95-102
<i>Table 31 Small Claims by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	103-104
<i>Table 32 Traffic Filings by Case Type for Fiscal Year 2013</i>	105-106

V. Water Court

<i>List of Water Court Judges</i>	107
<i>Narrative</i>	108
<i>Table 33 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i>	109

VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

<i>Narrative</i>	110
<i>Table 34 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	111
<i>Table 35 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	112
<i>Table 36 Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2013</i>	113

VII. Financial Information

<i>Narrative</i> _____	114
<i>Table 37 Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections</i> _____	115
<i>Chart 5 Judicial Restitution, Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	116
<i>Chart 6 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Statewide Total Appropriations</i> ____	117
<i>Chart 7 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Agency</i>	117
<i>Chart 8 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program</i> _____	118
<i>Chart 9 Colorado Judicial Branch, Fiscal Year 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Recovery</i> _____	118

VIII. Colorado Probation

<i>List of Chief Probation Officers</i> _____	119
<i>Probation Overview</i> _____	120-122
<i>Table 38 Grand Totals – State Adult Regular, Investigations, Intensive Programs, Private, State Monitoring, Juvenile Regular, Juvenile Investigations and JISP for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	123
<i>Table 39 State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations Fiscal Year 2013</i> _____	124

Regular Adult State Probation

<i>Table 40 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Investigations</i> _____	125
<i>Table 41 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Offense Type</i> _____	126
<i>Table 42 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Court of Origin</i> _____	127
<i>Table 43 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i> _____	128
<i>Table 44 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i> _____	129
<i>Table 45 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age</i> _____	130
<i>Table 46 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group</i> _____	131
<i>Table 47 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i> ____	132
<i>Table 48 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Probation Supervision Closure Types</i> ____	133
<i>Table 49 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i> _____	134
<i>Table 50 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i> _____	135
<i>Table 51 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i> _____	136
<i>Table 52 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i> _____	137
<i>Table 53 Adult Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 State Adult Active and Private Active Clients on June 30, 2013</i> _____	138

Private Probation

<i>Table 54 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i>	139
<i>Table 55 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i>	140
<i>Table 56 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	141
<i>Table 57 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI</i>	142
<i>Table 58 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	143
<i>Table 59 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI</i>	144
<i>Table 60 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	145
<i>Table 61 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Closure Types: Non DUI/DWAI</i>	146
<i>Table 62 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI</i>	147
<i>Table 63 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	148
<i>Table 64 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i>	149
<i>Table 65 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	150
<i>Table 66 Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	151

Regular Juvenile Probation

<i>Table 67 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Investigations</i>	152
<i>Table 68 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Offense Type</i>	153
<i>Table 69 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Supervision Type</i>	154
<i>Table 70 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Gender</i>	155
<i>Table 71 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Age</i>	156
<i>Table 72 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Ethnic Group</i>	157
<i>Table 73 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	158
<i>Table 74 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 All Probation Supervision Closure Types</i>	159
<i>Table 75 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	160
<i>Table 76 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Length of Stay</i>	161
<i>Table 77 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	162
<i>Table 78 Juvenile Probation: Fiscal Year 2013 Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013</i>	163

Probation Intensive Programs:

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):

<i>Table 79 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	164
<i>Table 80 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	165

<i>Table 81 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year Discharges</i>	_____	166
<i>Table 82 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP): Fiscal Year Type of Revocation</i>	_____	167

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):

<i>Table 83 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	_____	168
<i>Table 84 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	_____	169
<i>Table 85 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	___	170
<i>Table 86 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	_____	171

Female Offender Program (FOP):

<i>Table 87 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>		172
<i>Table 88 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	_____	173
<i>Table 89 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	_____	174
<i>Table 90 Female Offender Program (FOP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	_____	175

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):

<i>Table 91 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 New Clients by Order Type</i>	_____	176
<i>Table 92 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	_____	177
<i>Table 93 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Discharges</i>	_____	178
<i>Table 94 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP): Fiscal Year 2013 Type of Revocation</i>	___	179

Intensive Programs Summary:

<i>Table 95 Intensive Programs Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2013</i>	_____	180
--	-------	-----

Victim Services:

<i>Table 96 Summary of Victim Services Fiscal Year 2008 to Fiscal Year 2013</i>	_____	181
---	-------	-----

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs (ADDS):

<i>Table 97 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients</i>	_____	182
<i>Table 98 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender</i>	___	183
<i>Table 99 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group</i>	_____	184
<i>Table 100 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age</i>	_____	185
<i>Table 101 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored State Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations</i>	_____	186
<i>Table 102 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types</i>	___	187

<i>Table 103 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation</i>	188
<i>Table 104 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay</i>	189
<i>Table 105 ADDS: Fiscal Year 2013 Total Active State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2013</i>	190

IX. Glossary of Terms

<i>Probation</i>	191-192
<i>Supreme Court</i>	193-195
<i>Trial Courts</i>	196-200

Foreword


The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2013 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are caseload statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2013 (July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013), unless otherwise specified. This report is also available electronically by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department's Web site at www.courts.state.co.us. If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (720) 625-5000.




COLORADO JUDICIAL DISTRICTS



Organization Chart of the Judicial Department

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 – Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

Section I:
Supreme Court



SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Michael L. Bender: Appointed January 2, 1997,
Became Chief Justice: December 1, 2010

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Alison H. Eid: February 15, 2006

Monica M. Márquez: September 8, 2010

Brian D. Boatright: November 21, 2011

Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable 10-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact the Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203; or call (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:

http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Supreme_Court/Index.cfm.

**Table 1: Supreme Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 1978 to Fiscal Year 2013**

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425
2010-11	425	1,387	1,812	1,440	372
2011-12	372	1,494	1,866	1,612	254
2012-13	254	1,457	1,711	1,508	203

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

**Table 2: Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Year 1989 to Fiscal Year 2013**

Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers from Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases	Total Filings
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300
1990-91	1,260	4	1	1,265
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518
2010-11	1,379	8	0	1,387
2011-12	1,485	9	0	1,494
2012-13	1,455	2	0	1,457

**Table 3: Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Year 2006 to Fiscal Year 2013**

	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13
Criminal Appeals	1	0	2	1	1	2	0	0
Original Proceedings	305	281	305	269	318	270	240	259
Petitions in Certiorari	868	1001	1078	1112	967	909	1005	1039
Civil Appeals (a)	13	13	10	13	19	14	31	5
Interlocutories	13	15	25	17	19	18	16	9
Statutory Reviews (b)	18	8	24	4	16	15	14	4
Habeas Corpus	19	23	13	16	21	25	38	13
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0
Judicial Discipline or Disability	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Unauthorized Practice	7	5	5	21	12	18	17	10
Original Proceedings								
In Discipline	17	28	26	16	26	12	16	4
Disability	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Continuing Legal Education	101	122	136	155	92	89	92	101
Multi-District Litigation	26	33	33	18	22	17	23	12
Total	1,393	1,534	1,657	1,643	1,517	1,387	1,494	1,457
(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions								
(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews								

**Table 4: Distribution of Case Terminations
Fiscal Year 1991 to Fiscal Year 2013**

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1,059	1,240	21	1,261
1993-94	192	1,093	1,285	5	1,290
1994-95	233	1,077	1,310	6	1,316
1995-96	193	1,176	1,369	1	1,370
1996-97	214	1,212	1,426	6	1,432
1997-98	187	1,372	1,559	2	1,561
1998-99	196	1,413	1,609	6	1,615
1999-00	111	1,452	1,563	0	1,563
2000-01	128	1,291	1,419	6	1,425
2001-02	121	1,287	1,408	7	1,415
2002-03	85	1,354	1,439	2	1,441
2003-04	89	1,228	1,317	2	1,319
2004-05	93	1,357	1,450	1	1,451
2005-06	78	1,321	1,399	1	1,400
2006-07	86	1,361	1,447	3	1,450
2007-08	99	1,658	1,757	3	1,760
2008-09	67	1,484	1,551	3	1,554
2009-10	75	1,478	1,553	1	1,554
2010-11	98	1,339	1,437	3	1,440
2011-12	76	1,533	1,609	3	1,612
2012-13	77	1,429	1,506	2	1,508

**Table 5: Supreme Court Written Opinions
Fiscal Year 2005 to Fiscal Year 2013**

Fiscal Year	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Concurrences and Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
2004-05	89	5	34	6	134
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2011-12	76	7	33	8	124
2012-13	77	6	27	11	121

*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

**Table 6: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue
End of Fiscal Year 2013**

	Original				
	Proceedings	Certiorari	Appeals	Grievances	Total
Cases at Issue Awaiting Oral Argument	0	8	0	0	8
Cases at Issue Awaiting Submission of Briefs	0	0	0	0	0
Cases Orally Argued Awaiting Opinion	0	20	3	0	23
Cases Submitted Without Argument	7	0	0	0	7
Opinions Announced Awaiting Action on Rehearing	0	2	0	0	2
<i>Total</i>	7	30	3	0	40

**Table 7: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue
End of Fiscal Year 2013**

Pending Cases	Total
Certiorari Granted, Awaiting Briefs on Merits	22
Certiorari Pending	119
Appeals Pending	8
Original Proceedings Pending	7
Interlocutory Appeals Pending	2
Grievance Matters Pending	2
Unauthorized Practice Pending	3
Judicial Discipline Pending	0
<i>Total</i>	163

Section II: Court of Appeals



COURT OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15, 1988;
Became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman: August 1, 2005

David Furman: December 16, 2005

Diana Terry: July 5, 2006

Jerry N. Jones: July 5, 2006

Steve Bernard: July 5, 2006

Nancy J. Lichtenstein: April 30, 2008

Richard L. Gabriel: April 30, 2008

David J. Richman: June 30, 2008

Laurie A. Booras: January 13, 2009

Gale T. Miller: January 13, 2009

Maria Teresa "Terry" Fox: January 7, 2011

Stephanie Dunn: November 23, 2012

Anthony J. Navarro: January 18, 2013

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Twenty-two judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact the Clerk of the Court at (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001. The Court of Appeals is located at 2 East 14th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_Of_Appeals/Index.cfm.

**Table 8: Caseload of Court of Appeals
for Fiscal Year 1973 through Fiscal Year 2013**

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Cases Terminated	Cases Pending June 30
1973-74	356	444	441	359
1974-75	359	858	625	592
1975-76	592	915	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,270	2,144	2,351
1990-91	2,351	2,147	2,192	2,306
1991-92	2,306	2,201	2,335	2,172
1992-93	2,172	2,109	2,269	2,012
1993-94	2,012	2,287	2,192	2,107
1994-95	2,107	2,179	2,156	2,130
1995-96	2,130	2,289	2,318	2,101
1996-97	2,101	2,245	2,274	2,072
1997-98	2,072	2,410	2,231	2,251
1998-99	2,251	2,647	2,458	2,440
1999-00*	2,440	2,502	2,599	2,343
2000-01	2,343	2,335	2,414	2,264
2001-02	2,264	2,673	2,463	2,474
2002-03*	2,474	2,589	2,510	2,553
2003-04*	2,553	2,558	2,511	2,600
2004-05*	2,600	2,766	2,542	2,824
2005-06	2,824	2,748	2,622	2,950
2006-07	2,950	2,548	2,810	2,688
2007-08	2,688	2,753	2,761	2,680
2008-09	2,680	2,809	2,994	2,495
2009-10	2,495	2,890	2,625	2,760
2010-11	2,760	2,742	2,690	2,812
2011-12	2,812	2,711	2,765	2,758
2012-13	2,758	2,539	2,608	2,689

*Termination and pending totals for FY 2000, and 2003-2005 have been amended to reflect manual count of open cases

**Table 9: Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change
for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

Case Filings	FY 2012	FY 2013	% Change
Criminal	1,148	1,068	-7.0%
Civil	1,081	990	-8.4%
ICAO (1)	277	255	-7.9%
Other (juvenile, agency)	205	226	10.2%
Total	2,711	2,539	-6.3%


(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

**Table 10: Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change
for Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

Case Terminations	FY 2012	FY 2013	% Change
Published Opinions	181	208	14.9%
Unpublished Opinions	1,682	1,597	-5.1%
Dismissed	893	801	-10.3%
Transferred to Supreme Court	9	2	-77.8%
Total	2,765	2,608	-5.7%

Colorado Court of Appeals

Graph 1



Section III:
District Court



DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen M. Munsinger, Chief Judge
Randall C. Arp
Jack W. Berryhill
Margie Enquist
Dennis Hall
Philip J. McNulty
Ann Gail Meinster
Christopher J. Munch
Lily W. Oeffler
Christie Phillips
Tamara Russell
Jane A. Tidball
Christopher Zenisek

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Robert S. Hyatt, Chief Judge
Edward D. Bronfin
Martin F. Egelhoff
J. Eric Elliff
Ann B. Frick
Shelley I. Gilman
Norman D. Haglund
Morris B. Hoffman
William W. Hood
A. Bruce Jones
Kenneth M. Laff
Catherine A. Lemon
John W. Madden, IV
Anne M. Mansfield
Michael A. Martinez
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
R. Michael Mullins
Sheila A. Rappaport
William D. Robbins
Elizabeth A. Starrs
Herbert L. Stern, III
Michael J. Vallejos
Brian Whitney

DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen M. Ashby, Presiding Judge
Donna J. Schmalberger
David Brett Woods

DENVER PROBATE COURT

Elizabeth D. Leith, Presiding Judge

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
Leslie J. Gerbracht

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilbert Martinez, Chief Judge
William B. Bain
Robin Chittum
Theresa M. Cisneros
Edward S. Colt
Jann DuBois
David A. Gilbert
Deborah J. Grohs
Barbara L. Hughes
Barney Iuppa
Thomas K. Kane
Thomas L. Kennedy
Robert L. Lowrey
Michael P. McHenry
G. David Miller
David Prince
Marla Prudek
Timothy Schutz
Larry E. Schwartz
Scott A. Sells
David L. Shakes
Gregory R. Werner

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Thomas Moorhead, Chief Judge
Frederick W. Gannett
Russell H. Granger
Karen A. Romeo
Mark D. Thompson

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge
Suzanne F. Carlson
William L. Herringer
Jeffrey R. Wilson

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Charles R. Greenacre
Jeff B. Herron
James W. Schum
Mary E. Deganhart

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stephen J. Schapanski, Chief Judge
Julie K. Field
Thomas R. French
Stephen E. Howard
Daniel J. Kaup
Gregory M. Lammons
Deven R. Odell
Dave Williams

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge
Denise K. Lynch
Gail H. Nichols
Daniel B. Petre

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Deborah R. Eyer, Chief Judge
William Alexander
David W. Crockenberg
Thomas B. Flesher
Jill S. Mattoon
Victor I. Reyes
Larry C. Schwartz

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles M. Barton, Chief Judge
Stephen A. Groome
Patrick W. Murphy
David M. Thorson

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pattie P. Swift, Chief Judge
Martin A. Gonzales
Michael A. Gonzales

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge
Charles M. Hobbs
Kevin Hoyer
Douglas R. Vannoy

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Shelley A. Hill
Mary C. Hoak

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
P. Douglas Tallman

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mark A. MacDonnell, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Vincent Phelps, Jr., Chief Judge
C. Scott Crabtree
Katherine R. Delgado
Thomas R. Ensor
F. Michael Goodbee
Robert W. Kiesnowski, Jr.
Chris Melonakis
Edward C. Moss
Patrick T. Murphy
John E. Popovich, Jr.
Jill-Ellyn Straus
Ted C. Tow
Mark D. Warner
Francis C. Wasserman
Craig R. Welling

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William B. Sylvester, Chief Judge
Michelle Amico
Marilyn Leonard Antrim
Angela R. Arkin
Richard B. Caschette
F. Stephen Collins
Christopher C. Cross
Timothy L. Fasing
J. Mark Hannen
Jeffrey K. Holmes
Kurt A. Horton
Paul A. King
Charles M. Pratt
Gerald J. Rafferty
Carlos Armando Samour, Jr.
Teresa Slade
Michael Spear
Elizabeth B. Volz
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl
John Wheeler
Vincent R. White

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge
Julie Hoskins
Timothy Kerns
Marcelo A. Kopcow
Daniel S. Maus
Thomas J. Quammen
Elizabeth B. Strobel
Todd Taylor
Dinsmore Tuttle

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge
Ingrid S. Bakke
Maria Berkenkotter
Patrick Butler
Judith LaBuda
Andrew MacDonald
Dolores Mallard
Thomas F. Mulvahill
M. Gwyneth Whalen

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David A. Bottger, Chief Judge
Thomas M. Deister
Brian J. Flynn
Dick Gurley
Valerie J. Robinson

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Douglas S. Walker, Chief Judge
Todd J. Plewe

District Court



Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven counties. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 176 district judges during Fiscal Year 2013.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2013, there were 230,481 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 232,552 cases during that same 12-month period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2012, district court filings in Fiscal Year 2013 have decreased by 20.21 percent declining from 288,877 cases to the current level of filings. The greatest area of decrease was in Dstraint Warrants, which decreased by almost 44 percent. The greatest area of growth was in Probate filings, which increased by 10.76 percent during Fiscal Year 2013.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm>.

Chart 1 and Chart 2: District Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Class Ten Year Comparison



**Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	169,055	108,634	-35.74%
Criminal	35,551	37,888	6.57%
Domestic Relations	35,434	34,630	-2.27%
Juvenile	28,731	27,296	-4.99%
Mental Health	6,064	6,480	6.86%
Probate	14,042	15,553	10.76%
Total	288,877	230,481	-20.21%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	169,186	111,606	-34.03%
Criminal	34,957	37,293	6.68%
Domestic Relations	35,683	34,593	-3.05%
Juvenile	26,462	26,951	1.85%
Mental Health	5,744	6,531	13.70%
Probate	14,387	15,578	8.28%
Total	286,419	232,552	-18.81%

**Table 12: District Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013**

Case Class	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	51,846	55,465	60,546	64,603	64,199	67,480	116,346	125,597	169,055	108,634
Cases Terminated	50,777	54,912	59,146	65,029	64,021	65,909	117,836	126,804	169,186	111,606
CRIMINAL										
New Cases Filed	42,427	45,405	46,501	44,245	40,494	39,464	36,993	35,966	35,551	37,888
Cases Terminated	40,588	42,569	46,127	45,200	43,396	40,169	37,905	36,324	34,957	37,293
DOMESTIC RELATIONS										
New Cases Filed	30,826	31,064	32,481	32,230	33,025	33,190	35,624	36,009	35,434	34,630
Cases Terminated	31,510	31,197	32,316	31,933	32,518	32,426	34,965	35,748	35,683	34,593
JUVENILE										
New Cases Filed	36,078	34,851	33,709	32,500	33,370	32,165	30,360	29,958	28,731	27,296
Cases Terminated	35,561	33,546	32,960	30,993	32,391	30,170	29,855	29,326	26,462	26,951
MENTAL HEALTH										
New Cases Filed	4,528	5,021	4,653	4,459	4,713	4,795	5,159	5,543	6,064	6,480
Cases Terminated	4,308	4,782	4,679	4,626	4,487	4,865	5,127	5,483	5,744	6,531
PROBATE										
New Cases Filed	11,653	11,706	11,525	11,198	11,551	11,443	12,189	13,655	14,042	15,553
Cases Terminated	13,562	12,989	11,164	11,187	12,574	11,780	12,777	14,067	17,387	15,578
TOTAL										
New Cases Filed	177,358	183,512	189,415	189,235	187,352	188,537	236,671	246,728	288,877	230,481
Cases Terminated	176,306	179,995	186,392	188,968	189,387	185,319	238,465	247,752	289,419	232,552

**Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY12	FY13	Percent Change	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
1	28,903	21,973	-23.98%	27,080	22,317	-17.59%
2	46,290	33,343	-27.97%	46,209	33,930	-26.57%
2nd Juvenile	4,105	4,025	-1.95%	4,151	3,890	-6.29%
2nd Probate	3,223	3,389	5.15%	3,357	3,492	4.02%
3	1,592	1,373	-13.76%	1,541	1,349	-12.46%
4	34,580	29,167	-15.65%	34,011	29,451	-13.41%
5	6,052	4,300	-28.95%	6,200	4,546	-26.68%
6	3,379	2,755	-18.47%	3,351	2,877	-14.15%
7	5,188	4,071	-21.53%	5,102	4,216	-17.37%
8	12,511	10,888	-12.97%	12,392	10,907	-11.98%
9	5,085	3,830	-24.68%	5,189	3,975	-23.40%
10	9,825	8,421	-14.29%	9,631	8,416	-12.62%
11	4,237	3,696	-12.77%	4,200	3,677	-12.45%
12	2,682	2,637	-1.68%	2,769	2,490	-10.08%
13	3,551	3,363	-5.29%	3,567	3,347	-6.17%
14	3,142	2,384	-24.12%	3,055	2,473	-19.05%
15	1,076	969	-9.94%	947	1,021	7.81%
16	1,769	1,439	-18.65%	1,770	1,498	-15.37%
17	27,980	22,069	-21.13%	27,939	22,025	-21.17%
18	45,066	34,500	-23.45%	45,464	34,991	-23.04%
19	14,436	11,900	-17.57%	14,532	11,540	-20.59%
20	13,434	10,668	-20.59%	13,347	10,781	-19.23%
21	9,310	8,115	-12.84%	9,184	8,039	-12.47%
22	1,461	1,206	-17.45%	1,431	1,304	-8.87%
State Total(a)	288,877	230,481	-20.21%	286,419	232,552	-18.81%

(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	148	174	149	154	44	37	27	25
	Jefferson	3,423	3,381	9,542	9,858	3,535	3,513	2,587	2,636
	Total	3,571	3,555	9,691	10,012	3,579	3,550	2,614	2,661
2	Denver	4,837	4,739	24,158	24,815	4,348	4,376	n/a	n/a
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,025	3,890
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Total	4,837	4,739	24,158	24,815	4,348	4,376	4,025	3,890
3	Huerfano	108	133	146	144	42	53	40	51
	Las Animas	287	250	327	333	138	121	194	178
	Total	395	383	473	477	180	174	234	229
4	El Paso	4,906	4,767	11,418	11,575	5,748	5,841	3,766	3,749
	Teller	209	198	462	474	140	131	105	133
	Total	5,115	4,965	11,880	12,049	5,888	5,972	3,871	3,882
5	Clear Creek	82	105	185	180	48	43	133	123
	Eagle	399	406	1,397	1,595	250	237	128	149
	Lake	89	89	150	158	49	50	24	36
	Summit	216	188	687	713	132	131	68	74
	Total	786	788	2,419	2,646	479	461	353	382
6	Archuleta	74	77	319	311	88	80	52	41
	La Plata	468	520	846	925	300	311	189	191
	San Juan	5	4	41	43	5	3	1	1
	Total	547	601	1,206	1,279	393	394	242	233
7	Delta	194	177	536	559	266	264	128	147
	Gunnison	120	120	307	350	79	76	43	57
	Hinsdale	6	7	12	8	3	3	0	0
	Montrose	323	309	683	753	318	314	221	206
	Ouray	14	12	102	114	20	22	3	4
	San Miguel	50	44	216	241	36	42	15	13
Total	707	669	1,856	2,025	722	721	410	427	
8	Jackson	8	7	22	26	5	6	1	0
	Larimer	1,997	2,018	4,237	4,306	1,795	1,716	1,603	1,600
	Total	2,005	2,025	4,259	4,332	1,800	1,722	1,604	1,600
9	Garfield	474	521	1,429	1,485	416	395	246	231
	Pitkin	80	91	570	640	101	107	35	31
	Rio Blanco	63	53	107	114	44	47	14	15
	Total	617	665	2,106	2,239	561	549	295	277
10	Pueblo	1,836	1,935	3,320	3,378	1,257	1,231	1,019	954
11	Chaffee	211	182	234	227	120	114	71	54
	Custer	22	14	85	81	19	21	9	7
	Fremont	433	428	801	860	334	338	396	383
	Park	66	68	424	435	76	73	32	34
	Total	732	692	1,544	1,603	549	546	508	478

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	3	2	18	17	389	409
	Jefferson	626	630	1,871	1,890	21,584	21,908
	Total	629	632	1,889	1,907	21,973	22,317
2	Denver	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33,343	33,930
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,025	3,890
	Denver Probate	1,108	1,138	2,281	2,354	3,389	3,492
	Total	1,108	1,138	2,281	2,354	40,757	41,312
3	Huerfano	1	1	33	30	370	412
	Las Animas	6	7	51	48	1,003	937
	Total	7	8	84	78	1,373	1,349
4	El Paso	710	814	1,637	1,698	28,185	28,444
	Teller	5	6	61	65	982	1,007
	Total	715	820	1,698	1,763	29,167	29,451
5	Clear Creek	1	1	33	31	482	483
	Eagle	4	5	132	135	2,310	2,527
	Lake	7	7	21	28	340	368
	Summit	6	5	59	57	1,168	1,168
	Total	18	18	245	251	4,300	4,546
6	Archuleta	14	13	48	40	595	562
	La Plata	89	89	211	223	2,103	2,259
	San Juan	0	0	5	5	57	56
	Total	103	102	264	268	2,755	2,877
7	Delta	6	7	119	116	1,249	1,270
	Gunnison	1	0	46	48	596	651
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	4	25	22
	Montrose	4	4	151	150	1,700	1,736
	Ouray	0	0	35	37	174	189
	San Miguel	1	0	9	8	327	348
Total	12	11	364	363	4,071	4,216	
8	Jackson	0	0	18	18	54	57
	Larimer	410	416	792	794	10,834	10,850
	Total	410	416	810	812	10,888	10,907
9	Garfield	8	5	128	107	2,701	2,744
	Pitkin	5	5	74	89	865	963
	Rio Blanco	0	0	36	39	264	268
	Total	13	10	238	235	3,830	3,975
10	Pueblo	411	352	578	566	8,421	8,416
11	Chaffee	11	9	81	80	728	666
	Custer	0	0	30	28	165	151
	Fremont	30	22	129	137	2,123	2,168
	Park	13	14	69	68	680	692
	Total	54	45	309	313	3,696	3,677

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	420	391	242	243	183	175	211	189
	Conejos	60	73	89	89	46	51	35	44
	Costilla	58	43	80	83	35	26	18	19
	Mineral	7	5	15	18	6	5	3	1
	Rio Grande	211	158	167	165	96	97	123	164
	Saguache	58	53	95	85	28	28	36	33
	Total	814	723	688	683	394	382	426	450
13	Kit Carson	113	76	98	97	57	50	22	26
	Logan	336	349	279	277	139	140	148	144
	Morgan	290	300	380	372	193	219	241	258
	Phillips	19	16	33	31	26	24	19	19
	Sedgwick	23	19	20	15	14	19	4	1
	Washington	37	28	68	61	30	28	34	40
	Yuma	75	58	129	125	62	61	42	38
Total	893	846	1,007	978	521	541	510	526	
14	Grand	112	116	422	458	80	83	42	44
	Moffat	180	181	259	268	137	125	83	91
	Routt	142	178	557	570	129	109	25	32
	Total	434	475	1,238	1,296	346	317	150	167
15	Baca	28	31	51	53	27	23	14	15
	Cheyenne	14	14	25	29	16	17	8	15
	Kiowa	7	8	18	20	5	7	5	7
	Prowers	201	177	150	184	104	113	123	114
	Total	250	230	244	286	152	160	150	151
16	Bent	53	58	119	110	32	36	50	64
	Crowley	51	48	65	71	36	40	35	39
	Otero	222	231	257	264	148	184	178	176
	Total	326	337	441	445	216	260	263	279
17	Adams	3,837	3,689	10,207	10,398	2,840	2,794	2,276	2,307
	Broomfield	321	276	538	526	346	326	196	172
	Total	4,158	3,965	10,745	10,924	3,186	3,120	2,472	2,479
18	Arapahoe	2,918	2,869	12,846	13,117	3,514	3,697	2,640	2,652
	Douglas	852	847	5,048	5,183	1,598	1,601	966	975
	Elbert	72	63	405	434	141	145	50	53
	Lincoln	89	73	101	110	20	34	45	46
	Total	3,931	3,852	18,400	18,844	5,273	5,477	3,701	3,726
19	Weld	2,192	2,107	4,831	4,951	1,774	1,668	2,064	1,812
20	Boulder	1,963	1,997	4,551	4,642	1,478	1,481	1,285	1,296
21	Mesa	1,491	1,431	3,114	3,219	1,315	1,263	1,019	944
22	Dolores	11	7	41	46	11	13	2	4
	Montezuma	277	306	422	437	208	215	79	104
	Total	288	313	463	483	219	228	81	108
State Total		37,888	37,293	108,634	111,606	34,630	34,593	27,296	26,951

**Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
12	Alamosa	14	13	50	36	1,120	1,047
	Conejos	12	12	30	29	272	298
	Costilla	5	4	88	67	284	242
	Mineral	0	0	8	6	39	35
	Rio Grande	9	3	61	51	667	638
	Saguache	4	2	34	29	255	230
	Total		44	34	271	218	2,637
13	Kit Carson	10	10	47	45	347	304
	Logan	26	28	75	68	1,003	1,006
	Morgan	29	27	92	147	1,225	1,323
	Phillips	1	1	20	19	118	110
	Sedgwick	4	4	19	18	84	76
	Washington	1	1	36	26	206	184
	Yuma	12	11	60	51	380	344
Total		83	82	349	374	3,363	3,347
14	Grand	5	5	49	45	710	751
	Moffat	1	0	70	80	730	745
	Routt	8	6	83	82	944	977
	Total	14	11	202	207	2,384	2,473
15	Baca	3	3	34	33	157	158
	Cheyenne	2	2	27	31	92	108
	Kiowa	0	0	46	61	81	103
	Prowers	8	6	53	58	639	652
	Total	13	11	160	183	969	1,021
16	Bent	3	4	63	50	320	322
	Crowley	2	2	16	17	205	217
	Otero	14	10	95	94	914	959
	Total	19	16	174	161	1,439	1,498
17	Adams	440	501	872	855	20,472	20,544
	Broomfield	52	43	144	138	1,597	1,481
	Total	492	544	1,016	993	22,069	22,025
18	Arapahoe	704	691	1,698	1,606	24,320	24,632
	Douglas	185	177	499	502	9,148	9,285
	Elbert	14	13	55	54	737	762
	Lincoln	8	7	32	42	295	312
	Total	911	888	2,284	2,204	34,500	34,991
19	Weld	247	241	792	761	11,900	11,540
20	Boulder	477	456	914	909	10,668	10,781
21	Mesa	678	674	498	508	8,115	8,039
22	Dolores	1	1	19	18	58	89
	Montezuma	21	21	114	132	1,121	1,215
	Total	22	22	133	150	1,206	1,304
State Total		6,480	6,531	15,553	15,578	230,481	232,552

**Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	7	1	1	0	0	1	8
	Jefferson	0	91	18	32	7	1	25	124
	Total	0	98	19	33	7	1	26	132
2	Denver	3	133	56	79	0	0	59	212
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	51	6	51	6
	Total	3	133	56	79	51	6	110	218
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	1	2	1	1	2	0	4	3
	Total	1	3	1	1	2	0	4	4
4	El Paso	4	187	18	47	27	6	49	240
	Teller	1	9	5	0	1	0	7	9
	Total	5	196	23	47	28	6	56	249
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Eagle	0	1	13	1	2	0	15	2
	Lake	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Summit	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
	Total	0	6	13	2	2	1	15	9
6	Archuleta	0	8	1	0	0	0	1	8
	La Plata	1	7	3	2	0	1	4	10
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	1	16	4	2	0	1	5	19
7	Delta	0	1	2	1	1	1	3	3
	Gunnison	0	3	2	0	0	0	2	3
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	4	4	3	0	0	4	7
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
	Total	0	9	10	5	1	1	11	15
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	36	19	13	7	0	26	49
	Total	0	36	19	13	7	0	26	49
9	Garfield	0	6	3	0	2	0	5	6
	Pitkin	0	0	8	1	0	0	8	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	6	12	1	2	0	14	7
10	Pueblo	0	31	4	5	5	0	9	36
11	Chaffee	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	8	0	0	1	1	2	9
	Park	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1
	Total	1	9	2	1	1	1	4	11

**Table 15: Court and Jury Trials for District Court
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	1	2	0	0	1	2	2
	Conejos	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3
	Costilla	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	4	1	0	1	4	11
13	Kit Carson	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Logan	0	5	1	1	0	2	1	8
	Morgan	0	8	3	0	3	1	6	9
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	5	1	0	3	0	4	5
	Yuma	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	22	6	1	6	3	12	26
14	Grand	0	6	2	2	0	1	2	9
	Moffat	0	11	0	0	1	0	1	11
	Routt	0	4	1	1	0	0	1	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	21	3	3	1	1	4	25
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	0	1	0	2	1
16	Bent	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Crowley	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Otero	0	2	1	2	0	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	2	3	0	0	2	7
17	Adams	0	139	8	30	4	5	12	174
	Broomfield	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	140	9	31	4	5	13	176
18	Arapahoe	1	100	34	21	39	5	74	126
	Douglas	5	25	10	13	5	4	20	42
	Elbert	0	3	3	1	0	0	3	4
	Lincoln	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	6	130	47	35	44	10	97	175
19	Weld	3	66	10	5	24	3	37	74
20	Boulder	2	40	2	24	1	1	5	65
21	Mesa	5	32	12	7	13	4	30	43
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
<i>State Total</i>		27	1,008	263	299	200	45	490	1,352

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easements	County Court or Municipal Appeal
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	3	0	0	3
	Jefferson	230	1	0	11	1	0	63
	<i>Total</i>	233	1	0	14	1	0	66
2	Denver	620	12	20	15	22	0	76
3	Huerfano	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	6	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	0	2	0	0	0
4	El Paso	304	5	18	13	0	0	77
	Teller	8	0	1	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	312	5	19	13	0	0	79
5	Clear Creek	5	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Eagle	35	1	3	0	0	0	6
	Lake	6	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Summit	19	1	0	3	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	65	2	3	3	0	0	16
6	Archuleta	6	1	0	0	0	0	1
	La Plata	25	0	0	0	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	31	1	0	0	0	0	4
7	Delta	14	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Gunnison	9	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	10	0	0	1	0	0	7
	Ouray	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	11	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	47	0	0	2	1	0	15
	8	Jackson	2	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer		140	4	0	5	0	0	34
<i>Total</i>		142	4	0	5	0	0	34
9	Garfield	22	0	4	2	0	0	4
	Pitkin	44	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Rio Blanco	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	68	1	5	2	0	0	10
10	Pueblo	64	0	1	0	0	0	23
11	Chaffee	7	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Custer	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	19	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Park	4	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	32	1	0	0	0	1	8

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
1	Gilpin	1	0	51	1	0	1	2
	Jefferson	21	3	5,587	84	52	56	16
	Total	22	3	5,638	85	52	57	18
2	Denver	96	4	17,119	111	76	125	39
3	Huerfano	2	0	52	1	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	172	2	3	2	0
	Total	2	0	224	3	3	3	0
4	El Paso	33	7	6,011	73	34	109	12
	Teller	2	0	220	4	2	1	1
	Total	35	7	6,231	77	36	110	13
5	Clear Creek	0	0	86	2	1	0	1
	Eagle	7	1	677	118	3	15	2
	Lake	0	0	68	4	0	0	0
	Summit	2	1	288	49	7	15	1
	Total	9	2	1,119	173	11	30	4
6	Archuleta	3	0	135	44	0	3	1
	La Plata	3	0	483	7	1	9	0
	San Juan	0	0	31	0	0	0	0
	Total	6	0	649	51	1	12	1
7	Delta	5	0	225	3	0	5	2
	Gunnison	2	0	128	6	1	3	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	3	0	334	4	0	7	0
	Ouray	0	1	44	4	0	4	1
	San Miguel	3	0	97	4	1	8	0
	Total	13	1	833	21	2	27	5
8	Jackson	0	1	9	0	0	1	2
	Larimer	13	4	2,385	29	9	43	9
	Total	13	5	2,394	29	9	44	11
9	Garfield	6	1	789	2	0	16	1
	Pitkin	10	2	251	25	4	7	2
	Rio Blanco	1	0	51	0	0	2	0
	Total	17	3	1,091	27	4	25	3
10	Pueblo	4	1	1,637	14	5	20	2
11	Chaffee	1	0	123	3	0	4	1
	Custer	2	0	26	8	0	1	0
	Fremont	3	0	314	2	2	2	1
	Park	3	1	188	8	2	3	1
	Total	9	1	651	21	4	10	3

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Goods Sold		Landlord- Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics	
		and Delivered	Injunctive Relief				Lien	Money
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	2	9
	Jefferson	4	22	5	3	19	5	431
	<i>Total</i>	4	23	5	3	19	7	440
2	Denver	38	110	53	6	87	43	424
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	0	1	3	1	11
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	2	3	1	13
4	El Paso	16	19	8	3	30	19	595
	Teller	0	7	0	0	0	1	23
	<i>Total</i>	16	26	8	3	30	20	618
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Eagle	1	4	0	4	3	1	65
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Summit	0	5	1	2	0	0	18
	<i>Total</i>	1	10	1	6	3	1	97
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
	La Plata	0	4	0	0	4	0	29
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	0	0	5	0	38
7	Delta	0	2	0	0	0	0	43
	Gunnison	0	2	1	0	0	1	17
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	1	0	0	1	1	49
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	1	8
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	1	0	1	3	125
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	6	14	0	3	18	6	231
	<i>Total</i>	6	15	0	3	18	6	232
9	Garfield	2	1	0	0	2	0	73
	Pitkin	0	7	4	1	2	4	22
	Rio Blanco	0	3	0	0	1	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	2	11	4	1	5	4	103
10	Pueblo	2	7	0	0	11	8	164
11	Chaffee	0	2	1	0	1	0	12
	Custer	0	2	1	0	0	0	6
	Fremont	0	4	0	0	1	0	30
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	2	22
	<i>Total</i>	0	8	2	0	2	2	70

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	0	4	0	11
	Jefferson	10	21	35	20	89	14	115
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>126</i>
2	Denver	30	28	97	188	203	156	281
3	Huerfano	2	3	2	0	6	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	3	1	5	2	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>
4	El Paso	39	5	58	16	148	38	193
	Teller	1	0	1	1	5	1	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>200</i>
5	Clear Creek	1	0	1	1	3	1	3
	Eagle	0	4	11	12	15	5	18
	Lake	0	1	1	0	6	0	0
	Summit	0	0	19	1	5	2	17
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>38</i>
6	Archuleta	1	1	2	0	2	0	3
	La Plata	0	4	4	10	27	3	14
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>17</i>
7	Delta	2	1	0	0	10	0	2
	Gunnison	1	0	0	4	4	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	4	6	0	6	0	9
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	San Miguel	0	0	2	5	6	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>16</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	19	20	29	20	36	20	56
	<i>Total</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>56</i>
9	Garfield	3	1	4	2	16	3	7
	Pitkin	0	0	6	6	9	7	10
	Rio Blanco	0	1	1	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>17</i>
10	Pueblo	10	18	16	3	33	6	62
11	Chaffee	0	0	2	0	4	1	3
	Custer	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
	Fremont	6	2	0	2	21	2	5
	Park	1	0	0	1	5	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
1	Gilpin	1	9	0	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	233	404	7	5	22		37
	Total	234	413	7	6	22	0	37
2	Denver	722	663	32	5	95	5	43
3	Huerfano	2	9	1	1	0	0	1
	Las Animas	4	7	0	2	0	0	2
	Total	6	16	1	3	0	0	3
4	El Paso	417	419	12	11	31	0	39
	Teller	10	13	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	427	432	12	11	31	0	40
5	Clear Creek	6	13	0	0	0	0	2
	Eagle	7	44	1	0	0	0	9
	Lake	0	7	0	0	0	0	1
	Summit	4	45	2	3	0	0	0
	Total	17	109	3	3	0	0	12
6	Archuleta	2	3	0	0	8	0	2
	La Plata	7	27	1	3	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	9	30	1	3	8	0	5
7	Delta	7	10	1	0	0	0	3
	Gunnison	3	17	4	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	8	20	3	0	0	0	10
	Ouray	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	20	54	8	1	0	0	13
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	102	160	10	4	18	0	27
	Total	102	160	10	4	18	0	28
9	Garfield	11	35	2	2	0	0	15
	Pitkin	4	33	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	5	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	15	73	2	3	0	0	15
10	Pueblo	130	99	2	2	0	0	9
11	Chaffee	4	7	1	0	0	0	2
	Custer	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	12	12	1	0	0	0	3
	Park	6	13	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	22	34	2	0	0	0	8

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Review - Other		Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
		Restraining Order	Local Government / Person					
1	Gilpin	0	0	3	1	40	0	0
	Jefferson	0	25	34	12	1,829	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>1,869</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
2	Denver	2	36	69	53	2,189	30	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	10	0	45	0	0
	Las Animas	1	0	7	3	83	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	2	13	30	12	2,503	25	1
	Teller	0	1	8	0	138	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>2,641</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	3	0	48	0	0
	Eagle	1	7	6	1	305	0	0
	Lake	0	2	2	0	38	0	0
	Summit	0	5	3	1	165	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>556</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	9	1	80	0	0
	La Plata	1	4	4	0	160	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>246</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	3	4	3	184	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	7	0	90	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Montrose	0	6	5	0	188	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	1	0	25	0	0
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	59	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>552</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	0	4	21	2	723	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>726</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	3	1	397	0	0
	Pitkin	1	0	6	0	71	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	27	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>495</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	4	19	17	919	0	0
11	Chaffee	1	0	5	9	32	0	0
	Custer	0	0	6	0	22	0	0
	Fremont	0	1	13	60	262	0	0
	Park	0	1	7	0	150	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>466</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	Jefferson	3	1	0	3	0	2	5	0	9,542
	<i>Total</i>	3	1	0	3	0	2	5	0	9,691
2	Denver	11	6	0	28	48	15	19	7	24,158
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	327
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	473
4	El Paso	1	2	0	4	0	4	6	3	11,418
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	461
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	0	4	0	4	8	3	11,879
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	185
	Eagle	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1,397
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	150
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	687
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2,419
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	319
	La Plata	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	845
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1,205
7	Delta	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	536
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	307
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	683
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	216
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1,856
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Larimer	1	1	1	2	0	0	4	3	4,237
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	1	2	0	0	4	3	4,259
9	Garfield	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1,429
	Pitkin	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	547
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2,083
10	Pueblo	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	3,320
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	234
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	85
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	801
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	424
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	22	1	0	1,544

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easments	County Court or Municipal Appeal
12	Alamosa	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	9	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Morgan	10	0	1	2	0	0	2
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Yuma	4	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>
14	Grand	15	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	5	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Routt	22	1	1	1	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>
15	Baca	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>
16	Bent	1	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Otero	9	0	0	9	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
17	Adams	198	3	12	37	4	0	35
	Broomfield	31	2	0	1	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>229</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>38</i>
18	Arapahoe	307	1	0	6	2	0	66
	Douglas	183	4	10	1	0	0	24
	Elbert	9	1	0	14	0	0	8
	Lincoln	1	0	0	4	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>500</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>102</i>
19	Weld	92	0	7	30	0	0	38
20	Boulder	243	0	10	2	3	0	71
21	Mesa	55	0	0	0	0	0	37
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	5	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>2,846</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>174</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>659</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>3%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Distrain Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud
12	Alamosa	0	0	144	1	0	2	1
	Conejos	0	0	47	3	0	1	0
	Costilla	0	0	27	10	1	0	1
	Mineral	1	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	1	87	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	38	1	1	2	0
	Total		2	1	348	15	2	5
13	Kit Carson	1	0	42	0	2	3	0
	Logan	2	0	124	0	1	3	0
	Morgan	2	1	201	1	1	3	0
	Phillips	0	0	19	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	30	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	74	3	0	3	0
Total		6	1	498	4	5	12	0
14	Grand	5	1	148	45	1	1	0
	Moffat	1	0	134	0	1	2	1
	Routt	4	0	222	24	0	5	2
	Total	10	1	504	69	2	8	3
15	Baca	0	0	25	0	0	2	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	14	0	1	1	0
	Kiowa	1	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	96	1	0	1	0
	Total	1	0	142	1	1	4	1
16	Bent	0	0	49	0	0	1	0
	Crowley	1	0	22	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	130	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	201	1	0	1	0
17	Adams	14	2	6,306	65	32	51	5
	Broomfield	2	0	114	7	3	6	5
	Total	16	2	6,420	72	35	57	10
18	Arapahoe	21	2	7,585	158	67	96	14
	Douglas	14	2	2,687	76	25	41	7
	Elbert	2	0	176	1	1	5	0
	Lincoln	1	0	33	0	0	2	0
	Total	38	4	10,481	235	93	144	21
19	Weld	20	3	2,783	26	5	37	4
20	Boulder	24	2	2,187	18	23	30	12
21	Mesa	9	0	1,514	7	2	19	2
22	Dolores	0	1	21	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	2	0	235	0	0	5	2
	Total	2	1	256	0	0	5	3
State Total		355	42	62,920	1,060	371	785	157
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	58%	1%	0%	1%	0%

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Goods Sold		Landlord- Tenant	Lien	Malpractice	Mechanics	
		and Delivered	Injunctive Relief				Lien	Money
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	1	13
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Mineral	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>32</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	1	3	0	0	0	0	10
	Morgan	1	1	0	0	2	0	20
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>39</i>
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	24
	Moffat	0	2	1	0	0	1	7
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	0	38
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>69</i>
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>
17	Adams	5	11	6	3	6	9	339
	Broomfield	1	0	0	0	2	0	56
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>395</i>
18	Arapahoe	3	20	11	4	23	12	560
	Douglas	12	18	5	0	13	9	322
	Elbert	2	3	0	0	0	1	22
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>907</i>
19	Weld	9	14	0	3	4	4	193
20	Boulder	7	16	5	0	20	10	234
21	Mesa	4	2	0	0	8	1	170
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Montezuma	0	2	0	1	0	0	39
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>116</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>143</i>	<i>4,435</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>4%</i>

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury
12	Alamosa	1	1	0	0	4	0	8
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Costilla	0	2	0	0	17	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	3	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	3	1	1	2	0	1
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	7	2	1	34	0	9
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	4	0	1
	Logan	0	9	0	0	14	0	2
	Morgan	1	4	3	0	9	1	3
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Washington	0	0	1	0	7	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	3	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	13	4	0	39	1	10
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	12	2	6
	Moffat	1	3	1	1	2	0	2
	Routt	0	1	3	3	7	2	7
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	5	4	21	4	15
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	3	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	2	1	0	1	6	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	3	1	0	2	11	0	2
16	Bent	0	2	1	0	3	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Otero	0	4	1	0	1	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	2	0	6	1	4
17	Adams	27	45	27	16	64	6	105
	Broomfield	1	0	4	2	4	7	16
	<i>Total</i>	28	45	31	18	68	13	121
18	Arapahoe	29	36	37	28	138	45	164
	Douglas	4	10	20	15	34	25	61
	Elbert	0	0	2	1	8	2	1
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	14	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	33	46	60	44	194	72	227
19	Weld	4	20	14	9	51	8	37
20	Boulder	6	16	36	13	54	25	77
21	Mesa	19	22	10	6	42	12	19
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	1	2	9	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	1	2	9	0	3
<i>State Total</i>		224	296	469	395	1,202	399	1,352
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Property Damage	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin
12	Alamosa	11	6	0	0	0	0	2
	Conejos	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	3	0	1	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		18	12	1	3	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	6	0	0	0	0	8
	Logan	2	8	1	0	0	0	2
	Morgan	4	9	2	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Yuma	2	1	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		9	25	4	0	0	0
14	Grand	1	19	3	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	4	5	2	0	0	0	2
	Routt	5	27	2	2	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>		10	51	7	2	0	0
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	1	2	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		1	4	2	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	8	6	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		10	7	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	222	165	9	4	26	0	51
	Broomfield	37	63	2	1	2	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		259	228	11	5	28	0
18	Arapahoe	325	430	9	14	26	0	31
	Douglas	87	257	1	4	8	0	10
	Elbert	2	11	0	1	0	0	2
	Lincoln	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		415	699	10	19	34	0
19	Weld	48	165	5	9	0	0	21
20	Boulder	245	509	7	9	3	0	18
21	Mesa	28	120	7	3	0	0	27
22	Dolores	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	10	1	1	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		3	10	2	1	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		2,750	3,913	136	92	239	5	408
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Review - Other		Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment
		Restraining Order	Local Government / Person					
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	0	40	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	3	1	19	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	2	0	12	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	5	1	39	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	6	0	21	0	0
	Total	0	1	20	2	133	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	7	15	0	0
	Logan	0	0	1	28	51	0	0
	Morgan	1	1	3	0	88	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	7	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Washington	0	2	2	0	12	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	26	0	0
Total	1	3	9	35	206	0	0	
14	Grand	0	1	4	0	127	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	2	0	78	0	0
	Routt	0	4	1	0	160	0	0
	Total	0	5	7	0	365	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	6	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	24	0	0
	Total	0	0	8	0	34	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	8	11	29	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	1	12	13	0	0
	Otero	0	0	2	0	65	0	0
	Total	0	0	11	23	107	0	0
17	Adams	0	7	29	10	2,228	2	1
	Broomfield	0	0	2	0	155	1	1
	Total	0	7	31	10	2,383	3	2
18	Arapahoe	1	7	22	27	2,492	4	1
	Douglas	0	3	16	15	1,020	2	0
	Elbert	0	0	5	1	124	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	6	6	18	0	0
	Total	1	10	49	49	3,654	6	1
19	Weld	1	3	22	9	1,127	0	0
20	Boulder	0	18	22	6	559	0	0
21	Mesa	0	0	7	2	954	0	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
	Montezuma	0	4	8	0	80	0	0
	Total	0	4	8	0	90	0	0
State Total		12	165	481	312	20,399	67	8
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%

**Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	242
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	167
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	688
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	98
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	279
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	378
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	1,004
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	422
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	259
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	557
	Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,238
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	51
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	243
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	119
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	65
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	257
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	441
17	Adams	3	0	1	3	0	1	9	3	10,207
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	538
	Total	3	0	1	3	0	1	11	3	10,745
18	Arapahoe	4	1	0	4	0	1	9	2	12,845
	Douglas	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5,048
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	405
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	101
	Total	5	1	0	6	0	6	9	2	18,399
19	Weld	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	4,831
20	Boulder	3	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	4,551
21	Mesa	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3,113
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
	Montezuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	422
	Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	463
State Total		42	17	2	58	49	68	69	20	108,603
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Child Custody				Civil Union Invalidity	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support
		Administrative Support Order	Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution				
1	Gilpin	0	6	0	0	32	1	
	Jefferson	36	479	1	0	2,601	65	
	Total	36	485	1	0	2,633	66	
2	Denver	0	688	2	1	3,113	210	
3	Huerfano	0	7	0	0	32	1	
	Las Animas	0	35	0	0	96	5	
	Total	0	42	0	0	128	6	
4	El Paso	1	777	2	0	4,252	288	
	Teller	1	19	0	0	96	15	
	Total	2	796	2	0	4,348	303	
5	Clear Creek	2	5	0	0	37	1	
	Eagle	0	28	0	0	196	7	
	Lake	2	8	0	0	35	0	
	Summit	0	10	0	0	108	1	
	Total	4	51	0	0	376	9	
6	Archuleta	0	12	0	0	61	13	
	La Plata	0	47	0	0	227	4	
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	4	0	
	Total	0	59	0	0	292	17	
7	Delta	0	50	0	0	189	10	
	Gunnison	0	6	0	0	69	1	
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	2	0	
	Montrose	0	74	0	0	214	2	
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	18	0	
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	31	0	
	Total	0	132	0	0	523	13	
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	4	0	
	Larimer	0	316	0	0	1,373	2	
	Total	0	317	0	0	1,377	2	
9	Garfield	0	64	0	0	306	26	
	Pitkin	0	5	0	0	83	5	
	Rio Blanco	0	7	0	0	36	1	
	Total	0	76	0	0	425	32	
10	Pueblo	0	360	0	0	785	11	
11	Chaffee	1	21	0	0	86	3	
	Custer	1	2	0	0	15	1	
	Fremont	0	59	0	0	247	12	
	Park	3	8	0	0	56	3	
	Total	5	90	0	0	404	19	

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	4	44
	Jefferson	42	106	137	0	68	3,535
	Total	42	107	137	0	72	3,579
2	Denver	63	108	143	0	20	4,348
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	1	42
	Las Animas	0	1	0	0	1	138
	Total	0	2	0	0	2	180
4	El Paso	66	179	106	0	78	5,749
	Teller	0	6	0	0	3	140
	Total	66	185	106	0	81	5,889
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	2	48
	Eagle	2	10	0	0	7	250
	Lake	0	0	1	0	3	49
	Summit	1	5	3	0	4	132
	Total	4	15	4	0	16	479
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	1	88
	La Plata	6	9	2	0	5	300
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	5
	Total	6	11	2	0	6	393
7	Delta	8	8	0	0	1	266
	Gunnison	0	1	0	0	2	79
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Montrose	3	8	0	0	17	318
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	1	20
	San Miguel	1	1	0	0	2	36
	Total	13	18	0	0	23	722
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Larimer	16	51	5	0	32	1,795
	Total	16	51	5	0	32	1,800
9	Garfield	4	12	2	0	1	415
	Pitkin	0	6	1	0	1	101
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	44
	Total	4	18	3	0	2	560
10	Pueblo	11	24	1	0	65	1,257
11	Chaffee	1	3	0	0	5	120
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Fremont	5	3	0	0	8	334
	Park	1	3	0	0	2	76
	Total	7	9	0	0	15	549

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidity	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support
			Allocation of Parental Rsp				
12	Alamosa	0	28	0	0	145	0
	Conejos	0	12	0	0	34	0
	Costilla	1	6	0	0	25	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Rio Grande	0	24	0	0	61	0
	Saguache	0	4	0	0	22	0
	Total		1	74	0	0	293
13	Kit Carson	1	11	0	0	35	0
	Logan	0	31	0	0	102	0
	Morgan	0	53	0	0	122	1
	Phillips	0	4	0	0	20	2
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	10	0
	Washington	0	6	0	0	22	1
	Yuma	1	14	0	0	43	1
	Total		6	119	0	0	354
14	Grand	1	14	0	0	54	8
	Moffat	0	24	0	0	106	0
	Routt	0	12	1	0	105	2
	Total	1	50	1	0	265	10
15	Baca	0	5	0	0	20	0
	Cheyenne	1	5	0	0	9	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Prowers	0	21	0	0	78	3
	Total	1	31	0	0	112	3
16	Bent	0	7	0	0	21	3
	Crowley	0	8	0	0	21	5
	Otero	0	34	0	0	100	10
	Total	0	49	0	0	142	18
17	Adams	0	723	0	0	1,979	1
	Broomfield	3	31	0	0	266	2
	Total	3	754	0	0	2,245	3
18	Arapahoe	0	557	0	0	2,598	1
	Douglas	0	103	0	0	1,320	36
	Elbert	0	22	0	0	101	3
	Lincoln	0	5	0	0	13	0
	Total	0	687	0	0	4,032	40
19	Weld	0	327	0	0	1,274	85
20	Boulder	0	186	1	0	1,126	60
21	Mesa	0	268	1	0	907	0
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	7	1
	Montezuma	0	36	0	0	153	3
	Total	0	39	0	0	160	4
State Total		59	5,680	8	1	25,314	916
Percent of State Total		0%	16%	0%	0%	73%	3%

**Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
12	Alamosa	6	0	0	0	4	183
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	46
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	2	35
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	0	10	96
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	28
	Total	6	4	0	0	16	394
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	0	9	57
	Logan	2	3	0	0	0	138
	Morgan	1	4	1	0	11	193
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	26
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	30
	Yuma	1	1	0	0	1	62
	Total	4	9	1	0	22	520
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	2	80
	Moffat	3	4	0	0	0	137
	Routt	2	2	1	0	4	129
	Total	5	7	1	0	6	346
15	Baca	0	2	0	0	0	27
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	16
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Prowers	1	1	0	0	0	104
	Total	1	3	0	0	1	152
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	0	32
	Crowley	0	2	0	0	0	36
	Otero	0	3	0	0	1	148
	Total	0	6	0	0	1	216
17	Adams	13	78	31	0	15	2,840
	Broomfield	2	14	12	0	16	346
	Total	15	92	43	0	31	3,186
18	Arapahoe	38	89	8	0	223	3,514
	Douglas	13	92	4	0	30	1,598
	Elbert	2	10	1	0	2	141
	Lincoln	1	1	0	0	0	20
	Total	54	192	13	0	255	5,273
19	Weld	24	45	1	0	18	1,774
20	Boulder	14	80	0	0	11	1,478
21	Mesa	16	31	8	0	84	1,315
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Montezuma	1	8	0	0	7	208
	Total	1	8	0	0	7	219
State Total		372	1,025	468	0	786	34,629
Percent of State Total		1%	3%	1%	0%	2%	100%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery- Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
1	Gilpin	0	7	0	0	8	0	11
	Jefferson	8	249	29	17	256	7	63
	Total	8	256	29	17	264	7	74
2	Denver	7	345	4	17	375	17	83
3	Huerfano	2	10	4	0	10	0	2
	Las Animas	0	16	19	0	23	0	6
	Total	2	26	23	0	33	0	8
4	El Paso	18	381	1	8	387	19	111
	Teller	2	15	2	0	13	4	3
	Total	20	396	3	8	400	23	114
5	Clear Creek	0	9	0	0	2	0	1
	Eagle	1	46	5	3	15	0	9
	Lake	0	14	2	0	9	0	3
	Summit	0	20	13	0	16	0	8
	Total	1	89	20	3	42	0	21
6	Archuleta	0	9	5	0	5	1	1
	La Plata	1	39	52	0	36	0	15
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	1	48	57	0	42	1	16
7	Delta	0	11	13	0	16	0	3
	Gunnison	0	12	4	0	5	0	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Montrose	0	20	22	0	27	1	3
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	4	2	0	6	1	0
	Total	0	51	43	0	56	2	11
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	2	112	7	0	127	6	22
	Total	2	112	7	0	130	6	22
9	Garfield	0	35	5	0	37	0	11
	Pitkin	1	4	7	0	6	0	3
	Rio Blanco	1	3	0	0	4	0	3
	Total	2	42	12	0	47	0	17
10	Pueblo	2	169	39	4	204	7	36
11	Chaffee	0	27	6	0	11	0	12
	Custer	0	2	2	0	6	0	0
	Fremont	2	59	0	0	34	2	7
	Park	0	7	1	0	2	1	4
	Total	2	95	9	0	53	3	23

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice	Gambling
1	Gilpin	0	35	1	8	6	3	1
	Jefferson	2	723	106	139	57	74	0
	Total	2	758	107	147	63	77	1
2	Denver	2	1,632	236	74	15	43	0
3	Huerfano	0	24	0	1	1	3	0
	Las Animas	0	68	1	9	8	10	0
	Total	0	92	1	10	9	13	0
4	El Paso	10	783	204	72	338	156	0
	Teller	0	29	1	17	10	7	0
	Total	10	812	205	89	348	163	0
5	Clear Creek	0	25	0	1	0	2	0
	Eagle	0	118	0	8	6	9	0
	Lake	0	6	0	1	3	3	0
	Summit	1	39	0	12	2	6	0
	Total	1	188	0	22	11	20	0
6	Archuleta	0	12	0	6	1	6	0
	La Plata	0	62	8	4	7	36	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	74	8	10	9	42	0
7	Delta	1	26	1	4	3	3	0
	Gunnison	0	27	3	2	2	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	78	3	3	5	5	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	15	0	3	0	1	0
	Total	2	149	7	12	10	11	0
8	Jackson	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	451	53	38	12	48	0
	Total	0	452	55	38	12	48	0
9	Garfield	0	114	3	15	2	21	0
	Pitkin	0	16	0	0	3	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	6	0	3	5	5	0
	Total	0	136	3	18	10	27	0
10	Pueblo	6	301	94	14	5	34	0
11	Chaffee	0	65	2	2	5	5	0
	Custer	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	59	0	5	11	24	0
	Park	0	9	0	1	2	3	0
	Total	0	136	2	8	18	34	0

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing
1	Gilpin	0	3	1	3	0	1	1
	Jefferson	1	66	33	27	1	16	142
	Total	1	69	34	30	1	17	143
2	Denver	0	69	94	26	0	34	128
3	Huerfano	0	2	2	3	0	0	3
	Las Animas	0	5	0	9	0	2	20
	Total	0	7	2	12	0	2	23
4	El Paso	0	81	92	56	3	61	257
	Teller	1	2	0	5	1	1	15
	Total	1	83	92	61	4	62	272
5	Clear Creek	1	2	1	3	0	1	3
	Eagle	1	3	1	23	1	1	21
	Lake	0	2	0	6	0	1	2
	Summit	0	10	0	11	0	0	11
	Total	2	17	2	43	1	3	37
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	La Plata	2	13	2	41	0	2	20
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	14	2	42	0	2	25
7	Delta	0	8	0	4	0	0	9
	Gunnison	0	0	1	2	0	0	6
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	12	3	7	0	2	22
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	20	4	15	0	2	42
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	1	56	4	81	0	17	111
	Total	1	56	4	81	0	17	112
9	Garfield	1	8	0	44	0	1	19
	Pitkin	0	0	1	3	0	0	1
	Rio Blanco	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	1	10	1	47	0	1	23
10	Pueblo	1	36	18	44	1	13	105
11	Chaffee	3	8	1	0	0	1	13
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	5	1	9	0	4	30
	Park	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	3	18	2	10	0	5	49

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Obstruction					Prostitution	Public Indecency
		Criminal Non-Support	of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography		
1	Gilpin	0	0	12	0	0	1	0
	Jefferson	0	15	144	0	0	3	1
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>156</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>
2	Denver	0	34	124	0	0	7	2
3	Huerfano	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	21	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	4	413	1	0	0	0
	Teller	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>426</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	25	0	1	1	0
	Lake	0	1	8	0	0	0	1
	Summit	0	0	13	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	31	0	1	0	2
	San Juan	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
7	Delta	0	1	12	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	11	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	3	13	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla		0	0	0			0
	Ouray	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	1	167	0	0	3	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	30	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	10	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	21	114	0	0	0	1
11	Chaffee	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	62	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	13	1	24	0
	Jefferson	0	17	59	189	5	814	0
	Total	0	17	61	202	6	838	0
2	Denver	0	23	213	213	0	621	0
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	9	0	12	0
	Las Animas	0	0	8	11	0	36	0
	Total	1	0	8	20	0	48	0
4	El Paso	0	1	161	222	3	757	0
	Teller	0	0	0	5	0	47	0
	Total	0	1	161	227	3	804	0
5	Clear Creek	0	1	3	2	0	6	0
	Eagle	0	1	3	18	1	52	0
	Lake	0	0	2	7	0	6	0
	Summit	0	0	3	7	0	35	0
	Total	0	2	11	34	1	99	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	4	0	15	0
	La Plata	0	0	6	17	0	39	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	6	21	0	54	0
7	Delta	0	5	1	16	2	39	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	6	0	16	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	3	0	17	0	32	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	2	0	3	0
	Total	0	8	1	43	2	93	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	1	25	105	5	413	0
	Total	0	1	25	105	5	414	0
9	Garfield	0	3	1	29	3	55	0
	Pitkin	0	0	1	2	0	14	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	5	0	15	0
	Total	0	3	2	36	3	84	0
10	Pueblo	0	11	85	69	5	304	0
11	Chaffee	0	3	0	4	0	22	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Fremont	0	0	5	21	4	65	0
	Park	0	1	0	7	0	11	0
	Total	0	4	5	32	4	100	0

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	4	1	0	1	148
	Jefferson	111	16	4	29	3,423
	Total	115	17	4	30	3,571
2	Denver	137	32	0	89	4,696
3	Huerfano	9	1	0	4	108
	Las Animas	8	1	0	6	287
	Total	17	2	0	10	395
4	El Paso	183	16	7	99	4,905
	Teller	10	0	0	6	209
	Total	193	16	7	105	5,114
5	Clear Creek	6	1	1	3	82
	Eagle	16	5	0	5	399
	Lake	10	0	0	2	89
	Summit	7	0	1	1	216
	Total	39	6	2	11	786
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	74
	La Plata	20	3	1	8	468
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	20	3	1	8	547
7	Delta	9	2	0	5	194
	Gunnison	9	1	0	5	120
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	6
	Montrose	18	0	0	15	315
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0		2	
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	14
	San Miguel	4	1	0	2	50
	Total	41	4	0	30	699
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	8
	Larimer	99	5	2	23	1,997
	Total	99	5	2	23	2,005
9	Garfield	29	1	1	6	474
	Pitkin	4	2	0	0	80
	Rio Blanco	2	1	0	2	63
	Total	35	4	1	8	617
10	Pueblo	53	10	2	28	1,836
11	Chaffee	10	1	0	2	211
	Custer	0	0	0	1	22
	Fremont	17	1	2	4	433
	Park	1	0	0	2	66
	Total	28	2	2	9	732

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
12	Alamosa	1	37	0	0	46	1	13
	Conejos	0	9	0	0	3	0	1
	Costilla	0	7	0	0	19	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	19	1	0	12	1	4
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	7	0	1
	Total	2	73	2	0	92	2	22
13	Kit Carson	0	4	2	0	6	0	1
	Logan	4	37	6	1	18	5	5
	Morgan	0	16	6	0	31	1	7
	Phillips	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	7	2	0	3	0	1
	Washington	0	1	0	0	3	0	2
	Yuma	1	8	1	0	0	2	5
	Total	5	74	18	1	61	8	21
14	Grand	0	16	3	0	3	0	1
	Moffat	0	18	9	0	11	0	4
	Routt	1	15	1	1	11	0	3
	Total	1	49	13	1	25	0	8
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	4	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	5	1	0	10	0	2
	Total	0	9	1	0	14	0	3
16	Bent	0	11	0	0	5	0	0
	Crowley	0	14	0	0	4	0	0
	Otero	1	22	3	0	13	2	12
	Total	1	47	3	0	22	2	12
17	Adams	1	301	7	1	284	14	59
	Broomfield	1	21	2	0	20	5	6
	Total	2	322	9	1	304	19	65
18	Arapahoe	2	197	34	7	167	13	39
	Douglas	0	55	19	0	75	3	19
	Elbert	1	2	4	0	1	1	3
	Lincoln	0	9	1	1	9	0	3
	Total	3	263	58	8	252	17	64
19	Weld	6	146	9	1	142	0	35
20	Boulder	4	209	36	2	176	2	41
21	Mesa	5	86	29	2	137	4	30
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	2	0	0
	Montezuma	1	23	25	1	20	2	2
	Total	1	26	25	1	22	2	2
State Total		77	2,933	449	66	2,893	122	728
Percent of State Total		0%	8%	1%	0%	8%	0%	2%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Cruelty to Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive from Justice	Gambling
12	Alamosa	0	58	29	21	11	6	0
	Conejos	0	7	0	4	4	1	0
	Costilla	0	2	1	0	0	4	0
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	27	1	10	12	6	0
	Saguache	1	5	0	2	3	1	0
	Total		1	100	31	37	30	18
13	Kit Carson	0	33	0	2	0	18	0
	Logan	2	98	16	5	6	16	0
	Morgan	0	72	2	8	1	8	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	Washington	0	8	0	1	1	2	0
	Yuma	0	7	0	6	2	4	0
	Total		2	220	18	22	10	54
14	Grand	0	32	1	2	2	5	0
	Moffat	0	57	7	2	0	6	0
	Routt	1	31	0	1	2	9	0
	Total	1	120	8	5	4	20	0
15	Baca	0	5	0	0	2	5	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Prowers	0	51	9	2	3	17	0
	Total	0	56	9	2	6	30	0
16	Bent	0	3	3	0	1	2	0
	Crowley	0	1	10	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	23	5	14	3	5	0
	Total	0	27	18	15	4	7	0
17	Adams	4	976	143	104	10	106	0
	Broomfield	0	67	0	13	5	2	0
	Total	4	1,043	143	117	15	108	0
18	Arapahoe	1	835	127	69	14	137	0
	Douglas	2	129	1	22	28	29	0
	Elbert	2	9	0	1	0	2	0
	Lincoln	0	9	18	3	1	6	0
	Total	5	982	146	95	43	174	0
19	Weld	0	528	59	20	7	57	0
20	Boulder	1	369	35	32	23	46	0
21	Mesa	1	427	42	32	11	31	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	33	1	2	4	34	0
	Total	1	34	1	2	4	34	0
State Total		39	8,636	1,228	821	667	1,091	1
Percent of State Total		0%	23%	3%	2%	2%	3%	0%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Habitual Criminal	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing
12	Alamosa	0	10	1	38	1	7	14
	Conejos	0	2	0	2	0	1	4
	Costilla	0	3	0	1	0	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	6	1	14	0	2	13
	Saguache	0	2	0	2	0	0	7
	Total		0	23	2	57	1	10
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	2	0	0	9
	Logan	0	5	10	7	0	0	6
	Morgan	0	4	1	11	0	3	14
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Yuma	0	1	1	1	0	1	6
	Total		0	11	12	21	0	7
14	Grand	0	1	0	5	0	0	7
	Moffat	0	2	1	1	0	0	5
	Routt	0	1	1	3	0	4	4
	Total		0	4	2	9	0	4
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	1	4	0	1	5
	Total		0	8	1	6	0	1
16	Bent	0	1	0	1	0	1	3
	Crowley	0	0	2	1	0	0	7
	Otero	0	7	2	4	0	3	17
	Total		0	8	4	6	0	4
17	Adams	1	133	49	133	0	36	163
	Broomfield	0	6	4	4	0	3	5
	Total		1	139	53	137	0	39
18	Arapahoe	0	77	34	19	0	20	100
	Douglas	0	14	0	13	0	2	37
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
	Total		0	91	35	32	1	22
19	Weld	1	83	15	23	1	10	84
20	Boulder	2	41	9	84	0	18	92
21	Mesa	3	39	7	76	3	8	59
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	0	16	1	7	0	1	14
	Total		0	16	1	7	0	1
State Total		19	862	396	869	13	282	1,655
Percent of State Total		0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	4%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Obstruction					Prostitution	Public Indecency
		Criminal Non-Support	of Public Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography		
12	Alamosa	0	0	48	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	10	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	26	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>94</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	7	7	2	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	13	1	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	8	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	0	2	4	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	5	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	14	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	15	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	5	15	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	0	10	235	0	1	0	0
	Broomfield	0	0	21	0	0	0	1
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>256</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	10	195	0	0	2	1
	Douglas	0	0	50	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	1	4	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>257</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>
19	Weld	0	0	409	0	0	0	0
20	Boulder	0	0	162	0	0	0	0
21	Mesa	0	10	44	0	0	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	3	7	0	0	0	0
	Total	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
State Total		0	142	2,592	6	3	17	9
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	6	1	46	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	3	4	2	34	0
	Saguache	0	4	0	3	0	10	0
	Total		0	5	7	14	5	101
13	Kit Carson	0	0	3	7	4	10	0
	Logan	0	4	1	10	2	39	0
	Morgan	0	0	2	17	1	46	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	2	1	9	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	3	4	11	0
	Total		0	4	6	42	12	117
14	Grand	0	0	1	6	0	12	0
	Moffat	0	3	0	5	0	25	0
	Routt	0	1	0	4	0	22	0
	Total		0	4	1	15	0	59
15	Baca	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Prowers	0	11	2	10	3	30	0
	Total		0	12	2	13	3	33
16	Bent	0	0	3	4	0	2	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	3	0	2	0
	Otero	0	6	7	18	0	23	0
	Total		0	6	10	25	0	27
17	Adams	0	18	94	198	11	561	1
	Broomfield	0	0	3	21	0	96	0
	Total		0	18	97	219	11	657
18	Arapahoe	0	1	111	147	3	417	0
	Douglas	0	0	13	55	3	234	0
	Elbert	0	0	1	8	1	11	0
	Lincoln	0	0	1	2	0	8	0
	Total		0	1	126	212	7	670
19	Weld	0	0	40	57	0	333	0
20	Boulder	0	13	32	75	9	322	0
21	Mesa	0	20	19	42	17	196	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	0	13	2	21	0	29	0
	Total		0	13	2	21	0	31
State Total		1	166	920	1,737	93	6,005	1
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	2%	5%	0%	16%	0%

**Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	15	1	0	5	420
	Conejos	2	1	0	0	60
	Costilla	0	0	0	3	58
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	7
	Rio Grande	7	0	0	4	211
	Saguache	2	0	0	2	58
	Total	26	2	0	14	814
13	Kit Carson	4	0	1	4	113
	Logan	7	3	0	7	336
	Morgan	16	1	0	8	290
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	19
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	0	23
	Washington	1	0	0	1	37
	Total	34	4	1	22	893
14	Grand	3	4	1	1	112
	Moffat	13	0	0	4	180
	Routt	8	0	2	2	142
	Total	24	4	3	7	434
15	Baca	1	0	0	1	28
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	14
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	7
	Prowers	6	0	0	1	201
	Total	7	0	0	3	250
16	Bent	5	0	0	0	53
	Crowley	2	1	1	0	51
	Otero	12	0	0	0	222
	Total	19	1	1	0	326
17	Adams	110	17	0	56	3,837
	Broomfield	11	2	0	2	321
	Total	121	19	0	58	4,158
18	Arapahoe	57	23	2	56	2,917
	Douglas	37	3	2	7	852
	Elbert	7	1	1	3	72
	Lincoln	2	0	0	6	89
	Total	103	27	5	72	3,930
19	Weld	94	10	0	22	2,192
20	Boulder	101	12	5	10	1,963
21	Mesa	72	6	1	32	1,491
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	11
	Montezuma	7	0	0	7	277
	Total	7	0	0	7	288
State Total		1,385	186	37	598	37,737
Percent of State Total		4%	0%	0%	2%	100%

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Case Type							
		Adoption	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	9	1	0	0	0
	Jefferson	179	0	1	173	230	0	17	1
	Total	180	0	1	182	231	0	17	1
2	Denver Juvenile	317	45	0	96	241	0	1	32
3	Huerfano	1	1	0	2	3	0	0	0
	Las Animas	13	0	0	3	14	0	0	0
	Total	14	1	0	5	17	0	0	0
4	El Paso	354	1	2	261	358	0	99	3
	Teller	10	0	0	5	18	0	0	0
	Total	364	1	2	266	376	0	99	3
5	Clear Creek	53	0	0	10	4	0	0	0
	Eagle	7	0	0	9	2	0	1	1
	Lake	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Summit	4	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
	Total	66	0	0	26	9	0	1	1
6	Archuleta	4	0	0	5	8	0	0	2
	La Plata	20	0	0	10	6	0	0	3
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	24	0	0	15	14	0	0	5
7	Delta	8	0	0	9	8	0	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	5	4	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	25	0	0	20	20	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Total	35	0	0	37	32	0	0	1
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	152	5	0	66	107	1	0	2
	Total	152	5	0	67	107	1	0	2
9	Garfield	10	1	0	5	9	0	0	2
	Pitkin	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	4	2	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Total	19	3	0	11	10	0	0	2
10	Pueblo	51	2	0	48	107	0	4	1
11	Chaffee	9	0	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Custer	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	25	0	0	33	35	0	10	1
	Park	6	0	0	5	2	0	0	0
	Total	42	0	0	44	42	0	10	1

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Juvenile		IV-D Paternity Order	Petition for Review of Need for Placement		IV-D Support			Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Total
		Relinquishment	Paternity		Support	Warrant	Order	Truancy				
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	16	11	27
	Jefferson	34	97	119	0	22	0	580	216	1,669	918	2,587
	Total	34	97	119	0	22	0	581	220	1,685	929	2,614
2	Denver Juvenile	3	52	631	4	0	32	1,105	437	2,996	1,058	4,054
3	Huerfano	0	0	4	1	0	0	15	0	27	13	40
	Las Animas	0	6	26	0	6	0	41	15	124	70	194
	Total	0	6	30	1	6	0	56	15	151	83	234
4	El Paso	10	27	404	1	0	0	715	404	2,639	1,126	3,765
	Teller	0	0	7	0	0	0	26	10	76	29	105
	Total	10	27	411	1	0	0	741	414	2,715	1,155	3,870
5	Clear Creek	43	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	117	16	133
	Eagle	3	2	7	1	0	0	19	0	52	83	135
	Lake	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0	15	9	24
	Summit	0	1	3	1	0	0	8	0	22	46	68
	Total	46	4	14	2	0	0	37	0	206	154	360
6	Archuleta	2	3	4	0	3	0	14	0	45	7	52
	La Plata	3	2	23	1	0	0	68	1	137	52	189
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
	Total	5	5	27	1	3	0	83	1	183	59	242
7	Delta	4	7	8	1	1	0	31	0	77	51	128
	Gunnison	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	18	25	43
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	8	1	19	0	1	0	62	0	156	65	221
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	7	8	15
	Total	12	9	28	1	2	0	102	0	259	151	410
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Larimer	33	10	87	1	0	0	252	9	725	878	1,603
	Total	33	10	87	1	0	0	252	9	726	878	1,604
9	Garfield	2	7	26	0	0	0	52	2	116	128	244
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	9	26	35
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	14
	Total	2	7	26	0	0	0	55	2	137	156	293
10	Pueblo	1	54	50	18	54	0	200	170	760	258	1,018
11	Chaffee	0	1	4	0	1	0	11	0	36	35	71
	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	5	4	9
	Fremont	5	8	16	0	25	0	98	30	286	110	396
	Park	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	0	23	9	32
	Total	5	9	20	0	29	0	118	30	350	158	508

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Confidential Consent for Dependency & D&N Expedited Grandparent Juvenile Juvenile							
		Adoption	Intermediary	Marriage	Neglect	Placement	Visitation	Expungement	Other
12	Alamosa	15	0	0	7	23	1	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1
	Costilla	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	9	8	0	0	0
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Total	21	0	0	23	37	1	0	1
13	Kit Carson	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Logan	12	0	0	16	33	0	0	1
	Morgan	14	0	1	12	33	0	0	2
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	7	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	1
	Total	35	0	1	36	74	0	0	4
14	Grand	4	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Moffat	12	0	0	2	2	0	0	1
	Routt	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	20	1	0	3	6	0	0	1
15	Baca	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	4	0	0	6	6	0	0	1
	Total	10	0	0	8	9	0	0	1
16	Bent	3	1	0	5	5	0	0	3
	Crowley	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Otero	11	0	0	7	12	0	0	2
	Total	16	1	0	14	18	0	0	5
17	Adams	279	4	1	64	218	0	20	48
	Broomfield	7	0	0	6	11	0	26	0
	Total	286	4	1	70	229	0	46	48
18	Arapahoe	264	1	1	106	149	5	25	3
	Douglas	154	0	0	55	29	0	35	0
	Elbert	9	0	0	6	5	0	1	0
	Lincoln	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	1
	Total	430	1	1	168	185	5	61	4
19	Weld	123	2	0	35	88	0	0	16
20	Boulder	81	1	0	26	44	0	0	59
21	Mesa	120	0	0	69	86	0	4	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	7	0	0	4	7	0	0	0
	Total	7	0	0	4	8	0	0	0
State Total		2,413	67	6	1,253	1,970	7	243	190
Percent of State Total		9%	0%	0%	5%	7%	0%	1%	1%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Juvenile		IV-D	Petition for	IV-D			Non-JD	Juvenile	Total	
		Relinquishment	Paternity	Order	Review of Need for Placement	Support	Warrant	Support	Truancy	Juvenile		Delinquency
12	Alamosa	22	2	13	1	0	0	65	20	169	42	211
	Conejos	0	3	7	0	0	0	13	4	31	4	35
	Costilla	2	0	1	0	2	0	8	0	16	2	18
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Rio Grande	2	1	10	0	2	0	43	0	79	44	123
	Saguache	0	2	2	0	2	0	5	2	20	16	36
	Total	26	8	33	1	6	0	134	26	317	109	426
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	11	11	22
	Logan	2	1	6	0	0	0	35	8	114	34	148
	Morgan	3	2	25	1	0	0	57	32	182	59	241
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	10	9	19
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	14	20	34
	Yuma	0	0	4	1	0	0	8	0	21	21	42
Total	5	3	35	3	0	0	115	41	352	158	510	
14	Grand	0	0	5	0	0	0	12	0	26	16	42
	Moffat	1	2	2	1	0	0	9	10	42	41	83
	Routt	0	1	1	0	0	0	8	1	16	9	25
	Total	1	3	8	1	0	0	29	11	84	66	150
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	7	7	14
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	1	8
	Kiowa	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	5	0	5
	Prowers	2	12	5	0	13	0	18	10	77	46	123
	Total	2	12	7	1	14	0	22	10	96	54	150
16	Bent	0	2	6	0	4	0	14	2	45	5	50
	Crowley	4	3	5	0	3	0	9	1	30	5	35
	Otero	0	13	18	0	8	0	47	23	141	37	178
	Total	4	18	29	0	15	0	70	26	216	47	263
17	Adams	13	59	195	0	10	0	579	258	1,748	528	2,276
	Broomfield	1	4	15	0	2	0	37	26	135	61	196
	Total	14	63	210	0	12	0	616	284	1,883	589	2,472
18	Arapahoe	72	132	117	0	107	1	516	309	1,808	831	2,639
	Douglas	36	15	29	0	0	0	138	20	511	455	966
	Elbert	2	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	30	20	50
	Lincoln	2	0	2	2	2	0	12	1	28	17	45
	Total	112	148	150	2	109	1	670	330	2,377	1,323	3,700
19	Weld	9	24	111	6	22	0	362	360	1,158	906	2,064
20	Boulder	20	7	58	0	0	3	231	238	768	517	1,285
21	Mesa	14	11	74	0	10	6	266	84	746	273	1,019
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Montezuma	1	1	4	1	3	0	5	10	43	42	85
	Total	1	1	4	1	3	0	5	10	44	43	87
State Total		359	578	2,162	44	307	42	5,850	2,718	18,209	9,124	27,333
Percent of State Total		1%	2%	8%	0%	1%	0%	21%	10%	67%	33%	100%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Jefferson	16	151	0	63	0	2	47	2	2
	Total	16	152	0	63	0	2	48	2	2
2	Denver Juvenile	2	152	0	144	0	1	109	0	0
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	Las Animas	0	13	2	2	0	0	2	1	0
	Total	0	15	2	3	0	0	4	1	0
4	El Paso	14	172	0	80	0	2	105	1	0
	Teller	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Total	14	176	0	81	1	2	106	1	0
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	16	0	10	0	0	5	0	0
	Lake	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Summit	0	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Total	0	28	0	10	0	0	10	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	0	8	0	4	0	0	7	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	9	0	4	0	0	8	0	0
7	Delta	0	11	0	0	0	0	8	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	8	0	5	0	0	9	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	22	0	6	0	1	20	0	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	14	107	1	26	0	0	63	0	0
	Total	14	107	1	26	0	0	63	0	0
9	Garfield	1	4	0	21	0	0	11	0	0
	Pitkin	0	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	1	11	1	23	0	1	11	0	0
10	Pueblo	4	47	1	30	1	0	21	0	0
11	Chaffee	1	1	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	18	0	10	0	0	12	0	0
	Park	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	2	21	1	15	0	0	15	0	0

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0
	Jefferson	72	7	1	0	0	45	3	1	8
	Total	73	7	1	0	2	46	3	2	8
2	Denver Juvenile	57	12	0	1	0	19	4	2	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Las Animas	5	0	0	1	0	8	0	0	0
	Total	5	0	0	1	0	10	0	0	0
4	El Paso	39	7	1	7	0	65	2	4	5
	Teller	4	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Total	43	7	3	7	0	69	2	4	5
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Eagle	10	0	2	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Total	13	0	2	0	0	14	0	2	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	La Plata	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1
7	Delta	3	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	11	1	2	0	0	3	1	1	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	159	0	1	1	0	57	0	5	1
	Total	159	0	1	1	0	57	0	5	1
9	Garfield	20	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	22	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
10	Pueblo	21	0	0	0	0	12	0	3	0
11	Chaffee	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	9	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	18	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	13	110	1	1	0	0	8	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	5	44	121	0	0	7	3	47	9
3	Huerfano	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Las Animas	0	1	6	0	3	0	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	47	98	0	0	1	9	19	0
	Teller	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>99</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	3	2	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Lake	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	1	10	0	4	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	1	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Gunnison	0	1	2	0	5	0	2	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	8	0	2	0	2	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	1	10	80	0	73	0	19	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0
	Pitkin	0	2	5	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	1	9	26	0	1	0	1	3	0
11	Chaffee	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	2	11	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	11
	Jefferson	51	1	203	0	72	2	36	918
	Total	54	1	203	0	73	2	36	929
2	Denver Juvenile	59	0	157	0	52	0	50	1,058
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	13
	Las Animas	0	0	13	0	6	1	2	70
	Total	0	0	14	0	6	1	3	83
4	El Paso	70	1	248	0	78	0	51	1,126
	Teller	2	0	5	0	0	0	4	29
	Total	72	1	253	0	78	0	55	1,155
5	Clear Creek	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	16
	Eagle	4	0	18	0	4	0	0	83
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Summit	1	2	6	0	2	0	2	46
	Total	7	2	25	0	6	0	3	154
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	7
	La Plata	4	0	6	0	4	0	3	52
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	5	0	6	0	5	0	3	59
7	Delta	5	0	10	0	1	1	4	51
	Gunnison	4	0	1	0	2	1	1	25
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	0	9	0	4	0	3	65
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	San Miguel	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	8
	Total	15	0	21	0	7	2	9	151
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	26	7	169	1	46	0	10	878
	Total	26	7	169	1	46	0	10	878
9	Garfield	11	3	19	0	24	0	3	128
	Pitkin	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	26
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	12	3	21	0	24	0	3	156
10	Pueblo	14	0	42	0	14	0	7	258
11	Chaffee	0	0	10	0	3	0	1	35
	Custer	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	3	0	17	0	8	0	8	110
	Park	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	9
	Total	5	0	29	0	11	0	11	158

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court
12	Alamosa	0	7	0	9	0	0	4	0	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Total	0	14	0	10	0	0	7	2	0
13	Kit Carson	0	4	0	3	0	0	2	0	0
	Logan	1	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	1	16	0	3	0	0	6	0	1
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	5	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
	Yuma	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	43	0	20	0	0	13	0	1
	14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moffat		0	6	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Routt		1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		1	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	3	0	5	0	0	11	0	0
	Total	0	3	0	5	0	0	12	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Crowley	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Otero	0	6	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
	Total	0	7	0	2	0	0	6	0	0
17	Adams	8	77	0	61	0	1	37	1	0
	Broomfield	0	6	0	2	0	0	2	1	0
	Total	8	83	0	63	0	1	39	2	0
18	Arapahoe	1	124	7	80	0	1	43	0	0
	Douglas	2	39	2	15	0	0	29	0	1
	Elbert	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Lincoln	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	167	10	98	0	1	73	0	1
19	Weld	4	105	0	51	0	1	58	0	0
20	Boulder	6	48	1	41	0	0	37	0	0
21	Mesa	1	60	3	22	0	0	16	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	4	0	3	0	0	7	0	0
	Total	0	4	0	3	0	0	7	0	0
State Total		78	1,282	20	720	2	10	686	8	4
Percent of State Total		1%	14%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	7	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	5	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Morgan	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total	10	3	0	0	0	9	0	1	0
14	Grand	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Moffat	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	12	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	1
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Total	4	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0
17	Adams	37	0	1	0	0	23	0	4	0
	Broomfield	14	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Total	51	0	1	0	0	26	0	4	0
18	Arapahoe	73	18	2	0	0	22	3	2	2
	Douglas	130	0	1	0	0	31	0	3	2
	Elbert	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Total	207	18	3	0	0	57	3	5	5
19	Weld	86	1	0	0	0	28	2	0	2
20	Boulder	104	2	1	0	0	26	0	7	0
21	Mesa	13	1	1	4	0	13	0	8	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Total		928	52	15	14	2	416	15	48	24
Percent of State Total		10%	1%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	1%	0%

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Possession of Alcohol by Minor	Prostitution	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Runaway
12	Alamosa	0	2	8	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	4	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	5	14	0	9	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	4	10	0	2	0	1	3
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	2	0	3	0	2	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	3	2	0	3	0	3	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	3	1	0	0	0	2	1	0
	Total		0	3	1	0	0	0	2	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total		0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
17	Adams	2	28	18	0	4	1	7	17	0
	Broomfield	0	2	9	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Total		2	30	27	0	5	1	7	18
18	Arapahoe	0	21	153	0	5	1	0	30	0
	Douglas	0	6	36	0	7	0	9	3	0
	Elbert	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	29	192	0	12	1	9	33
19	Weld	0	16	177	0	23	0	0	5	0
20	Boulder	0	16	37	0	13	0	0	4	0
21	Mesa	0	11	19	0	2	0	0	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	2	1	0	1	0	6	0	0
	Total		0	2	1	0	2	0	6	0
State Total		9	263	979	1	176	10	77	147	9
Percent of State Total		0%	3%	11%	0%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%

**Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Treason	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Weapon	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	6	0	2	0	1	42
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	2	1	7	0	5	0	0	44
	Saguache	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	16
	Total	2	1	23	0	7	0	2	109
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	11
	Logan	0	0	3	0	3	0	1	34
	Morgan	3	0	13	0	1	0	4	59
	Phillips	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Washington	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	20
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	21
	Total	4	0	22	0	5	0	5	158
	14	Grand	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
Moffat		0	0	10	0	2	0	1	41
Routt		0	0	3	0	3	0	0	9
Total		3	0	16	0	5	0	1	66
15	Baca	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	7
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	12	0	1	0	1	46
	Total	1	0	14	0	5	0	1	54
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	Otero	3	0	5	0	5	0	1	37
	Total	4	0	7	0	5	0	2	47
17	Adams	59	3	77	0	36	1	25	528
	Broomfield	4	1	9	0	6	0	0	61
	Total	63	4	86	0	42	1	25	589
18	Arapahoe	35	2	139	0	45	1	21	831
	Douglas	36	2	74	0	22	0	5	455
	Elbert	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	20
	Lincoln	1	0	5	0	1	0	1	17
	Total	76	4	220	0	68	1	27	1,323
19	Weld	23	0	270	0	49	1	4	906
20	Boulder	32	0	101	0	24	0	17	517
21	Mesa	17	2	49	0	22	0	8	273
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	7	0	9	0	1	42
	Total	0	0	7	0	9	0	1	43
State Total		494	25	1,755	1	563	8	283	9,124
Percent of State Total		5%	0%	19%	0%	6%	0%	3%	100%

**Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Involuntary Medication Evaluation	Long Term Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of		Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
							Venue	Other			
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Jefferson	14	11	7	0	0	1	0	593	0	626
	Total	14	11	7	0	0	1	0	595	1	629
2	Denver Probate	44	3	2	0	1	0		1,058	0	1,108
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
	Total	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	7
4	El Paso	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	703	0	710
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Total	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	708	0	715
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	7
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	8	18
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	14
	La Plata	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	22	54	89
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	25	65	103
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	401	0	410
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	401	0	410
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	13
10	Pueblo	11	8	9	111	9	1		261	1	411
11	Chaffee	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	11
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	1	30
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	13
	Total	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	39	13	54

**Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Involuntary Medication Evaluation	Long Term Procedure	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	8	14
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	6	12
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	9
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	Total		0	0	4	0	0	0	0	20	20
13	Kit Carson	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	10
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	19	26
	Morgan	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	9	17	29
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Yuma	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	12
Total		4	1	5	0	0	0	2	23	48	83
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Routt	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	8
	Total		0	1	1	0	0	0	10	2	14
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	8
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5
16	Bent	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Otero	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	11	1	14
	Total		2	1	0	0	1	0	12	3	19
17	Adams	6	2	1	0	12	1	0	418	0	440
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	52
	Total		6	2	1	0	12	1	0	470	0
18	Arapahoe	13	6	7	0	11	1	0	653	13	704
	Douglas	1	0	2	2	3	0	0	174	3	185
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	14
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
	Total		14	6	9	2	14	1	0	835	30
19	Weld	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	238	1	247
20	Boulder	0	2	3	0	13	0	0	456	3	477
21	Mesa	1	10	0	0	0	2	0	665	0	678
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	14	21
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	8	14	22
State Total		115	50	43	118	52	6	11	5,866	219	6,480
Percent State Total		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	91%	3%	100%

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	16	23	62	41	3
	<i>Total</i>	17	23	62	41	3
2	Denver Probate	14	23	54	15	4
3	Huerfano	4	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	5	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	29	23	62	105	1
	Teller	6	0	2	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	35	23	64	109	1
5	Clear Creek	2	0	1	1	0
	Eagle	17	1	0	3	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	19	2	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	38	3	1	4	1
6	Archuleta	11	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	34	1	1	9	0
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	47	1	2	9	0
7	Delta	0	1	3	2	0
	Gunnison	9	1	2	1	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	3	6	5	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	16	5	11	8	0	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	21	10	23	8	3
	<i>Total</i>	22	10	23	8	3
9	Garfield	9	3	2	8	0
	Pitkin	12	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	5	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	26	4	3	9	0
10	Pueblo	22	11	12	21	1
11	Chaffee	4	3	3	1	0
	Custer	7	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	6	1	2	4	1
	Park	17	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	34	4	6	5	1

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Determination of Heirship	Estate	Foreign	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal
				Protective Proceeding				
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
	Jefferson	13	1	1	71	86	0	25
	Total	13	2	1	72	87	0	26
2	Denver Probate	1	2	2	125	75	0	57
3	Huerfano	1	0	0	3	1	0	1
	Las Animas	4	0	0	3	0	0	4
	Total	5	0	0	6	1	0	5
4	El Paso	4	2	0	136	111	0	22
	Teller	1	0	0	12	1	0	1
	Total	5	2	0	148	112	0	23
5	Clear Creek	2	3	0	0	3	0	0
	Eagle	1	1	0	1	9	0	0
	Lake	1	7	0	1	0	0	1
	Summit	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total	4	11	0	4	12	0	1
6	Archuleta	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	1	4	9	0	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	0	2	2	6	9	0	2
7	Delta	1	0	1	8	2	0	2
	Gunnison	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	1	0	14	2	0	1
	Ouray	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3	4	1	26	4	0	3	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	3	0	36	14	0	12
	Total	7	3	0	36	14	0	12
9	Garfield	1	1	0	6	9	0	2
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	2	0	6	9	0	2
10	Pueblo	5	2	0	49	18	0	24
11	Chaffee	5	0	0	4	1	0	2
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	8	2	0	10	1	0	4
	Park	1	15	0	1	1	0	1
	Total	14	17	0	15	3	0	7

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Intestate		Public	Registration of	Single	Special
		Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Foreign Order	Transaction	Administrator Formal
1	Gilpin	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	234	24	4	0	20	4
	Total	242	24	4	0	20	4
2	Denver Probate	244	15	66	0	78	10
3	Huerfano	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	15	0	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	168	13	0	0	11	10
	Teller	10	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	178	13	0	0	11	12
5	Clear Creek	6	0	0	1	0	0
	Eagle	15	0	0	0	4	0
	Lake	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	7	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	34	0	0	1	5	1
6	Archuleta	9	0	0	0	1	1
	La Plata	27	0	0	0	2	0
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	37	0	0	0	3	1
7	Delta	15	1	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	24	0	0	0	1	0
	Ouray	5	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	1	0	0	0	0	0
Total	51	1	0	0	1	1	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	88	4	0	0	21	4
	Total	89	4	0	0	21	4
9	Garfield	11	0	0	0	1	0
	Pitkin	8	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Blanco	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	25	0	0	0	3	0
10	Pueblo	105	0	4	0	10	4
11	Chaffee	4	1	0	0	0	0
	Custer	4	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	25	0	0	0	2	0
	Park	16	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	49	2	0	0	3	0

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal			Guardianship		
		Informal				Registrations	Act		
1	Gilpin	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	18
	Jefferson	9	46	842	28	313	0	5	1,871
	Total	9	46	845	28	315	0	5	1,889
2	Denver Probate	6	114	740	82	545	1	8	2,281
3	Huerfano	0	2	14	0	0	0	0	33
	Las Animas	0	5	21	0	1	0	0	51
	Total	0	7	35	0	1	0	0	84
4	El Paso	2	73	630	24	208	1	2	1,637
	Teller	0	1	18	0	3	0	0	61
	Total	2	74	648	24	211	1	2	1,698
5	Clear Creek	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	33
	Eagle	0	4	38	1	37	0	0	132
	Lake	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	21
	Summit	0	0	14	1	11	0	0	59
	Total	0	4	70	2	49	0	0	245
6	Archuleta	0	1	15	1	4	0	0	48
	La Plata	0	9	66	2	44	0	0	211
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	0	10	82	3	48	0	0	264
7	Delta	0	12	61	0	9	0	0	119
	Gunnison	0	0	20	0	4	0	0	46
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	1	2	68	2	18	0	0	151
	Ouray	0	1	4	0	22	0	0	35
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	9
Total	1	16	154	2	56	0	0	364	
8	Jackson	0	0	10	1	4	0	0	18
	Larimer	0	34	372	16	115	0	2	792
	Total	0	34	382	17	119	0	2	810
9	Garfield	0	4	49	1	21	0	0	128
	Pitkin	0	1	14	2	33	0	1	74
	Rio Blanco	0	1	21	0	0	0	0	36
	Total	0	6	84	3	54	0	1	238
10	Pueblo	0	30	237	1	22	0	0	578
11	Chaffee	0	2	43	1	7	0	0	81
	Custer	0	1	12	0	5	0	0	30
	Fremont	0	2	56	0	5	0	0	129
	Park	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	69
	Total	0	5	125	1	18	0	0	309

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor
12	Alamosa	4	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	3	0	0	2	0
	Costilla	44	1	0	0	0
	Mineral	1	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	5	4	0	1	0
	Saguache	10	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	67	6	0	4	0
13	Kit Carson	2	0	0	1	0
	Logan	3	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	3	0	3	0	2
	Phillips	4	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	4	1	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	20	1	5	2	2
14	Grand	10	0	2	1	0
	Moffat	8	0	0	1	0
	Routt	7	1	0	6	0
	<i>Total</i>	25	1	2	8	0
15	Baca	6	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	10	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	6	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	3	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	4	0	0	0
16	Bent	3	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	2	0	0	1	1
	Otero	2	0	1	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	0	1	4	1
17	Adams	7	13	35	30	5
	Broomfield	0	2	6	4	2
	<i>Total</i>	7	15	41	34	7
18	Arapahoe	11	20	50	48	6
	Douglas	2	4	16	35	3
	Elbert	2	5	2	6	0
	Lincoln	5	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	20	29	68	89	9
19	Weld	44	10	13	19	0
20	Boulder	12	12	27	23	3
21	Mesa	6	10	15	7	1
22	Dolores	4	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	10	2	3	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	14	2	3	2	1
<i>State Total</i>		531	197	413	421	38
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	1%	3%	3%	0%

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Determination of Heirship	Foreign				Insurance Benefit	Intestate Formal
			Estate	Protective Proceeding	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor		
12	Alamosa	0	1	0	4	4	0	0
	Conejos	1	1	0	2	2	0	4
	Costilla	1	2	0	2	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	3	0	2	2	0	1
	Saguache	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Total		3	7	0	12	8	0
13	Kit Carson	5	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Logan	7	0	0	3	1	0	4
	Morgan	13	7	0	3	3	0	1
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	6	0	3	0	0	0
	Washington	7	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Yuma	1	2	0	0	2	0	3
	Total		33	17	0	9	9	0
14	Grand	1	0	0	0	4	0	1
	Moffat	2	1	0	3	4	0	3
	Routt	2	5	0	2	2	0	2
	Total		5	6	0	5	10	0
15	Baca	7	0	0	1	2	0	0
	Cheyenne	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	12	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Prowers	4	1	0	1	2	0	2
	Total		25	2	0	4	4	0
16	Bent	19	4	0	2	12	0	0
	Crowley	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Otero	4	0	0	6	3	0	4
	Total		25	4	0	8	16	0
17	Adams	1	0	1	48	59	0	18
	Broomfield	0	6	0	12	10	0	2
	Total		1	6	1	60	69	0
18	Arapahoe	5	4	1	105	79	0	20
	Douglas	0	0	2	28	21	0	9
	Elbert	0	0	0	4	2	0	3
	Lincoln	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total		7	4	3	137	104	0
19	Weld	37	0	0	30	25	0	16
20	Boulder	0	2	0	30	11	0	9
21	Mesa	0	5	0	34	15	0	11
22	Dolores	1	1	0	0	3	0	0
	Montezuma	3	1	0	6	6	0	3
	Total		4	2	0	6	9	0
State Total		198	102	10	828	624	0	286
Percent of State Total		1%	1%	0%	5%	4%	0%	2%

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Intestate		Public	Registration of	Single	Special
		Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Foreign Order	Transaction	Administrator Formal
12	Alamosa	14	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	16	0	0	1	0	1
	Mineral	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	7	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
13	Kit Carson	5	0	0	0	1	0
	Logan	15	0	0	0	2	0
	Morgan	11	1	0	0	2	0
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	10	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	
14	Grand	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	15	1	0	0	0	0
	Routt	7	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	5	1	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	5	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Otero	15	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>
17	Adams	162	4	0	0	36	3
	Broomfield	9	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>3</i>
18	Arapahoe	220	2	0	1	39	0
	Douglas	57	0	0	0	17	1
	Elbert	11	0	0	0	2	0
	Lincoln	5	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>293</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>1</i>
19	Weld	148	1	0	0	14	2
20	Boulder	65	1	0	1	20	4
21	Mesa	69	1	13	0	8	2
22	Dolores	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	19	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>2,008</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>13%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>0%</i>

**Table 22: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Formal	Informal			Guardianship		
		Informal				Registrations	Act		
12	Alamosa	0	3	17	1	2	0	0	50
	Conejos	0	1	8	0	1	0	0	30
	Costilla	0	2	16	0	0	0	0	88
	Mineral	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8
	Rio Grande	0	2	25	0	10	0	0	61
	Saguache	0	0	10	0	3	0	0	34
	Total		0	8	79	1	16	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	15	0	16	0	0	47
	Logan	0	1	27	0	11	0	0	75
	Morgan	0	11	32	0	0	0	0	92
	Phillips	0	1	9	0	1	0	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	19
	Washington	0	2	16	0	2	0	0	37
	Yuma	0	1	26	1	6	0	0	60
	Total		0	16	129	1	36	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	13	0	10	0	0	49
	Moffat	0	6	20	0	6	0	0	70
	Routt	0	1	24	0	23	0	0	83
	Total		0	7	57	0	39	0	0
15	Baca	0	2	7	1	2	0	0	34
	Cheyenne	0	1	6	0	2	0	0	27
	Kiowa	0	3	14	0	1	0	0	46
	Prowers	0	3	22	0	3	0	0	53
	Total		0	9	49	1	8	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	16	0	2	0	0	63
	Crowley	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	16
	Otero	0	3	44	0	7	2	0	96
	Total		0	4	65	0	9	2	0
17	Adams	3	42	317	12	72	0	4	872
	Broomfield	0	3	60	1	26	0	0	144
	Total		3	45	377	13	98	0	4
18	Arapahoe	1	37	656	28	365	0	0	1,698
	Douglas	0	15	158	8	122	0	1	499
	Elbert	0	3	10	1	4	0	0	55
	Lincoln	0	2	9	0	7	0	0	32
	Total		1	57	833	37	498	0	1
19	Weld	1	30	317	11	74	0	0	792
20	Boulder	1	33	374	32	252	0	2	914
21	Mesa	1	18	208	5	69	0	0	498
22	Dolores	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	19
	Montezuma	0	1	46	2	9	0	0	114
	Total		0	1	51	2	10	0	0
State Total		25	574	5,941	266	2,547	4	25	15,555
Percent of State Total		0%	4%	38%	2%	16%	0%	0%	100%

Section IV:
County Court



COUNTY COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin David R. Gloss
Jefferson Bradley A. Burback
Verna L. Carpenter
Ryan Stuart
Susan L. Fisch
Tammy K. Green
Jean Woodford
K.J. Moore
Mark M. Randall
Thomas E. Vance

DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver John M. Marcucci,
Presiding Judge
Gary Jackson
Johnny C. Barajas
Larry L. Bohning
James B. Breese
Doris E. Burd
Brian T. Campbell
Robert B. Crew, Jr.
Dianne Briscoe
Clarisse M. Gonzales
Kerry S. Hada
Alfred C. Harrell
Claudia Jordan
Mary A. Celeste
Nicole Rodarte
Andre L. Rudolph
Raymond N. Satter

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Gary R. Stork
Las Animas Bruce Billings

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Christopher E. Acker
Karla J. Hansen
Larry D. Martin
Laura Findorff
Ann M. Rotolo
Stephen J. Sletta
Jonathan L. Walker
Regina Walter
Daniel S. Wilson
Douglas J. Miles
Teller Lin Billings Vela

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Rachel Olguin-Fresquez
Eagle Katharine T. Sullivan
Lake Wayne Patton
Summit Edward J. Casias

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir
La Plata Martha T. Minot
San Juan Lyndon K. Skinner

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Miller
Gunnison Ben F. Eden
Hinsdale Alvin K. Lutz
Montrose Bennett A. Morris
Julie J. Huffman
Ouray David S. Westfall
San Miguel Scott T. Erickson

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Cindy L. Wilson
Larimer Christine A. Carney
Thomas L. Lynch
Robert A. Rand
Peter Schoon
Mary Joan Berenato

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Jonathan B. Pototsky
Paul Metzger
Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely
Rio Blanco Laurie A. Noble

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Steve Fieldman
Valerie Haynes
David Lobato

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton
Custer Peter F. Michaelson
Fremont Norman C. Cooling
Park Brian L. Green

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Daniel Walzl
Conejos Beth Garcia
Costilla Kimberly Wood
Mineral Ruth M. Acheson
Rio Grande Patrick H. Hayes, Jr.
Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson Michael Grinnan
Logan Robert B. Smith
Morgan Michael J. Schingle
Phillips David O. Colver
Sedgwick James Wittler
Washington Carl S. McGuire
Yuma Sara Marie Wagers-
Johnson

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand Ben W. McClelland
Moffat Sandra Gardner
Routt James H. Garrecht

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca Debra M. Gunkel
Cheyenne Gerald Keefe
Kiowa Gary W. Davis
Prowers Larry E. Stutler

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Samuel A. Vigil
Crowley Suzanne A. Grant
Otero Douglas R. Manley

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Michael A. Cox
Brian N. Bowen
Robert S. Doyle
Bryon L. Howell
Leroy D. Kirby
Dianna Roybal
Sabino E. Romano
Jeffrey L. Romeo
Broomfield Amy Bockman

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Addison L. Adams
Alex R. Bencze
Christine M. Chauche
Cheryl Rowles-Stokes
Dana E. Murray
Anne M. Ollada
Robert C. Tobias
Darren L. Vahle
Douglas Lawrence R. Bowling
Monica J. Gomez
Susanna Meissner-Cutler
Elbert Palmer L. Boyette
Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld John J. Briggs
Michele L. Meyer
Dana J. Nichols
Charles S. Unfug

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta
Noel E. Blum
Karolyn Moore
Norma A. Sierra (12)
John F. Stavely

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Craig P. Henderson
Gretchen Larson
Bruce R. Raaum

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores E. Dale Boyd
Montezuma JenniLynn E. Lawrence

County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2013, Colorado county courts had 446,259 cases filed and were able to terminate 431,952 cases during that same period. Compared to Fiscal Year 2012, county court filings have decreased 7.87 percent, declining from 484,371 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of decrease occurring in misdemeanor filings. Criminal Felony complaints saw the largest increase of filings, 16.34 percent.

Specific information regarding each of the county court is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm>.

County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type Ten Year Comparison

Chart 3: Filings, FY 2004
Total Number of Filings: 514,094





Chart 4: Filings, FY 2013
Total Number of Filings: 446,255



**Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change:
Fiscal Year 2012, Fiscal Year 2013
(Does not include Denver County Court)**

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	193,282	174,466	-9.73%
Infractions	75,464	67,581	-10.45%
Misdemeanors	70,068	62,740	-10.46%
Small Claims	9,117	8,171	-10.38%
Traffic	121,112	115,465	-4.66%
Felony Complaints	15,328	17,832	16.34%
Total	484,371	446,255	-7.87%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
Civil	192,635	174,554	-9.39%
Infractions	76,228	68,033	-10.75%
Misdemeanors	67,482	65,310	-3.22%
Small Claims	9,244	8,357	-9.60%
Traffic	124,842	115,706	-7.32%
Felony Complaints	15,328	17,832	16.34%
Total with Felony Complaints	485,759	467,624	-3.73%
Total without Felony Complaints	470,431	449,792	-4.39%

Table 24: County Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013
(Does not include Denver County Court)

	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	165,324	175,847	176,244	184,994	198,229	202,958	206,954	200,250	193,282	174,466
Cases Terminated	165,761	174,773	176,714	181,463	193,836	200,895	205,545	199,308	192,635	174,554
INFRACTIONS										
New Cases Filed	82,732	107,780	101,386	95,421	96,483	100,804	95,557	84,610	75,464	67,581
Cases Terminated	82,382	103,978	105,440	95,218	96,681	99,055	95,786	87,072	76,228	68,033
MISDEMEANORS										
New Cases Filed	74,779	72,607	75,703	74,094	74,136	73,605	69,695	67,137	70,068	62,740
Cases Terminated	74,168	71,386	74,938	73,451	78,886	74,147	69,232	68,187	67,482	65,310
SMALL CLAIMS										
New Cases Filed	14,292	13,588	13,380	12,880	12,600	12,266	11,097	9,629	9,117	8,171
Cases Terminated	15,113	14,005	13,329	12,933	12,778	12,337	11,010	9,707	9,244	8,357
TRAFFIC										
New Cases Filed	159,413	167,488	168,155	165,298	162,729	155,235	141,493	126,788	121,112	115,465
Cases Terminated	156,139	161,433	165,823	162,482	174,678	160,307	146,373	135,046	124,842	115,706
FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)	17,554	18,137	21,268	18,510	18,393	17,235	16,795	16,851	15,328	17,832
TOTAL										
<i>New Cases Filed</i>	514,094	555,447	556,136	551,197	562,570	562,103	541,591	505,265	484,371	446,255
<i>Cases Terminated (b)</i>	493,563	525,575	536,244	525,547	556,859	546,741	527,946	499,320	470,431	431,960

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

**Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change:
Fiscal Year 2012, Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Filings			Terminations(b)		
	FY12	FY13	Percent Change	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
1	57,204	51,319	-10.29%	53,741	52,393	-2.51%
2(a)	173,821	155,684	-10.43%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	4,409	3,964	-10.09%	4,863	3,892	-19.97%
4	70,774	63,670	-10.04%	71,327	64,225	-9.96%
5	13,998	12,998	-7.14%	13,781	13,253	-3.83%
6	6,980	5,979	-14.34%	6,593	5,838	-11.45%
7	9,950	9,751	-2.00%	9,321	9,748	4.58%
8	27,118	25,451	-6.15%	27,290	25,237	-7.52%
9	10,458	9,018	-13.77%	10,161	9,125	-10.20%
10	17,922	17,241	-3.80%	17,981	17,414	-3.15%
11	8,990	8,157	-9.27%	8,940	8,158	-8.75%
12	7,917	7,458	-5.80%	7,468	7,162	-4.10%
13	8,720	8,096	-7.16%	8,661	8,037	-7.20%
14	6,847	6,162	-10.00%	6,332	6,335	0.05%
15	4,623	4,072	-11.92%	4,532	3,942	-13.02%
16	3,735	3,852	3.13%	3,660	3,859	5.44%
17	67,456	64,425	-4.49%	63,604	65,475	2.94%
18	87,993	81,002	-7.94%	83,475	80,588	-3.46%
19	25,385	23,928	-5.74%	26,424	24,393	-7.69%
20	22,333	20,532	-8.06%	20,647	19,053	-7.72%
21	17,903	16,047	-10.37%	18,211	16,473	-9.54%
22	3,656	3,133	-14.31%	3,419	3,309	-3.22%
State Total Without Denver County Court	484,371	446,255	-7.87%	470,431	449,792	-4.39%
State Total	658,192	601,939	-8.55%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on fiscal year 07.01.12 - 06.30.13

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	151	165	124	124	551	569	192	207
	Jefferson	21,896	22,446	3,245	3,245	6,038	6,311	5,981	5,852
	Total	22,047	22,611	3,369	3,369	6,589	6,880	6,173	6,059
2	Denver(a)	29,447	n/a ^(b)	4,550	n/a ^(b)	10,068	n/a ^(b)	n/a ^(c)	n/a ^(b)
3	Huerfano	181	176	18	18	279	294	264	272
	Las Animas	496	503	36	36	703	691	708	750
	Total	677	679	54	54	982	985	972	1,022
4	El Paso	30,408	30,493	672	672	7,240	7,437	8,131	8,161
	Teller	589	627	15	15	421	401	394	384
	Total	30,997	31,120	687	687	7,661	7,838	8,525	8,545
5	Clear Creek	174	183	97	97	377	386	731	772
	Eagle-Basalt	39	35	1	1	88	87	202	200
	Eagle	860	898	446	446	954	931	1,207	1,293
	Lake	283	301	93	93	250	278	201	204
	Summit	603	565	167	167	556	631	910	877
Total	1,959	1,982	804	804	2,225	2,313	3,251	3,346	
6	Archuleta	307	341	69	69	206	211	369	364
	La Plata	1,199	998	171	171	705	738	712	704
	San Juan	10	8	0	0	20	20	18	24
	Total	1,516	1,347	240	240	931	969	1,099	1,092
7	Delta	968	970	200	200	517	503	510	496
	Gunnison	312	304	129	129	341	323	256	274
	Hinsdale	1	1	3	3	22	23	23	23
	Montrose-Nucla	29	41	9	9	40	46	32	31
	Montrose	1,176	1,223	309	309	775	780	623	620
	Ouray	75	72	8	8	76	83	202	202
	San Miguel	116	117	42	42	153	143	74	68
	Total	2,677	2,728	700	700	1,924	1,901	1,720	1,714
8	Jackson	22	23	0	0	29	41	59	66
	Larimer	7,176	7,114	54	54	3,876	3,859	2,917	3,028
	Larimer-Loveland	2,128	2,100	0	0	1,999	1,959	0	0
	Total	9,326	9,237	54	54	5,904	5,859	2,976	3,094
9	Garfield	981	970	148	148	522	571	583	562
	Garfield-Rifle	958	995	0	0	526	590	497	510
	Pitkin	252	253	6	6	233	210	155	161
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	103	101	1	1	75	94	235	254
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	98	99	0	0	120	132	104	98
Total	2,392	2,418	155	155	1,476	1,597	1,574	1,585	
10	Pueblo	8,186	8,131	5	5	2,914	3,150	1,872	1,783
11	Chaffee	393	421	12	12	544	500	870	847
	Custer	51	53	0	0	61	57	117	115
	Fremont	1,449	1,411	25	25	709	761	871	886
	Park	369	385	8	8	269	292	457	476
Total	2,262	2,270	45	45	1,583	1,610	2,315	2,324	

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(c)
1	Gilpin	13	15	598	611	1,629	1,691
	Jefferson	846	979	11,684	11,869	49,690	50,702
	Total	859	994	12,282	12,480	51,319	52,393
2	Denver(a)	1,382	n/a ^(b)	110,237	n/a ^(b)	155,684	0
3	Huerfano	19	21	358	359	1,119	1,140
	Las Animas	40	37	862	735	2,845	2,752
	Total	59	58	1,220	1,094	3,964	3,892
4	El Paso	1,213	1,164	13,896	14,182	61,560	62,109
	Teller	36	48	655	641	2,110	2,116
	Total	1,249	1,212	14,551	14,823	63,670	64,225
5	Clear Creek	26	28	1,083	1,110	2,488	2,576
	Eagle-Basalt	26	29	134	151	490	503
	Eagle	150	180	1,800	1,680	5,417	5,428
	Lake	17	15	246	267	1,090	1,158
	Summit	79	74	1,198	1,274	3,513	3,588
	Total	298	326	4,461	4,482	12,998	13,253
6	Archuleta	28	34	335	342	1,314	1,361
	La Plata	128	138	1,676	1,644	4,591	4,393
	San Juan	3	3	23	29	74	84
	Total	159	175	2,034	2,015	5,979	5,838
7	Delta	59	60	847	841	3,101	3,070
	Gunnison	33	27	325	309	1,396	1,366
	Hinsdale	0	0	23	24	72	74
	Montrose-Nucla	3	4	42	46	155	177
	Montrose	102	104	892	891	3,877	3,927
	Ouray	14	16	204	182	579	563
	San Miguel	33	34	153	167	571	571
	Total	244	245	2,486	2,460	9,751	9,748
8	Jackson	6	5	76	100	192	235
	Larimer	502	493	4,016	4,097	18,541	18,645
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	2,591	2,298	6,718	6,357
	Total	508	498	6,683	6,495	25,451	25,237
9	Garfield	131	132	1,390	1,298	3,755	3,681
	Garfield-Rifle	62	63	928	1,044	2,971	3,202
	Pitkin	65	72	461	398	1,172	1,100
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	19	20	217	205	650	675
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	16	14	132	124	470	467
	Total	293	301	3,128	3,069	9,018	9,125
10	Pueblo	272	276	3,992	4,069	17,241	17,414
11	Chaffee	30	25	335	335	2,184	2,140
	Custer	11	13	54	42	294	280
	Fremont	84	87	896	883	4,034	4,053
	Park	24	27	518	497	1,645	1,685
	Total	149	152	1,803	1,757	8,157	8,158

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	549	550	442	442	533	469	517	463
	Conejos	213	204	92	92	129	153	155	147
	Costilla	92	94	51	51	138	127	356	357
	Mineral	9	10	5	5	16	19	257	249
	Rio Grande	299	273	195	195	277	283	327	343
	Saguache	134	108	75	75	104	126	233	220
	Total	1,296	1,239	860	860	1,197	1,177	1,845	1,779
13	Kit Carson	182	195	12	12	134	128	194	196
	Logan	503	510	18	18	360	365	789	741
	Morgan	1,071	1,074	24	24	428	443	606	629
	Phillips	83	89	0	0	30	35	22	20
	Sedgwick	47	52	1	1	36	31	156	147
	Washington	86	82	1	1	76	87	242	238
	Yuma	207	238	6	6	110	133	114	115
Total	2,179	2,240	62	62	1,174	1,222	2,123	2,086	
14	Grand	295	276	152	152	464	488	301	313
	Moffat	460	436	165	165	469	466	367	381
	Routt	463	477	173	173	611	682	464	506
	Total	1,218	1,189	490	490	1,544	1,636	1,132	1,200
15	Baca	66	70	1	1	66	57	251	238
	Cheyenne	32	40	0	0	38	35	214	221
	Kiowa	26	27	1	1	19	18	350	346
	Prowers	392	380	17	17	496	480	658	686
	Total	516	517	19	19	619	590	1,473	1,491
16	Bent	150	155	18	18	202	185	154	155
	Crowley	122	124	7	7	71	82	117	116
	Otero	914	981	91	91	465	422	526	556
	Total	1,186	1,260	116	116	738	689	797	827
17	Adams	25,259	25,597	3,379	3,379	6,767	7,279	9,291	9,264
	Broomfield	1,574	1,547	281	281	458	413	454	458
	Total	26,833	27,144	3,660	3,660	7,225	7,692	9,745	9,722
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	1,098	1,121	2,293	2,293	4,337	4,931	7,519	7,527
	Arapahoe-Littleton	27,056	27,244	0	0	0	34	0	0
	Douglas	6,730	5,509	730	730	2,413	2,461	4,252	4,571
	Elbert	482	502	62	62	175	169	624	586
	Lincoln	116	119	62	62	112	114	219	239
	Total	35,482	34,495	3,147	3,147	7,037	7,709	12,614	12,923
19	Weld	9,181	9,265	1,101	1,101	3,527	3,636	3,106	3,160
20	Boulder	4,872	4,982	1,883	1,883	2,813	3,036	1,466	1,486
	Boulder-Longmont	1,734	1,768	0	0	877	882	463	478
	Total	6,606	6,750	1,883	1,883	3,690	3,918	1,929	1,964
21	Mesa	7,066	7,037	71	71	3,119	3,225	1,973	1,927
22	Dolores	46	47	10	10	24	30	56	56
	Montezuma	818	848	300	300	657	684	311	334
	Total	864	895	310	310	681	714	367	390
State Total Without Denver County Court		174,466	174,554	17,832	17,832	62,740	65,310	67,581	68,033
State Total With Denver County Court		203,913	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	22,382	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	72,808	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)	<i>n/a</i> ^(c)	<i>n/a</i> ^(b)

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(c)
12	Alamosa	39	41	759	633	2,839	2,598
	Conejos	22	19	200	203	811	818
	Costilla	5	4	177	173	819	806
	Mineral	2	2	114	104	403	389
	Rio Grande	24	19	615	576	1,737	1,689
	Saguache	15	15	288	318	849	862
	Total	107	100	2,153	2,007	7,458	7,162
13	Kit Carson	27	29	261	211	810	771
	Logan	44	49	662	640	2,376	2,323
	Morgan	51	44	940	917	3,120	3,131
	Phillips	12	10	33	31	180	185
	Sedgwick	16	12	100	83	356	326
	Washington	5	6	214	203	624	617
	Yuma	24	23	169	169	630	684
	Total	179	173	2,379	2,254	8,096	8,037
14	Grand	51	52	546	576	1,809	1,857
	Moffat	50	50	386	383	1,897	1,881
	Routt	64	70	681	689	2,456	2,597
	Total	165	172	1,613	1,648	6,162	6,335
15	Baca	15	13	137	131	536	510
	Cheyenne	6	8	63	73	353	377
	Kiowa	5	6	132	91	533	489
	Prowers	59	55	1,028	948	2,650	2,566
	Total	85	82	1,360	1,243	4,072	3,942
16	Bent	7	7	159	156	690	676
	Crowley	24	21	81	94	422	444
	Otero	56	53	688	636	2,740	2,739
	Total	87	81	928	886	3,852	3,859
17	Adams	732	734	14,839	15,152	60,267	61,405
	Broomfield	76	76	1,315	1,295	4,158	4,070
	Total	808	810	16,154	16,447	64,425	65,475
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	2	2	15,207	14,811	30,456	30,685
	Arapahoe-Littleton	1,054	1,045	0	114	28,110	28,437
	Douglas	344	360	5,447	5,371	19,916	19,002
	Elbert	32	42	373	332	1,748	1,693
	Lincoln	12	12	251	225	772	771
	Total	1,444	1,461	21,278	20,853	81,002	80,588
19	Weld	420	429	6,593	6,802	23,928	24,393
20	Boulder	409	429	4,629	4,531	16,072	16,347
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	1,386	1,461	4,460	4,589
	Total	409	429	6,015	5,992	20,532	19,053
21	Mesa	326	324	3,492	3,889	16,047	16,473
22	Dolores	5	5	32	56	173	16,473
	Montezuma	46	54	828	885	2,960	204
	Total	51	59	860	941	3,133	3,309
State Total Without Denver County Court		8,171	8,357	115,465	115,706	446,255	449,792
State Total With Denver County Court		9,553	n/a^(b)	225,702	n/a^(b)	601,939	449,792

(a) Termination numbers are not available.

(b) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.

(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	2	0	0	3	4	1	2	8	7	5
	Jefferson	58	3	19	89	181	21	68	193	279	160
	Total	60	3	19	92	185	22	70	201	286	165
3	Huerfano	3	0	2	0	12	0	0	23	17	0
	Las Animas	6	0	3	1	7	2	0	18	18	1
	Total	9	0	5	1	19	2	0	41	35	1
4	El Paso	94	7	6	109	131	5	47	799	236	163
	Teller	4	0	0	6	10	0	6	33	14	12
	Total	98	7	6	115	141	5	53	832	250	175
5	Clear Creek	3	0	3	1	6	2	3	41	14	4
	Eagle-Basalt	2	0	0	1	10	0	1	0	12	2
	Eagle	5	0	5	4	53	4	4	118	67	8
	Lake	3	0	1	4	3	2	1	5	9	5
	Summit	6	0	2	4	7	2	5	48	17	9
Total	19	0	11	14	79	10	14	212	119	28	
6	Archuleta	4	0	1	4	21	1	0	48	27	4
	La Plata	7	0	1	13	50	1	6	69	59	19
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
	Total	11	0	2	18	72	2	6	118	87	24
7	Delta	3	0	1	6	28	1	8	21	33	14
	Gunnison	3	0	0	4	14	5	1	45	22	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	10	0	4	1	82	3	1	58	99	2
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	1	0	3	3	1	4	7	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	2	6	1	0	10	7	2
	San Miguel	2	0	1	2	18	2	1	9	23	3
Total	18	0	7	15	151	15	12	148	191	27	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3	1
	Larimer	33	0	6	28	170	0	10	178	209	38
	Larimer-Loveland	13	0	4	21	0	1	10	0	18	31
	Total	46	0	10	50	173	1	20	178	230	70
9	Garfield	5	1	1	1	46	1	2	30	53	4
	Garfield-Rifle	14	0	3	0	23	0	0	76	40	0
	Pitkin	2	0	0	2	42	0	0	23	44	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	7	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	1	1	0	1	9	0	0	27	10	2
Total	22	2	4	4	127	1	2	164	154	8	
10	Pueblo	184	2	2	55	111	0	28	365	297	85
11	Chaffee	4	0	2	0	4	2	1	7	12	1
	Custer	1	0	0	0	9	1	0	9	11	0
	Fremont	19	0	1	9	9	5	2	69	34	11
	Park	6	0	6	11	8	0	0	7	20	11
	Total	30	0	9	20	30	8	3	92	77	23

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	7	6	1	2	8	8	9
	Conejos	2	0	0	4	5	0	1	7	7	5
	Costilla	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	16	2	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	2	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	3	2	0	4	14	3	7
	Saguache	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	3	10	0
	Total	2	0	4	15	21	5	7	53	32	22
13	Kit Carson	4	0	1	0	4	0	0	2	9	0
	Logan	2	0	2	5	8	1	1	17	13	6
	Morgan	8	0	0	3	28	2	4	6	38	7
	Phillips	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	4	4
	Sedgwick	1	0	1	0	5	0	0	3	7	0
	Washington	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	4
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	8	1	4
Total	16	0	4	13	47	5	12	39	72	25	
14	Grand	2	0	4	16	7	2	5	14	15	21
	Moffat	3	0	1	3	10	1	3	6	15	6
	Routt	5	0	5	2	19	3	6	18	32	8
	Total	10	0	10	21	36	6	14	38	62	35
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	3	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	8	8	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	3	0
	Prowers	3	0	6	0	21	1	2	10	31	2
	Total	5	0	6	0	33	1	2	30	45	2
16	Bent	1	0	1	0	4	0	1	7	6	1
	Crowley	3	0	0	1	6	0	0	7	9	1
	Otero	2	0	1	4	29	0	3	21	32	7
	Total	6	0	2	5	39	0	4	35	47	9
17	Adams	68	1	8	94	190	7	72	565	273	167
	Broomfield	9	0	0	8	24	0	5	5	33	13
	Total	77	1	8	102	214	7	77	570	306	180
18	Arapahoe	0	0	2	38	0	3	54	495	5	92
	Arapahoe-Littleton	85	1	0	0	157	0	1	0	242	2
	Douglas	27	2	8	25	55	6	30	396	96	57
	Elbert	9	0	1	1	11	2	2	67	23	3
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	10	3	1
	Total	121	3	11	64	224	13	88	968	369	155
19	Weld	92	1	3	74	327	1	37	118	423	112
20	Boulder	19	0	3	46	176	5	37	41	203	83
	Boulder-Longmont	6	0	4	13	0	1	12	18	11	25
	Total	25	0	7	59	176	6	49	59	214	108
21	Mesa	48	0	22	53	51	1	17	185	122	70
22	Dolores	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
	Montezuma	6	1	0	3	29	1	7	47	36	11
	Total	9	1	0	3	30	1	7	47	40	11
State Total		908	20	152	793	2,286	112	522	4,493	3,458	1,335

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2004 to Fiscal Year 2013**

District	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
1	3,109	3,012	2,914	2,835	2,539	2,659	2,218	2,152	1,902	1,982
Denver County^(a)	2,424	2,209	2,146	3,130	3,491	4,283	3,249	3,064	2,932	2,692
3	255	240	217	201	178	207	242	219	147	164
4	3,548	3,552	3,852	3,748	4,177	4,129	3,530	3,067	2,871	2,757
5	1,394	1,269	1,222	1,238	1,082	1,016	936	910	927	869
6	660	799	634	562	476	536	504	475	477	473
7	659	673	712	734	724	749	697	572	532	522
8	2,390	2,398	2,409	2,086	2,387	2,173	2,008	1,656	1,623	1,811
9	798	726	930	941	832	907	672	654	687	584
10	1,316	1,079	960	942	945	965	887	828	671	629
11	538	565	577	445	471	393	377	328	319	344
12	560	729	609	414	446	463	391	550	420	336
13	575	526	566	466	448	453	415	325	376	388
14	444	434	507	606	597	540	531	469	403	360
15	201	160	150	178	132	157	127	136	151	128
16	234	214	220	173	188	199	178	195	137	128
17	3,920	3,670	3,957	3,538	3,123	3,347	3,252	3,121	3,164	3,021
18	3,848	3,916	3,703	3,874	3,960	3,792	3,930	3,547	3,605	4,053
19	1,471	1,624	1,712	1,914	1,791	1,663	1,533	1,334	1,139	1,118
20	2,304	2,396	2,220	1,960	1,838	1,614	1,587	1,364	1,341	1,518
21	977	1,065	934	864	851	994	987	866	651	587
22	227	186	244	203	282	233	188	178	212	168
State Totals Without Denver County Court	29,428	29,233	29,249	27,925	27,467	27,189	25,190	22,946	21,755	21,940
State Totals	31,852	31,442	31,395	31,055	30,958	31,472	28,439	26,010	24,687	24,632

(a) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

**Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible	Foreign	Money	Name	Other	Replevin	Restraining	Restraining	Total
			Entry and Detainer	Judgment		Change			Order	Order Abuse	
1	Gilpin	0	29	0	100	1	0	0	20	1	151
	Jefferson	0	4,689	6	15,670	218	186	37	616	474	21,896
	Total	0	4,718	6	15,770	219	186	37	636	475	22,047
3	Huerfano	0	12	0	142	2	1	0	20	4	181
	Las Animas	0	51	0	334	5	0	4	70	32	496
	Total	0	63	0	476	7	1	4	90	36	677
4	El Paso	0	7,849	3	19,212	265	11	50	506	2,512	30,408
	Teller	0	97	0	380	4	3	0	36	69	589
	Total	0	7,946	3	19,592	269	14	50	542	2,581	30,997
5	Clear Creek	0	40	0	109	3	4	0	17	1	174
	Eagle-Basalt	0	178	0	15	3	0	0	7	0	203
	Eagle	0	14	0	597	16	0	0	68	0	695
	Lake	0	80	0	181	4	2	0	11	5	283
	Summit	0	110	0	441	11	1	0	37	3	603
Total	0	422	0	1,343	37	7	0	140	9	1,958	
6	Archuleta	0	51	0	172	4	29	0	28	23	307
	La Plata	0	135	0	884	9	0	0	97	74	1,199
	San Juan	0	2	0	4	1	0	0	2	1	10
	Total	0	188	0	1,060	14	29	0	127	98	1,516
7	Delta	0	94	0	766	6	4	3	62	33	968
	Gunnison	0	33	0	224	7	0	0	45	3	312
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose-Nucla	0	4	0	16	0	3	0	4	3	30
	Montrose	0	137	0	906	20	1	6	90	16	1,176
	Ouray	0	11	0	47	1	1	0	11	4	75
	San Miguel	0	29	0	62	5	0	0	17	3	116
	Total	0	308	0	2,021	40	9	9	229	62	2,678
8	Jackson	0	1	0	16	2	0	0	3	0	22
	Larimer	0	979	3	5,545	100	12	6	233	298	7,176
	Larimer-Loveland	0	360	0	1,746	19	1	2	0	0	2,128
	Total	0	1,340	3	7,307	121	13	8	236	298	9,326
9	Garfield	0	101	0	744	13	3	4	74	42	981
	Garfield-Rifle	0	118	0	793	8	1	2	19	17	958
	Pitkin	0	27	1	166	15	1	0	42	0	252
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	11	0	80	1	2	1	5	3	103
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	16	0	64	0	3	0	8	7	98
	Total	0	273	1	1,847	37	10	7	148	69	2,392
10	Pueblo	0	1,312	0	6,188	49	42	0	198	397	8,186
11	Chaffee	0	32	0	318	9	0	4	26	4	393
	Custer	0	11	0	30	1	0	0	7	2	51
	Fremont	0	175	0	1,086	19	1	1	65	102	1,449
	Park	0	64	0	238	9	8	0	34	16	369
	Total	0	282	0	1,672	38	9	5	132	124	2,262

**Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Change of Venue	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name Change	Other	Replevin	Restraining Order	Restraining Order Domestic Abuse	Total
12	Alamosa	0	51	0	387	7	5	1	68	30	549
	Conejos	0	11	0	155	4	5	0	33	5	213
	Costilla	0	3	0	50	0	0	0	36	3	92
	Mineral	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	9
	Rio Grande	0	25	0	231	2	7	0	33	1	299
	Saguache	0	12	0	101	0	0	0	18	3	134
	Total		0	103	0	929	13	17	1	191	42
13	Kit Carson	0	14	0	158	1	1	0	7	1	182
	Logan	0	102	0	370	11	2	1	6	11	503
	Morgan	0	100	0	894	16	1	3	38	19	1,071
	Phillips	0	1	0	71	1	1	0	6	3	83
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	6	2	47
	Washington	0	4	0	70	3	0	0	5	4	86
	Yuma	0	11	0	167	3	1	0	24	1	207
Total		0	232	0	1,769	35	6	4	92	41	2,179
14	Grand	0	62	0	203	3	1	0	19	7	295
	Moffat	0	64	0	363	5	1	1	22	4	460
	Routt	0	57	0	337	7	1	0	56	5	463
	Total		0	183	0	903	15	3	1	97	16
15	Baca	0	1	0	54	0	0	0	10	1	66
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	23	0	0	0	8	0	32
	Kiowa	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	3	1	26
	Prowers	0	14	1	303	6	1	0	49	18	392
	Total		0	16	1	402	6	1	0	70	20
16	Bent	0	7	0	116	0	0	0	23	4	150
	Crowley	0	11	0	84	1	0	0	22	4	122
	Otero	0	67	0	700	7	1	3	61	75	914
	Total		0	85	0	900	8	1	3	106	83
17	Adams	0	7,495	1	16,261	211	66	89	507	629	25,259
	Broomfield	0	524	0	935	22	3	0	47	43	1,574
	Total		0	8,019	1	17,196	233	69	89	554	672
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	1	0	10	0	561	524	1,096
	*Arapahoe-Aurora	0	9,496	7	16,763	290	25	138	247	92	27,058
	Douglas	0	1,288	0	4,968	120	22	12	195	125	6,730
	Elbert	0	52	0	375	11	0	0	26	18	482
	Lincoln	0	10	0	89	2	0	0	7	8	116
	Total		0	10,846	7	22,196	423	57	150	1,036	767
19	Weld	0	1,745	0	6,485	117	58	36	403	337	9,181
20	Boulder	0	949	0	3,401	136	20	4	226	136	4,872
	Boulder-Longmont	0	206	1	1,261	37	15	4	103	107	1,734
	Total		0	1,155	1	4,662	173	35	8	329	243
21	Mesa	0	1,035	2	5,394	60	7	30	195	342	7,065
22	Dolores	0	7	0	21	0	0	0	17	1	46
	Montezuma	0	68	1	603	9	1	1	115	20	818
	Total		0	75	1	624	9	1	1	132	21
State Total		0	40,346	26	118,736	1,923	575	443	5,683	6,733	174,465
Percent of State Total		0%	23%	0%	68%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	100%

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	10	20	0	7	0	7	10	0	0
	Jefferson	256	266	2	687	1	301	257	19	127
	Total	266	286	2	694	1	308	267	19	127
3	Huerfano	10	1	1	10	15	3	4	0	0
	Las Animas	12	3	0	39	99	15	8	2	0
	Total	22	4	1	49	114	18	12	2	0
4	El Paso	276	159	2	413	0	231	212	219	0
	Teller	5	48	0	38	2	16	11	0	0
	Total	281	207	2	451	2	247	223	219	0
5	Clear Creek	41	42	3	11	1	4	2	0	0
	Eagle	44	49	0	48	1	17	37	1	0
	Eagle-Basalt	7	9	0	3	0	1	4	0	0
	Lake	6	11	0	14	2	6	6	0	0
	Summit	32	26	1	44	21	8	10	0	0
	Total	130	137	4	120	25	36	59	1	0
6	Archuleta	12	49	0	4	10	3	2	2	0
	La Plata	16	61	3	53	43	14	18	1	0
	San Juan	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
	Total	30	111	3	57	54	17	22	3	0
7	Delta	24	19	0	27	13	13	12	0	0
	Gunnison	26	31	0	17	5	10	12	0	0
	Hinsdale	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	30	23	0	36	7	16	17	1	0
	Montrose-Nucla	2	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	0
	Ouray	2	4	0	5	0	0	1	0	0
	San Miguel	7	6	0	6	4	3	5	1	0
	Total	92	84	0	92	30	46	49	2	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	244	116	5	182	18	45	107	4	0
	Larimer-Loveland	147	57	1	78	7	39	42	0	0
	Total	391	173	6	264	25	84	149	4	0
9	Garfield	109	22	0	22	2	14	13	0	0
	Garfield-Rifle	31	58	0	12	1	12	7	0	0
	Pitkin	0	4	0	14	7	0	6	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	8	1	0	3	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	19	3	0	6	0	1	2	0	0
	Total	167	88	0	57	10	32	28	0	0
10	Pueblo	111	184	0	103	2	48	39	46	16
11	Chaffee	35	14	0	29	7	5	17	0	0
	Custer	6	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	0
	Fremont	22	23	0	59	0	13	23	0	0
	Park	14	37	0	16	4	4	6	1	0
	Total	77	74	0	112	11	22	47	1	0

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	55	44	1	0	20	55	146	13	15
	Jefferson	14	600	31	0	6	16	0	363	386
	Total	69	644	32	0	26	71	146	376	401
3	Huerfano	39	21	0	0	0	1	0	7	7
	Las Animas	99	33	1	0	0	10	0	18	25
	Total	138	54	1	0	0	11	0	25	32
4	El Paso	1,907	671	13	0	2	27	0	217	301
	Teller	18	37	0	0	1	4	29	0	64
	Total	1,925	708	13	0	3	31	29	217	365
5	Clear Creek	42	45	0	0	1	0	0	3	5
	Eagle	150	186	0	0	1	0	0	21	33
	Eagle-Basalt	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Lake	48	19	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	Summit	120	65	1	0	0	0	0	4	12
	Total	378	316	1	0	2	1	0	28	69
6	Archuleta	30	6	0	0	0	1	0	6	6
	La Plata	143	65	0	0	1	3	0	4	27
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	174	71	0	0	1	4	0	10	33
7	Delta	103	51	0	0	0	0	0	18	9
	Gunnison	41	23	0	0	0	6	0	4	14
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
	Montrose	176	59	1	0	1	6	0	29	16
	Montrose-Nucla	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Ouray	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
	San Miguel	26	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Total	354	157	3	0	1	12	0	59	61
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Larimer	600	461	6	1	4	1	0	115	112
	Larimer-Loveland	287	292	0	0	1	3	0	72	59
	Total	887	754	6	1	5	5	0	187	172
9	Garfield	95	44	0	0	1	2	0	8	20
	Garfield-Rifle	142	48	0	0	1	1	0	11	8
	Pitkin	36	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	10	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	18	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	301	113	0	0	2	4	0	19	40
10	Pueblo	733	77	3	0	0	1	0	109	37
11	Chaffee	52	25	0	0	0	1	0	8	18
	Custer	14	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
	Fremont	148	53	0	0	0	5	0	0	66
	Park	34	22	0	0	0	1	0	5	19
	Total	248	103	0	0	0	9	0	13	107

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)					Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Other	Perjury	Prostitution				
1	Gilpin	0	0	58	0	0	53	0	0
	Jefferson	14	0	305	0	1	698	21	136
	Total	14	0	363	0	1	751	21	136
3	Huerfano	1	0	48	0	0	48	0	17
	Las Animas	8	0	37	2	0	82	2	20
	Total	9	0	85	2	0	130	2	37
4	El Paso	28	0	375	0	25	360	28	215
	Teller	2	0	44	0	0	27	0	0
	Total	30	0	419	0	25	387	28	215
5	Clear Creek	3	0	43	0	0	35	0	26
	Eagle	1	0	43	0	2	69	1	22
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	11	0	0	3	1	0
	Lake	1	0	36	0	0	32	0	0
	Summit	0	0	37	0	0	33	1	32
	Total	5	0	170	0	2	172	3	80
6	Archuleta	2	0	11	0	0	9	0	4
	La Plata	3	0	63	0	0	65	1	8
	San Juan	1	0	6	0	0	2	0	1
	Total	6	0	80	0	0	76	1	13
7	Delta	1	0	46	0	0	74	3	10
	Gunnison	1	0	13	0	0	59	0	19
	Hinsdale	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	0	3	61	0	0	172	4	6
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	15	0	0	7	0	0
	San Miguel	2	0	28	0	0	14	0	0
	Total	4	3	179	0	0	330	7	37
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	1
	Larimer	14	0	287	0	4	145	11	143
	Larimer-Loveland	9	0	213	0	1	87	8	93
	Total	23	0	501	0	5	236	20	237
9	Garfield	1	0	28	0	0	77	4	14
	Garfield-Rifle	1	0	28	0	0	76	0	16
	Pitkin	1	0	31	0	0	32	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	5	0	0	17	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	7	0	0	27	0	3
	Total	3	0	99	0	0	229	4	33
10	Pueblo	6	0	104	1	0	569	2	51
11	Chaffee	0	0	194	0	0	43	1	14
	Custer	1	0	4	0	0	6	0	0
	Fremont	2	0	89	0	0	65	1	11
	Park	1	0	22	0	0	12	0	1
	Total	4	0	309	0	0	126	2	26

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex	Under Age					Total
		Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
1	Gilpin	2	17	14	0	4	0	551
	Jefferson	82	732	67	451	88	100	6,027
	Total	84	749	81	451	92	100	6,578
3	Huerfano	4	25	4	5	2	6	279
	Las Animas	2	98	12	38	12	26	703
	Total	6	123	16	43	14	32	982
4	El Paso	54	781	173	366	118	67	7,240
	Teller	0	36	7	7	10	15	421
	Total	54	817	180	373	128	82	7,661
5	Clear Creek	3	8	4	31	8	16	377
	Eagle	5	19	20	165	10	9	954
	Eagle-Basalt	1	3	6	17	0	1	88
	Lake	0	3	11	8	2	11	234
	Summit	3	20	28	39	1	18	556
	Total	12	53	69	260	21	55	2,209
6	Archuleta	0	9	5	15	2	18	206
	La Plata	4	44	14	22	23	6	705
	San Juan	0	0	3	0	0	0	20
	Total	4	53	22	37	25	24	931
7	Delta	6	23	4	33	7	21	517
	Gunnison	1	11	5	26	2	15	341
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	3	22
	Montrose	1	25	7	44	16	18	775
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	3	1	1	2	40
	Ouray	1	2	1	3	2	9	76
	San Miguel	1	4	10	7	2	3	153
	Total	10	67	30	114	30	71	1,924
8	Jackson	0	1	4	2	1	7	29
	Larimer	32	466	142	553	36	22	3,876
	Larimer-Loveland	9	282	25	125	26	36	1,999
	Total	41	749	171	680	63	65	5,904
9	Garfield	2	14	12	11	5	2	522
	Garfield-Rifle	0	16	5	31	7	14	526
	Pitkin	0	15	18	51	4	2	233
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	1	2	1	1	10	75
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	3	2	8	3	6	119
	Total	2	49	39	102	20	34	1,475
10	Pueblo	18	169	16	289	25	155	2,914
11	Chaffee	0	28	5	28	4	16	544
	Custer	2	3	1	3	1	2	61
	Fremont	4	80	9	23	8	5	709
	Park	0	5	2	20	4	39	269
	Total	6	116	17	74	17	62	1,583

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
12	Alamosa	31	15	1	14	0	14	7	0	0
	Conejos	4	0	1	6	0	4	2	0	0
	Costilla	6	0	0	9	0	1	5	0	0
	Mineral	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	16	0	0	13	1	10	9	0	0
	Saguache	4	5	0	6	0	4	2	1	0
	Total	63	21	2	48	1	33	25	1	0
13	Kit Carson	13	5	0	11	1	3	3	1	0
	Logan	10	1	0	21	0	12	5	3	0
	Morgan	39	25	1	16	0	10	5	0	0
	Phillips	2	1	0	2	0	3	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	8	1	0	4	0	1	1	3	0
	Yuma	7	2	0	12	0	3	5	0	0
	Total	84	35	1	68	1	32	20	7	0
14	Grand	39	8	0	20	1	5	11	0	0
	Moffat	4	18	0	17	2	10	14	4	0
	Routt	14	23	1	20	9	8	23	0	0
	Total	57	49	1	57	12	23	48	4	0
15	Baca	5	2	0	7	0	1	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Kiowa	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	4	0	13	1	3	10	0	0
	Total	13	11	0	23	1	4	10	2	0
16	Bent	4	7	0	25	2	2	8	2	0
	Crowley	2	3	0	4	0	0	2	4	0
	Otero	33	20	0	26	0	3	6	0	0
	Total	39	30	0	55	2	5	16	6	0
17	Adams	484	84	2	186	0	303	99	2	7
	Broomfield	56	0	0	19	0	11	8	0	0
	Total	540	84	2	205	0	314	107	2	7
18	Arapahoe	300	51	1	201	3	279	52	1	68
	Douglas	84	149	2	95	4	95	31	4	2
	Elbert	5	19	0	6	1	9	5	1	0
	Lincoln	6	2	0	7	0	4	11	0	0
	Total	395	221	3	309	8	387	99	6	70
19	Weld	273	73	8	160	0	78	60	0	0
20	Boulder	207	19	3	115	7	42	59	2	0
	Boulder-Longmont	24	15	0	36	3	23	27	1	0
	Total	231	34	3	151	10	65	86	3	0
21	Mesa	85	322	0	117	7	62	66	4	0
22	Dolores	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
	Montezuma	41	10	0	45	57	8	22	0	0
	Total	42	12	0	47	57	9	24	0	0
State Total		3,389	2,240	38	3,239	373	1,870	1,456	332	220
Percent of State Total		5%	4%	0%	5%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Domestic		Escape	Fare		Fraud	Gambling	Habitual	
		Violence	Drugs		Evasion	Forgery			Traffic	Harassment
12	Alamosa	114	11	1	0	0	42	0	35	12
	Conejos	25	7	0	0	0	12	0	6	7
	Costilla	11	9	0	0	1	5	0	8	20
	Mineral	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	40	18	0	0	0	53	0	7	15
	Saguache	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	10
	Total	212	49	1	0	1	112	0	61	67
13	Kit Carson	22	12	0	0	0	2	0	0	7
	Logan	84	32	0	0	0	2	0	14	12
	Morgan	74	25	0	0	0	3	0	23	7
	Phillips	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Sedgwick	5	6	0	0	0	3	0	1	1
	Washington	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	3	4
	Yuma	13	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	5
	Total	216	89	0	0	0	13	0	42	39
14	Grand	53	42	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
	Moffat	62	49	0	0	0	0	0	7	16
	Routt	59	80	0	0	0	1	0	4	25
	Total	174	171	0	0	0	1	0	14	50
15	Baca	18	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	1
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Kiowa	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	87	40	0	0	1	21	0	13	25
	Total	107	48	0	0	1	25	0	16	28
16	Bent	21	7	0	0	0	1	0	4	16
	Crowley	24	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	Otero	125	33	0	0	1	1	0	26	12
	Total	170	41	0	0	1	3	0	30	34
17	Adams	1,243	952	3	0	2	1	0	500	104
	Broomfield	116	42	0	0	0	0	0	49	15
	Total	1,359	994	3	0	2	1	0	549	119
18	Arapahoe	464	393	4	0	11	4	0	404	93
	Douglas	401	209	0	0	2	1	0	66	63
	Elbert	27	11	0	0	1	0	0	7	7
	Lincoln	20	8	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
	Total	912	621	4	0	14	6	0	479	166
19	Weld	862	335	40	0	2	0	0	380	58
20	Boulder	408	592	10	0	3	1	0	53	83
	Boulder-Longmont	242	58	4	0	0	0	0	39	26
	Total	650	650	14	0	3	1	0	92	109
21	Mesa	433	364	3	0	0	2	0	116	67
22	Dolores	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	91	76	0	0	0	2	0	25	11
	Total	93	77	0	0	1	2	0	25	13
State Total		10,395	6,436	124	1	65	315	175	2,847	2,067
Percent of State Total		17%	10%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%	3%

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Menacing	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
12	Alamosa	4	0	41	0	0	126	1	1
	Conejos	0	0	15	0	0	21	0	3
	Costilla	3	0	14	0	0	14	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	22	0	0	25	0	6
	Saguache	0	0	6	0	0	16	0	4
	Total		10	0	100	0	0	203	1
13	Kit Carson	1	0	6	0	1	21	0	0
	Logan	0	0	22	0	0	74	2	3
	Morgan	2	0	31	0	0	64	1	2
	Phillips	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	1	0	6	0	0	10	1	0
	Yuma	0	0	16	0	0	15	0	0
Total		5	0	86	0	1	186	4	5
14	Grand	2	0	22	1	0	44	0	11
	Moffat	4	0	21	0	1	68	0	33
	Routt	3	0	55	0	0	64	1	4
	Total	9	0	98	1	1	176	1	48
15	Baca	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	2
	Cheyenne	4	0	4	0	0	10	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	10	0	0	64	2	56
	Total	5	0	17	0	0	79	2	59
16	Bent	2	0	15	0	0	44	0	7
	Crowley	1	0	4	0	0	12	0	3
	Otero	4	0	7	0	0	66	1	12
	Total	7	0	26	0	0	122	1	22
17	Adams	18	0	610	0	14	611	133	324
	Broomfield	2	0	24	0	0	60	1	0
	Total	20	0	634	0	14	671	134	324
18	Arapahoe	10	0	397	0	3	758	3	28
	Douglas	7	0	219	0	0	350	8	48
	Elbert	0	0	9	0	0	29	0	0
	Lincoln	1	0	9	0	0	13	0	4
	Total	18	0	634	0	3	1,150	11	80
19	Weld	14	1	210	0	0	314	2	16
20	Boulder	12	0	128	0	0	147	3	148
	Boulder-Longmont	6	0	91	0	0	112	3	40
	Total	18	0	219	0	0	259	6	188
21	Mesa	7	0	250	0	2	299	10	7
22	Dolores	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	10	0	0	122	0	46
	Total	1	0	12	0	0	125	0	46
State Total		218	4	4,595	4	54	6,590	262	1,675
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	11%	0%	3%

**Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
12	Alamosa	6	36	7	11	3	0	533
	Conejos	0	4	0	3	3	6	129
	Costilla	0	10	9	4	4	4	138
	Mineral	0	1	0	1	2	0	16
	Rio Grande	2	11	5	12	5	4	277
	Saguache	0	3	2	7	3	3	104
	Total		8	65	23	38	20	17
13	Kit Carson	0	6	12	6	1	0	134
	Logan	6	27	9	7	6	8	360
	Morgan	0	18	8	34	11	29	428
	Phillips	0	0	1	2	0	0	30
	Sedgwick	1	1	2	0	1	4	36
	Washington	0	2	8	0	1	2	76
	Yuma	2	2	4	11	1	7	110
Total		9	56	44	60	21	50	1,174
14	Grand	1	12	6	138	5	31	464
	Moffat	0	48	12	55	9	15	469
	Routt	1	36	11	118	9	42	611
	Total	2	96	29	311	23	88	1,544
15	Baca	0	6	0	2	0	3	66
	Cheyenne	0	2	3	3	0	2	38
	Kiowa	0	1	1	3	0	3	18
	Prowers	2	66	11	47	7	5	496
	Total	2	75	15	55	7	13	618
16	Bent	1	14	1	7	1	11	202
	Crowley	1	1	1	1	0	0	71
	Otero	5	25	4	51	4	0	465
	Total	7	40	6	59	5	11	738
17	Adams	65	456	253	209	84	18	6,767
	Broomfield	0	49	2	1	3	0	458
	Total	65	505	255	210	87	18	7,225
18	Arapahoe	45	184	46	352	47	135	4,337
	Douglas	11	180	38	227	30	87	2,413
	Elbert	1	10	2	16	6	3	175
	Lincoln	3	9	2	1	1	5	112
	Total	60	383	88	596	84	230	7,037
19	Weld	22	255	36	210	29	88	3,526
20	Boulder	36	459	67	161	36	12	2,813
	Boulder-Longmont	15	61	18	13	11	9	877
	Total	51	520	85	174	47	21	3,690
21	Mesa	7	262	61	446	46	74	3,119
22	Dolores	0	0	2	1	1	1	24
	Montezuma	4	32	2	41	4	7	657
	Total	4	32	4	42	5	8	681
State Total		474	5,234	1,287	4,624	809	1,298	62,710
Percent of State Total		1%	8%	2%	7%	1%	2%	100%

**Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of		Landlord		Other	Total
		Contract	Covenant	Tenant	Money		
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	13	0	13
	Jefferson	49	5	172	620	0	846
	Total	49	5	172	633	0	859
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Las Animas	0	0	0	40	0	40
	Total	0	0	0	59	0	59
4	El Paso	8	0	279	925	1	1,213
	Teller	3	0	5	28	0	36
	Total	11	0	284	953	1	1,249
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	26	0	26
	Eagle-Basalt	1	0	3	22	0	26
	Eagle	0	0	10	140	0	150
	Lake	0	0	0	17	0	17
	Summit	0	0	0	79	0	79
	Total	1	0	13	284	0	298
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	28	0	28
	La Plata	0	0	5	122	1	128
	San Juan	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Total	0	0	5	153	1	159
7	Delta	0	0	7	52	0	59
	Gunnison	0	1	3	29	0	33
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Montrose	2	2	13	85	0	102
	Ouray	0	0	1	13	0	14
	San Miguel	0	0	3	30	0	33
	Total	2	3	27	212	0	244
8	Jackson	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Larimer	33	8	37	420	4	502
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	33	8	37	427	4	509
9	Garfield	3	3	33	91	1	131
	Pitkin	2	0	4	59	0	65
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	19	0	19
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	0	62	0	62
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	16	0	16
Total	5	3	37	247	1	293	
10	Pueblo	1	4	16	248	3	272
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	29	0	30
	Custer	0	1	1	10	0	12
	Fremont	0	0	0	84	0	84
	Park	6	0	7	10	1	24
	Total	6	1	9	133	1	150

**Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Covenant	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	38	0	39
	Conejos	0	0	0	22	0	22
	Costilla	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	23	1	24
	Saguache	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Total		1	0	0	105	1
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	26	1	27
	Logan	0	0	2	42	0	44
	Morgan	0	0	2	49	0	51
	Phillips	0	0	2	10	0	12
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Washington	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Yuma	0	0	0	24	0	24
	Total		0	0	6	172	1
14	Grand	1	0	5	45	0	51
	Moffat	0	0	0	50	0	50
	Routt	0	0	3	61	0	64
	Total	1	0	8	156	0	165
15	Baca	0	0	0	15	0	15
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Kiowa	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Prowers	0	0	3	56	0	59
	Total	0	0	3	82	0	85
16	Bent	0	0	0	7	0	7
	Crowley	0	0	1	23	0	24
	Otero	0	0	6	50	0	56
	Total	0	0	7	80	0	87
17	Adams	10	2	35	683	2	732
	Broomfield	0	0	0	76	0	76
	Total	10	2	35	759	2	808
18	Arapahoe	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Arapahoe-Littleton	60	7	102	845	40	1,054
	Douglas	37	3	36	266	2	344
	Elbert	0	0	3	29	0	32
	Lincoln	0	0	0	12	0	12
	Total	97	10	141	1,154	42	1,444
19	Weld	10	2	28	378	2	420
20	Boulder	126	10	95	156	22	409
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	126	10	95	156	22	409
21	Mesa	0	0	35	291	0	326
22	Dolores	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Montezuma	0	1	1	45	0	47
	Total	0	1	1	49	0	51
State Total		353	49	959	6,731	81	8,173
Percent of State Total		4%	1%	12%	82%	1%	100%

**Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
1	Gilpin	143	105	2	348	0	598
	Jefferson	3,738	1,816	59	6,043	0	11,656
	Total	3,881	1,921	61	6,391	0	12,254
3	Huerfano	95	39	3	220	1	358
	Las Animas	180	108	14	560	0	862
	Total	275	147	17	780	1	1,220
4	El Paso	4,056	2,517	77	7,248	0	13,898
	Teller	171	140	23	320	0	654
	Total	4,227	2,657	100	7,568	0	14,552
5	Clear Creek	221	137	9	716	0	1,083
	Eagle-Basalt	37	20	0	77	0	134
	Eagle	505	327	18	949	0	1,799
	Lake	67	62	1	116	0	246
	Summit	335	285	10	568	0	1,198
	Total	1,165	831	38	2,426	0	4,460
6	Archuleta	118	76	3	137	0	334
	La Plata	507	378	12	779	0	1,676
	San Juan	3	3	1	16	0	23
	Total	628	457	16	932	0	2,033
7	Delta	238	155	11	443	0	847
	Gunnison	47	88	6	184	0	325
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Montrose-Nucla	11	8	0	23	0	42
	Montrose	262	155	10	465	0	892
	Ouray	43	32	0	129	0	204
	San Miguel	33	56	1	63	0	153
	Total	634	494	28	1,330	0	2,486
8	Jackson	10	7	0	60	0	77
	Larimer	1,211	1,147	23	1,635	0	4,016
	Larimer-Loveland	884	610	24	1,073	0	2,591
	Total	2,105	1,764	47	2,768	0	6,684
9	Garfield	294	284	38	774	0	1,390
	Garfield-Rifle	306	84	4	534	0	928
	Pitkin	106	124	1	230	0	461
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	30	23	1	163	0	217
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	24	25	0	83	0	132
	Total	760	540	44	1,784	0	3,128
10	Pueblo	1,758	619	10	1,605	0	3,992
11	Chaffee	0	78	9	248	0	335
	Custer	8	8	0	38	0	54
	Fremont	318	139	5	434	0	896
	Park	159	96	9	254	0	518
	Total	485	321	23	974	0	1,803

**Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2013**

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
12	Alamosa	281	150	12	315	0	758
	Conejos	72	17	1	110	0	200
	Costilla	27	29	1	120	0	177
	Mineral	19	3	0	92	0	114
	Rio Grande	191	81	4	340	0	616
	Saguache	77	30	8	173	0	288
	Total		667	310	26	1,150	0
13	Kit Carson	72	33	4	152	0	261
	Logan	188	121	10	342	0	661
	Morgan	308	148	6	478	0	940
	Phillips	11	6	0	16	0	33
	Sedgwick	21	11	0	68	0	100
	Washington	80	20	0	114	0	214
	Yuma	55	27	2	85	0	169
Total		735	366	22	1,255	0	2,378
14	Grand	113	114	2	317	0	546
	Moffat	96	82	5	213	0	396
	Routt	147	153	4	377	0	681
	Total	356	349	11	907	0	1,623
15	Baca	27	18	0	92	0	137
	Cheyenne	16	3	0	44	0	63
	Kiowa	33	13	2	84	0	132
	Prowers	154	89	3	782	0	1,028
	Total	230	123	5	1,002	0	1,360
16	Bent	56	17	3	83	0	159
	Crowley	36	8	1	36	0	81
	Otero	264	94	5	325	0	688
	Total	356	119	9	444	0	928
17	Adams	5,316	2,767	19	6,736	0	14,838
	Broomfield	598	230	5	484	0	1,317
	Total	5,914	2,997	24	7,220	0	16,155
18	Arapahoe	5,568	3,095	48	6,495	0	15,206
	Douglas	1,264	815	16	3,352	0	5,447
	Elbert	98	58	0	217	0	373
	Lincoln	61	21	0	169	0	251
	Total	6,991	3,989	64	10,233	0	21,277
19	Weld	2,673	1,064	54	2,802	0	6,593
20	Boulder	1,292	1,139	69	2,123	0	4,623
	Boulder-Longmont	466	304	6	609	0	1,385
	Total	1,758	1,443	75	2,732	0	6,008
21	Mesa	971	573	14	1,934	0	3,492
22	Dolores	9	5	0	18	0	32
	Montezuma	278	160	3	387	0	828
	Total	287	165	3	405	0	860
State Total		36,856	21,249	691	56,642	1	115,439
Percent of State Total		32%	18%	1%	49%	0%	100%

Section V: Water Court



WATER COURT JUDGES

Division One	Greeley	James Hartmann, Jr.
Division Two	Pueblo	Larry C. Schwartz
Division Three	Alamosa	Pattie P. Swift
Division Four	Montrose	J. Steven Patrick
Division Five	Glenwood Springs	James B. Boyd
Division Six	Steamboat Springs	Michael A. O'Hara, III
Division Seven	Durango	Gregory G. Lyman

Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. A division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court staff each water division.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm>.

**Table 33: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2012 and Fiscal Year 2013**

Division	FY12	FY13	Percent Change
One - Weld County, Greeley			
Filings	360	246	-32%
Claims	2,181	1,484	-32%
Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo			
Filings	135	91	-33%
Claims	730	402	-45%
Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa			
Filings	36	35	-3%
Claims	78	78	0%
Four - Montrose County, Montrose			
Filings	156	177	13%
Claims	411	446	9%
Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs			
Filings	213	162	-24%
Claims	950	808	-15%
Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs			
Filings	88	81	-8%
Claims	341	201	-41%
Seven - La Plata County, Durango			
Filings	85	59	-31%
Claims	202	241	19%
State Total			
Filings	1,073	851	-21%
Claims	4,893	3,660	-25%

Section VI: Office of Dispute Resolution



The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the court in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs. ODR provides services, including mediation, parenting coordination/decision-making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, early neutral assessment and settlement conferences. ODR manages the federal Access & Visitation Grant for the State of Colorado providing support and funding for dispute resolution programs targeting never-married parents with the goal of increasing parenting time.

In addition to providing services, ODR helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including government agencies, state and local bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR neutrals first began mediating cases in fiscal year 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases reported. In fiscal year 2013, an estimated 7,786 mediation cases were reported from Colorado's 22 judicial districts. These cases included domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, child support enforcement, county court, small claims, and probate. Mediation services constituted approximately 99 percent of ODR's services in fiscal year 2013.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for administrative and program costs. Parties pay the mediator directly for services. ODR continues to provide reduced-fee services for qualifying indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and continued receipt of the Federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at www.coloradoODR.org.

Table 34: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2013

Case Type	Cases completely or partially resolved	Cases not resolved ¹	Cases served left with proposal ²	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR not provided ³	Total # of cases reported
Domestic - Initial Filings	2,428	646	313	38	124	234	3,783
Percentage	64.2%	17.1%	8.3%	1.0%	3.3%	6.2%	
Domestic - Modifications	1,262	737	225	34	49	72	2,379
Percentage	53.0%	31.0%	9.5%	1.4%	2.1%	3.0%	
Dependency & Neglect	199	53	35	3	1	29	320
Percentage	62.2%	16.6%	10.9%	0.9%	0.3%	9.1%	
Child Support Enforcement	270	46	14	3	13	123	469
Percentage	57.6%	9.8%	3.0%	0.6%	2.8%	26.2%	
Juvenile	115	48	8	4	1	51	227
Percentage	50.7%	21.1%	3.5%	1.8%	0.4%	22.5%	
District Civil	108	75	34	1	6	9	233
Percentage	46.4%	32.2%	14.6%	0.4%	2.6%	3.9%	
County Civil	153	103	34	2	8	54	354
Percentage	43.2%	29.1%	9.6%	0.6%	2.3%	15.3%	
Criminal	40	6	8	1	19	2	76
Percentage	52.6%	7.9%	10.5%	1.3%	25.0%	2.6%	
Probate	13	6	1	0	0	1	21
Percentage	61.9%	28.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	
Collections	2	1	1	0	0	0	4
Percentage	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Small Claims	0	1	1	0	0	1	3
Percentage	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	
Restraining Orders	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
Percentage	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	8	4	2	0	0	3	17
Percentage	47.1%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	
Total Cases Reported	4,600	1,726	678	86	221	579	7,890
Percentage	58.3%	21.9%	8.6%	1.1%	2.8%	7.3%	

¹ No agreement was reached or award entered at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

² Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

³ ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one party, decision to use another provider, and other.

Table 35: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2013

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	¹ Child Support Enforcement	Dependency & Neglect	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Criminal (JD4 only)	Restraining Orders (JD4 only)	Other	Total Cases Served
1	289	239	2	1	2	20	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	565
2	166	226	47	102	164	16	11	0	8	0	0	0	3	743
3	34	11	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	50
4	984	508	49	204	6	29	195	1	7	3	75	4	1	2066
5	53	30	1	0	1	2	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	118
6	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
7	2	7	0	0	0	2	49	2	0	0	0	0	0	62
8	212	108	13	3	7	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	370
9	57	36	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100
10	348	103	0	0	1	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	475
11	150	50	6	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	223
12	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
13	108	42	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
14	27	23	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	22	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
17	69	120	98	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	301
18	727	481	156	6	19	74	21	0	2	0	0	0	3	1489
19	209	100	83	0	14	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	415
20	70	117	0	0	4	22	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	220
21	249	173	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	430
22	3	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Total	3783	2379	469	320	227	233	354	4	21	3	76	4	17	7890

¹ Cases referred by Child Support agencies

Table 36: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2013

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	¹ Child Support Enforcement	Dependency & Neglect	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Criminal (JD4 only)	Restraining Orders (JD4 only)	Other	Total Cases Served
1	279	239	2	1	2	20	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	555
2	165	175	47	102	156	16	11	0	8	0	0	0	3	683
3	34	11	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	50
4	982	506	49	204	6	29	195	1	7	3	75	4	1	2062
5	53	30	1	0	1	2	30	0	0	0	0	0	1	118
6	4	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
7	2	7	0	0	0	2	49	2	0	0	0	0	0	62
8	212	108	13	3	7	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	370
9	57	36	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	100
10	348	103	0	0	1	19	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	475
11	150	50	6	0	0	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	223
12	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
13	108	42	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156
14	27	23	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	21	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
17	69	120	98	3	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	301
18	724	478	156	6	19	69	21	0	2	0	0	0	3	1478
19	209	100	83	0	14	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	415
20	69	105	0	0	4	21	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
21	249	173	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	430
22	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Total	3765	2311	469	320	219	223	354	4	21	3	76	4	17	7786

¹ Cases referred by Child Support agencies

Section VII:

Financial Information



FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2013, the Judicial Branch (Courts and Probation) had a budget of \$397.5 million (\$245.8 million in general funds and \$151.6 million in cash and federal funds) and 3,575 full-time equivalent staff. The increase over FY2012 reflects \$5.3 million in salary-related statewide common policy adjustments, \$5.2 million for new judges and staff, as well as probate, self-represented litigant coordinator, and probation staff, along with a net reduction of \$942,000 in budget annualizations and other common policy adjustments.

In FY2013, personnel costs of \$228.3 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$35.9 million, operating costs \$13.7 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$28.6 million dollars, and \$19.6 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining amounts were appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, offender services and treatment, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

FY2013 Courts and Probation Budget Appropriation

Personal Services (non Judge)	\$184,360,055	46.4%
Personal Services (Judge)	\$43,948,149	11.1%
Benefits	\$35,859,354	9.0%
Operating	\$13,659,057	3.4%
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$19,648,431	4.9%
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$28,550,000	7.2%
Offender Services and Treatment Funding	\$29,578,733	7.4%
Federal Funds	\$8,500,000	2.1%
Other	\$33,353,014	8.4%
	397,456,793	100%

The Judicial Branch's FY2013 appropriation (including the Courts and Probation, Public Defenders, Alternate Defense Counsel, Office of the Child's Representative, Independent Ethics Commission and DA Mandated Costs) represented 2.5% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget. The Courts and Probation's FY2013 appropriation represented 1.93% of the State's total appropriated budget.

COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH

Collections / Revenue

Fiscal Year 2012-2013

Table 37


CATEGORY	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND	
Civil Action Tax and General Fund Civil Fees	\$ 409,195
Miscellaneous Criminal Costs, Forfeitures, and Related	\$ 1,367,497
Miscellaneous Fees	\$ 21,790
Public Defender Fees	\$ 317,533
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 9,688
Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings)	\$ 265
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 204,599
Subtotal	\$ 2,330,567
Percentage of Total	1.3%
HIGHWAY USERS TRUST FUND	
D.U.I. Fines (HUTF Portion)	\$ 2,463,748
Highway Construction Workers Safety Fund	\$ 61,140
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 8,758,162
Wildlife Crossing Zones Safety Account	\$ 3,006
Subtotal	\$ 11,286,056
Percentage of Total	6.1%
VICTIM RESTITUTION AND PROGRAM FUNDS	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 26,355,885
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Department of Personnel & Admin)	\$ 134,294
Victim Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 15,769,336
Victim Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 13,637,151
Subtotal	\$ 55,896,666
Percentage of Total	30.4%
OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 67,921
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 4,564,711
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,439
Attorney Fee Reimbursements (Cost Recovery)	\$ 107,450
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 181,477
Collaborative Management Incentive Fund (for Dept. of Human Services; divorce fees; formerly "Family Stabilization")	\$ 2,790,291
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 363,050
Commercial Vehicle Enterprise Tax Fund (for Dept. of Revenue - Share of Excess Vehicle Wgt Penalties)	\$ 143,439
Continuing Legal Education Fund	\$ 338,645
Correctional Treatment Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 4,502,275
Court Security Fund	\$ 2,596,415
Crimes Against At-Risk Persons Surcharge	\$ 2,329
Disabled Parking Education and Enforcement Fund (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 9,736
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 107,476
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 156,766
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 249,871
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 157,472
Felony and Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,713,366
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 22,892
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 42,896
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 13,900
Interstate Compact Probation Transfer Cash Fund	\$ 169,949
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 8,039,088
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 557,263
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 25,663,981
Justice Center Fund	\$ 15,903,529
Juvenile Offender Fund (Youthful Offender Surcharge)	\$ 35
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 1,739,938
Law Examiner Board Fund	\$ 1,222,847
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,587,165
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 9,511,467
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 1,181,982
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 4,322
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,970,850
Probation Supervision Fees (Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 13,779,847
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund	\$ 125,695
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 460,480
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation)	\$ 6,905,352
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 636,756
Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 78,338
Time Payment, Late Fees, Collection Costs (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 4,542,168
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 851,895
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 235,653
Subtotal	\$ 114,302,417
Percentage of Total	62.2%
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	\$ 183,815,706

COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH



Collections / Revenue

In Fiscal Year 2013, the state courts throughout Colorado brought in \$183.8 million. Over fifty different statutory funds and purposes are supported by these revenues including the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Judicial Stabilization Fund, Correctional Treatment Cash Fund, Offender Services Fund, and many others. Of the total, approximately 30%, or \$55.9 million, went to crime victim related programs, \$26.4 million of which were recoveries of restitution. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures. Examples include: investigating offenders' assets and earnings; issuing garnishments and attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their obligations; intercepting state income tax refunds, lottery winnings, unclaimed property, and gambling winnings; suspending driver's licenses; and referring accounts to private collection agencies. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other system personnel also play key roles in the collection process including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys, and other criminal justice agencies.

Helping make victims whole through the recovery of restitution continues to be a major area of emphasis for the Colorado courts. The chart below shows restitution recoveries over the last ten years.



FY2013 Final Appropriations



FY 2013 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category




Chart 8

FY 2013 Judicial Appropriation Major Function




Chart 9

Section VIII: Colorado Probation



CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Kevin Nelan
Jeannette Haskins, Deputy

**SECOND ADULT
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Fran Jamison
Cheryl Frenette, Deputy

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
JUVENILE**

Shawn Cohn

**THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Martin Malouff

**FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Ellen Walker

**FIFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chad McInnis

**SEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Rob Omer

**EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chris Gastelle

**NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

William Sightler

**TENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

David Simental

**ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Michael O'Rourke

**TWELFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bill Gurule

**THIRTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Byron Hall

**SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Mike Garcia
Jenifer Morgen, Deputy

**EIGHTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Robin Leaf
Shelly Sack, Deputy

**NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Jerry Green
Mary Nagler, Deputy

**TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Linda Robinson

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL
DISTRICT**

Sonia Buffington

Updated as of 8/15/13

COLORADO PROBATION

Colorado Probation is Committed to:

- *Public Safety*
- *Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability*
- *Skill and Competency Development;*
- *Services to the Communities of Colorado.*

The above Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision, victim involvement and services to the community. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve, it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado. This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

Probation Programs (Tables 38, 47 and 73)

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments, with over 65 separate probation locations throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all intensive probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district develops and structures programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, offenders are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, those placed on regular probation are put on minimum, medium or maximum supervision.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to regular state probation supervision in FY 2013 was 34,050 and on June 30, 2013, there were 47,956 adult offenders on regular supervision. In FY 2013, sixty-six percent of adults completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 2,184 adult offenders were sentenced to an intensive program and 10,062 were sentenced to private probation supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2013, 3,992 juveniles were sentenced to regular probation supervision and on June 30, 2013, there were 4,540 juveniles on regular supervision. In FY 2013, seventy-two percent of these juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 304 juveniles were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP).

Intensive Probation Programs

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established through a specific appropriation and provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. Whereas this was a notable approach to the development of the intensive programs, over time it has proven to be limiting, as probation has recognized other high risk populations, such as serious economic crime and mentally ill offenders, for whom dedicated programs would be beneficial and cost effective. Colorado Probation continues to explore options to manage these populations effectively. Intensive programs are offered only through state probation. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs: assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in an intensive probation program. These programs offer or make referrals for specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and employment programs. Due to the significant number of supervision requirements, and the level of risk, the number of offenders assigned to an intensive programs' probation officer are capped at 18-30 offenders, depending on the program. These intensive offender programs include Adult Intensive Supervision; Juvenile Intensive Supervision; the Female Offender Program; and Sex Offender Intensive Supervision for adults.

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 79-82)

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) Program was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's average daily population from 750 to 1,500 offenders. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management that includes frequent contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. The number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY 2013, there were 1,519 offenders sentenced to AISP and on June 30, 2013, there were 1,448 on AISP. In FY 2013, 673 offenders (61%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections.

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 91-94)

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. The number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY 2013, there were 304 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2013 there were 291 juveniles on JISP. In FY 2013, 144 juveniles (45%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

Female Offender Program (Table 38, Table 87-90)

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995, the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding in only 10 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment, as required. The program was terminated in FY 2004, as a result of required budget reductions. Prior to the program's termination there was a 0% recidivism rate, for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005. The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2013, there were 264 female offenders sentenced to FOP and on June 30, 2013, there were 297 on FOP. In FY 2013, 101 women (66%) successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (Table 38, Table 83-86)

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in 1998 to address the risk posed by lifetime supervision cases, the Colorado Legislature made a significant change to the statute in 2001. Pursuant to HB01-1229, all felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. Any adult convicted of a felony sex offense, who receives a sentence to probation, is required to be supervised in the Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program.

The goal of intensive supervision probation for sex offenders is to minimize the risk to the public to the greatest extent possible with supervision and treatment. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Probation officers employ this model and recognize that some sex offenders cannot or will not respond to treatment. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with an officer, curfew checks, home visits, employment visits and monitoring, drug testing, and sex offense specific treatment to include the

use of polygraph testing. In FY 2013, there were 401 offenders sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2013, there were 1,412 on SOISP. In FY 2013, the program successfully terminated 176 offenders (45%) from the SOISP program, who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. It is important to note that approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years), so fewer in this group are eligible for termination each year. As of June 30, 2013, over 1,400 sex offenders were being successfully supervised on SOISP.

Other Probation Programs

Private Probation (Table 38, Table 60)

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the Directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by standard. In FY2013 there were 10,062 new offenders sent to private probation for supervision. Of these, 6,025 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI, while 4,037 were sentenced for some other criminal offense. On June 30, 2013, there were 19,316 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. Of these, 12,243 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI, while 7,073 were on supervision for some other criminal offense. In FY2013, private probation successfully terminated 3,432 Non-DUI/DWAI probationers (83%) and another 6,975 DUI/DWAI private probationers (88%). By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate their resources on the higher risk offenders.

DUI/DWAI Offenders (Table 38, Table 101)

In FY 2007, the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. This integration provides an enhanced ability to deliver supervision for the higher risk portion of the Drinking/Driving offending population. Probation conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2013, probation completed 21,110 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado's county courts, excluding Denver County. In FY2013, there were 3,632 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2013, there were 5,547 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY2013, State Probation successfully terminated 2,965 (83%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders, who were sentenced to probation supervision, are included in this report in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

Victim Services (Table 96)

In FY 1993, Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crimes. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments provides services to victims, who request to be notified, when the offender is placed on probation. In addition to provision of referrals and general assistance, in FY 2013, Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 17,464 letters to victims of crime, informing them of their right to receive notification. Of these victims, sixteen percent (2,806) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There were a total of 13,319 critical probation notification events, for which victims were notified.

TABLE 38

Grand Totals - Probation
State Adult Regular, Investigations, Intensive Programs, Private, State Monitoring, Juvenile Regular, Juvenile Investigations and JISP for FY2012 and FY2013

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures/Discharges		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013
State Adult Regular	13,322	13,669	30,739	34,050	22,496	24,313	45,947	47,956
Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)			1,488	1,519	1,442	1,495	1,458	1,448
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)			453	401	379	448	1,476	1,412
Female Offender Program (FOP)			229	264	191	206	263	297
Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI			4,719	4,037	4,647	4,190	7,407	7,073
Private Probation DUI/DWAI			7,915	6,025	8,436	8,004	12,308	12,243
State Monitored DUI/DWAI	21,882	21,110	4,224	3,632	5,333	3,648	5,790	5,547
<u>Grand Total Adult Population</u>	35,204	34,779	49,767	49,928	42,924	42,304	74,649	75,976

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures/Discharges		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013	FY2012	FY2013
State Juvenile	1,871	1,754	4,080	3,992	3,852	3,500	5,156	4,540
Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)			354	304	402	332	315	291
<u>Grand Total Juvenile Population</u>	1,871	1,754	4,434	4,296	4,254	3,832	5,471	4,831

TABLE 39

FY 2013
Colorado Adult Probation
State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

Note: Because adult state and private programs are reported separately, this summary table was created to identify termination rates for all non-DUI adult probationers. A similar table was not created for juveniles because they are not supervised by private.

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS											
	Successful				Revoked				Absconded				Total Terminations			
	State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL	
	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%
DISTRICT																
1	1,259	526	1,785	62%	562	48	610	21%	412	82	494	17%	2,233	656	2,889	100%
2	1,048	0	1,048	57%	339	0	339	18%	455	0	455	25%	1,842	0	1,842	100%
3	208	0	208	81%	26	0	26	10%	23	0	23	9%	257	0	257	100%
4	1,084	239	1,323	62%	354	36	390	18%	363	57	420	20%	1,801	332	2,133	100%
5	396	49	445	74%	83	1	84	14%	66	3	69	12%	545	53	598	100%
6	362	19	381	77%	73	1	74	15%	36	1	37	8%	471	21	492	100%
7	248	29	277	80%	33	0	33	10%	36	1	37	11%	317	30	347	100%
8	1,035	346	1,381	74%	180	44	224	12%	184	87	271	14%	1,399	477	1,876	100%
9	152	104	256	77%	27	1	28	8%	40	9	49	15%	219	114	333	100%
10	479	75	554	60%	245	4	249	27%	112	3	115	13%	836	82	918	100%
11	439	48	487	70%	130	2	132	19%	80	0	80	11%	649	50	699	100%
12	268	65	333	70%	76	16	92	19%	46	5	51	11%	390	86	476	100%
13	301	49	350	71%	95	2	97	20%	45	0	45	9%	441	51	492	100%
14	214	0	214	77%	28	0	28	10%	35	0	35	13%	277	0	277	100%
15	90	0	90	75%	24	0	24	20%	6	0	6	5%	120	0	120	100%
16	127	0	127	65%	44	0	44	22%	25	0	25	13%	196	0	196	100%
17	3,034	334	3,368	74%	573	26	599	13%	548	39	587	13%	4,155	399	4,554	100%
18	1,547	727	2,274	66%	581	35	616	18%	524	15	539	16%	2,652	777	3,429	100%
19	646	207	853	71%	217	18	235	20%	86	23	109	9%	949	248	1,197	100%
20	592	468	1,060	74%	138	77	215	15%	105	49	154	11%	835	594	1,429	100%
21	482	141	623	72%	118	12	130	15%	91	20	111	13%	691	173	864	100%
22	140	6	146	63%	46	2	48	21%	36	0	36	16%	222	8	230	100%
STATE	14,151	3,432	17,583	69%	3,992	325	4,317	17%	3,354	394	3,748	15%	21,497	4,151	25,648	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private (Non-DUI/DWAI) successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48 and Table 61.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Regular Adult State Probation



TABLE 40

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Investigations

DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations ¹		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	199	5%	1,259	34%	2,051	55%	23	1%	0	0%	54	1%	143	4%	3,729	100%
2	174	10%	1,352	76%	43	2%	0	0%	1	0%	81	5%	131	7%	1,782	100%
3	1	0%	139	56%	83	34%	3	1%	0	0%	5	2%	16	6%	247	100%
4	117	3%	912	23%	2,457	63%	16	0%	108	3%	149	4%	137	4%	3,896	100%
5	21	2%	107	9%	1,001	85%	2	0%	0	0%	12	1%	35	3%	1,178	100%
6	19	3%	258	36%	407	57%	0	0%	0	0%	13	2%	20	3%	717	100%
7	11	1%	129	18%	452	61%	11	1%	36	5%	16	2%	80	11%	735	100%
8	63	2%	538	20%	1,677	62%	99	4%	0	0%	52	2%	277	10%	2,706	100%
9	7	1%	125	13%	558	56%	12	1%	238	24%	18	2%	32	3%	990	100%
10	32	3%	328	27%	716	59%	30	2%	44	4%	0	0%	72	6%	1,222	100%
11	24	4%	106	19%	342	62%	11	2%	24	4%	14	3%	30	5%	551	100%
12	10	1%	272	32%	317	37%	0	0%	240	28%	2	0%	20	2%	861	100%
13	46	6%	218	29%	384	51%	22	3%	6	1%	17	2%	61	8%	754	100%
14	8	1%	119	22%	333	62%	1	0%	15	3%	5	1%	57	11%	538	100%
15	4	3%	28	20%	102	73%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	4	3%	140	100%
16	9	5%	64	34%	81	44%	8	4%	5	3%	1	1%	18	10%	186	100%
17	112	3%	837	21%	2,961	73%	4	0%	11	0%	40	1%	86	2%	4,051	100%
18	194	4%	374	8%	3,671	83%	0	0%	0	0%	106	2%	87	2%	4,432	100%
19	87	3%	903	36%	1,257	50%	27	1%	0	0%	5	0%	244	10%	2,523	100%
20	43	2%	355	19%	1,280	69%	0	0%	0	0%	47	3%	130	7%	1,855	100%
21	47	4%	363	28%	757	57%	15	1%	0	0%	26	2%	111	8%	1,319	100%
22	12	3%	148	40%	180	49%	0	0%	4	1%	12	3%	11	3%	367	100%
STATE	1,240	4%	8,934	26%	21,110	61%	285	1%	733	2%	675	2%	1,802	5%	34,779	100%
Denver County ²	0	0%	0	0%	2,800	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,800	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,240	3%	8,934	24%	23,910	64%	285	1%	733	2%	675	2%	1,802	5%	37,579	100%

¹DUI/DWAI Evaluations: In previous annual reports this data was in Table 94. As of FY2008 this information is now included in this table.

²Denver County: Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system, therefore this data is reported by Denver County for inclusion in this table.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 41

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	973	25%	2,844	72%	26	1%	8	0%	74	2%	3,925	100%
2	1,702	64%	919	34%	0	0%	0	0%	54	2%	2,675	100%
3	65	21%	239	76%	0	0%	7	2%	3	1%	314	100%
4	1,214	40%	1,677	56%	6	0%	3	0%	109	4%	3,009	100%
5	214	20%	807	77%	15	1%	2	0%	15	1%	1,053	100%
6	141	27%	362	70%	1	0%	0	0%	12	2%	516	100%
7	136	30%	295	65%	0	0%	1	0%	23	5%	455	100%
8	673	39%	958	56%	26	2%	14	1%	52	3%	1,723	100%
9	172	50%	162	47%	1	0%	0	0%	8	2%	343	100%
10	353	26%	985	72%	1	0%	3	0%	31	2%	1,373	100%
11	164	19%	666	77%	13	2%	7	1%	16	2%	866	100%
12	135	27%	333	66%	10	2%	5	1%	19	4%	502	100%
13	234	28%	587	69%	4	0%	6	1%	14	2%	845	100%
14	119	25%	330	71%	6	1%	2	0%	11	2%	468	100%
15	44	26%	126	73%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	172	100%
16	72	27%	190	71%	2	1%	0	0%	5	2%	269	100%
17	950	17%	4,303	78%	191	3%	15	0%	65	1%	5,524	100%
18	1,462	26%	3,960	71%	31	1%	16	0%	86	2%	5,555	100%
19	673	44%	790	52%	13	1%	15	1%	34	2%	1,525	100%
20	430	23%	1,320	72%	35	2%	9	0%	51	3%	1,845	100%
21	369	43%	456	54%	3	0%	0	0%	24	3%	852	100%
22	65	27%	156	65%	1	0%	2	1%	17	7%	241	100%
STATE	10,360	30%	22,465	66%	386	1%	115	0%	724	2%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 42

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Court of Origin

DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,412	36%	2,454	63%	59	2%	3,925	100%
2	2,613	98%	18	1%	44	2%	2,675	100%
3	118	38%	193	61%	3	1%	314	100%
4	1,411	47%	1,497	50%	101	3%	3,009	100%
5	370	35%	677	64%	6	1%	1,053	100%
6	219	42%	286	55%	11	2%	516	100%
7	229	50%	211	46%	15	3%	455	100%
8	822	48%	854	50%	47	3%	1,723	100%
9	200	58%	136	40%	7	2%	343	100%
10	651	47%	696	51%	26	2%	1,373	100%
11	305	35%	549	63%	12	1%	866	100%
12	248	49%	244	49%	10	2%	502	100%
13	358	42%	475	56%	12	1%	845	100%
14	174	37%	289	62%	5	1%	468	100%
15	81	47%	91	53%	0	0%	172	100%
16	121	45%	144	54%	4	1%	269	100%
17	1,864	34%	3,604	65%	56	1%	5,524	100%
18	1,846	33%	3,631	65%	78	1%	5,555	100%
19	854	56%	641	42%	30	2%	1,525	100%
20	717	39%	1,084	59%	44	2%	1,845	100%
21	428	50%	405	48%	19	2%	852	100%
22	109	45%	117	49%	15	6%	241	100%
STATE	15,150	44%	18,296	54%	604	2%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 43

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,327	85%	108	3%	59	2%	249	6%	150	4%	32	1%	3,925	100%
2	1,845	69%	118	4%	44	2%	173	6%	136	5%	359	13%	2,675	100%
3	151	48%	5	2%	3	1%	53	17%	1	0%	101	32%	314	100%
4	2,013	67%	70	2%	101	3%	243	8%	85	3%	497	17%	3,009	100%
5	683	65%	12	1%	6	1%	121	11%	17	2%	214	20%	1,053	100%
6	340	66%	3	1%	11	2%	49	9%	4	1%	109	21%	516	100%
7	248	55%	1	0%	15	3%	96	21%	12	3%	83	18%	455	100%
8	1,091	63%	47	3%	47	3%	188	11%	58	3%	292	17%	1,723	100%
9	195	57%	2	1%	7	2%	58	17%	18	5%	63	18%	343	100%
10	891	65%	18	1%	26	2%	109	8%	38	3%	291	21%	1,373	100%
11	485	56%	21	2%	12	1%	66	8%	6	1%	276	32%	866	100%
12	311	62%	6	1%	10	2%	44	9%	17	3%	114	23%	502	100%
13	556	66%	6	1%	12	1%	62	7%	41	5%	168	20%	845	100%
14	291	62%	5	1%	5	1%	61	13%	9	2%	97	21%	468	100%
15	101	59%	1	1%	0	0%	29	17%	3	2%	38	22%	172	100%
16	151	56%	5	2%	4	1%	38	14%	17	6%	54	20%	269	100%
17	4,397	80%	125	2%	56	1%	470	9%	162	3%	314	6%	5,524	100%
18	3,909	70%	86	2%	78	1%	260	5%	134	2%	1,088	20%	5,555	100%
19	980	64%	12	1%	29	2%	246	16%	94	6%	164	11%	1,525	100%
20	1,407	76%	20	1%	44	2%	149	8%	32	2%	193	10%	1,845	100%
21	628	74%	23	3%	20	2%	62	7%	59	7%	60	7%	852	100%
22	158	66%	5	2%	15	6%	25	10%	7	3%	31	13%	241	100%
STATE	24,158	71%	699	2%	604	2%	2,851	8%	1,100	3%	4,638	14%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 44

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Gender

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,020	26%	2,903	74%	2	0%	3,925	100%
2	631	24%	2,041	76%	3	0%	2,675	100%
3	82	26%	232	74%	0	0%	314	100%
4	735	24%	2,271	75%	3	0%	3,009	100%
5	226	21%	827	79%	0	0%	1,053	100%
6	143	28%	372	72%	1	0%	516	100%
7	119	26%	336	74%	0	0%	455	100%
8	410	24%	1,313	76%	0	0%	1,723	100%
9	70	20%	273	80%	0	0%	343	100%
10	349	25%	1,022	74%	2	0%	1,373	100%
11	228	26%	637	74%	1	0%	866	100%
12	104	21%	398	79%	0	0%	502	100%
13	204	24%	641	76%	0	0%	845	100%
14	120	26%	348	74%	0	0%	468	100%
15	45	26%	127	74%	0	0%	172	100%
16	62	23%	206	77%	1	0%	269	100%
17	1,447	26%	4,076	74%	1	0%	5,524	100%
18	1,559	28%	3,996	72%	0	0%	5,555	100%
19	412	27%	1,112	73%	1	0%	1,525	100%
20	476	26%	1,368	74%	1	0%	1,845	100%
21	209	25%	643	75%	0	0%	852	100%
22	62	26%	178	74%	1	0%	241	100%
STATE	8,713	26%	25,320	74%	17	0%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 45

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Age

DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	11	0%	319	8%	642	16%	1,124	29%	640	16%	1,189	30%	0	0%	3,925	100%
2	0	0%	275	10%	531	20%	750	28%	410	15%	708	26%	1	0%	2,675	100%
3	0	0%	26	8%	58	18%	80	25%	59	19%	91	29%	0	0%	314	100%
4	3	0%	240	8%	514	17%	928	31%	509	17%	815	27%	0	0%	3,009	100%
5	7	1%	80	8%	191	18%	335	32%	161	15%	279	26%	0	0%	1,053	100%
6	0	0%	53	10%	95	18%	160	31%	76	15%	132	26%	0	0%	516	100%
7	1	0%	39	9%	76	17%	129	28%	80	18%	130	29%	0	0%	455	100%
8	4	0%	206	12%	330	19%	486	28%	277	16%	420	24%	0	0%	1,723	100%
9	1	0%	25	7%	53	15%	99	29%	59	17%	106	31%	0	0%	343	100%
10	2	0%	126	9%	256	19%	375	27%	230	17%	384	28%	0	0%	1,373	100%
11	3	0%	95	11%	126	15%	219	25%	130	15%	292	34%	1	0%	866	100%
12	8	2%	48	10%	74	15%	138	27%	76	15%	158	31%	0	0%	502	100%
13	2	0%	107	13%	139	16%	235	28%	122	14%	240	28%	0	0%	845	100%
14	4	1%	48	10%	75	16%	128	27%	73	16%	140	30%	0	0%	468	100%
15	0	0%	25	15%	30	17%	42	24%	25	15%	50	29%	0	0%	172	100%
16	0	0%	35	13%	49	18%	70	26%	45	17%	70	26%	0	0%	269	100%
17	28	1%	445	8%	1,022	19%	1,645	30%	993	18%	1,391	25%	0	0%	5,524	100%
18	33	1%	502	9%	1,006	18%	1,557	28%	909	16%	1,548	28%	0	0%	5,555	100%
19	4	0%	152	10%	272	18%	404	26%	272	18%	421	29%	0	0%	1,525	100%
20	10	1%	201	11%	407	22%	490	27%	271	15%	466	25%	0	0%	1,845	100%
21	6	1%	77	9%	135	16%	236	28%	132	15%	266	31%	0	0%	852	100%
22	1	0%	20	8%	35	15%	65	27%	44	18%	76	32%	0	0%	241	100%
STATE	128	0%	3,144	9%	6,116	18%	9,695	28%	5,593	16%	9,372	28%	2	0%	34,050	100%

*12-17 Years: DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for offenders under the age of 18 are reported as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 46

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,431	87%	157	4%	51	1%	249	6%	18	0%	19	0%	3,925	100%
2	1,513	57%	665	25%	31	1%	424	16%	23	1%	19	1%	2,675	100%
3	242	77%	4	1%	0	0%	61	19%	4	1%	3	1%	314	100%
4	2,049	68%	444	15%	35	1%	426	14%	20	1%	35	1%	3,009	100%
5	899	85%	15	1%	4	0%	126	12%	4	0%	5	0%	1,053	100%
6	378	73%	4	1%	3	1%	51	10%	71	14%	9	2%	516	100%
7	381	84%	3	1%	2	0%	56	12%	8	2%	5	1%	455	100%
8	1,488	86%	69	4%	5	0%	144	8%	7	0%	10	1%	1,723	100%
9	315	92%	1	0%	0	0%	24	7%	3	1%	0	0%	343	100%
10	705	51%	64	5%	5	0%	546	40%	14	1%	39	3%	1,373	100%
11	799	92%	10	1%	3	0%	45	5%	3	0%	6	1%	866	100%
12	263	52%	12	2%	1	0%	210	42%	5	1%	11	2%	502	100%
13	712	84%	35	4%	0	0%	88	10%	7	1%	3	0%	845	100%
14	424	91%	7	1%	2	0%	23	5%	7	1%	5	1%	468	100%
15	121	70%	4	2%	0	0%	44	26%	1	1%	2	1%	172	100%
16	183	68%	8	3%	0	0%	77	29%	0	0%	1	0%	269	100%
17	4,713	85%	395	7%	66	1%	310	6%	21	0%	19	0%	5,524	100%
18	3,934	71%	930	17%	102	2%	508	9%	25	0%	56	1%	5,555	100%
19	1,209	79%	43	3%	6	0%	247	16%	9	1%	11	1%	1,525	100%
20	1,627	88%	69	4%	19	1%	120	7%	5	0%	5	0%	1,845	100%
21	713	84%	22	3%	8	1%	94	11%	4	0%	11	1%	852	100%
22	126	52%	2	1%	1	0%	19	8%	93	39%	0	0%	241	100%
STATE	26,225	77%	2,963	9%	344	1%	3,892	11%	352	1%	274	1%	34,050	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 47

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,259	56%	562	25%	412	18%	2,233	100%
2	1,048	57%	339	18%	455	25%	1,842	100%
3	208	81%	26	10%	23	9%	257	100%
4	1,084	60%	354	20%	363	20%	1,801	100%
5	396	73%	83	15%	66	12%	545	100%
6	362	77%	73	15%	36	8%	471	100%
7	248	78%	33	10%	36	11%	317	100%
8	1,035	74%	180	13%	184	13%	1,399	100%
9	152	69%	27	12%	40	18%	219	100%
10	479	57%	245	29%	112	13%	836	100%
11	439	68%	130	20%	80	12%	649	100%
12	268	69%	76	19%	46	12%	390	100%
13	301	68%	95	22%	45	10%	441	100%
14	214	77%	28	10%	35	13%	277	100%
15	90	75%	24	20%	6	5%	120	100%
16	127	65%	44	22%	25	13%	196	100%
17	3,034	73%	573	14%	548	13%	4,155	100%
18	1,547	58%	581	22%	524	20%	2,652	100%
19	646	68%	217	23%	86	9%	949	100%
20	592	71%	138	17%	105	13%	835	100%
21	482	70%	118	17%	91	13%	691	100%
22	140	63%	46	21%	36	16%	222	100%
STATE	14,151	66%	3,992	19%	3,354	16%	21,497	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 48.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 48

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	1,259	49%	562	22%	412	16%	259	10%	14	1%	24	1%	46	2%	2,576	100%	206	1
2	1,048	46%	339	15%	455	20%	252	11%	135	6%	16	1%	53	2%	2,298	100%	207	2
3	208	76%	26	10%	23	8%	7	3%	0	0%	3	1%	6	2%	273	100%	33	3
4	1,084	52%	354	17%	363	17%	183	9%	5	0%	24	1%	64	3%	2,077	100%	224	4
5	396	67%	83	14%	66	11%	7	1%	34	6%	2	0%	0	0%	588	100%	87	5
6	362	75%	73	15%	36	7%	7	1%	0	0%	3	1%	4	1%	485	100%	35	6
7	248	74%	33	10%	36	11%	9	3%	5	1%	3	1%	2	1%	336	100%	68	7
8	1,035	68%	180	12%	184	12%	88	6%	4	0%	25	2%	7	0%	1,523	100%	206	8
9	152	63%	27	11%	40	17%	15	6%	1	0%	5	2%	0	0%	240	100%	33	9
10	479	51%	245	26%	112	12%	78	8%	8	1%	7	1%	2	0%	931	100%	87	10
11	439	66%	130	20%	80	12%	7	1%	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%	661	100%	59	11
12	268	62%	76	18%	46	11%	30	7%	0	0%	4	1%	9	2%	433	100%	59	12
13	301	58%	95	18%	45	9%	51	10%	9	2%	3	1%	12	2%	516	100%	86	13
14	214	75%	28	10%	35	12%	5	2%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	285	100%	68	14
15	90	70%	24	19%	6	5%	9	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	129	100%	30	15
16	127	59%	44	20%	25	12%	17	8%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	217	100%	38	16
17	3,034	66%	573	12%	548	12%	344	7%	69	2%	18	0%	10	0%	4,596	100%	471	17
18	1,547	51%	581	19%	524	17%	290	10%	20	1%	31	1%	35	1%	3,028	100%	247	18
19	646	56%	217	19%	86	7%	160	14%	26	2%	10	1%	11	1%	1,156	100%	215	19
20	592	63%	138	15%	105	11%	75	8%	8	1%	8	1%	14	1%	940	100%	105	20
21	482	61%	118	15%	91	12%	67	8%	5	1%	11	1%	16	2%	790	100%	64	21
22	140	60%	46	20%	36	15%	10	4%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	235	100%	26	22
STATE	14,151	58%	3,992	16%	3,354	14%	1,970	8%	343	1%	207	1%	296	1%	24,313	100%	2,654	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 49

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	56	10%	107	19%	399	71%	562	100%
2	68	20%	42	12%	229	68%	339	100%
3	0	0%	8	31%	18	69%	26	100%
4	95	27%	52	15%	207	58%	354	100%
5	13	16%	19	23%	51	61%	83	100%
6	7	10%	18	25%	48	66%	73	100%
7	1	3%	10	30%	22	67%	33	100%
8	33	18%	39	22%	108	60%	180	100%
9	5	19%	10	37%	12	44%	27	100%
10	32	13%	48	20%	165	67%	245	100%
11	16	12%	14	11%	100	77%	130	100%
12	11	14%	22	29%	43	57%	76	100%
13	11	12%	23	24%	61	64%	95	100%
14	5	18%	12	43%	11	39%	28	100%
15	0	0%	4	17%	20	83%	24	100%
16	4	9%	7	16%	33	75%	44	100%
17	68	12%	169	29%	336	59%	573	100%
18	83	14%	203	35%	295	51%	581	100%
19	43	20%	43	20%	131	60%	217	100%
20	27	20%	28	20%	83	60%	138	100%
21	33	28%	28	24%	57	48%	118	100%
22	4	9%	6	13%	36	78%	46	100%
STATE	615	15%	912	23%	2,465	62%	3,992	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 50

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Length of Stay

DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	873	34%	1,071	42%	352	14%	280	11%	2,576	100%
2	846	37%	777	34%	342	15%	333	14%	2,298	100%
3	126	46%	99	36%	28	10%	20	7%	273	100%
4	706	34%	863	42%	258	12%	250	12%	2,077	100%
5	288	49%	193	33%	60	10%	47	8%	588	100%
6	197	41%	198	41%	51	11%	39	8%	485	100%
7	119	35%	120	36%	53	16%	44	13%	336	100%
8	555	36%	563	37%	235	15%	170	11%	1,523	100%
9	76	32%	96	40%	38	16%	30	13%	240	100%
10	358	38%	336	36%	135	15%	102	11%	931	100%
11	340	51%	236	36%	49	7%	36	5%	661	100%
12	202	47%	181	42%	29	7%	21	5%	433	100%
13	207	40%	209	41%	45	9%	55	11%	516	100%
14	96	34%	103	36%	44	15%	42	15%	285	100%
15	58	45%	42	33%	19	15%	10	8%	129	100%
16	65	30%	93	43%	38	18%	21	10%	217	100%
17	2,292	50%	1,533	33%	462	10%	309	7%	4,596	100%
18	1,054	35%	1,074	35%	452	15%	448	15%	3,028	100%
19	352	30%	481	42%	177	15%	146	13%	1,156	100%
20	352	37%	378	40%	121	13%	89	9%	940	100%
21	186	24%	264	33%	165	21%	175	22%	790	100%
22	111	47%	95	40%	19	8%	10	4%	235	100%
STATE	9,459	39%	9,005	37%	3,172	13%	2,677	11%	24,313	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 51

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence ¹		Sex Offender ²		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	109	2%	462	9%	1,266	24%	1,323	25%	727	14%	424	8%	657	12%	177	3%	219	4%	5,364	100%
2	22	1%	809	18%	1,169	27%	890	20%	903	21%	356	8%	91	2%	81	2%	70	2%	4,391	100%
3	18	4%	66	16%	100	24%	123	30%	39	9%	11	3%	29	7%	12	3%	18	4%	416	100%
4	169	4%	517	11%	1,015	22%	918	20%	690	15%	72	2%	798	17%	155	3%	271	6%	4,605	100%
5	62	5%	85	7%	189	17%	260	23%	201	18%	45	4%	195	17%	23	2%	83	7%	1,143	100%
6	16	2%	84	11%	146	20%	177	24%	71	10%	30	4%	98	13%	16	2%	107	14%	745	100%
7	11	1%	149	19%	198	25%	209	26%	45	6%	56	7%	69	9%	27	3%	36	5%	800	100%
8	76	3%	344	13%	716	27%	479	18%	396	15%	257	10%	149	6%	69	3%	185	7%	2,671	100%
9	22	4%	75	13%	134	23%	146	24%	57	10%	44	7%	33	6%	11	2%	73	12%	595	100%
10	63	3%	176	10%	554	30%	188	10%	286	16%	113	6%	369	20%	38	2%	31	2%	1,818	100%
11	51	5%	90	9%	218	22%	259	26%	152	15%	25	2%	165	16%	20	2%	31	3%	1,011	100%
12	9	1%	82	11%	204	27%	250	34%	78	10%	44	6%	51	7%	9	1%	19	3%	746	100%
13	30	3%	105	9%	196	16%	285	24%	240	20%	82	7%	138	12%	29	2%	84	7%	1,189	100%
14	19	2%	62	8%	134	17%	242	32%	68	9%	48	6%	134	17%	14	2%	50	6%	771	100%
15	5	2%	36	12%	66	23%	89	31%	40	14%	19	7%	17	6%	6	2%	12	4%	290	100%
16	10	2%	57	13%	106	24%	101	23%	45	10%	22	5%	78	18%	9	2%	7	2%	435	100%
17	111	1%	572	7%	1,692	22%	2,499	32%	1,324	17%	418	5%	873	11%	87	1%	144	2%	7,720	100%
18	223	3%	531	8%	2,442	35%	904	13%	1,233	18%	411	6%	701	10%	154	2%	304	4%	6,903	100%
19	27	1%	206	9%	517	22%	553	24%	304	13%	225	10%	362	16%	33	1%	106	5%	2,333	100%
20	19	1%	270	15%	318	18%	332	19%	490	28%	102	6%	59	3%	84	5%	106	6%	1,780	100%
21	23	1%	126	7%	529	28%	332	18%	230	12%	224	12%	224	12%	53	3%	125	7%	1,866	100%
22	3	1%	37	10%	63	17%	75	21%	27	7%	22	6%	79	22%	10	3%	48	13%	364	100%
STATE	1,098	2%	4,941	10%	11,972	26%	10,634	23%	7,646	16%	3,050	6%	5,369	11%	1,117	2%	2,129	4%	47,956	100%

¹**Domestic Violence:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

²**Sex Offender:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 52

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence Offenders									
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative		TOTAL DV OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	242	37%	289	44%	87	13%	39	6%	657	100%
2	57	63%	23	25%	11	12%	0	0%	91	100%
3	9	31%	15	52%	4	14%	1	3%	29	100%
4	206	26%	268	34%	272	34%	52	7%	798	100%
5	31	16%	98	50%	58	30%	8	4%	195	100%
6	34	35%	50	51%	12	12%	2	2%	98	100%
7	16	23%	38	55%	15	22%	0	0%	69	100%
8	76	51%	63	42%	10	7%	0	0%	149	100%
9	9	27%	14	42%	9	27%	1	3%	33	100%
10	136	37%	151	41%	34	9%	48	13%	369	100%
11	48	29%	79	48%	37	22%	1	1%	165	100%
12	20	39%	16	31%	11	22%	4	8%	51	100%
13	45	33%	64	46%	24	17%	5	4%	138	100%
14	50	37%	65	49%	19	14%	0	0%	134	100%
15	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
16	25	32%	35	45%	16	21%	2	3%	78	100%
17	359	41%	373	43%	90	10%	51	6%	873	100%
18	115	16%	515	73%	37	5%	34	5%	701	100%
19	119	33%	130	36%	87	24%	26	7%	362	100%
20	7	12%	16	27%	34	58%	2	3%	59	100%
21	50	22%	140	63%	25	11%	9	4%	224	100%
22	13	16%	52	66%	14	18%	0	0%	79	100%
STATE	1,679	31%	2,499	47%	906	17%	285	5%	5,369	100%

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP) ¹									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	54	31%	68	38%	53	30%	2	1%	177	100%
2	15	19%	2	2%	2	2%	62	77%	81	100%
3	9	75%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
4	49	32%	65	42%	38	25%	3	2%	155	100%
5	7	30%	12	52%	4	17%	0	0%	23	100%
6	6	38%	5	31%	5	31%	0	0%	16	100%
7	4	15%	12	44%	10	37%	1	4%	27	100%
8	22	32%	17	25%	3	4%	27	39%	69	100%
9	3	27%	5	45%	3	27%	0	0%	11	100%
10	16	42%	15	39%	7	18%	0	0%	38	100%
11	7	35%	9	45%	4	20%	0	0%	20	100%
12	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	9	100%
13	9	31%	6	21%	13	45%	1	3%	29	100%
14	4	29%	6	43%	2	14%	2	14%	14	100%
15	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
16	5	56%	3	33%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
17	45	52%	24	28%	16	18%	2	2%	87	100%
18	45	29%	54	35%	27	18%	28	18%	154	100%
19	12	36%	8	24%	10	30%	3	9%	33	100%
20	27	32%	21	25%	27	32%	9	11%	84	100%
21	11	21%	20	38%	22	42%	0	0%	53	100%
22	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
STATE	362	32%	364	33%	251	22%	140	13%	1,117	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 96.

TABLE 53

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult and All Private Adult Probation
Active Clients on June 30, 2013

DISTRICT	REGULAR STATE SUPERVISED CLIENTS		PRIVATE PROBATION NON-DUI/DWAI CLIENTS		PRIVATE PROBATION DUI/DWAI CLIENTS		TOTAL STATE REGULAR & PRIVATE PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5,364	65%	1,060	13%	1,857	22%	8,281	100%
2	4,391	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4,391	100%
3	416	100%	0	0%	0	0%	416	100%
4	4,605	73%	640	10%	1,060	17%	6,305	100%
5	1,143	87%	77	6%	100	8%	1,320	100%
6	745	88%	23	3%	74	9%	842	100%
7	800	92%	40	5%	29	3%	869	100%
8	2,671	57%	741	16%	1,256	27%	4,668	100%
9	595	69%	179	21%	92	11%	866	100%
10	1,818	68%	233	9%	616	23%	2,667	100%
11	1,011	82%	53	4%	173	14%	1,237	100%
12	746	75%	70	7%	184	18%	1,000	100%
13	1,189	85%	62	4%	143	10%	1,394	100%
14	771	100%	0	0%	0	0%	771	100%
15	290	100%	0	0%	0	0%	290	100%
16	435	100%	0	0%	0	0%	435	100%
17	7,720	85%	467	5%	859	9%	9,046	100%
18	6,903	59%	1,500	13%	3,282	28%	11,685	100%
19	2,333	68%	576	17%	521	15%	3,430	100%
20	1,780	42%	917	22%	1,563	37%	4,260	100%
21	1,866	72%	421	16%	310	12%	2,597	100%
22	364	73%	14	3%	124	25%	502	100%
STATE	47,956	71%	7,073	11%	12,243	18%	67,272	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Private Probation



TABLE 54

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
DISTRICT	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	6	1%	772	99%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	227	100%	227	100%
5	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
6	0	0%	26	100%	26	100%
7	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
8	0	0%	655	100%	655	100%
9	0	0%	117	100%	117	100%
10	0	0%	72	100%	72	100%
11	0	0%	17	100%	17	100%
12	0	0%	64	100%	64	100%
13	24	51%	23	49%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	408	100%	409	100%
18	0	0%	371	100%	371	100%
19	0	0%	340	100%	340	100%
20	496	75%	162	25%	658	100%
21	0	0%	229	100%	229	100%
22	14	93%	1	7%	15	100%
STATE	541	13%	3,496	87%	4,037	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
DISTRICT	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	0%	439	100%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	378	100%	378	100%
5	0	0%	8	100%	8	100%
6	0	0%	40	100%	40	100%
7	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
8	0	0%	1,352	100%	1,352	100%
9	0	0%	56	100%	56	100%
10	0	0%	490	100%	490	100%
11	2	2%	96	98%	98	100%
12	0	0%	140	100%	140	100%
13	52	66%	27	34%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	3	1%	478	99%	481	100%
18	0	0%	1,229	100%	1,229	100%
19	0	0%	354	100%	354	100%
20	22	3%	629	97%	651	100%
21	0	0%	115	100%	115	100%
22	105	94%	7	6%	112	100%
STATE	185	3%	5,840	97%	6,025	100%

¹**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider.

²**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate for and supervised by a private provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 55

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Gender

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	265	34%	512	66%	1	0%	778 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	67	30%	160	70%	0	0%	227 100%
5	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6 100%
6	11	42%	15	58%	0	0%	26 100%
7	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6 100%
8	196	30%	459	70%	0	0%	655 100%
9	42	36%	74	63%	1	1%	117 100%
10	24	33%	48	67%	0	0%	72 100%
11	5	29%	12	71%	0	0%	17 100%
12	24	38%	40	63%	0	0%	64 100%
13	18	38%	29	62%	0	0%	47 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	154	38%	255	62%	0	0%	409 100%
18	155	42%	216	58%	0	0%	371 100%
19	89	26%	251	74%	0	0%	340 100%
20	173	26%	485	74%	0	0%	658 100%
21	81	35%	148	65%	0	0%	229 100%
22	4	27%	11	73%	0	0%	15 100%
STATE	1,309	32%	2,726	68%	2	0%	4,037 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	134	30%	306	70%	0	0%	440 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	105	28%	273	72%	0	0%	378 100%
5	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	8 100%
6	13	33%	27	68%	0	0%	40 100%
7	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2 100%
8	381	28%	971	72%	0	0%	1,352 100%
9	8	14%	48	86%	0	0%	56 100%
10	130	27%	360	73%	0	0%	490 100%
11	26	27%	72	73%	0	0%	98 100%
12	32	23%	108	77%	0	0%	140 100%
13	18	23%	61	77%	0	0%	79 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	109	23%	371	77%	1	0%	481 100%
18	372	30%	857	70%	0	0%	1,229 100%
19	73	21%	281	79%	0	0%	354 100%
20	177	27%	474	73%	0	0%	651 100%
21	29	25%	86	75%	0	0%	115 100%
22	36	32%	76	68%	0	0%	112 100%
STATE	1,646	27%	4,378	73%	1	0%	6,025 100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 56

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Age: Non-DUI/DWAI

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
DISTRICT	12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	88	11%	159	20%	191	25%	120	15%	220	28%	0	0%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	20	9%	58	26%	72	32%	31	14%	46	20%	0	0%	227	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	1	4%	5	19%	9	35%	4	15%	7	27%	0	0%	26	100%
7	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%
8	1	0%	96	15%	134	20%	177	27%	94	14%	153	23%	0	0%	655	100%
9	0	0%	14	12%	18	15%	34	29%	15	13%	36	31%	0	0%	117	100%
10	0	0%	11	15%	14	19%	23	32%	6	8%	18	25%	0	0%	72	100%
11	0	0%	4	24%	5	29%	2	12%	3	18%	3	18%	0	0%	17	100%
12	4	6%	8	13%	17	27%	15	23%	4	6%	16	25%	0	0%	64	100%
13	1	2%	11	23%	11	23%	9	19%	4	9%	11	23%	0	0%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	52	13%	93	23%	106	26%	72	18%	86	21%	0	0%	409	100%
18	0	0%	45	12%	82	22%	81	22%	51	14%	111	30%	1	0%	371	100%
19	0	0%	46	14%	78	23%	73	21%	64	19%	79	23%	0	0%	340	100%
20	1	0%	103	16%	137	21%	164	25%	89	14%	163	25%	1	0%	658	100%
21	0	0%	29	13%	55	24%	65	28%	36	16%	44	19%	0	0%	229	100%
22	0	0%	5	33%	3	20%	3	20%	2	13%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
STATE	7	0%	534	13%	869	22%	1,031	26%	596	15%	998	25%	2	0%	4,037	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 57

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
DISTRICT	12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	25	6%	68	15%	97	22%	63	14%	187	43%	0	0%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	0%	28	7%	60	16%	130	34%	49	13%	110	29%	0	0%	378	100%
5	0	0%	2	25%	2	25%	1	13%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
6	2	5%	6	15%	7	18%	10	25%	5	13%	10	25%	0	0%	40	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
8	5	0%	146	11%	368	27%	361	27%	172	13%	300	22%	0	0%	1,352	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	10	18%	14	25%	7	13%	25	45%	0	0%	56	100%
10	1	0%	30	6%	89	18%	137	28%	71	14%	162	33%	0	0%	490	100%
11	0	0%	4	4%	22	22%	23	23%	15	15%	34	35%	0	0%	98	100%
12	4	3%	18	13%	39	28%	37	26%	12	9%	30	21%	0	0%	140	100%
13	1	1%	12	15%	12	15%	21	27%	12	15%	21	27%	0	0%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	25	5%	73	15%	155	32%	103	21%	124	26%	0	0%	481	100%
18	2	0%	65	5%	209	17%	362	29%	189	15%	402	33%	0	0%	1,229	100%
19	0	0%	29	8%	78	22%	103	29%	47	13%	97	27%	0	0%	354	100%
20	3	0%	37	6%	153	24%	185	28%	97	15%	176	27%	0	0%	651	100%
21	0	0%	12	10%	28	24%	29	25%	22	19%	24	21%	0	0%	115	100%
22	0	0%	8	7%	16	14%	32	29%	28	25%	28	25%	0	0%	112	100%
STATE	20	0%	447	7%	1,235	20%	1,697	28%	893	15%	1,733	29%	0	0%	6,025	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 58

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non-DUI/DWAI

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	688	88%	38	5%	13	2%	27	3%	2	0%	10	1%	778	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	155	68%	38	17%	4	2%	25	11%	1	0%	4	2%	227	100%
5	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
6	19	73%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	5	19%	0	0%	26	100%
7	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
8	598	91%	18	3%	1	0%	33	5%	2	0%	3	0%	655	100%
9	103	88%	0	0%	0	0%	14	12%	0	0%	0	0%	117	100%
10	49	68%	2	3%	2	3%	17	24%	1	1%	1	1%	72	100%
11	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
12	38	59%	2	3%	0	0%	23	36%	0	0%	1	2%	64	100%
13	42	89%	1	2%	0	0%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	47	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	376	92%	14	3%	8	2%	7	2%	1	0%	3	1%	409	100%
18	265	71%	57	15%	7	2%	40	11%	1	0%	1	0%	371	100%
19	302	89%	8	2%	1	0%	28	8%	1	0%	0	0%	340	100%
20	598	91%	20	3%	10	2%	23	3%	1	0%	6	1%	658	100%
21	202	88%	5	2%	1	0%	17	7%	1	0%	3	1%	229	100%
22	4	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	73%	0	0%	15	100%
STATE	3,468	86%	203	5%	47	1%	260	6%	27	1%	32	1%	4,037	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 59

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	409	93%	6	1%	3	1%	20	5%	1	0%	1	0%	440	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	282	75%	30	8%	8	2%	54	14%	4	1%	0	0%	378	100%
5	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
6	28	70%	0	0%	0	0%	7	18%	5	13%	0	0%	40	100%
7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
8	1,244	92%	31	2%	8	1%	62	5%	2	0%	5	0%	1,352	100%
9	53	95%	0	0%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	56	100%
10	253	52%	10	2%	1	0%	202	41%	2	0%	22	4%	490	100%
11	92	94%	0	0%	1	1%	4	4%	0	0%	1	1%	98	100%
12	64	46%	3	2%	0	0%	68	49%	3	2%	2	1%	140	100%
13	72	91%	0	0%	0	0%	6	8%	0	0%	1	1%	79	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	418	87%	16	3%	9	2%	35	7%	2	0%	1	0%	481	100%
18	899	73%	117	10%	31	3%	167	14%	4	0%	11	1%	1,229	100%
19	274	77%	2	1%	1	0%	74	21%	2	1%	1	0%	354	100%
20	588	90%	19	3%	5	1%	36	6%	1	0%	2	0%	651	100%
21	106	92%	2	2%	0	0%	6	5%	1	1%	0	0%	115	100%
22	46	41%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%	59	53%	0	0%	112	100%
STATE	4,838	80%	236	4%	67	1%	751	12%	86	1%	47	1%	6,025	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 60

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	526	80%	48	7%	82	13%	656	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	239	72%	36	11%	57	17%	332	100%
5	49	92%	1	2%	3	6%	53	100%
6	19	90%	1	5%	1	5%	21	100%
7	29	97%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
8	346	73%	44	9%	87	18%	477	100%
9	104	91%	1	1%	9	8%	114	100%
10	75	91%	4	5%	3	4%	82	100%
11	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	50	100%
12	65	76%	16	19%	5	6%	86	100%
13	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	51	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	334	84%	26	7%	39	10%	399	100%
18	727	94%	35	5%	15	2%	777	100%
19	207	83%	18	7%	23	9%	248	100%
20	468	79%	77	13%	49	8%	594	100%
21	141	82%	12	7%	20	12%	173	100%
22	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
STATE	3,432	83%	325	8%	394	9%	4,151	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,082	89%	62	5%	70	6%	1,214	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	416	79%	49	9%	63	12%	528	100%
5	38	95%	0	0%	2	5%	40	100%
6	70	95%	3	4%	1	1%	74	100%
7	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
8	1,015	86%	50	4%	116	10%	1,181	100%
9	49	94%	0	0%	3	6%	52	100%
10	279	88%	11	3%	27	9%	317	100%
11	129	92%	7	5%	4	3%	140	100%
12	197	87%	22	10%	8	4%	227	100%
13	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	50	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	537	81%	45	7%	85	13%	667	100%
18	1,950	92%	98	5%	78	4%	2,126	100%
19	125	79%	18	11%	15	9%	158	100%
20	846	90%	66	7%	30	3%	942	100%
21	102	82%	8	6%	14	11%	124	100%
22	68	69%	10	10%	21	21%	99	100%
STATE	6,975	88%	451	6%	537	7%	7,963	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This column is exclusively private successful terminations.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.
 Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This column includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Tables 61 and 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 61

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
All Closure Types: Non-DUI/DWAI

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	526	79%	48	7%	82	12%	0	0%	9	1%	1	0%	666	100%	75	1
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	239	71%	36	11%	57	17%	0	0%	4	1%	1	0%	337	100%	67	4
5	49	92%	1	2%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	14	5
6	19	90%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	4	6
7	29	97%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%	1	7
8	346	72%	44	9%	87	18%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	482	100%	21	8
9	104	91%	1	1%	9	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	114	100%	33	9
10	75	90%	4	5%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	83	100%	19	10
11	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	7	11
12	65	74%	16	18%	5	6%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	88	100%	2	12
13	49	92%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	4	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	334	84%	26	7%	39	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	400	100%	8	17
18	727	93%	35	4%	15	2%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	779	100%	73	18
19	207	83%	18	7%	23	9%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%	250	100%	54	19
20	468	78%	77	13%	49	8%	0	0%	3	1%	2	0%	599	100%	60	20
21	141	80%	12	7%	20	11%	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	177	100%	33	21
22	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	22
STATE	3,432	82%	325	8%	394	9%	3	0%	29	1%	7	0%	4,190	100%	475	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 62

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,082	89%	62	5%	70	6%	0	0%	5	0%	1	0%	1,220	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	416	78%	49	9%	63	12%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	531	100%
5	38	95%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	40	100%
6	70	95%	3	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	74	100%
7	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
8	1,015	86%	50	4%	116	10%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	1,184	100%
9	49	92%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	53	100%
10	279	88%	11	3%	27	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	317	100%
11	129	92%	7	5%	4	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	140	100%
12	197	87%	22	10%	8	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	227	100%
13	48	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	537	80%	45	7%	85	13%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	668	100%
18	1,950	92%	98	5%	78	4%	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	2,130	100%
19	125	77%	18	11%	15	9%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	162	100%
20	846	89%	66	7%	30	3%	2	0%	10	1%	1	0%	955	100%
21	102	80%	8	6%	14	11%	0	0%	1	1%	3	2%	128	100%
22	68	67%	10	10%	21	21%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	101	100%
STATE	6,975	87%	451	6%	537	7%	5	0%	29	0%	6	0%	8,004	100%

Courtesy Transfers¹	
Number	DISTRICT
79	1
0	2
0	3
137	4
11	5
4	6
6	7
68	8
16	9
42	10
13	11
4	12
2	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
20	17
84	18
135	19
128	20
12	21
1	22
762	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 63

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
Type of Revocation

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Non-DUI/DWAI Private Cases	
DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	4	8%	12	25%	32	67%	48	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	14%	2	6%	29	81%	36	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	3	7%	9	20%	32	73%	44	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
11	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
12	0	0%	8	50%	8	50%	16	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	4%	6	23%	19	73%	26	100%
18	4	11%	16	46%	15	43%	35	100%
19	3	17%	9	50%	6	33%	18	100%
20	5	6%	22	29%	50	65%	77	100%
21	3	25%	2	17%	7	58%	12	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
STATE	31	10%	87	27%	207	64%	325	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							TOTAL REVOCATIONS for DUI/DWAI Private Cases	
DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		Number	%
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%		
1	4	6%	14	23%	44	71%	62	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	2%	3	6%	45	92%	49	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	3	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	4%	8	16%	40	80%	50	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	2	18%	9	82%	11	100%
11	1	14%	1	14%	5	71%	7	100%
12	1	5%	5	23%	16	73%	22	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	2%	18	40%	26	58%	45	100%
18	0	0%	34	35%	64	65%	98	100%
19	1	6%	7	39%	10	56%	18	100%
20	8	12%	12	18%	46	70%	66	100%
21	1	13%	1	13%	6	75%	8	100%
22	0	0%	3	30%	7	70%	10	100%
STATE	21	5%	110	24%	320	71%	451	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 64

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
Length of Stay

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	321	48%	280	42%	46	7%	19	3%	666 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	118	35%	173	51%	35	10%	11	3%	337 100%
5	22	42%	23	43%	5	9%	3	6%	53 100%
6	14	67%	6	29%	1	5%	0	0%	21 100%
7	4	13%	21	70%	0	0%	5	17%	30 100%
8	341	71%	130	27%	9	2%	2	0%	482 100%
9	64	56%	42	37%	5	4%	3	3%	114 100%
10	36	43%	29	35%	12	14%	6	7%	83 100%
11	27	54%	18	36%	4	8%	1	2%	50 100%
12	72	82%	15	17%	1	1%	0	0%	88 100%
13	25	47%	26	49%	1	2%	1	2%	53 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	276	69%	113	28%	11	3%	0	0%	400 100%
18	216	28%	438	56%	86	11%	39	5%	779 100%
19	59	24%	178	71%	9	4%	4	2%	250 100%
20	376	63%	194	32%	21	4%	8	1%	599 100%
21	84	47%	79	45%	11	6%	3	2%	177 100%
22	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	8 100%
STATE	2,061	49%	1,766	42%	258	6%	105	3%	4,190 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION									
DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	216	18%	939	77%	60	5%	5	0%	1,220 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	216	41%	294	55%	19	4%	2	0%	531 100%
5	13	33%	23	58%	4	10%	0	0%	40 100%
6	43	58%	29	39%	2	3%	0	0%	74 100%
7	5	21%	13	54%	5	21%	1	4%	24 100%
8	916	77%	241	20%	25	2%	2	0%	1,184 100%
9	25	47%	25	47%	3	6%	0	0%	53 100%
10	199	63%	116	37%	2	1%	0	0%	317 100%
11	105	75%	31	22%	4	3%	0	0%	140 100%
12	153	67%	70	31%	4	2%	0	0%	227 100%
13	20	40%	30	60%	0	0%	0	0%	50 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	327	49%	295	44%	38	6%	8	1%	668 100%
18	1,136	53%	883	41%	96	5%	15	1%	2,130 100%
19	59	36%	86	53%	16	10%	1	1%	162 100%
20	526	55%	404	42%	22	2%	3	0%	955 100%
21	49	38%	57	45%	21	16%	1	1%	128 100%
22	57	56%	38	38%	6	6%	0	0%	101 100%
STATE	4,065	51%	3,574	45%	327	4%	38	0%	8,004 100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 65

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Domestic Violence		Interstate		TOTAL PRIVATE NON-DUI/DWAI PROBATION CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	4	0%	7	1%	167	16%	512	48%	89	8%	281	27%	0	0%	1,060	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	1%	4	1%	101	16%	279	44%	39	6%	205	32%	8	1%	640	100%
5	0	0%	1	1%	10	13%	50	65%	2	3%	14	18%	0	0%	77	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	6	26%	15	65%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
7	1	3%	1	3%	11	28%	25	63%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	40	100%
8	37	5%	12	2%	59	8%	584	79%	49	7%	0	0%	0	0%	741	100%
9	2	1%	2	1%	13	7%	141	79%	12	7%	9	5%	0	0%	179	100%
10	5	2%	0	0%	18	8%	193	83%	4	2%	13	6%	0	0%	233	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	7	13%	35	66%	4	8%	7	13%	0	0%	53	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	5	7%	51	73%	3	4%	11	16%	0	0%	70	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	32	52%	3	5%	23	37%	0	0%	62	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	17	4%	1	0%	40	9%	200	43%	64	14%	145	31%	0	0%	467	100%
18	23	2%	1	0%	93	6%	1,009	67%	39	3%	335	22%	0	0%	1,500	100%
19	0	0%	1	0%	58	10%	179	31%	50	9%	288	50%	0	0%	576	100%
20	15	2%	11	1%	92	10%	511	56%	127	14%	159	17%	2	0%	917	100%
21	9	2%	2	0%	36	9%	199	47%	67	16%	108	26%	0	0%	421	100%
22	3	21%	2	14%	3	21%	3	21%	3	21%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
STATE	120	2%	45	1%	723	10%	4,018	57%	557	8%	1,600	23%	10	0%	7,073	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 66

FY 2013
Colorado Private Probation
Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Interstate		TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	18	1%	1	0%	103	6%	1,679	90%	55	3%	0	0%	1,857	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	2	0%	2	0%	202	19%	783	74%	70	7%	1	0%	1,060	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%	92	92%	4	4%	0	0%	100	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	7	9%	66	89%	0	0%	1	1%	74	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	6	21%	23	79%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%
8	74	6%	10	1%	92	7%	1,003	80%	77	6%	0	0%	1,256	100%
9	2	2%	3	3%	7	8%	74	80%	6	7%	0	0%	92	100%
10	28	5%	2	0%	86	14%	487	79%	13	2%	0	0%	616	100%
11	0	0%	1	1%	17	10%	151	87%	4	2%	0	0%	173	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	18	10%	153	83%	13	7%	0	0%	184	100%
13	0	0%	1	1%	9	6%	132	92%	1	1%	0	0%	143	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	0%	1	0%	76	9%	636	74%	144	17%	0	0%	859	100%
18	11	0%	2	0%	157	5%	3,035	92%	77	2%	0	0%	3,282	100%
19	14	3%	4	1%	59	11%	410	79%	34	7%	1	0%	521	100%
20	6	0%	9	1%	117	7%	1,247	80%	183	12%	1	0%	1,563	100%
21	2	1%	1	0%	24	8%	247	80%	36	12%	0	0%	310	100%
22	13	10%	2	2%	28	23%	66	53%	15	12%	0	0%	124	100%
STATE	172	1%	39	0%	1,012	8%	10,284	84%	732	6%	4	0%	12,243	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Regular Juvenile Probation



TABLE 67

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Investigations

DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	4%	69	92%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	4%	75	100%
2J	22	6%	344	88%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	22	6%	391	100%
3	0	0%	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
4	53	14%	301	78%	0	0%	0	0%	12	3%	21	5%	387	100%
5	6	23%	10	38%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	8	31%	26	100%
6	1	3%	30	91%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	33	100%
7	0	0%	57	80%	3	4%	0	0%	3	4%	8	11%	71	100%
8	9	3%	114	40%	2	1%	1	0%	6	2%	151	53%	283	100%
9	4	10%	32	76%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	4	10%	42	100%
10	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
11	2	13%	4	27%	0	0%	3	20%	1	7%	5	33%	15	100%
12	2	10%	15	75%	0	0%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	20	100%
13	8	20%	21	53%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	8	20%	40	100%
14	2	6%	18	56%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	10	31%	32	100%
15	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
16	2	17%	9	75%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
17	39	39%	49	49%	1	1%	0	0%	5	5%	7	7%	101	100%
18	53	39%	53	39%	1	1%	0	0%	7	5%	21	16%	135	100%
19	11	35%	11	35%	0	0%	0	0%	5	16%	4	13%	31	100%
20	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	6	100%
21	9	43%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	5	24%	4	19%	21	100%
22	1	6%	14	88%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
STATE	229	13%	1,172	67%	12	1%	10	1%	50	3%	281	16%	1,754	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 68

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	95	27%	209	60%	11	3%	0	0%	34	10%	349	100%
2J	175	41%	224	52%	3	1%	0	0%	25	6%	427	100%
3	2	7%	20	67%	4	13%	1	3%	3	10%	30	100%
4	108	26%	258	62%	4	1%	0	0%	44	11%	414	100%
5	15	22%	43	63%	5	7%	0	0%	5	7%	68	100%
6	11	37%	17	57%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	30	100%
7	17	21%	50	61%	3	4%	1	1%	11	13%	82	100%
8	27	8%	216	67%	41	13%	0	0%	38	12%	322	100%
9	27	51%	20	38%	1	2%	0	0%	5	9%	53	100%
10	30	23%	95	72%	1	1%	0	0%	6	5%	132	100%
11	18	31%	34	59%	3	5%	0	0%	3	5%	58	100%
12	17	29%	31	53%	3	5%	0	0%	8	14%	59	100%
13	41	34%	66	55%	0	0%	0	0%	14	12%	121	100%
14	3	10%	24	77%	0	0%	0	0%	4	13%	31	100%
15	10	29%	22	65%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%
16	8	25%	22	69%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	32	100%
17	167	42%	178	45%	7	2%	0	0%	46	12%	398	100%
18	202	35%	300	52%	46	8%	0	0%	31	5%	579	100%
19	77	18%	282	65%	33	8%	1	0%	43	10%	436	100%
20	26	13%	117	58%	29	14%	0	0%	29	14%	201	100%
21	34	30%	69	60%	0	0%	0	0%	12	10%	115	100%
22	1	5%	16	76%	0	0%	0	0%	4	19%	21	100%
STATE	1,111	28%	2,313	58%	195	5%	4	0%	369	9%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 69

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue ¹		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	245	70%	4	1%	67	19%	3	1%	30	9%	349	100%
2J	179	42%	6	1%	115	27%	1	0%	126	30%	427	100%
3	14	47%	0	0%	14	47%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%
4	290	70%	8	2%	70	17%	21	5%	25	6%	414	100%
5	50	74%	0	0%	6	9%	2	3%	10	15%	68	100%
6	15	50%	0	0%	10	33%	0	0%	5	17%	30	100%
7	31	38%	0	0%	35	43%	2	2%	14	17%	82	100%
8	94	29%	1	0%	198	61%	4	1%	25	8%	322	100%
9	18	34%	0	0%	30	57%	1	2%	4	8%	53	100%
10	55	42%	0	0%	49	37%	5	4%	23	17%	132	100%
11	33	57%	1	2%	19	33%	0	0%	5	9%	58	100%
12	10	17%	0	0%	45	76%	0	0%	4	7%	59	100%
13	44	36%	1	1%	53	44%	0	0%	23	19%	121	100%
14	21	68%	0	0%	9	29%	0	0%	1	3%	31	100%
15	6	18%	0	0%	23	68%	2	6%	3	9%	34	100%
16	12	38%	2	6%	11	34%	0	0%	7	22%	32	100%
17	156	39%	6	2%	142	36%	5	1%	89	22%	398	100%
18	255	44%	5	1%	219	38%	5	1%	95	16%	579	100%
19	268	61%	7	2%	118	27%	3	1%	40	9%	436	100%
20	80	40%	0	0%	101	50%	1	0%	19	9%	201	100%
21	83	72%	4	3%	18	16%	5	4%	5	4%	115	100%
22	12	57%	1	5%	5	24%	0	0%	3	14%	21	100%
STATE	1,971	49%	46	1%	1,357	34%	60	2%	558	14%	3,992	100%

¹**Change of Venue:** Change in coding practices required an estimation of new change of venue juveniles.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 70

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Gender

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	82	23%	267	77%	0	0%	349	100%
2J	86	20%	341	80%	0	0%	427	100%
3	6	20%	24	80%	0	0%	30	100%
4	76	18%	338	82%	0	0%	414	100%
5	11	16%	57	84%	0	0%	68	100%
6	4	13%	26	87%	0	0%	30	100%
7	23	28%	59	72%	0	0%	82	100%
8	77	24%	245	76%	0	0%	322	100%
9	3	6%	50	94%	0	0%	53	100%
10	28	21%	104	79%	0	0%	132	100%
11	16	28%	42	72%	0	0%	58	100%
12	16	27%	43	73%	0	0%	59	100%
13	34	28%	87	72%	0	0%	121	100%
14	7	23%	24	77%	0	0%	31	100%
15	6	18%	28	82%	0	0%	34	100%
16	6	19%	26	81%	0	0%	32	100%
17	68	17%	329	83%	1	0%	398	100%
18	113	20%	464	80%	2	0%	579	100%
19	148	34%	288	66%	0	0%	436	100%
20	44	22%	157	78%	0	0%	201	100%
21	22	19%	93	81%	0	0%	115	100%
22	5	24%	16	76%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	881	22%	3,108	78%	3	0%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 71

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Age

DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		18+ Years ¹		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	92	26%	62	18%	81	23%	74	21%	40	11%	0	0%	349	100%
2J	118	28%	87	20%	89	21%	87	20%	46	11%	0	0%	427	100%
3	8	27%	6	20%	6	20%	6	20%	4	13%	0	0%	30	100%
4	80	19%	70	17%	83	20%	99	24%	82	20%	0	0%	414	100%
5	13	19%	8	12%	13	19%	20	29%	14	21%	0	0%	68	100%
6	6	20%	2	7%	6	20%	8	27%	8	27%	0	0%	30	100%
7	11	13%	13	16%	28	34%	22	27%	8	10%	0	0%	82	100%
8	89	28%	53	16%	75	23%	80	25%	25	8%	0	0%	322	100%
9	4	8%	11	21%	14	26%	18	34%	6	11%	0	0%	53	100%
10	37	28%	28	21%	20	15%	34	26%	11	8%	2	2%	132	100%
11	12	21%	13	22%	12	21%	18	31%	3	5%	0	0%	58	100%
12	10	17%	13	22%	7	12%	22	37%	7	12%	0	0%	59	100%
13	23	19%	24	20%	25	21%	30	25%	19	16%	0	0%	121	100%
14	2	6%	7	23%	7	23%	10	32%	5	16%	0	0%	31	100%
15	15	44%	7	21%	5	15%	6	18%	1	3%	0	0%	34	100%
16	4	13%	10	31%	6	19%	8	25%	4	13%	0	0%	32	100%
17	95	24%	61	15%	79	20%	98	25%	65	16%	0	0%	398	100%
18	103	18%	86	15%	125	22%	176	30%	89	15%	0	0%	579	100%
19	124	28%	67	15%	101	23%	99	23%	45	10%	0	0%	436	100%
20	65	32%	33	16%	34	17%	53	26%	16	8%	0	0%	201	100%
21	41	36%	20	17%	17	15%	30	26%	7	6%	0	0%	115	100%
22	4	19%	2	10%	8	38%	3	14%	4	19%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	956	24%	683	17%	841	21%	1,001	25%	509	13%	2	0%	3,992	100%

¹**18+ Years:** Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 72

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	256	73%	26	7%	1	0%	49	14%	5	1%	12	3%	349	100%
2J	102	24%	120	28%	5	1%	190	44%	7	2%	3	1%	427	100%
3	21	70%	0	0%	0	0%	7	23%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%
4	232	56%	94	23%	5	1%	49	12%	0	0%	34	8%	414	100%
5	55	81%	3	4%	1	1%	9	13%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
6	23	77%	3	10%	0	0%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%	30	100%
7	72	88%	0	0%	0	0%	5	6%	1	1%	4	5%	82	100%
8	263	82%	16	5%	0	0%	34	11%	5	2%	4	1%	322	100%
9	44	83%	0	0%	0	0%	7	13%	0	0%	2	4%	53	100%
10	72	55%	2	2%	0	0%	52	39%	0	0%	6	5%	132	100%
11	54	93%	1	2%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%
12	41	69%	1	2%	0	0%	16	27%	0	0%	1	2%	59	100%
13	87	72%	0	0%	0	0%	28	23%	1	1%	5	4%	121	100%
14	29	94%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	31	100%
15	23	68%	3	9%	0	0%	6	18%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%
16	21	66%	0	0%	1	3%	10	31%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%
17	323	81%	39	10%	1	0%	30	8%	1	0%	4	1%	398	100%
18	373	64%	115	20%	2	0%	81	14%	0	0%	8	1%	579	100%
19	338	78%	7	2%	3	1%	87	20%	0	0%	1	0%	436	100%
20	166	83%	9	4%	1	0%	23	11%	1	0%	1	0%	201	100%
21	86	75%	5	4%	0	0%	15	13%	1	1%	8	7%	115	100%
22	15	71%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	4	19%	0	0%	21	100%
STATE	2,696	68%	444	11%	20	1%	707	18%	27	1%	98	2%	3,992	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 73

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	208	68%	85	28%	11	4%	304	100%
2J	222	66%	98	29%	17	5%	337	100%
3	33	89%	2	5%	2	5%	37	100%
4	236	66%	90	25%	32	9%	358	100%
5	36	80%	8	18%	1	2%	45	100%
6	25	68%	10	27%	2	5%	37	100%
7	38	76%	10	20%	2	4%	50	100%
8	277	83%	50	15%	7	2%	334	100%
9	42	76%	13	24%	0	0%	55	100%
10	67	69%	24	25%	6	6%	97	100%
11	34	74%	10	22%	2	4%	46	100%
12	55	81%	10	15%	3	4%	68	100%
13	57	69%	23	28%	3	4%	83	100%
14	21	81%	5	19%	0	0%	26	100%
15	21	95%	1	5%	0	0%	22	100%
16	25	78%	5	16%	2	6%	32	100%
17	221	70%	72	23%	22	7%	315	100%
18	294	63%	139	30%	35	7%	468	100%
19	346	80%	76	18%	12	3%	434	100%
20	165	93%	10	6%	3	2%	178	100%
21	83	66%	36	29%	6	5%	125	100%
22	11	50%	8	36%	3	14%	22	100%
STATE	2,517	72%	785	23%	171	5%	3,473	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations:* This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 74.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 74

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Change of Venue ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	208	68%	85	28%	11	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	305	100%	94	1
2J	222	65%	98	29%	17	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	340	100%	29	2J
3	33	89%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%	4	3
4	236	66%	90	25%	32	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	360	100%	26	4
5	36	80%	8	18%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	45	100%	2	5
6	25	68%	10	27%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%	8	6
7	38	76%	10	20%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	13	7
8	277	82%	50	15%	7	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	337	100%	18	8
9	42	76%	13	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55	100%	5	9
10	67	67%	24	24%	6	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	100	100%	9	10
11	34	74%	10	22%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%	5	11
12	55	81%	10	15%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%	7	12
13	57	69%	23	28%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	83	100%	8	13
14	21	78%	5	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	27	100%	2	14
15	21	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	4	15
16	25	78%	5	16%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%	4	16
17	221	69%	72	23%	22	7%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	318	100%	49	17
18	294	62%	139	29%	35	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	5	1%	474	100%	76	18
19	346	80%	76	17%	12	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	435	100%	35	19
20	165	92%	10	6%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	180	100%	7	20
21	83	65%	36	28%	6	5%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	127	100%	4	21
22	11	50%	8	36%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	2	22
STATE	2,517	72%	785	22%	171	5%	0	0%	2	0%	5	0%	20	1%	3,500	100%	411	STATE

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY2008 Changes of Venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 75

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	11%	11	13%	65	76%	85	100%
2J	25	26%	11	11%	62	63%	98	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
4	16	18%	12	13%	62	69%	90	100%
5	0	0%	4	50%	4	50%	8	100%
6	1	10%	1	10%	8	80%	10	100%
7	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
8	4	8%	8	16%	38	76%	50	100%
9	2	15%	1	8%	10	77%	13	100%
10	3	13%	7	29%	14	58%	24	100%
11	0	0%	2	20%	8	80%	10	100%
12	3	30%	3	30%	4	40%	10	100%
13	2	9%	4	17%	17	74%	23	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
16	1	20%	1	20%	3	60%	5	100%
17	10	14%	18	25%	44	61%	72	100%
18	21	15%	31	22%	87	63%	139	100%
19	10	13%	24	32%	42	55%	76	100%
20	2	20%	0	0%	8	80%	10	100%
21	5	14%	5	14%	26	72%	36	100%
22	1	13%	0	0%	7	88%	8	100%
STATE	116	15%	145	18%	524	67%	785	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

TABLE 76

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Length of Stay

DISTRICT	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	68	22%	25	8%	69	23%	48	16%	38	12%	57	19%	305	100%
2J	23	7%	29	9%	103	30%	71	21%	55	16%	59	17%	340	100%
3	4	11%	10	27%	17	46%	0	0%	2	5%	4	11%	37	100%
4	22	6%	27	8%	105	29%	70	19%	49	14%	87	24%	360	100%
5	8	18%	10	22%	14	31%	8	18%	5	11%	0	0%	45	100%
6	3	8%	5	14%	13	35%	6	16%	7	19%	3	8%	37	100%
7	2	4%	6	12%	21	42%	7	14%	4	8%	10	20%	50	100%
8	28	8%	76	23%	126	37%	49	15%	41	12%	17	5%	337	100%
9	6	11%	7	13%	29	53%	6	11%	6	11%	1	2%	55	100%
10	13	13%	15	15%	42	42%	18	18%	9	9%	3	3%	100	100%
11	3	7%	9	20%	17	37%	8	17%	3	7%	6	13%	46	100%
12	5	7%	17	25%	25	37%	11	16%	5	7%	5	7%	68	100%
13	5	6%	16	19%	32	39%	10	12%	7	8%	13	16%	83	100%
14	0	0%	2	7%	7	26%	5	19%	5	19%	8	30%	27	100%
15	1	5%	2	9%	12	55%	2	9%	1	5%	4	18%	22	100%
16	2	6%	0	0%	10	31%	8	25%	5	16%	7	22%	32	100%
17	29	9%	30	9%	102	32%	54	17%	47	15%	56	18%	318	100%
18	50	11%	40	8%	156	33%	81	17%	69	15%	78	16%	474	100%
19	32	7%	92	21%	172	40%	53	12%	48	11%	38	9%	435	100%
20	13	7%	85	47%	54	30%	12	7%	8	4%	8	4%	180	100%
21	6	5%	17	13%	52	41%	22	17%	16	13%	14	11%	127	100%
22	3	14%	5	23%	8	36%	3	14%	3	14%	0	0%	22	100%
STATE	326	9%	525	15%	1,186	34%	552	16%	433	12%	478	14%	3,500	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 77

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Sex Offender ¹		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	13	3%	53	13%	159	38%	57	14%	64	15%	2	0%	68	16%	6	1%	422	100%
2J	4	1%	132	24%	154	29%	122	23%	75	14%	0	0%	53	10%	0	0%	540	100%
3	2	8%	4	16%	6	24%	12	48%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%
4	2	0%	128	22%	186	32%	141	24%	44	8%	2	0%	47	8%	28	5%	578	100%
5	6	9%	11	17%	8	13%	21	33%	11	17%	0	0%	6	9%	1	2%	64	100%
6	0	0%	3	7%	6	15%	23	56%	3	7%	1	2%	1	2%	4	10%	41	100%
7	2	2%	15	17%	21	24%	38	44%	4	5%	0	0%	4	5%	3	3%	87	100%
8	14	5%	88	28%	102	33%	65	21%	19	6%	0	0%	14	5%	7	2%	309	100%
9	3	6%	4	8%	5	10%	22	45%	6	12%	1	2%	8	16%	0	0%	49	100%
10	6	5%	20	16%	36	28%	39	30%	24	19%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	128	100%
11	5	6%	9	11%	24	29%	13	15%	14	17%	0	0%	14	17%	5	6%	84	100%
12	0	0%	6	10%	11	19%	36	62%	5	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	58	100%
13	2	2%	13	11%	24	20%	43	35%	19	15%	3	2%	12	10%	7	6%	123	100%
14	2	3%	13	22%	12	20%	19	32%	9	15%	1	2%	0	0%	4	7%	60	100%
15	0	0%	7	15%	10	22%	22	48%	3	7%	2	4%	2	4%	0	0%	46	100%
16	0	0%	14	25%	16	28%	18	32%	4	7%	0	0%	4	7%	1	2%	57	100%
17	14	3%	33	8%	102	25%	123	31%	62	15%	3	1%	63	16%	3	1%	403	100%
18	20	3%	66	10%	184	27%	245	36%	78	11%	0	0%	62	9%	28	4%	683	100%
19	17	4%	24	5%	99	22%	243	53%	51	11%	0	0%	16	4%	5	1%	455	100%
20	4	2%	45	25%	44	25%	61	34%	8	5%	2	1%	9	5%	4	2%	177	100%
21	3	2%	17	13%	29	21%	40	30%	27	20%	2	1%	13	10%	4	3%	135	100%
22	0	0%	4	25%	3	19%	6	38%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	16	100%
STATE	119	3%	709	16%	1,241	27%	1,409	31%	532	12%	20	0%	397	9%	113	2%	4,540	100%

¹Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 78.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding

TABLE 78

FY 2013
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2013

Risk Level:	Juvenile Sex Offenders ¹									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	25%	51	75%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
2J	2	4%	30	57%	19	36%	2	4%	53	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	25	53%	11	23%	11	23%	0	0%	47	100%
5	0	0%	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
7	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
8	12	86%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
9	1	13%	1	13%	6	75%	0	0%	8	100%
10	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	13	93%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	2	17%	4	33%	3	25%	3	25%	12	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
16	0	0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
17	15	24%	35	56%	3	5%	10	16%	63	100%
18	14	23%	44	71%	4	6%	0	0%	62	100%
19	0	0%	4	25%	3	19%	9	56%	16	100%
20	0	0%	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	9	100%
21	2	15%	2	15%	9	69%	0	0%	13	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	93	23%	210	53%	69	17%	25	6%	397	100%

¹This chart does not include juvenile sex offenders who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have a Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) for juvenile sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)



TABLE 79

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	123	57%	85	40%	7	3%	215	100%
2	121	40%	151	51%	27	9%	299	100%
3	4	50%	4	50%	0	0%	8	100%
4	64	44%	73	50%	8	6%	145	100%
5	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%	5	100%
6	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
7	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
8	52	34%	93	61%	8	5%	153	100%
9	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
10	13	34%	20	53%	5	13%	38	100%
11	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%
12	9	43%	10	48%	2	10%	21	100%
13	11	38%	14	48%	4	14%	29	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
16	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
17	134	51%	108	41%	19	7%	261	100%
18	36	34%	56	52%	15	14%	107	100%
19	32	31%	55	53%	16	16%	103	100%
20	28	41%	33	49%	7	10%	68	100%
21	12	29%	28	67%	2	5%	42	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	651	43%	743	49%	125	8%	1,519	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 80

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	82	56%	44	30%	20	14%	146	100%
2	154	59%	74	28%	35	13%	263	100%
3	8	73%	2	18%	1	9%	11	100%
4	61	58%	34	32%	10	10%	105	100%
5	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
6	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
7	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
8	48	55%	23	26%	16	18%	87	100%
9	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
10	10	40%	15	60%	0	0%	25	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	8	73%	1	9%	2	18%	11	100%
13	13	81%	2	13%	1	6%	16	100%
14	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
15	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	134	74%	23	13%	23	13%	180	100%
18	48	52%	31	33%	14	15%	93	100%
19	37	60%	22	35%	3	5%	62	100%
20	30	73%	11	27%	0	0%	41	100%
21	23	64%	11	31%	2	6%	36	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
STATE	673	61%	297	27%	129	12%	1,099	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from probation while on ISP probation or transitioned to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 81.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 81

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	District
1	81	42%	1	1%	20	10%	40	21%	44	23%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	6	3%	194	100%	1	1
2	133	44%	21	7%	35	12%	35	12%	74	24%	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	304	100%	1	2
3	5	38%	3	23%	1	8%	2	15%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	3
4	56	42%	5	4%	10	7%	28	21%	34	25%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	134	100%	3	4
5	4	67%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	5
6	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%	0	6
7	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	60%	0	7
8	34	26%	14	11%	16	12%	39	30%	23	17%	0	0%	3	2%	2	2%	1	1%	132	100%	2	8
9	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	9
10	9	23%	1	3%	0	0%	12	31%	15	38%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%	0	10
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	11
12	8	50%	0	0%	2	13%	5	31%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%	0	12
13	12	48%	1	4%	1	4%	9	36%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%	0	13
14	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	14
15	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	15
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	16
17	127	47%	7	3%	23	9%	84	31%	23	9%	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	270	100%	2	17
18	44	31%	4	3%	14	10%	47	33%	31	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	141	100%	1	18
19	35	37%	2	2%	3	3%	28	29%	22	23%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	3	3%	95	100%	1	19
20	27	47%	3	5%	0	0%	11	19%	11	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	10%	58	100%	2	20
21	22	48%	1	2%	2	4%	9	20%	11	24%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	22
TOTAL	607	41%	66	4%	129	9%	353	24%	297	20%	6	0%	11	1%	5	0%	21	1%	1,495	100%	13	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 82

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	32%	5	11%	25	57%	44	100%
2	11	15%	6	8%	57	77%	74	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
4	15	44%	1	3%	18	53%	34	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
8	9	39%	5	22%	9	39%	23	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	27%	3	20%	8	53%	15	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	9	39%	3	13%	11	48%	23	100%
18	4	13%	12	39%	15	48%	31	100%
19	4	18%	6	27%	12	55%	22	100%
20	4	36%	1	9%	6	55%	11	100%
21	7	64%	2	18%	2	18%	11	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	82	28%	45	15%	170	57%	297	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)



FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts				Total New Clients	
	Direct Sentence					
1	62	97%	2	3%	64	100%
2	55	90%	6	10%	61	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	46	94%	3	6%	49	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
8	22	79%	6	21%	28	100%
9	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
10	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
13	14	100%	0	0%	14	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	25	69%	11	31%	36	100%
18	79	93%	6	7%	85	100%
19	21	91%	2	9%	23	100%
20	13	81%	3	19%	16	100%
21	10	91%	1	9%	11	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	360	90%	41	10%	401	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised (1,412) on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (216) as reflected below in the 6/30/2013 active caseload and termination table.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2013 ¹		SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS			UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS			TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
		Successful		Revoked	Absconded				
DISTRICT	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	128	36	58%	23	37%	3	5%	62	100%
2	153	8	15%	35	65%	11	20%	54	100%
3	1	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	246	24	40%	27	45%	9	15%	60	100%
5	4	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	30	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
7	16	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	118	10	50%	10	50%	0	0%	20	100%
9	13	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
10	44	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
11	31	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	9	100%
12	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	32	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	9	100%
14	5	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	123	25	64%	12	31%	2	5%	39	100%
18	289	21	32%	38	58%	6	9%	65	100%
19	62	12	48%	13	52%	0	0%	25	100%
20	39	5	29%	10	59%	2	12%	17	100%
21	54	11	79%	3	21%	0	0%	14	100%
22	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	1,412	176	45%	183	47%	33	8%	392	100%

Key: *Successful Termination:* This column includes those probationers that terminated from probation while on SOISP or transitioned to regular supervision probation.
Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.
 A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.
 Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision.
 Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 85.

¹The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in Regular Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 85

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	14	19%	22	30%	3	4%	10	14%	23	31%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	74	100%
2	5	8%	3	5%	11	19%	0	0%	35	59%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	1	2%	59	100%
3	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	12	19%	12	19%	9	14%	1	2%	27	42%	0	0%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	64	100%
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	3	12%	7	28%	0	0%	0	0%	10	40%	1	4%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	25	100%
9	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
10	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
11	1	11%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	4	36%	1	9%	0	0%	2	18%	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	9	20%	16	36%	2	5%	4	9%	12	26%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%
18	8	11%	13	18%	6	8%	2	3%	38	51%	1	1%	3	4%	3	4%	0	0%	74	100%
19	7	23%	5	17%	0	0%	5	17%	13	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	100%
20	3	17%	2	11%	2	11%	0	0%	10	56%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
21	9	50%	2	11%	0	0%	3	17%	3	17%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	81	18%	95	21%	33	7%	29	6%	183	41%	8	2%	12	3%	6	1%	1	0%	448	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹	
Number	DISTRICT
2	1
7	2
0	3
9	4
2	5
0	6
0	7
2	8
2	9
1	10
1	11
0	12
1	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
11	17
11	18
3	19
2	20
1	21
0	22
55	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 86

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	4%	3	13%	19	83%	23	100%
2	3	9%	1	3%	31	89%	35	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	15%	1	4%	22	81%	27	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	1	10%	9	90%	10	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	17%	0	0%	10	83%	12	100%
18	1	3%	1	3%	36	95%	38	100%
19	2	15%	0	0%	11	85%	13	100%
20	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	15	8%	8	4%	160	87%	183	100%

Key:
New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)



TABLE 87

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	48%	9	36%	4	16%	25	100%
2	9	30%	15	50%	6	20%	30	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	9	26%	25	74%	0	0%	34	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	56%	4	44%	0	0%	9	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	3	14%	14	67%	4	19%	21	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	18	35%	31	60%	3	6%	52	100%
18	3	18%	11	65%	3	18%	17	100%
19	10	33%	19	63%	1	3%	30	100%
20	7	33%	13	62%	1	5%	21	100%
21	4	16%	21	84%	0	0%	25	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	80	30%	162	61%	22	8%	264	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	8	73%	1	9%	2	18%	11	100%
2	16	70%	5	22%	2	9%	23	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	15	68%	0	0%	7	32%	22	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%	13	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	20	69%	4	14%	5	17%	29	100%
18	8	67%	3	25%	1	8%	12	100%
19	5	45%	6	55%	0	0%	11	100%
20	5	56%	3	33%	1	11%	9	100%
21	9	53%	5	29%	3	18%	17	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	101	66%	29	19%	22	14%	152	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from FOP and probation or transitioned to regular supervision.

Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 89.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 89

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	DISTRICT
1	1	5%	7	37%	2	11%	3	16%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	26%	19	100%	1	1
2	9	30%	7	23%	2	7%	4	13%	5	17%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	2	7%	30	100%	4	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	14	54%	1	4%	7	27%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	7	54%	3	23%	1	8%	0	0%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	19	44%	1	2%	5	12%	12	28%	4	9%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%	0	17
18	8	53%	0	0%	1	7%	3	20%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%	3	18
19	5	29%	0	0%	0	0%	4	24%	6	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	12%	17	100%	0	19
20	5	38%	0	0%	1	8%	1	8%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	23%	13	100%	0	20
21	9	43%	0	0%	3	14%	4	19%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	82	40%	19	9%	22	11%	39	19%	29	14%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	12	6%	206	100%	8	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 90

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
19	2	33%	1	17%	3	50%	6	100%
20	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	3	100%
21	2	40%	0	0%	3	60%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	6	21%	2	7%	21	72%	29	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)



Table 91

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue ¹		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	33%	22	61%	2	6%	36	100%
2J	34	67%	14	27%	3	6%	51	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	7	25%	20	71%	1	4%	28	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	3%	30	97%	0	0%	31	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	3	38%	3	38%	2	25%	8	100%
11	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	5	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
17	30	40%	40	53%	5	7%	75	100%
18	10	21%	34	71%	4	8%	48	100%
19	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
20	2	33%	4	67%	0	0%	6	100%
21	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
22	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	107	35%	177	58%	20	7%	304	100%

¹**Change of Venue:** Change in coding practices required an estimation of new change of venue juveniles.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 92

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	15	38%	23	59%	1	3%	39	100%
2J	18	40%	26	58%	1	2%	45	100%
3	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
4	11	48%	12	52%	0	0%	23	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	19	59%	13	41%	0	0%	32	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	10	56%	8	44%	0	0%	18	100%
11	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
14	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
15	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	27	40%	36	53%	5	7%	68	100%
18	31	53%	26	44%	2	3%	59	100%
19	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
20	4	36%	7	64%	0	0%	11	100%
21	2	18%	8	73%	1	9%	11	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	144	45%	166	52%	12	4%	322	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations:* This column includes those probationers that have terminated successfully from JISP and probation or transitioned to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation services.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 93.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 93

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Change of Venue ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	13	33%	2	5%	1	3%	0	0%	23	59%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	39	100%	7	1
2J	14	30%	4	9%	1	2%	0	0%	26	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	46	100%	19	2J
3	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	0	3
4	10	43%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	12	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	8	24%	11	32%	0	0%	0	0%	13	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	34	100%	1	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	1	6%	9	50%	0	0%	0	0%	8	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	1	10
11	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	14
15	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	16
17	20	28%	7	10%	5	7%	3	4%	36	50%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72	100%	5	17
18	23	39%	8	14%	2	3%	0	0%	26	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	59	100%	6	18
19	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	0	19
20	0	0%	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	7	64%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	20
21	2	18%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	21
22	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	22
TOTAL	94	28%	50	15%	12	4%	5	2%	166	50%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	332	100%	39	TOTAL

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY2008 Changes of Venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 94

FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	1	4%	22	96%	23	100%
2J	3	12%	3	12%	20	77%	26	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	25%	2	17%	7	58%	12	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	4	31%	4	31%	5	38%	13	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	50%	1	13%	3	38%	8	100%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	6	17%	4	11%	26	72%	36	100%
18	7	27%	6	23%	13	50%	26	100%
19	0	0%	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
20	2	29%	2	29%	3	43%	7	100%
21	0	0%	3	38%	5	63%	8	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	30	18%	28	17%	108	65%	166	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary



FY2013
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2013

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) ¹		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) ²		TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
1	204	50%	128	32%	42	10%	31	8%	405	100%
2A ³	239	56%	153	36%	37	9%	0	0%	429	100%
2J ⁴	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%	44	100%
3	11	85%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	13	100%
4	178	37%	246	51%	32	7%	26	5%	482	100%
5	6	60%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
6	1	3%	30	91%	0	0%	2	6%	33	100%
7	4	19%	16	76%	0	0%	1	5%	21	100%
8	176	51%	118	35%	10	3%	38	11%	342	100%
9	8	36%	13	59%	0	0%	1	5%	22	100%
10	40	31%	44	34%	33	26%	11	9%	128	100%
11	3	9%	31	89%	0	0%	1	3%	35	100%
12	18	60%	11	37%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%
13	28	43%	32	49%	0	0%	5	8%	65	100%
14	6	46%	5	38%	0	0%	2	15%	13	100%
15	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	7	100%
16	7	44%	7	44%	0	0%	2	13%	16	100%
17	226	49%	123	27%	53	12%	56	12%	458	100%
18	104	23%	289	63%	21	5%	45	10%	459	100%
19	76	46%	62	38%	25	15%	2	1%	165	100%
20	67	50%	39	29%	20	15%	8	6%	134	100%
21	42	33%	54	43%	24	19%	7	6%	127	100%
22	0	0%	6	60%	0	0%	4	40%	10	100%
TOTAL	1,448	42%	1,412	41%	297	9%	291	8%	3,448	100%

¹The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

²This number may include sex offenders that have been sentenced to JISP.

³2A: Denver Adult Probation: this is the only district with just adult offenders.

⁴2J: Denver Juvenile Probation: this is the only district with just juvenile offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Victim Services



**Colorado Probation
Summary of Victim Services¹
FY 2008 to FY 2013**

	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013
VICTIM SERVICES²						
Initial Notifications Sent	16,988	16,183	16,669	16,482	17,533	17,464
Notification Requests Returned	3,066	3,224	2,885	2,807	2,751	2,806
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	18%	20%	17%	17%	16%	16%
VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS						
Date of Probation Termination	2,209	2,251	2,210	2,314	2,341	2,183
Early Termination Requests	318	451	435	453	426	370
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	4,532	4,738	5,276	5,386	6,073	6,325
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	678	680	669	874	1,171	942
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	509	430	604	565	671	618
Abscond/Warrant	1,565	1,812	2,191	2,793	2,925	2,831
Death of a Probationer	15	34	31	32	37	50
TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS³	9,826	10,396	11,416	12,417	13,644	13,319

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Effective in FY2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY07 the Victim Rights Amendment stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the defendant that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". This new provision replaced the old legislation and became effective in FY07 and is now reported in "Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision".

²These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

³These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision.

Colorado Probation: Alcohol/Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)



TABLE 97

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	2	33%	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	6	100%
4	255	61%	15	4%	9	2%	142	34%	421	100%
5	29	5%	516	92%	5	1%	11	2%	561	100%
6	6	3%	134	66%	0	0%	62	31%	202	100%
7	138	32%	255	59%	25	6%	14	3%	432	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
9	32	6%	473	91%	12	2%	1	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
13	7	35%	2	10%	2	10%	9	45%	20	100%
14	157	64%	68	28%	12	5%	7	3%	244	100%
15	5	5%	87	93%	0	0%	2	2%	94	100%
16	22	42%	4	8%	0	0%	26	50%	52	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
19	98	14%	594	82%	32	4%	0	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
21	30	9%	313	91%	0	0%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	781	22%	2,463	68%	109	3%	279	8%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 98

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender

DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
4	146	35%	275	65%	0	0%	421	100%
5	131	23%	430	77%	0	0%	561	100%
6	74	37%	128	63%	0	0%	202	100%
7	114	26%	318	74%	0	0%	432	100%
8	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
9	136	26%	382	74%	0	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
13	2	10%	18	90%	0	0%	20	100%
14	61	25%	183	75%	0	0%	244	100%
15	23	24%	71	76%	0	0%	94	100%
16	15	29%	37	71%	0	0%	52	100%
17	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
19	173	24%	550	76%	1	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
21	119	35%	224	65%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	994	27%	2,637	73%	1	0%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 99

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group

DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
4	294	70%	35	8%	12	3%	72	17%	2	0%	6	1%	421	100%
5	526	94%	3	1%	2	0%	27	5%	0	0%	3	1%	561	100%
6	167	83%	3	1%	1	0%	15	7%	15	7%	1	0%	202	100%
7	382	88%	2	0%	2	0%	43	10%	2	0%	1	0%	432	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	476	92%	3	1%	1	0%	33	6%	1	0%	4	1%	518	100%
10	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	19	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%
14	230	94%	2	1%	2	1%	8	3%	0	0%	2	1%	244	100%
15	79	84%	1	1%	0	0%	13	14%	0	0%	1	1%	94	100%
16	48	92%	0	0%	0	0%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	52	100%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
19	480	66%	22	3%	4	1%	209	29%	3	0%	6	1%	724	100%
20	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
21	306	89%	9	3%	1	0%	25	7%	0	0%	2	1%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3,022	83%	81	2%	25	1%	455	13%	23	1%	26	1%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 100

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age

DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%
4	7	2%	47	11%	118	28%	110	26%	52	12%	87	21%	0	0%	421	100%
5	6	1%	45	8%	139	25%	169	30%	78	14%	124	22%	0	0%	561	100%
6	1	0%	14	7%	50	25%	40	20%	37	18%	60	30%	0	0%	202	100%
7	3	1%	34	8%	77	18%	99	23%	58	13%	161	37%	0	0%	432	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
9	3	1%	31	6%	103	20%	154	30%	75	14%	152	29%	0	0%	518	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	1	5%	1	5%	2	10%	6	30%	1	5%	9	45%	0	0%	20	100%
14	3	1%	14	6%	52	21%	77	32%	41	17%	57	23%	0	0%	244	100%
15	1	1%	13	14%	18	19%	20	21%	10	11%	32	34%	0	0%	94	100%
16	2	4%	7	13%	6	12%	15	29%	5	10%	17	33%	0	0%	52	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
19	7	1%	70	10%	180	25%	200	28%	101	14%	166	23%	0	0%	724	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
21	4	1%	45	13%	95	28%	86	25%	36	10%	77	22%	0	0%	343	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	38	1%	321	9%	841	23%	982	27%	498	14%	952	26%	0	0%	3,632	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 101

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDs)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revocation		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	12	57%	0	0%	9	43%	21	100%
4	378	81%	83	18%	4	1%	465	100%
5	563	91%	6	1%	51	8%	620	100%
6	178	82%	10	5%	28	13%	216	100%
7	362	81%	8	2%	77	17%	447	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	451	76%	18	3%	121	21%	590	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
13	163	89%	15	8%	6	3%	184	100%
14	191	91%	5	2%	15	7%	211	100%
15	106	91%	2	2%	8	7%	116	100%
16	39	76%	0	0%	12	24%	51	100%
17	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	255	69%	55	15%	62	17%	372	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	262	100%	0	0%	0	0%	262	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	2,965	83%	203	6%	395	11%	3,563	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconder terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of closures are included in Table 102.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 102

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types

DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	12	57%	0	0%	9	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
4	378	81%	83	18%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	466	100%
5	563	90%	6	1%	51	8%	4	1%	0	0%	0	0%	624	100%
6	178	82%	10	5%	28	13%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	218	100%
7	362	78%	8	2%	77	16%	7	1%	3	1%	10	2%	467	100%
8	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	451	76%	18	3%	121	20%	1	0%	2	0%	4	1%	597	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	2	25%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	50%	8	100%
13	163	86%	15	8%	6	3%	4	2%	1	1%	0	0%	189	100%
14	191	84%	5	2%	15	7%	0	0%	3	1%	14	6%	228	100%
15	106	90%	2	2%	8	7%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	118	100%
16	39	72%	0	0%	12	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3	6%	54	100%
17	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	255	65%	55	14%	62	16%	15	4%	2	1%	2	1%	391	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	262	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	263	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	2,965	81%	203	6%	395	11%	32	1%	13	0%	40	1%	3,648	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 103

FY 2013
Colorado State Adult Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	1%	0	0%	82	99%	83	100%
5	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	6	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%
7	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	8	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	18	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	3	20%	7	47%	5	33%	15	100%
14	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	3	5%	13	24%	39	71%	55	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	7	3%	26	13%	170	84%	203	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 104

FY2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDs)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Length of Stay

DISTRICT	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	9	43%	11	52%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%
4	421	90%	17	4%	7	2%	10	2%	11	2%	0	0%	466	100%
5	177	28%	234	38%	104	17%	53	8%	49	8%	7	1%	624	100%
6	7	3%	73	33%	83	38%	30	14%	24	11%	1	0%	218	100%
7	58	12%	161	34%	61	13%	122	26%	65	14%	0	0%	467	100%
8	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	107	18%	214	36%	120	20%	73	12%	78	13%	5	1%	597	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	5	63%	8	100%
13	5	3%	32	17%	38	20%	90	48%	22	12%	2	1%	189	100%
14	39	17%	90	39%	41	18%	27	12%	27	12%	4	2%	228	100%
15	20	17%	41	35%	26	22%	16	14%	13	11%	2	2%	118	100%
16	27	50%	15	28%	7	13%	4	7%	1	2%	0	0%	54	100%
17	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	87	22%	96	25%	85	22%	63	16%	54	14%	6	2%	391	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	27	10%	86	33%	76	29%	37	14%	35	13%	2	1%	263	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	977	27%	1,068	29%	661	18%	528	14%	379	10%	35	1%	3,648	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

TABLE 105

FY 2013
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2013

DISTRICT	NUMBER
1	15
2 ¹	0
3	20
4	535
5	724
6	255
7	575
8	80
9	667
10	30
11	14
12	2
13	84
14	418
15	174
16	65
17	18
18	2
19	1,209
20	5
21	655
22	0
TOTAL STATE	5,547

¹In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court rather than District Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Section IX:

Glossary of Terms



Probation Glossary

Absconder:	Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Adjudication:	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is “conviction.”
Administrative Investigations:	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Investigation Report addressing additional cases.
Administrative Closure:	Indicates a case that has been terminated from supervision in the jurisdiction indicated for miscellaneous reasons such as, “in custody in another jurisdiction.”
Administrative Client Risk Level:	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive “supervision” being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
Change of Venue:	Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
Client Risk Level:	Probationers are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The primary instrument used for adults is the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory). The juvenile instrument is the CJRA (Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment). These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
Courtesy Closure:	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district.
Courtesy Supervision:	Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.
Community Corrections:	A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.

Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication	Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.
Direct File:	A case filed by a District Attorney in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.
Intake:	The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.
Interstate:	Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.
Investigations:	An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.
Length of Stay:	The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.
Monitoring:	DUI/DWAI offenders who, as a part of their sentence, are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.
Revoked:	The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
Success:	Successful terminations represent those offenders who, at a minimum, meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
Technical Violation:	Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant for reasons other than a new crime, with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. substance use, missed appointments etc).
Transfer Hearing:	A judicial proceeding in which the court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

- Civil Appeal:** A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.
- Criminal Appeal:** A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.
- Habeas Corpus:** (Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum)
“An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person’s custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent.”
(<http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com>)
- Interlocutories:** An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.
- Interrogatories:** Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer “important questions upon solemn occasions” propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called “interrogatories.”
- Judicial Discipline or Disability:** Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.

Original Proceedings:	Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a “rule to show cause” why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).
Petitions in Certiorari:	A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.
Reapportionment:	Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the General Assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court’s reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court’s requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.
Request for Stay Pending Appeal:	A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

- Rule 21.1:** This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.
- Special Concurrences:** An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.
- Statutory Reviews:** Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.
- Unauthorized Practice:** The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms

Administrative Support Order:	Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).
Ancillary Proceedings:	A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.
Breach of Contract:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).
Breach of Warranty:	A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.
C.R.C.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure.
C.R.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.
C.R.S.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.
Civil Protection Orders:	(Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)
Condemnation:	A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).
County Court Counter Claim:	A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).
County Felony:	Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the County Court level before being bound over (sent) to District Court.
Covenant:	Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations.
Criminal Non-support:	A criminal action for the non-payment of child support.

Declaratory Judgment:	A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.
Dependency and Neglect:	A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of his or her parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).
Determination of Interests:	A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something (<i>e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.</i>).
Disposition:	The final settlement of a matter. With reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.
Distrain Warrant:	A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding state taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.
Expedited Placement:	A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).
Felony:	A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution.
Forcible Entry and Detainer:	Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.).
Foreclosure other than Rule 120:	A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).
Foreign Judgment:	A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.).
Goods and Services Delivered:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services but has failed to pay for them.

Incoming Registration of Support:	A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he or she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments
Infraction:	A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine.
Injunctive Relief:	Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65)
Intestate-Formal:	Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.
Intestate-Informal:	Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.
Lien:	A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Mechanic's Lien:	Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Misdemeanor:	A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.
Money:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.
Note:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.
Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:	An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that it wants to have enforced in another state.
Public Administrator Statement:	A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.

Public Utilities Commission:	A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)
Registration of Foreign Decree:	When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)
Replevin:	A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104.)
Restraining Orders:	See Civil Protection Orders
Rule 105 Quiet Title:	Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).
Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:	A civil case brought in District Court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.
Rule 120 Deed of Trust:	A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).
Services Rendered:	A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.
Single Transaction:	A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.
Special Administrator-Formal:	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)

Special Administrator-Informal:	A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.
Special District:	Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)
Specific Performance:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.
Testate-Formal:	A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).
Testate-Informal:	A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.
Termination (terminated case):	A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.
Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:	A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veterans Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.
Writ of Habeas Corpus:	Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)